

This is a “preproof” accepted article for *Parasitology*.
This version may be subject to change during the production process.
10.1017/S0031182025000150

First report of *Echinococcus canadensis* (G7) in backyard pigs from the western highlands of Guatemala

Roderico Hernández-Chea¹, Américo Yoel Aragón-Méndez², Alejandro Hun^{3,4}, Paola Morales-Ramírez⁵, Ilde Silva⁶, Federico Villatoro³ and Marion Wassermann⁷

¹ Dirección Departamental de Redes Integradas de Servicios de Salud de Guatemala, Área Sur, Ministerio de Salud Pública y Asistencia Social, Amatitlán, Guatemala; ² Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala, Guatemala, Guatemala; ³ Escuela de Estudios de Posgrado, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala, Guatemala, Guatemala; ⁴ Instituto de Investigación en Ciencia Animal y Ecosalud, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala; ⁵ Facultad de Biología Química y Farmacia, Universidad Galileo, Guatemala, Guatemala; ⁶ Facultad de Ciencias Jurídicas y Sociales, Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala, Guatemala, Guatemala and ⁷ Institute of Biology, Department of Parasitology, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

Corresponding author: Roderico Hernández-Chea, Email: roderik123animal@gmail.com

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Abstract

Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato is the causative agent of cystic echinococcosis (CE), a globally distributed zoonotic infection. In Guatemala, no new data have been reported for the past 80 years on CE. To address this gap, a cross-sectional study at the municipal slaughterhouse of Quetzaltenango was conducted from March to August 2022 to determine the presence of *Echinococcus* sp. in backyard pigs. Moreover, the species and haplotypes, fertility status of hydatid cysts, and association of fertility of the cysts to the sex of the pig, and the size of cysts were investigated. For this purpose, 117 pigs were examined post-mortem, and cysts were extracted from their organs. Species identification was performed using nested PCR targeting the *cox1* gene, and a haplotype network was constructed. Generalized Linear Models (GLMs) were applied to assess correlation between cysts fertility, sex of the pig, and diameter of the cyst. The study revealed a high prevalence of 38.46% of CE, and a minimum prevalence of *Taenia hydatigena* of 4.27%. Genetic characterization confirmed the presence of *Echinococcus canadensis* of the G7 haplogroup. Eight haplotypes unique to Guatemala were identified, along with one of global occurrence. Cysts from male pigs were 3.6 times more likely to be fertile than those from female pigs. A quadratic GLM determined that cysts with a diameter range of 2.09 - 4.20 cm had a higher probability of being fertile. The high prevalence of CE and the diversity of Guatemalan haplotypes confirm the endemicity of *E. canadensis* in this region.

Keywords: Echinococcosis; *Echinococcus canadensis* G7; cestode infections; *Taenia hydatigena*; backyard pigs; Guatemala; haplotypes

Introduction

Cystic echinococcosis (CE) is a zoonotic disease caused by the larval stage of the medically important species complex *Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato (s.l.)*. Human CE represents a significant public health concern with a worldwide distribution, being reported on all continents except Antarctica (Eckert *et al.*, 2001). The life cycle of this parasite requires two mammalian hosts. Wild and domestic carnivores, particularly canids, act as definitive hosts and harbor the adult worms. Domestic or wild ungulates are the intermediate hosts and acquire the infection through the ingestion of the eggs. Once ingested, the oncospheres within the eggs hatch and migrate to internal organs, primarily the liver and lungs, where they develop into metacestodes (the larval stage), ultimately leading to the formation of hydatid cysts and cause CE. The life cycle is completed when the definitive hosts consume the infected organs of intermediate hosts (Eckert *et al.*, 2001; Cardona and Carmena, 2013).

As a neglected tropical disease, CE is most prevalent in impoverished rural communities where animal husbandry is common. The worldwide disability adjusted life years (DALYs) of CE, has been estimated at 5,935,463 (Noguera *et al.*, 2022). Cystic echinococcosis also negatively affects global livestock production, and the financial losses has been estimated to reach up to 2 billion US\$ annually (Budke *et al.*, 2006). Certain geographical regions face a particular severe impact from CE, posing a serious public health threat and causing substantial animal production losses. These include rural and grazing areas of South America (Moro and Schantz, 2006), the Mediterranean basin (Dakkak, 2010), North and East Africa (Romig *et al.*, 2011), Western and Central Asia (Jenkins, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2008), and Oceania, particularly mainland Australia (Jenkins, 2006). Given its global significance and impact on public health, the WHO has included echinococcosis as one of the neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) (World Health Organization, 2024). Based on morphological and particularly genetic differences, five species are currently recognized within the *E.*

granulosus s.l. complex (Lymbery, 2017). These species are *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* (*s.s.*), with its genotypes G1 and G3 (formerly the “sheep strain” and “buffalo strain”, respectively), *Echinococcus equinus* (G4, also known as the “horse strain”), *E. ortleppi* (G5, “cattle strain”), the *E. canadensis* cluster, which consists of the genotype G6 & G7 (“camel strain” and “pig strain”), G8 (“cervid strain”), and G10 (“fennoscandian cervid strain”), and *E. felidis* (“lion strain”) (Casulli *et al.*, 2022). Within *E. granulosus s.l.*, *E. granulosus s.s.* is the most important species in terms of public health, accounting for 88.5% of global CE infections in humans, and *E. canadensis* (G6 & G7) is the second most relevant species, responsible for 11% of human infections worldwide (Alvarez-Rojas *et al.* 2013). Only a few cases of *E. ortleppi* and, more recently, of *E. equinus* infections in humans have been reported, and no cases of *Echinococcus felidis* at all. Therefore, these species are of limited or no relevance to human health (Alvarez-Rojas *et al.* 2013; Kim *et al.* 2020; Macin *et al.* 2021).

Although the occurrence of *Echinococcus* spp. is well-documented across the American continent, both in North America and especially in South America (Deplazes *et al.*, 2017; Romig *et al.*, 2017), there is very limited information regarding this parasite in animal or human hosts from Central America. A few human cases have been reported from Nicaragua (Rausch and D’Alessandro, 2002), Costa Rica (probably acquired in Spain) (Brenes *et al.*, 1977), Panama, and Honduras (D’Alessandro and Rausch, 2008; Sanchez *et al.*, 1992; de Erazo and de Barahona, 1989). Molecular identifications of the causative species were not conducted, but some cases could be attributed to neotropical echinococcosis caused by *E. vogeli* or *E. oligarthra* due to their morphological appearance. These two species are also implicated in the few documented infections in wild animals in Central America (Romig and Wassermann, 2024). Regarding CE in livestock, only two studies are known. One reports a single hydatid cyst in a pig from El Salvador (Llort, 1959), while the other, conducted nearly 80 years ago in Guatemala, reported that 1.71% (4,870/284,772) of examined pigs were

infected with CE (Aguilar, 1948), and 0.03% of the cattle harboured cysts (Pérez, 1949). This led to the assumption that *Echinococcus granulosus s.l.* is rare in most countries of Central America (Moro and Schantz, 2006). However, due to the limited data available, no definitive statement can be made in this regard.

To date, no molecular studies have been conducted to identify the species that cause CE in domestic animals in Central America. As a result, the prevalent *Echinococcus* species and their transmission dynamics in this region are unknown. This lack of information extends to the current status of *Echinococcus* spp. in Guatemala. However, the country exhibits social, economic, and epidemiologic characteristics, particularly in rural indigenous areas marked by extreme poverty and inadequate sanitation, that may facilitate the perpetuation of the life cycle of *Echinococcus* spp.

The suspected presence of *Echinococcus* spp. was confirmed through veterinary examinations of backyard pigs brought to the municipal slaughterhouse of the department of Quetzaltenango. These findings prompted further investigation into the prevalence, as well as number, size, and fertility status of cysts, and most importantly, the identification of the causative species of CE in the backyard pigs

Materials and methods

Pilot study

In a pilot study on CE, 30 backyard pigs brought to the municipal slaughterhouse of the department of Quetzaltenango were examined in April 2019 for the presence of metacestodes of *Echinococcus* spp. Of these, 30% (9/30) were found to have hydatid cysts. These findings prompted further investigation into the prevalence, as well as number, size, and fertility status of cysts, and most importantly, the identification of the causative species of CE in the backyard pigs brought to the municipal slaughterhouse of Quetzaltenango.

Study area

A cross-sectional study was carried out to collect *Echinococcus* cysts from pigs between March and August 2022 at the slaughterhouse in the municipality of Quetzaltenango, located at longitude 14° 50' 21" N and latitude 91° 30' 10" W, at an altitude of 2,331 meters above sea level. The municipality of Quetzaltenango is situated in the western highlands of Guatemala and experiences rainy and dry seasons. The rainy season lasts from May to mid-November, with an annual rainfall of 3,124 mm. The dry season, from December to May, is often characterized by little to no rainfall. The climate is classified as temperate, with average temperatures of 24°C during the day and 5°C at night (INSIVUMEH, 2024). Pig husbandry in the region is practiced in both free range and communal grazing systems, as well as in intensive pig farming. Quetzaltenango is the second largest city in Guatemala and its municipality represents the second most economically important in the country. The department has a population of approximately 799,101 inhabitants, with the municipality of Quetzaltenango home to around 180,706 inhabitants (Instituto Nacional de Estadística, 2018).

Sample size

The sample size was determined using the formula $n = Z^2 pq / e^2$ (Martin *et al.* 1987), where n is the required number of individuals to be examined, $Z = 1.96$ is the standard normal 95th percentile, p is the estimated or known prevalence = 0.30 (based on the pilot study); $q = 1 - p$; and e is the precision of the estimate = 0.085, representing the allowable error of estimation. In this study, a confidence level of 95% was chosen; therefore, the minimum required sample size was 112 pigs. All male and female free range/backyard pigs ≥ 6 months of age brought to the municipal slaughterhouse of Quetzaltenango during the study period were included.

Sample collection and parasitological examination

A copy of the project proposal and a letter requesting permission were sent to the slaughterhouse administrator and the official veterinarian. Following acceptance and approval, the research team provided the operators with a summary description of the project and the activities involved in carrying out the research within the slaughterhouse. The research team did not participate in any aspect of the slaughtering process. For each examined pig, the following data were recorded: sex and geographic origin (department, municipality, and village). Due to the slaughterhouse routine, a post-mortem dental examination to determine the age of the animals was unfortunately not possible. With certainty it can only be stated that all animals were ≥ 6 months old. Based on their size, the majority were estimated to be no older than 12 months. Post-mortem, a detailed inspection of the organs (lungs and liver) of each pig was conducted by qualified veterinarians. When cysts of *Echinococcus* spp. or *Taenia* spp. were identified, the number and location of each cyst within the examined organs were recorded. Cysts were carefully extracted from each organ, stored in saline solution (0.9%) at 4°C, and subsequently transported to the laboratory. The host tissues were carefully removed from the cysts using basic surgical instruments. The cysts were then cleaned by rinsing five times with 0.9% saline solution, and their dimensions (width and length) were measured to calculate the mean diameter ($\sqrt{((r_{\text{length}}^2 + r_{\text{width}}^2)/2)}$). The vesicular fluid of metacestodes was aseptically aspirated with a syringe, transferred to a flask, centrifuged at 7870.7 RCF for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. An aliquot was preserved, while another was deposited on a slide for the examination of wet smear under the microscope to detect protoscoleces of *Echinococcus* spp. The cysts were classified as fertile or sterile based on the presence or absence of protoscoleces. Inactive cysts were morphologically classified as calcified and/or caseous. A total of 32 hydatid cysts, characterized by diameter (cm) and/or the presence of protoscoleces, were collected from a

proportional number of female and male pigs. These cysts were preserved in 70% ethanol (EtOH) and stored at -70 °C for molecular analysis in order to determine the species and genotypes of *Echinococcus*. The cysticerci of *T. hydatigena* were morphologically identified based on the arrangement of the rostellar hooks (Hobbs *et al.*, 1990). For molecular species confirmation, two *T. hydatigena* cysticerci were also preserved in 70% EtOH.

Preparation of samples for molecular analysis

DNA was prepared using the 0.02 M NaOH method as described previously (Nakao *et al.*, 2003). Specifically, cyst fluid was examined microscopically, and a single protoscolex was transferred in a volume of 1 µl via pipette into 10 µl of 0.02 M NaOH solution. If protoscolexes were absent, a small piece (0.5 x 0.5 mm) of the germinal layer was instead transferred into 20 µl 0.02 M NaOH. The NaOH solution containing the parasite material was heated to 95 °C for 15 min. The same protocol was applied for *Taenia* sp. using a piece of the cysticercus. The resulting lysate was used directly as a DNA template for the PCR.

Species identification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing

For species identification, the complete mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (*cox1*) gene was amplified and sequenced. Nested PCR was performed using primers described previously (Hüttner *et al.*, 2008; Wassermann *et al.*, 2015). For the first PCR a 25 µl reaction was prepared containing 10 pmol each of the forward and reverse outer primers (forward primer: 5' GTG GAG TTA CTG CTA ATA ATT TTG '3 and reverse primer: 5' TAC GAC TYA CTT ATC AC '3), 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM of each dNTP, 0.625 U Ampli-Taq polymerase (Applied Biosystems), and 2 µl of the lysate. The 1,975 bp long amplicons produced by the first PCR were used as DNA templates for the nested PCR, where the primers produced a 1842 bp fragment (nested forward primer: 5' TTA

CTG CTA ATA ATT TTG TGT CAT '3 and nested reverse primer: 5' GCA TGA TGC AAA AGG CAA ATA AAC '3). Reaction mixture was set up to 50 µl using 20 pmol of each nested primer, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM of each dNTP, 1.25 U Taq polymerase, and 2 µl of the first PCR amplicons. Amplification conditions were identical for both PCRs: an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 2 min. After a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min, the PCR products were cooled to 4 °C. Nested PCR products were purified using the High Pure PCR product purification kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and sent to Microsynth Seqlab GmbH (Göttingen, Germany) for sequencing. Obtained DNA sequences were analysed and edited with GENTle v. 1.9 (Manske M. 2003, University of Cologne, Germany) and compared against existing sequences in the GenBank databases using the BLAST algorithm (www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast.cgi).

Haplotype network construction

The estimation of the gene genealogy and the construction of the haplotypes network were performed with the TCS v1.23 software with a connection limit of 95% (Clement *et al.*, 2000). The resulting network was visualized with the online tool tcsBU (Santos *et al.*, 2016). For comparison, *E. canadensis* sequences corresponding to the genotype G7 were retrieved from GenBank and included in the analyses. Each haplotype was included in the calculation once per country of occurrence (Table 5). Nucleotide and haplotype diversity indices for the Guatemalan samples were calculated using the DnaSP v. 6.12 software (Rozas *et al.*, 2017). In addition, a phylogenetic analysis was performed using the maximum likelihood method with the HKY + I substitution model and bootstrap values calculated from 1000

replications, incorporating all haplotypes used in the haplotype analysis. This analysis was conducted using MEGA software.

Statistical analysis

Qualitative variables are reported as frequencies and proportions. Prevalence values are reported as the percentage of pigs positive for cysts of *Echinococcus* sp. and *Taenia* sp., as accompanied by a 95% confidence interval (CI). Quantitative variables are reported as median, and interquartile ranges were obtained. The data collected during the visits to the slaughterhouse (sex, presence of cysts, fertile/sterile cysts, and diameter of the cysts) were recorded in Microsoft Excel 2007 and analysed using R Project for Statistical Computing v.4.1.1 (R Core Team, 2024). To assess a significant difference between the number of hydatid cysts with regard to the sex of the pigs, a generalized linear model (GLM) was constructed (without the animal identifier as a random factor) using a Poisson distribution for the variable number of cysts (CI 95%). The diameter of the cyst, along with other variables influencing the descriptive analysis (measures of central tendency, graphics, and measures of dispersion), were selected to fit a logistic regression model, as a GLM. The GLMs were fitted, including those variables, considering the pig (based on the animal identifier) as a random effect, using a binomial distribution for the response variable to calculate the adjusted odds ratio (OR). In addition, a quadratic version of the model was tested in order to explore for a unimodal correlation between the cyst's fertility (response variable) and diameter of the cyst (predictor). Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered significant.

Results

Prevalence

In this study, the livers and lungs of 117 backyard pigs were examined. Among these, 53% (62/117) were female and 47% (55/117) were male. Cestode infections were identified in 40.1% (47/117) of the examined pigs. Cystic echinococcosis was detected in 45 pigs, corresponding to a prevalence of 38.46% (45/117, 95% CI: 29.64% - 47.28%). A higher proportion of male pigs 43.6% (24/55) were infected compared to females 33.9% (21/62), although this difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.5$). *Taenia hydatigena* was detected in five livers. However, as only liver and lungs were examined in the present study, the true prevalence of *T. hydatigena* cannot be determined. Therefore, the minimum prevalence must be 4.27% (5/117, 95% CI: 0.61% - 7.94%). The number and proportion of pigs infected with CE and *T. hydatigena* are shown in Table 1. The geographical location of pigs infected with CE is depicted in Fig 1.

Parasitological examination and fertility of the cysts

A total of 1,140 cysts of parasitic origin were extracted from the organs of the examined backyard pigs. Of these, only two cysts were found in the lungs. The number of hydatid cysts per positive animal ranged from 1 to 563, with an average of 25.2 cysts per infected pig. Notably, two male pigs were heavily infected with 563 and 350 cysts, respectively. When these two individuals are excluded, the average number of cysts per infected animal decreases to 5.1. Discounting the heavily infected two pigs, male infected animals still exhibited on average more hydatid cysts (6.1) than females (4.1). In addition, six cysticerci of *T. hydatigena* were identified in five livers. The number and fertility status of hydatid cysts in the infected organs are shown in Table 2. Examples of hydatid cysts and cysticerci recovered from livers are illustrated in Figure 2. The numbers of extracted cysts from male and female

pigs, with classification as fertile/sterile are presented in Table 3. Even after excluding the two male pigs with exceptionally high parasitic loads, male pigs had a greater total number of cysts and fertile cysts than females. Specifically, in 22 male pigs, 39 fertile cysts and 90 sterile cysts were observed.

Descriptive and multivariate analysis

Hydatid cysts with documented fertility status and measured diameters were included in the multivariate analysis. This analysis was performed on 192 cysts, randomly selected and extracted from 39 pigs. The mean diameter of the examined hydatid cysts was 3.0 cm, with a median of 1.52 cm, and an interquartile range of 1.30 cm. The mean and median values of the diameter of fertile and sterile cysts observed in the examined pigs are presented in Table 4.

The results of the GLM to assess the number of hydatid cysts with regard to the sex of the pigs, indicated a significant difference ($p=0.01$); and the ratio of females to males was 1:12 cysts (CI 95% 10.2-15.6). A GLM was constructed to evaluate the predictor variables related to fertility of hydatid cysts. Two variables were identified to be good predictors of hydatid cyst fertility ($p=0.01$): the sex of the pig and the size of the cyst. For this purpose, 192 hydatid cysts (136 cysts from male pigs and 56 from female pigs) were included, as they had complete data on cyst diameter and fertility classification (sterile/fertile). The random effect of the host individual was justified, given the fact that the basal AIC (Akaike information criterion, null model) with the random effect included was lower ($p<.001$) than without this term. This suggests that factors inherent to the individual (e.g., sex of the animal) are associated with the probability of cyst fertility (Figure 3). The adjusted OR indicated that cysts from male pigs are 3.6 (C.I. 95% 1.3-9.8) times more likely to be fertile than those from female pigs. The probability of encountering more fertile cysts in male pigs was statistically significant ($p=.005$). After including the animal identifier as a random effect, only the cyst



size (rather than sex of the pig) remained a suitable candidate to explain the probability of fertility of the cysts ($p=.047$). The difference in the medians of sterile and fertile cysts can be observed in Figure 3, illustrating a tendency for fertility to increase with diameter of the hydatid cysts. A quadratic GLM was fitted to the data in order to test the unimodal relationship between the variables cyst fertility and cyst size. According to this model, the highest probability for cyst fertility was in the size range of 2.09 - 4.2 cm in this age group of pigs (≥ 6 months).

Identification of species and haplotype analyses

Thirty-two hydatid cysts (31 from the liver and one from the lung) collected from 21 pigs, along with two *T. hydatigena* isolates from two individual pigs, were subjected to molecular analyses to identify or confirm the respective species. Partial sequencing of the *cox1* gene from the putative *T. hydatigena* samples and subsequent comparison with GenBank entries, confirmed their identity. All hydatid cysts were caused by *Echinococcus canadensis* of the G7 haplogroup. The complete *cox1* gene sequence could be obtained from 28 cyst samples from 20 pigs, only a partial *cox1* sequence from four cysts. Twenty-two cysts from 16 pigs, with a maximum of two cysts analysed per animal, originated from the municipality Quetzaltenango, five cysts from three pigs from Olintepeque, and the single isolate from San Francisco La Unión.

Haplotype analyses

Nine haplotypes were identified among the 28 complete *cox1* sequences of the *E. canadensis* isolates, which were submitted to the NCBI GenBank under the Accession Numbers PP716595-PP716603. The analyses of the haplotype (H_d) and nucleotide diversity (N_d) of the Guatemalan samples resulted in $H_d: 0.759 \pm 0.057$ and $N_d: 0.00101 \pm 0.00022$. A haplotype

network was constructed with the complete *cox1* sequences of all Guatemalan isolates (comprising nine haplotypes) and *E. canadensis* G7 entries available in GenBank (Figure 4, Table 5). The haplotypes were included in the calculation once per country of origin, except for those from Guatemalan, where all 28 sequences were incorporated to display the frequency of the respective haplotypes found within the country.

The global network has a star-shape structure, with the main haplotype (Ht01) having a worldwide distribution. Ht01 was detected in seven European countries, as well as in Kenya, Peru, Mexico, and in ten samples from Guatemala. The other haplotypes are arranged around Ht01, differing by one to five nucleotides. Of the 39 haplotypes, only three could be found in more than one geographic region. Besides Ht01, Ht12 was detected in Argentina and Poland and Ht23 in Europe (Ukraine and Serbia) and in Western Asia (Armenia). Ht18 was also detected in two countries, but within the same geographical region, France and Italy. It is noteworthy that the Guatemalan Ht02 was found as frequent as Ht01 in the country. Both occurred ten times, making them equally represented in Guatemala. The Guatemalan haplotype Ht03 was detected twice, the remaining six once each. The distance between the two most distantly related haplotypes in the global network (Ht04 and Ht37) is nine nucleotide substitutions, and between the most distant Guatemalan (Ht04 and Ht09) seven nucleotides.

All nine Guatemalan haplotypes were identified in the 22 samples originating from the municipality of Quetzaltenango, whereas the five isolates collected from three pigs from Olinstepeque, and the single isolate from San Francisco La Unión belonged to Ht01. Overall, five pigs were infected with Ht01, seven with Ht02, two had a double infection with Ht01 and Ht02, one pig was infected with Ht03, another had a double infection with Ht03 and Ht05, one pig was infected with Ht04 and Ht07, and three pigs had single infections with Ht06, Ht08, and Ht09, respectively.

To investigate the relationships among *E. canadensis* G7 haplotypes and assess whether the Guatemalan haplotypes might occupy a phylogenetically ancestral position, a phylogenetic tree was constructed using maximum likelihood analysis (Supplementary Figure S1). The tree indicates that all haplotypes, except Ht25 and its connected haplotypes (African Ht35, Ht36, Eastern Asian Ht38, and European Ht17 and Ht18), evolved from Ht01, as also visualized in the haplotype network. These basal haplotypes belong to the G7b haplogroup as defined by Laurimäe *et al.*, 2018. Haplotype Ht39 (referred to as Gmon in Laurimäe *et al.*, 2018) is most closely related to G6 and is most basally positioned within the G7 cluster. However, the bootstrap values for all nodes are extremely low, suggesting that the topology may change significantly with a larger dataset (Supplementary Figure S1).

Discussion

Free-range or backyard pig farming is a common practice in Guatemala, and it is estimated that more than 60% of pig farming relies on backyard rearing in the country (Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería y Alimentación, 2021; Instituto Nacional de Estadística, 2021). In rural areas where backyard pig farming predominates, home slaughter is a common practice, and pork is consumed without prior veterinary inspection. Two types of pig population are brought to the municipal slaughterhouse of Quetzaltenango: pigs from intensive production systems and animals from backyard rearing. This cross-sectional study focused on the backyard pig population; building on findings from a pilot study in which 9/30 backyard pigs were detected positive, indicating a considerable problem with echinococcosis in this population. Furthermore, these pigs play a crucial role in sustaining the life cycle due to the practice of home slaughtering. A review of veterinary inspection data from the past five years at the abattoir also showed that a greater proportion of offal was condemned from backyard pigs than from intensively reared pigs. The last report on *Echinococcus* spp. in the country

was carried out in a municipal slaughterhouse located in Guatemala City (Aguilar, 1948). During the period 1936 - 1942, the livers of 284,772 pigs were examined and 1.71% (4,870 individuals) were found to be infected with *Echinococcus* sp.. This low prevalence contrasts sharply with the results of the present study, where 38.46% (45/117) of the examined pigs were tested positive for *Echinococcus* sp.. One possible explanation for this considerable difference could be that the study of Aguilar (1948) included pigs from all farming systems, both intensive and backyard. In contrast, the current study focused exclusively on backyard. Further studies are needed to estimate a national prevalence of *Echinococcus* spp. in pigs, including both backyard/free range and intensive reared populations.

The high prevalence of *Echinococcus* sp. related to backyard pig rearing has also been reported in studies from e.g. Lithuania or Austria (Bružinskaitė *et al.*, 2009; Schneider *et al.*, 2010). This is, of course, associated with home slaughtering of pigs and the presence of free-roaming dogs, which are either intentionally fed with offal deemed unsuitable for human consumption or have access to the discarded offal (Schneider *et al.*, 2010). Regarding the geographical origin of the pigs infected with *E. canadensis*, it could be shown that the infection is more widespread and not confined to the municipality of Quetzaltenango. *Echinococcus canadensis* was detected in pigs from the municipalities of San Juan Olintepeque and San Francisco la Unión (both within Quetzaltenango), and from the neighboring department Huehuetenango to the north. These results indicate that the conditions required to sustain the life cycle of *E. canadensis* are given in many regions of the western highlands of Guatemala. Consequently, further studies are necessary to identify endemic areas of *E. canadensis* and *E. granulosus* (*s.l.*) within domestic ungulate species in Guatemala.

Regarding the organ location of CE, of the 1,134 cysts examined in this study, 99.82% (1,132) were located in the liver, and 0.18 % (2) in the lung. These results are

consistent with other studies reporting that the liver is the most frequent infected organ with *Echinococcus* sp. in swine, followed by the lungs (Bružinskaitė *et al.*, 2009; Umhang *et al.*, 2014; Sierra Ramos and Valderrama-Pomé, 2017; Sgroi *et al.*, 2019). Regarding the fertility of *E. granulosus* s.s. cysts, reports from domestic pigs in Europe, Asia, and South America indicate fertility rates ranging from 0% to 100% (Cardona and Carmena, 2013; Sánchez *et al.*, 2012; Tigre *et al.*, 2015). In this study, the fertility rate of the cysts was relatively high at 20.15% (222/1,102 cysts), considering the relatively young age of the animals, and that fertility increases with advancing age of the animals or cysts, respectively (Bružinskaitė *et al.*, 2009; Sierra Ramos and Valderrama-Pomé, 2017; Sgroi *et al.*, 2019). Comparable rates of fertile cysts of *E. canadensis* G7 have been reported previously in domestic pigs, e.g. 23% in Lithuania (Bružinskaitė *et al.*, 2009), 30% in Corsica (Umhang *et al.*, 2014), and 31% in Cape Verde (Gonçalves Baptista *et al.*, 2023). However, it can even reach 56.9% in wild boars in Italy (Sgroi *et al.*, 2019), although the examined boars were generally older than commercially raised pigs. The rapid development of fertility in young cysts may represent an adaptive strategy of the parasite to its pig host, which generally does not reach an advanced age.

Interestingly, in this study, male pigs were more frequently infected, harbored on average more hydatid cysts than females, and the cysts were more often fertile. The fertility of hydatid cysts was associated with the sex of the infected pigs, with a statistically significant higher probability of finding more fertile cysts in male than in female pigs ($p=0.005$). Previous studies that differentiated between the sexes found no significant differences in the prevalence of infection in males and females (Lidetu and Hutchinson, 2007; Sierra Ramos and Valderrama-Pomé, 2017; Sgroi *et al.*, 2019). However, these studies did not investigate potential differences between the sexes concerning the number of cysts and their fertility. In the one exception, where at least the fertility status of cysts in male and

female pigs was examined, significantly higher fertility was found in female pigs (Sierra Ramos and Valderrama-Pomé, 2017). This is in contrast to our results. However, the authors did not provide information on possible age differences between the sexes at the time of slaughter, which renders it unclear whether the female animals were perhaps generally older, which could explain the higher fertility of their cysts. In the present study, the vast majority of animals were between 6 and 12 months old and belonged to the same age group. The results obtained in this study for male pigs, the higher number and increased fertility of hydatid cysts, are challenging to explain. One study found no statistical difference in the number and fertility of the cysts between the sexes in feral pigs (Lidetu and Hutchinson, 2007). In an experimental model, it was demonstrated using BALB/c mice that, after inoculation of protoscoleces, females presented a greater number of hydatid cysts in the liver than males, with a more pronounced granulomatous response observed in females. It was also observed that estradiol levels increased during chronic stages of the infection, while testosterone levels decrease (Blancas Mosqueda *et al.*, 2007). However, the effect of these hormones and the granulomatous response on the fertility of the hydatid cysts was not investigated. According to a meta-analysis by Poulin (1996), male hosts are generally more susceptible to helminth infections than female hosts. Whether this applies to *E. granulosus s.l.* remains uncertain, as there are no studies addressing the natural transmission routes and intermediate hosts of the respective *Echinococcus* species.

In the current study, the cysts of *E. canadensis* with a diameter range of 2.09 - 4.20 cm had a higher probability of being fertile. There are no comparable studies, as a specific categorization of the cyst size (small, medium, and large) has not been implemented for *E. canadensis* in swine hosts. Therefore, further studies in slaughterhouses and at the community level are necessary to develop this classification specifically for this species in

this host. Such a classification could be valuable in association with fertility rate, to understand factors related to the biological and epidemiological success of the parasite.

Twenty-eight CE cysts were subjected to molecular analyses of the complete mitochondrial *cox1* gene, revealing nine genetic variants. The haplotype network, calculated with the Guatemalan and other *E. canadensis* G7 sequences from GenBank, showed that the most common haplotype worldwide (Ht01) is also present in Guatemala, detected in ten CE specimens. This genetic variant has also been identified in European countries, Mexico, Peru, and Africa and belongs to the haplogroup G7a, as defined by Laurimäe *et al.* (2018). The remaining eight Guatemalan haplotypes were unique. All nine Guatemalan haplotypes were identified in samples from the municipality of Quetzaltenango, whereas only Ht01 was detected in isolates from Olinstepeque and San Francisco La Unión. However, this discrepancy may be attributed to the unequal number of isolates from different regions, with the majority originating from Quetzaltenango. Additionally, the geographic distance between the origins of pigs is approximately 20 km, suggesting that the observed differences are not likely due to geographic separation. Nonetheless, additional samples from Olinstepeque and San Francisco La Unión would be needed to determine whether similar diversity in haplotypes can be found in these regions. The haplotype Ht02 was detected in nine pigs, while Ht01 was found in seven pigs, two of which had a double infection with both haplotypes. Given the close numbers, this suggests that both haplotypes are similarly established in the region. Additionally, two more pigs, out of the eight in which two cysts were analysed, exhibited double infections with different haplotypes. This suggests a high infection pressure, highlighting the need for future studies to analyse multiple cysts per animal whenever possible to gain deeper insights into the local infection pressure.

From a global perspective, most of the 39 haplotypes analysed in this study were found exclusively in one geographical region. Including Ht01, only three haplotypes have

been described from more than one region of the world. From a phylogenetic perspective, Ht01 appears to be the ancestor of all G7a haplotypes analysed in this study. However, this interpretation should be approached with caution due to the weak bootstrap values. Considering the network, the diversity of the Guatemalan samples appears to be relatively high. There are seven nucleotide substitutions between the most distant Guatemalan haplotypes. Globally, the most distant gene variants are separated by only two additional nucleotides, with a total of nine exchanges. Considering that the samples were collected from a region with a radius of approximately 10 km, they appear to have undergone substantial differentiation compared to the haplotypes found globally. In addition, the Guatemalan Ht02 occurs just as frequently in the country as Ht01. This could be an indication that this parasite has been endemic in Guatemala for a long time. The typical structure characterized by a central haplotype, from which all other haplotypes gradually diverge, which is often observed following a recent introduction, does not exist here (Nakao *et al.*, 2010; Yanagida *et al.*, 2012). However, it is also possible that Ht01 and Ht02 were introduced at the same time and the other haplotypes evolved from them. What challenges this hypothesis is the fact that Ht02 has not (yet) been detected elsewhere. Further studies and additional genetic data, particularly from Central and South America, would be needed to clarify this question.

The true prevalence of *T. hydatigena* could not be determined in this study due to the limitation of the investigation to liver and lungs. Larvae of *T. hydatigena* often migrate from the liver and develop to cysticerci in the peritoneal cavity, where they attach to the peritoneum, omentum, or mesentery, and are not primarily restricted to the liver (Edwards and Herbert, 1980; Miller *et al.*, 2012). Nevertheless, five pigs were found positive, resulting in a minimum prevalence of 4.27%. Similar low prevalence values have been reported from Tanzania with 1.4% (Ngowi *et al.*, 2004), 6.7% in Ghana (Permin *et al.*, 1999), and 6.5% in Cameroon (Assana *et al.*, 2019). In contrast, other studies have revealed a higher prevalence

of *T. hydatigena* cysticercosis in pigs, e.g. 18.0% in Vietnam (Nguyen *et al.*, 2020) and 22.4% in Laos (Conlan *et al.*, 2012). This parasitic infection in pigs, similar to echinococcosis, is associated with the traditional backyard farming, where pigs have access to faecal material from free-roaming dogs. These dogs are often fed condemned organs containing *T. hydatigena* cysticerci or *Echinococcus* spp. metacestodes (Nguyen *et al.*, 2020). It was therefore unsurprising that this study not only identified both parasites, but also documented co-infections in three cases (2.56%). Few studies have reported co-infection of these cestodes in swine. Monteiro *et al.* (2015) observed a low prevalence of *E. granulosus* s.l. compared to *T. hydatigena* in southern Brazil (10% and 57%, respectively), while the opposite result was found in wild boars from Tunisia (19% and 4%) (Lahmar *et al.*, 2019). The occurrence of *E. canadensis* and *T. hydatigena* in the present study, suggests that there is a practice of feeding dogs with contaminated viscera from pigs, thereby perpetuating the life cycle of these cestodes.

Echinococcus canadensis is the second most important species causing human echinococcosis worldwide and is the primary causative agent of human cases in some countries of Central Europe like Austria and Poland (Schneider *et al.*, 2010; Dybicz *et al.*, 2013). More recently, human cases have also been reported in South America, specifically in Argentina (Debiaggi *et al.*, 2017) and Brazil (das Neves *et al.*, 2024). Although human infections have not yet been reported in Guatemala, *E. canadensis* G7 is known to be pathogenic to humans and the present study demonstrates that this parasite is widespread in the western highlands of the country. Therefore, ultrasound surveys of the human population, particularly in rural areas, should be conducted to determine whether human CE cases truly do not exist or if they are simply underreported. Additionally, there is a pressing need to investigate the prevalence of *E. canadensis*, or *Echinococcus* in general, in the dog population to assess the risk of transmission of this parasite to the human population.

Moreover, awareness campaigns should be implemented to discourage the practice of feeding raw offal to dogs.

The occurrence of *E. canadensis* and *T. hydatigena* in this study, suggests that there is a practice of feeding dogs with contaminated viscera of pigs with hydatid cysts, therefore a domestic life cycle is well established. The role of other canids as definitive hosts of *Echinococcus* spp. in Guatemala is unknown. However, *Canis latrans* (coyote) could be the most suitable wild definitive host (Romig and Wassermann, 2014); however, they are very rare in the region and are therefore unlikely to play a significant role in sustaining the life cycle of *Echinococcus* spp. Furthermore, it would be important to know the role of other possible definitive hosts, such as *Urocyon cinereoargenteus* (grey fox).

Data availability. Data supporting results are provided within the article and available on GenBank under accession numbers PP716595-P716603.

Acknowledgements. We thank the administration of the municipal slaughterhouse of Quetzaltenango: DMV Luis Sac Sum and Carlos Girón for their authorization and approval to carry out this study, and unconditional support during the veterinary inspections. We thank Instituto de investigaciones químicas, biológicas y biofísicas (I2QB3), sede Xela, Universidad Mariano Gálvez de Guatemala, for their support during the laboratory procedures. We thank DVM Edgar Celis for his contributions during the fieldwork. We thank the students of the Zoology course (2019), the students of the Epidemiology course (2022) and the students of the practice of selected topics III course (2022), from the School of Veterinary Medicine, Universidad Mariano Gálvez de Guatemala, for their contributions during the fieldwork and laboratory procedures.

Author's contribution. R. H., M. W., A. H. and P. M. conceived and designed the study. R. H., Y. A., A. H., I. S. and P. M. carried out fieldwork. R. H., A. H., Y. A., I. S., P. M. and M. W. performed laboratory analysis. F. V. and A. H. performed statistical analysis. All authors wrote, revised and approved the manuscript.

Financial support. This study was funded by the Dirección General de Investigación, Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala, DIGI-USAC (URL: <https://digi.usac.edu.gt/>), research grant: 4.8.58.0.71.

Competing interests. The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standards. As the examined organs were obtained from dead pigs as a result of the slaughtering conducted by operators of the municipal slaughterhouse of Quetzaltenango, no approval by an ethics committee was required.

References

- Addy F, Wassermann M, Kagendo D, Ebi D, Zeyhle E, Elmahdi IE, Umhang G, Casulli A, Harandi MF, Aschenborn O, Kern P, Mackenstedt U and Romig, T (2017)** Genetic differentiation of the G6/7 cluster of *Echinococcus canadensis* based on mitochondrial marker genes. *International Journal for Parasitology* **47**, 923-931. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.06.003.
- Aguilar FJ (1948)** Estado Actual de la Hidatidosis en Guatemala, Dirección General de Sanidad Pública, sbre. Doctoral thesis, Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala, Guatemala.

- Alvarez-Rojas CA, Gauci CG and Lightowlers MW** (2013) Antigenic differences between the EG95-related proteins from *Echinococcus granulosus* G1 and G6 genotypes: implications for vaccination. *Parasite Immunology* **35**, 99–102. doi: 10.1111/pim.12009.
- Assana E, Awah-Ndukum J, Djonmaila JD and Zoli AP** (2019) Prevalence of porcine *Taenia solium* and *Taenia hydatigena* cysticercosis in Cameroon. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **169**, 104690. doi: [10.1016/j.prevetmed.2019.104690](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2019.104690).
- Blancas Mosqueda M, Herrera R, Rodríguez C, Tavizón JP, Mercado M, Badillo V, Echavarría FG, López A and Mondragón C** (2007) Gender as a factor of susceptibility to infection in experimental hydatidosis. *Revista Latinoamericana de Microbiología* **49**, 31-7. PMID: 18693550
- Brenes Madrigal RR, Sousa OE, Bonilla MA and Achit SM** (1977) Primer caso de hidatidosis hepática humana en Costa Rica [1st case of human hepatic hydatidosis in Costa Rica]. *Revista Cubana de Medicina Tropical* **29**, 5–8.
- Bružinskaitė R, Šarkūnas M, Torgerson PR, Mathis A and Deplazes P** (2009) Echinococcosis in pigs and intestinal infection with *Echinococcus* spp. in dogs in southwestern Lithuania. *Veterinary Parasitology* **160**, 237–241. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.11.011
- Budke CM, Deplazes P and Torgerson PR** (2006) Global socioeconomic impact of cystic echinococcosis. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **12**, 296–303. doi: 10.3201/eid1202.050499.
- Cardona GA and Carmena D** (2013) A review of the global prevalence, molecular epidemiology and economics of cystic echinococcosis in production animals. *Veterinary Parasitology* **192**,10-32. doi: [10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.09.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.09.027).
- Casulli A, Massolo A, Saarma U, Umhang G, Santolamazza F, Santoro A** (2022) Species

and genotypes belonging to *Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato* complex causing human cystic echinococcosis in Europe (2000–2021): a systematic review. *Parasites & Vectors* **15**:109. [doi: 10.1186/s13071-022-05197-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-022-05197-8).

Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA (2000) TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. *Molecular Ecology* **9**, 1657-1660. [doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01020.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01020.x).

Conlan JV, Vongxay K, Khamlome B, Dorny P, Sripa B, Elliot A, Blacksell SD, Fenwick S, Thompson ARC (2012) A cross-sectional study of *Taenia solium* in a multiple taeniid-endemic region reveals competition may be protective. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **87**, 281–291. [doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0106](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0106).

Dakkak A (2010) Echinococcosis/hydatidosis: a severe threat in Mediterranean countries. *Veterinary Parasitology* **174**, 2–11. [doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.08.009).

D'Alessandro A and Rausch RL (2008) New aspects of neotropical polycystic (*Echinococcus vogeli*) and unicystic (*Echinococcus oligarthrus*) echinococcosis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **21**: 380-401. [doi: 10.1128/CMR.00050-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00050-07).

das Neves LB, Dias-Correia TP, Bittencourt-Oliveira F, Pereira TC, de Almeida FB and Rodrigues-Silva R (2024) Human cystic echinococcosis: first molecular identification of *Echinococcus canadensis* G7 in Brazil. *Parasitology Research* **123**, 239. [doi: 10.1007/s00436-024-08262-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-024-08262-6).

de Erazo CF and de Barahona OH (1989) Hidatidosis en tejidos blandos: presentación de caso. *Revista Médica Hondureña* **57**, 133-7.

Debiaggi MF, Soriano SV, Pierangeli NB, Lazzarini LE, Pianciola LA, Mazzeo ML, Moguillansky S and Farjat JAB (2017) Genetic characterization of human hydatid cysts shows coinfection by *Echinococcus canadensis* G7 and *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* G1 in Argentina. *Parasitology Research* **116**, 2599– 2604. [doi: 10.1007/s00436-017-0519-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-017-0519-7).

10.1007/s00436-017-5555-2.

Deplazes P, Rinaldi L, Alvarez Rojas CA, Torgerson PR, Harandi MF, Romig T, Antolova D, Schurer JM, Lahmar S, Cringoli G, Magambo J, Thompson RCA and Jenkins EJ (2017) Global Distribution of Alveolar and Cystic Echinococcosis. *Advances in Parasitology* **95**, 315-493. [doi: 10.1016/bs.apar.2016.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.11.001).

Dybicz M, Gierczak A, Dąbrowska J, Rdzanek L and Michalowicz B (2013) Molecular diagnosis of cystic echinococcosis in humans from central Poland. *Parasitology International* **62**, 364-367. [doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2013.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2013.03.005).

Eckert J, Gemmell MA, Meslin FX and Pawłowski ZS (2001) WHO/OIE Manual on Echinococcosis in Humans and Animals: A Public Health Problem of Global Concern; World Organization for Animal Health: Paris, France, p 265

Edwards GT and Herbert IV (1980) The Course of *Taenia hydatigena* Infections in Growing Pigs and Lambs: Clinical Signs and Post-Mortem Examination. *British Veterinary Journal* **136**, 256-264. [doi: 10.1016/S0007-1935\(17\)32290-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1935(17)32290-X).

Gonçalves Baptista L, Laurimãe T, Muchaamba G, Cathomas L, Barros Olende AL, Mata Dos Santos I, Lobo de Pina Â and Deplazes P (2023) First isolation of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato* genotype 7 in the archipelago of Cape Verde. *Parasitology* **150**, 734–743. [doi: 10.1017/S003118202300046X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202300046X).

Hobbs RP, Lymbery AJ and Thompson RC (1990) Rostellar hook morphology of *Echinococcus granulosus* (Batsch, 1786) from natural and experimental Australian hosts, and its implications for strain recognition. *Parasitology* **101**, 273 – 281. [doi: 10.1017/s0031182000063332](https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182000063332).

Hüttner M, Nakao M, Wassermann T, Siefert L, Boomker J, Dinkel A, Sako Y, Mackenstedt U, Romig T and Ito A (2008) Genetic characterization and phylogenetic position of *Echinococcus felidis* (Cestoda: Taeniidae) from the African lion.

International Journal for Parasitology **38**, 861-868. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.10.013).

Instituto Nacional de Estadística (2018) Censo nacional de población y de vivienda.

<https://www.ine.gob.gt>. Accessed 20 January 2024.

Instituto Nacional de Estadística (2021) Producción nacional de cerdos.

<https://www.ine.gob.gt>. Accessed 12 March 2024.

INSIVUMEH - Instituto Nacional de Sismología, Vulcanología, Meteorología e Hidrología;

insivumeh.gob.gt, accessed 15.05.2024.

Ito A, Dorjsuren T, Davaasuren A, Yanagida T, Sako Y, Nakaya K, Nakao M, Bat-

Ochir OE, Ayushkhuu T, Bazarragchaa N, Gonchigsengee N, Li T, Agvaandaram

G, Davaajav A, Boldbaatar C and Chuluunbaatar G (2014) Cystic Echinococcoses in

Mongolia: Molecular Identification, Serology and Risk Factors. *PLoS Neglected*

Tropical Diseases **8**, e2937. [doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002937](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002937).

Jenkins DJ (2005) Hydatid control in Australia: where it began, what we have achieved and

where to from here. *International Journal for Parasitology* **35**, 733–740. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.03.001)

[10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.03.001).

Jenkins DJ (2006) *Echinococcus granulosus* in Australia, widespread and doing well.

Parasitology International **55**, S203–S206. [doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2005.11.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2005.11.031).

Kim HJ, Yong TS, Shin MH, Lee KJ, Park GM, Suvonkulov U, Kovalenko D and Yu

HS (2020) Phylogenetic Characteristics of *Echinococcus granulosus Sensu Lato* in

Uzbekistan. *Korean Journal of Parasitology* **58**, 205-210. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2020.58.2.2057)

[10.3347/kjp.2020.58.2.2057](https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2020.58.2.2057).

Lahmar S, Torgerson PR, Mhemmed H, Tizaoui L, Mhadhbi N, Bani A, Driss H,

Ghrissi N, Makhzoumi M, Ben Houidi A, Dhibi M, Said Y, Pozio E and Boufana B

(2019) Cystic echinococcosis and other helminth infections of wild boar in northeastern

and northwestern regions of Tunisia. *Parasitology* **146**, 1263-1274. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182025000150)

[10.1017/S0031182019000532](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182019000532).

Laurimäe T, Kinkar L, Romig T, Omer RA, Casulli A, Umhang G, Gasser RB, Jabbar A, Sharbatkhori M, Mirhendi H, Ponce-Gordo F, Lazzarini LE, Soriano SV, Varcasia A, Nejad MR, Andresiuk V, Maravilla P, González LM, Dybicz M, Gawor J, Šarkūnas M, Šnábel V, Kuzmina T and Saarma U (2017) The benefits of analysing complete mitochondrial genomes: Deep insights into the phylogeny and population structure of *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu lato genotypes G6 and G7. *Infection, Genetic and Evolution* **64**, 85-94. [doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2018.06.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.06.016).

Lidetu D and Hutchinson GW (2007) The prevalence, organ distribution and fertility of cystic echinococcosis in feral pigs in tropical North Queensland, Australia. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research* **74**, 73-9. [doi: 10.4102/ojvr.v74i1.140](https://doi.org/10.4102/ojvr.v74i1.140).

Llort M (1959) First discovery of hydatid cyst in a swine live in El Salvador. *Archivos del Colegio Médico de El Salvador* **12**: 208-18.

Lymbery AJ (2017) Phylogenetic pattern, evolutionary processes and species delimitation in the genus *Echinococcus*. *Advances in Parasitology* **95**, 111–145. [doi: 10.1016/bs.apar.2016.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.07.002).

Macin S, Orsten S, Samadzade R, Colak B, Cebeci H and Findik D (2021) Human and animal cystic echinococcosis in Konya, Turkey: molecular identification and the first report of *E. equinus* from human host in Turkey. *Parasitology Research* **120**, 563–568. [doi: 10.1007/s00436-021-07050-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-021-07050-w).

Martin SW, Meek AH and Willeberg P (1987) *Veterinary epidemiology: principles and methods*. 1 st ed. United States: Iowa State University Press.

Miller JE, Kaplan RM, Pugh DG (2012) Chapter 6 - Internal Parasites. Pugh DG and Baird AN (eds). *Sheep and Goat Medicine (second edition)*. Saunders, pp. 106-125. [doi: 10.1016/B978-1-4377-2353-3.10006-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4377-2353-3.10006-X).

- Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería y Alimentación** (2021) Producción de cerdos en Guatemala. <https://www.maga.gob.gt>. Accessed 7 march 2024
- Monteiro DU, Botton Sde A, Tonin AA, Haag KL, Musskopf G, Azevedo MI, Weiblen C, Ribeiro TC and de la Rue ML** (2015) *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu lato and *Taenia hydatigena* in pig in southern Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária* 24: 227-9. [doi: 10.1590/S1984-296120150260I](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-296120150260I).
- Moro P and Schantz PM** (2006) Cystic echinococcosis in the Americas. *Parasitology International* 55, S181–S186. [doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2005.11.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2005.11.048).
- Nakao M, Li T, Han X, Ma X, Xiao N, Qiu J, Wang H, Yanagida T, Mamuti W, Wen H, Moro PL, Giraudoux P, Craig PS and Ito A** (2010) Genetic polymorphisms of *Echinococcus* tapeworms in China as determined by mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. *International Journal for Parasitology* 40, 379-85. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.09.006).
- Nakao M, Mcmanus DP, Schantz PM, Craig PS and Ito A** (2007) A molecular phylogeny of the genus *Echinococcus* inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes. *Parasitology* 134, 713-722. [doi: 10.1017/S0031182006001934](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182006001934).
- Nakao M, Sako Y and Ito A** (2003) Isolation of polymorphic microsatellite loci from the tapeworm *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 3, 159–163. [doi: 10.1016/S1567-1348\(03\)00070-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1567-1348(03)00070-4).
- Nakao M, Yanagida T, Konyaev S, Lavikainen A, Odnokurtsev VA, Zaikov VA and Ito A** (2013) Mitochondrial phylogeny of the genus *Echinococcus* (Cestoda: Taeniidae) with emphasis on relationships among *Echinococcus canadensis* genotypes. *Parasitology* 140, 1625-1636. [doi: 10.1017/S0031182013000565](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182013000565).
- Ngowi HA, Kassuku AA, Maeda GEM, Boa ME and Willingham AL** (2004) A slaughter slab survey for extraintestinal porcine helminth infections in Northern Tanzania.

Tropical Animal Health and Production **36**, 335–340. [doi: 10.1023/b:trop.0000026663.07862.2a](https://doi.org/10.1023/b:trop.0000026663.07862.2a).

Nguyen TTM, Dermauw V, Noh J, Chien NH, Dao TTH, Nguyen TGT, Van Hul A and Dorny P (2020) Occurrence of *Taenia* species in pigs in slaughterhouses in Phu Tho province, northern Vietnam. *Journal of Helminthology* **94**, e201. [doi: 10.1017/S0022149X20000863](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X20000863).

Noguera Z LP, Charypkhan D, Hartnack S, Torgerson PR, Rüegg SR (2022) The dual burden of animal and human zoonoses: A systematic review. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **16**, e0010540. [doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0010540](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010540).

Pérez V (1949) Origen, desarrollo y extensión de la hidatidosis en América. Pan American Health Organization. <https://iris.paho.org/handle/10665.2/13371>

Permin A, Yelifari L, Bloch P, Steenhard N, Hansen NP and Nansen P (1999) Parasites in cross-bred pigs in the Upper East Region of Ghana. *Veterinary Parasitology* **87**, 63–71. [doi: 10.1016/s0304-4017\(99\)00159-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4017(99)00159-4).

Poulin R. (1996) Helminth growth in vertebrate hosts: does host sex matter? *International Journal of Parasitology* **26**, 5-1311. doi: 10.1016/s0020-7519(96)00108-7

R Core Team (2024) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>

Rausch RL and D'Alessandro A (2002) The epidemiology of echinococcosis caused by *Echinococcus oligarthrus* and *E. vogeli* in the Neotropics. In Craig P and Pawlowski Z (ed) *Cestode zoonoses: echinococcosis and cysticercosis, an emergent and global problem*. IOS Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp 107–113.

Romig T, Deplazes P, Jenkins D, Giraudoux P, Massolo A, Craig PS, Wassermann M, Takahashi K and de la Rue M (2017) Ecology and Life Cycle Patterns of *Echinococcus* Species. *Advances in Parasitology* **95**, 213-314. [doi: 10.1017/S0031182025000150](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182025000150)

[10.1016/bs.apar.2016.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.11.002).

Romig T, Omer RA, Zeyhle E, Hüttner M, Dinkel A, Siefert L, Elmahdie IE, Magambo J, Ocaido M, Menezes CN, Ahmed ME, Mbae C, Grobusch MP and Kern P (2011) Echinococcosis in sub-Saharan Africa: emerging complexity. *Veterinary Parasitology* **181**, 43–47. [doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.04.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.04.022).

Romig T and Wassermann M (2024) *Echinococcus* species in wildlife. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* **23**, 100913. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijppaw.2024.100913](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2024.100913).

Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins SE and Sánchez-Gracia A (2017) DnaSP 6: DNA Sequence Polymorphism Analysis of Large Data Sets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **34**, 3299-3302. [doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx248](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx248).

Sánchez E, Cáceres O, Náquira C, Miranda E, Samudio F and Fernandes O (2012) *Echinococcus granulosus* genotypes circulating in alpacas (*Lama pacos*) and pigs (*Sus scrofa*) from an endemic region in Peru. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* **107**, 275–278. [doi: 10.1590/s0074-02762012000200019](https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762012000200019).

Sánchez GA, De Bernard C and Sousa OE (1992). Hidatidosis poliquística hepática. Informe clínico e histopatológico del segundo caso de equinococosis autóctono en la República de Panamá [Hepatic polycystic hydatidosis. Clinical and histopathologic report of the second native case of echinococcosis in the Republic of Panama]. *Rev Médica de Panama* **17**:3-11. PMID: 1603986.

Santos AM, Cabezas MA, Tavares AI, Xavier R and Branco M (2016) tcsBU: a tool to extend TCS network layout and visualization. *Bioinformatics* **1-2**. [doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv636](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv636).

Schneider R, Gollackner B, Schindl M, Tucek G and Auer H (2010) *Echinococcus*

canadensis G7 (pig strain): an underestimated cause of cystic echinococcosis in Austria. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **82**, 871–874. [doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0639](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0639).

Sgroi G, Varcasia A, Dessi G, D'Alessio N, Tamponi C, Saarma U, Laurimäe T, Kinkar L, Santoro M, Caputo V, Sarnelli, Fusco G, Varuzza P, Fioretti Alessandro, Scala A and Veneziano V (2019) Cystic echinococcosis in wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) from southern Italy: Epidemiological survey and molecular characterization. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* S2213224419300252–. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.04.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.04.013).

Sierra Ramos RG and Valderrama-Pomé AA (2017) Hiperendemia de equinococosis y fertilidad quística en porcinos del valle interandino de Huancarama, Perú. *Revista Peruana de Medicina Experimental y Salud Pública* **34**, 250-254. [doi: 10.17843/rpmesp.2017.342.2500](https://doi.org/10.17843/rpmesp.2017.342.2500).

Templeton AR, Crandall KA and Sing CF (1992) A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping and DNA sequence data III. Cladogram estimation. *Genetics* **132**, 619-633. [doi: 10.1093/genetics/132.2.619](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/132.2.619).

Tigre W, Deresa B, Haile A, Gabriël S, Victor B, Pelt JV, Devleesschauwer B, Vercruyse J and Dorny P (2015) Molecular characterisation of *Echinococcus granulosus* s.l. cysts from cattle, camels, goats and pigs in Ethiopia. *Veterinary Parasitology* S0304401715300601–. [doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.10.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.10.022).

Umhang G, Richomme C, Hormaz V, Boucher JM, Boué F (2014) Pigs and wild boar in Corsica harbor *Echinococcus canadensis* G6/7 at levels of concern for public health and local economy. *Acta Tropica* **133**, 64–68. [doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2014.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2014.02.005).

Wang Z, Wang X and Liu X (2008) Echinococcosis in China, a review of the epidemiology

of *Echinococcus* spp. *Ecohealth* **5**, 115–126. doi: [10.1007/s10393-008-0174-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-008-0174-0).

Wassermann M, Aschenborn O, Aschenborn J, Mackenstedt U and Romig T (2015) A sylvatic lifecycle of *Echinococcus equinus* in the Etosha National Park, Namibia. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* **4**, 97-103. doi: [10.1016/j.ijppaw.2014.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2014.12.002).

World Health Organization (2024) *Global report on neglected tropical diseases*. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. Geneva. World Health Organization.

Yanagida T, Mohammadzadeh T, Kamhawi S, Nakao M, Sadjjadi SM, Hijjawi N, Abdel-Hafez SK, Sako Y, Okamoto M and Ito A (2012) Genetic polymorphisms of *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu stricto in the Middle East. *Parasitology International* **61**, 599-603. doi: [10.1016/j.parint.2012.05.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2012.05.014).

Accepted Manuscript

Table 1. Number and percentage of backyard pigs tested positive and negative for Cystic Echinococcosis (CE) and *T. hydatigena* cysticercosis

Cestode infection	No. of backyard pigs (%)
CE	42 (35.90)
<i>T. hydatigena</i> cysticercosis	2 (1.71)
Coinfection CE/ <i>T. hydatigena</i> cysticercosis	3 (2.56)
Negative	70 (59.83)
Total	117 (100)

Table 2. Number of fertile and infertile hydatid cysts, found in livers and lungs of the infected backyard pigs

Organ	No. Positive organs (%)	Hydatid cysts					Total
		Fertile cysts (%)	Infertile cyst (%)			Fertility not determined	
			Sterile	Calcified	Caseous		
Liver	45 (95,74)	221 (19,49)	851 (75,04)	9 (0,79)	19 (1,68)	32 (2,82)	1,132 (99,82)
Lung	2 (4,26)	1 (0,09)	1 (0,09)	0	0	0	2 (0,18)
Total	47 (100,00)	222 (19,58)	852 (75,13)	9 (0,79)	19 (1,68)	32 (2,82)	1,134 (100,00)

Table 3. Number and prevalence of infected pigs by sex and number and percentage of hydatid cysts with known fertility status

Sex of the host (total n examined)	No. positive pigs (%)	Total n of cysts	Fertile cysts (%)	Sterile cysts (%)
Male (55)	24 (43.63)	1042	215 (20.63)	827 (79.37)
Male excl. 2* (53)	22 (41.51)	129	39 (30.23)	90 (69.77)
Female (62)	21 (33.87)	60	7 (11.67)	53 (88.33)

* Two heavily infected male pigs exclude

Table 4. Mean, median, and interquartile range values of the diameter of the examined hydatid cysts

Diameter	Mean (cm)	Median (cm)	Interquartile range
Total of cysts	3.0	1.52	1.30
Fertile cysts	2.23	2.06	0.75
Sterile cysts	1.57	1.25	1.30

Table 5. Geographic origin, haplotype, accession numbers, and references of *Echinococcus canadensis* G7 sequences used for the network analysis

Geographic region	Country	Haplotype	Accession number	Reference
America	Guatemala	Ht01	PP716595	this study
		Ht02	PP716596	"
		Ht03	PP716597	"
		Ht04	PP716598	"
		Ht05	PP716599	"
		Ht06	PP716600	"
		Ht07	PP716601	"
		Ht08	PP716602	"
		Ht09	PP716603	"
	Argentina	Ht10	MH300955	Laurimäe <i>et al.</i> 2018
		Ht11	MH300962	"
		Ht12	MH300968	"
	Mexico	Ht01	MH300972	"
		Ht13	MH300980	"
Ht14		MH300981	"	
Peru	Ht01	AB777924	Nakao <i>et al.</i> 2013	
	Ht15	AB777925	"	
Europe	France	Ht16	KX010856	Addy <i>et al.</i> 2017
		Ht17	KX010857	"
	Serbia	Ht01	MH300986	Laurimäe <i>et al.</i> 2018
		Ht18	MH301008	"
		Ht19	KX510133	Addy <i>et al.</i> 2017

	Ht20	KX010863	"	
	Ht21	KX010864	"	
	Ht22	KX010865	"	
	Ht23	KX010866	"	
	Ht24	KX010867	"	
	Ht25	KX010868	"	
	Ht01	KX010869	"	
	Ht26	MH300984	Laurimäe <i>et al.</i> 2018	
Slovakia	Ht01	KX010869	Addy <i>et al.</i> 2017	
	Ht27	KX010858	"	
	Ht28	KX010859	"	
Poland	Ht29	MH301003	Laurimäe <i>et al.</i> 2018	
	Ht01	MH301004	"	
	Ht30	MH301006	"	
	Ht12	AB235847	Nakao <i>et al.</i> , 2007	
Romania	Ht31	MH300982	Laurimäe <i>et al.</i> 2018	
	Ht01	MH300983	"	
Hungary	Ht32	KX010860	Addy <i>et al.</i> 2017	
	Ht33	KX010861	"	
	Ht01	KX010869	"	
Italy	Ht18	MH301018	Laurimäe <i>et al.</i> 2018	
Spain	Ht01	MH300985	"	
Ukraine	Ht23	MH301021	"	
Lithuania	Ht34	MH301020	"	
Africa	Sudan	Ht35	KX010846	Addy <i>et al.</i> 2017
	Kenya	Ht01	KX010869	"

	Namibia	Ht36	KX010854	"
Western Asia	Armenia	Ht23	KX010866	"
		Ht37	KX231667	"
Eastern Asia	Mongolia	Ht38	AB893263	Ito <i>et al.</i> 2014
		Ht39	MH300971	Laurimäe <i>et al.</i> 2018

Accepted Manuscript

Figure legends

Figure 1. Map of the study area, department of Quetzaltenango, Guatemala, and the geographical location of the municipal slaughterhouse. Geographical origin of examined pigs, according to the data collected at the municipal slaughterhouse of Quetzaltenango. In red: number of CE positive pigs, in black: total number of examined animals.

Figure 2. A Massive infection of CE found in the liver of a backyard pig, B evaginated protoscolex of *Echinococcus* extracted from vesicular fluid of one fertile hydatid cyst, C Coinfection with *Taenia hydatigena* cysticercus and one hydatid cyst (red arrow) found in a liver, D rostellum of *T. hydatigena* with large and small rostellar hooks.

Figure 3. Boxplot of the size of fertile and sterile hydatid cysts in relation to the sex of the host. The horizontal line inside the box is the median. The median diameter of the sterile cysts is smaller than that of the fertile cysts, this explains why the box (which represents the distribution of the data according to the interquartile ranges) is higher. In the box the majority of fertile cysts correspond to males, showing the probability of association between the sex of the host and fertility, in this study.

Figure 4. Haplotype network of *Echinococcus canadensis* G7 *cox1* gene sequences (1608 bp) from Guatemala and other regions. The size of the circles indicates the frequency of haplotypes and small white dots showing hypothetical haplotypes (nucleotide exchanges).