

PREDICTION OF RESPONSE TO ECT

DEAR SIR,

The recent analysis of prediction of response to real and simulated treatment in the Northwick Park ECT trial (*Journal* 1984, **144**, 227-37) made fascinating reading. Its most notable finding was that the real ECT was significantly superior to simulated ECT only for the 22 deluded depressives included in the trial. The authors conclude that delusional depression may be a specific entity which is relatively resistant to tricyclic antidepressants but responsive to ECT.

However, the hypothesis that ECT is a relatively specific treatment for the entity of delusional depression fails to take account of the reports of its effectiveness in the treatment of mania (McCabe, 1976), schizophrenia (Taylor & Fleminger, 1980) and schizoaffective disorder (Ries *et al.*, 1981). The possible common factor in these three conditions and in delusional depression is the presence of psychosis. The effectiveness of ECT in all these illnesses can thus be explained on the basis of ECT's having a specific, powerful anti-psychotic action.

As far as I am aware this hypothesis has not previously been advanced. Much attention has been given to determining the mode of action of ECT's supposed anti-depressant effects, and in comparing its efficacy to that of anti-depressant drugs. It may be that future trials should incorporate comparisons of ECT with neuroleptic medication in suitable psychotic patients.

JOHN M. EAGLES

Royal Cornhill Hospital,
Cornhill Road,
Aberdeen, AB9 2ZH

References

- MCCABE, M. S. (1976) ECT in the treatment of mania: a controlled study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, **133**, 688-91.
- RIES, R. K., WILSON, L., BOKAN, J. A. & CHILES, J. A. (1981) ECT in medication resistant schizoaffective disorder. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, **22**, 167-73.
- TAYLOR, P. J. & FLEMINGER, J. J. (1980) ECT for schizophrenia. *Lancet*, *i*, 1380-2.

BLADDER RUPTURE DURING ECT

DEAR SIR,

A 74 year old male with recurrent unipolar depression developed abdominal pain after the tenth well-modified treatment in a series of ECT. He became confused and was unwilling to eat or drink over the next 24 hours, and he did not pass urine. Abdominal distension with signs of generalised peritonitis developed. Blood urea was 30 mmol/l. At laparotomy,

three litres of clear fluid were sucked out of the peritoneal cavity, a 6 cm longitudinal laceration in the dome of the bladder was sutured and a peritoneal drain inserted. A urethral catheter was passed without difficulty. Recovery was uneventful. Bladder histology was normal.

The patient had been investigated three years previously for difficulty in passing urine, and at that time although no obstructive lesion was found at cystoscopy, 2.5l of residual urine was measured. An indwelling urinary catheter had been left for one month after which micturition returned to normal. Over about two months before the bladder rupture, the patient had been noted to have urinary dribbling and incontinence. He had not received tricyclic antidepressants during the year before bladder rupture.

In the absence of urological endoscopic instrumentation, closed intraperitoneal rupture of the bladder is generally associated with blunt injury to a distended bladder (usually after a high alcohol intake), but the abdominal trauma need not be severe. Obstructive uropathy, due to prostatism or urethral stricture is commonly associated. In this case, the anticholinergic effects of tricyclic antidepressants cannot be implicated.

Rupture of the bladder occurring in association with ECT is not recorded although ruptured spleen (Ernst, 1980) and perforated colonic diverticulum (Pippard & Ellam, 1981) have been reported.

Although this is an isolated case, it suggests that if there is any evidence of prostatism, urinary difficulty or retention, particular care should be taken to empty the bladder before ECT.

A. D. IRVING

Stracathro Hospital,
Brechin,
Angus DD9 7QA

A. M. DRAYSON

Sunnyside Royal Hospital,
Hillside,
Montrose

References

- ERNST, D. (1980) Ruptured spleen after electroconvulsive therapy. *British Medical Journal*, **180**, 280, 763.
- PIPPARD, J. & ELLAM, L. (1981) *Electroconvulsive Treatment in Great Britain, 1980: A Report to the Royal College of Psychiatrists*. Ashford, Kent: Headley Brothers.

ELECTROSTIMULATION VS ORAL METHADONE IN OPIATE WITHDRAWALI was interested to read Gossop *et al's* paper

(*Journal*, February 1984, **144**, 203–8) in managing opiate withdrawal.

In the light of their results, it may be useful considering a further study combining electro-stimulation (ES) and methadone withdrawal (MW). The use of MW would avoid the severe initial withdrawal effects noted in the ES group. The use of ES in the latter phases of the MW (say the last 10 days) might avoid the prolonged withdrawal effects noted in the MW group (but not in the ES group). The original groups used in the study could serve as comparisons. It may not be a question of either/or but both.

E. P. LARKIN

*Rampton Hospital,
Retford,
Nottinghamshire*

WITHDRAWAL OF BENZTROPINE IN CHRONIC SCHIZOPHRENICS

DEAR SIR,

The results of the interesting study by Linda A. Baker and colleagues (*Journal*, **143**, 584–90) do not appear to be complete. The patients were tested on three occasions—before withdrawal, and at 2 and 4 weeks later—but only 2 sets of data for each group are given in Table II, and it is not clear whether “retest” refers to the 2 or 4 week session.

A. A. SCHIFF

*Senior Medical Adviser,
E. R. Squibb and Sons Ltd,
141–149 Staines Road,
Hounslow, TW3 3JA*

Dr Baker and colleagues reply

Dr Schiff states that some of the data from our study “the withdrawal of benztropine mesylate in chronic schizophrenic patients” appear to be missing since patients were tested on three occasions but data from only two sessions were included in Table II. It was stated quite clearly in our article that two weeks after initial testing, “all measurements *except those involving memory and attention were repeated*. The complete set of tests and ratings was readministered four weeks after the initial assessments”. Retest results in Table II for the Wechsler Memory Scale therefore refer to four weeks after the first testing. Results from other tests repeated at both two- and four-week periods are discussed in the article, but were for the most part nonsignificant and were therefore not reproduced in tabular form in order to save *Journal* space.

LINDA A. BAKER
L. Y. CHENG
I. B. AMARA

*Kingston Psychiatric Hospital,
Kingston,
Ontario K7L 4X3*

GREMLINS AT NORTHWICK PARK—CORRECTION

DEAR SIR,

The authors of the Northwick Park ECT trial (*Journal*, March 1984, **144**, 227–37) have referred in the text and list of references to my paper on ‘Atypicality and the depressive state’ published in the *Journal of Mental Science* in 1950. In doing so they have quoted me with a co-author ‘GLAS, M. B.’ I had no such co-author when writing this paper, as the heading and acknowledgements indicate. ‘M. B. Glas.’ was my medical qualification at the time the paper was written.

HUGH F. JARVIE