

# An Asymptotic Formula for a Class of Distribution Functions

By E. W. CANNON and AUREL WINTNER, Johns Hopkins University.

Communicated by A. C. AITKEN.

(Received 25th February, 1935. Read 1st March, 1935.)

If  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots$  are independent random variables each of which is subjected to a distribution law  $\sigma = \sigma(x)$  independent of  $k$  and having a finite positive dispersion, then  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$  is known to obey the Gauss law as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , no matter how  $\sigma(x)$  be chosen<sup>1</sup>. There arises, however, the question whether it is nevertheless possible to determine the elementary law  $\sigma(x)$  from the asymptotic behaviour of the distribution law of  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$  for very large but finite values of  $n$ . It will be shown that the answer is affirmative under very general conditions.

Let the distribution function  $\sigma(x)$  be a solution of the moment problem

$$(1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^m d\sigma(x) = M_m \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \sigma(-\infty) = 0),$$

so that  $M_0$  is the total probability, hence equal to 1. It is not required that (1) be a determined moment problem, i.e. that  $\sigma$  be uniquely determined by the conditions (1) if one normalises it by the requirement that  $2\sigma(x) = \sigma(x+0) + \sigma(x-0)$ . On excluding the case  $M_2 = 0$  of the trivial distribution function  $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \text{sign } x)$  and replacing, if necessary,  $\sigma(x)$  by  $\sigma(ax)$ , where  $a = M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$ , we may suppose that  $M_2 = 1$ . Also, although the symmetry condition thereby imposed upon the law  $\sigma$  is not essential for our method, we assume for convenience that both ranges  $(0, x)$  and  $(-x, 0)$  of the random variable subjected to  $\sigma$  are equally probable, i.e. that

$$(2) \quad \sigma(x) + \sigma(-x) = 1; \text{ hence } \sigma(0) = \frac{1}{2}, M_{2n+1} = 0 \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Accordingly, the characteristic function of  $\sigma$ ,

$$(3') \quad L(t; \sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{itx} d\sigma(x) \quad (-\infty < t < +\infty),$$

---

<sup>1</sup> Cf. P. Lévy, *Calcul des Probabilités*, Paris, 1925, pp. 233-235.

and its Fourier inversion<sup>1</sup>,

$$(4') \quad \sigma(x) = \sigma(0) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} L(t; \sigma) (e^{-ixt} - 1) t^{-1} dt \quad (-\infty < x < +\infty),$$

become respectively

$$(3) \quad L(t; \sigma) = 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \cos(tx) d\sigma(x) \quad (-\infty < t < +\infty)$$

and

$$(4) \quad \sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} L(t; \sigma) t^{-1} \sin(tx) dt.$$

We finally suppose that for some sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  and for some function  $\phi(t)$

$$(5) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \{t \phi(t)^{1/\delta}\}^{-1} dt < +\infty, \text{ and } L(t; \sigma) = O(1/\phi(t)) \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

consideration of  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  being unnecessary since  $L(t; \sigma)$  is an even function, and that

$$(5a) \quad L(t; \sigma) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

A few remarks concerning the nature of the restriction imposed by conditions (5) and (5a) upon the behaviour of  $\sigma(x)$  are not out of place. According to Lévy<sup>2</sup> the average of  $|L(t; \sigma)|^2$  in the whole range  $-\infty < t < +\infty$  always exists and is equal to the sum of the squares of all jumps of  $\sigma(x)$ . Hence  $\sigma(x)$  is everywhere continuous if and only if the average of  $|L(t; \sigma)|^2$  is zero, a condition clearly satisfied whenever (5a) is satisfied, so that  $\sigma$  has no discontinuity points. However (5), (5a) are sufficiently general not to require the absolute continuity of  $\sigma$ , *i.e.* the existence of a density of probability<sup>3</sup>. In fact (5) and (5a) are implied by

$$(5b) \quad L(t; \sigma) = O(|\log t|^{-\alpha}),$$

<sup>1</sup> P. Lévy, *op. cit.*, p. 167.

<sup>2</sup> P. Lévy, *op. cit.*, p. 171.

<sup>3</sup> There exists a derivative  $\sigma'(x)$  up to a set of measure zero even if  $\sigma(x)$  is not absolutely continuous, but

$$(i) \quad \sigma(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \sigma'(y) dy$$

holds if and only if  $\sigma(x)$  is absolutely continuous. It is meaningless to regard  $\sigma(x)$  as a density of probability if (i) is not valid.

where  $\alpha > 0$  may be arbitrarily small, and there exist<sup>1</sup> symmetric distribution functions which satisfy (5b) but are not absolutely continuous. Conversely, the absolute continuity of  $\sigma$  does not imply (5) since the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma cannot be formulated by using a universal majorant which tends to zero. A sufficient condition for (5b), hence for (5) and (5a), is that there exist a density of probability satisfying a uniform Lipschitz condition of arbitrarily low index, or only the corresponding logarithmical estimate, and tending not too slowly to zero as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Another sufficient condition for (5) and (5a) is that  $\sigma$  satisfy the Gauss postulate for error distributions, *i.e.*, that there exist for every  $x$  a probability density which does not increase when  $x$  increases. In fact, in this case it is clear from (3), in virtue of the second mean-value theorem, that  $L(t; \sigma) = O(t^{-1})$ , so that (5b) is amply satisfied.

Let the random variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots$  be such that  $\sigma(x)$  represents the probability of the inequality  $x_k < x$  for every  $k$ . Then if  $\sigma_n(x)$  denotes the probability of the inequality  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_n < x$ , we have

$$(6) \quad L(t; \sigma_n) = L(t; \sigma)^n$$

in virtue of the supposed independence of the random variables<sup>2</sup>. The fundamental limit theorem of the calculus of probability<sup>3</sup> implies that the distribution function  $\sigma(a_n x)$ , where

$$a_n = M_2(\sigma_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} = n^{\frac{1}{2}} M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = n^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

tends, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , to the reduced Gaussian distribution function. Our purpose is to show that  $\sigma_n(x)$  is capable of an infinite asymptotic development in the Poincaré sense, proceeding according to powers of  $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . The rôle of assumption (5) is that of assuring the existence of such a development, formal treatments of which date back to Laplace<sup>4</sup>. The coefficient of  $(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})^m$  in the asymptotic series in question is a polynomial in  $x$  having as coefficients polynomials in the moments (1) of the elementary law  $\sigma$ , and the coefficients of the

<sup>1</sup> Cf. D. Menchoff, "Sur l'unicité du développement trigonométrique," *Comptes Rendus*, 163 (1916), pp. 433-436.

<sup>2</sup> Cf., *e.g.*, P. Lévy, *op. cit.*, pp. 184-185.

<sup>3</sup> *Ibid.*, pp. 233-235.

<sup>4</sup> Cf., *e.g.*, E. T. Whittaker and G. Robinson, *The Calculus of Observations*, London, 1924, p. 172; cf. also F. Zernike, *Handbuch der Physik*, 3 (1928) 450-51, where further references are also given.

latter polynomials are universal constants. The elementary laws occurring in the majority of applications satisfy (5) and are such that the Carleman condition

$$\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} M_{\sigma_m}^{-1/(2m)} = +\infty$$

of determinateness is fulfilled. Hence we obtain a method, at least in theory, for determining the elementary law  $\sigma(x)$  from the behaviour of the approximation of the iterated law to the Gauss distribution.

The function  $L(t; \sigma)$  has for every  $t$  derivatives of arbitrarily high order<sup>1</sup> which may be obtained by formal differentiation of (3), so that

$$(7) \quad L^{(m)}(t; \sigma) = i^m \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^m e^{itx} d\sigma(x).$$

In fact, each of the integrals (7) is uniformly convergent with respect to  $t$ , its integrand having as a majorant<sup>2</sup> that of  $M_m$ .

It is clear from (3) that  $|L(t; \sigma)| \leq 1$  for every  $t$  and  $= 1$  for  $t = 0$ . Suppose that  $|L(t; \sigma)| = 1$  for a fixed  $t$ . Then

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \{1 \pm \cos(tx)\} d\sigma(x) = 0,$$

where  $1 \pm \cos(tx) \geq 0$  for every  $x$  and either  $t=0$  or else  $1 \pm \cos(tx) > 0$  for some  $x$ . Hence either  $t = 0$  or else  $\sigma(x)$  is a step-function having all its jumps at points  $x$  which form an arithmetical progression. The second case is excluded,  $\sigma$  being continuous in virtue of (5). Consequently<sup>3</sup>

$$(8) \quad |L(t; \sigma)| < 1 \quad \text{for every } t \neq 0.$$

Moreover, since the second derivative of (3) is negative at  $t = 0$  in virtue of (7), and the first derivative  $L'(t; \sigma)$  vanishes at  $t = 0$  because of (7) and (2), we have  $L'(t; \sigma) < 0$  for sufficiently small values of  $t > 0$ . It follows therefore from  $L(0; \sigma) = 1$  that  $L(t; \sigma)$  is positive and decreasing in the interval  $0 < t \leq c$  if  $c$  is sufficiently small. Let  $c$  be so chosen and put

$$(9) \quad K_n = \int_c^{+\infty} |t^{-1} L(t; \sigma)^n| dt.$$

<sup>1</sup> It is not true, however, that  $L(t; \sigma)$  is necessarily regular-analytic along the  $t$ -axis.

<sup>2</sup> In virtue of the Schwarz inequality it is sufficient to consider even values of  $m$ .

<sup>3</sup> It may be mentioned that (8) is actually false in the second case. In fact,  $L(t; \sigma)$  is then a periodic function so that  $L(t; \sigma) = 1$  holds for some  $t \neq 0$  since it holds for  $t = 0$ .

Now  $L(t; \sigma)$  has in the interval  $c \leq t < +\infty$  a positive maximum  $\theta < 1$  according to (8) and (5a). On the other hand, it is clear from (5) and (9) that  $K_j < +\infty$  if  $j$  is sufficiently large, so that  $K_n < \theta^{n-j} K_j < +\infty$  for every  $n > j$ . Consequently

$$(10) \quad \left| \int_c^{+\infty} L(t; \sigma)^n t^{-1} \sin(tx) dt \right| < C\theta^n, \text{ where } 0 < \theta < 1,$$

for every  $x$  and for every  $n > j$ , where  $\theta$  and  $C = K_j/\theta^j$  depend only upon  $c$ .

Since  $L(0; \sigma) = 1$  and  $L(t; \sigma)$  is positive and decreasing in the range  $0 < t \leq c$ , the function

$$(11) \quad s = s(t) = \{-\log L(t; \sigma)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is positive and increasing in this range so that there exists an inverse function  $t = t(s)$ , where  $0 \leq s \leq d$  and  $d = \{-\log L(c; \sigma)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Now the derivative  $L'(t; \sigma)$  is negative at every point of the range  $0 < t \leq c$  and vanishes at  $t = 0$  only in the first order in virtue of  $L''(0; \sigma) = -1$ ; hence the function  $s = s(t)$  vanishes at  $t = 0$  exactly in the first order, and consequently  $r(t) = t/s(t)$  is positive at  $t = 0$ . Upon placing, for a fixed value of  $x$ ,

$$(12) \quad \pi f(x; s) = \sin(xt(s)) \dot{t}(s)/t(s) \quad (0 \leq s \leq d),$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to  $s$ , it follows from the Bürmann-Lagrange rule<sup>1</sup> that all derivatives of  $f(x; s)$  with respect to  $s$  exist not only in the range  $0 < s \leq d$ , but at  $s = 0$  as well, and, moreover, that the derivatives are given by the explicit formula

$$(13) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^n f(x; s)}{\partial s^n} \right\}_{s=0} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \frac{\sin(tx) r(t)^{n+1}}{t} \right\}_{t=0}.$$

Setting

$$\chi_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^c L(t; \sigma)^n t^{-1} \sin(tx) dt,$$

we have from (11) and (12)

$$(14) \quad \chi_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \int_0^d \exp(-ns^2) f(x; s) ds.$$

This function  $\chi_n(x)$  admits for every fixed  $x$  an asymptotic development<sup>2</sup>

$$(15) \quad \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} P_k(x) n^{-\frac{1}{2}k},$$

<sup>1</sup> Cf. P. L. Tehebychef, *Oeuvres*, vol. 1, St. Pétersburg, 1899, pp. 251-270, where analyticity of the functions is not required.

<sup>2</sup> Cf. A. Wintner, "On the asymptotic formulae of Riemann and of Laplace," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 20 (1934), pp. 57-62.

where

$$(16) \quad P_k = P_k(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}k)}{2\Gamma(k)} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{k-1} f(x; s)}{\partial s^{k-1}} \right\}_{s=0}$$

or

$$(17) \quad P_k = P_k(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}k)}{2^\nu \Gamma(k)} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial t^{k-1}} \frac{\sin(tx) r(t)^k}{t} \right\}_{t=0}.$$

Thus

$$(18) \quad P_{2k+1} = \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2})}{2\pi \Gamma(2k+1)} \sum_{\nu=0}^k \binom{2k}{2\nu} (-1)^{k-\nu} \frac{x^{2(k-\nu)+1}}{2(k-\nu)+1} \left\{ \frac{d^{2\nu} r(t)^{2k+1}}{dt^{2\nu}} \right\}_{t=0}$$

by the Leibniz rule for differentiation, while  $P_{2k} = 0$  for every  $x$  because of (2). In particular

$$P_1(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x,$$

$$P_3(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ -\frac{x^3}{6} + (M_4 - 3) \frac{x}{8} \right\},$$

$$P_5(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \frac{x^5}{40} - 5(M_4 - 3) \frac{x^3}{48} + (35M_4^2 - 8M_6 - 90M_4 + 75) \frac{x}{384} \right\}.$$

It is clear from (10) that the above asymptotic expansion of (14) is also an asymptotic development of

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} L(t; \sigma)^n t^{-1} \sin(tx) dt.$$

Moreover, upon applying (4) to  $\sigma_n(x)$  instead of  $\sigma(x)$ , we see from (6) that (19) is exactly  $\sigma_n(x)$ . Therefore (15) is an asymptotic development of  $\sigma_n(x)$ .

It may be mentioned that (15) can in certain cases be a convergent series. For example, if  $\sigma(x)$  obey the Gauss law the asymptotic development (15) for  $\sigma_n(x)$  is found from (18) to be the convergent power-series representation of  $\sigma_n(x)$ .

