DOI: 10.1017/cjn.2024.349

This is a manuscript accepted for publication in *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences*. This version may be subject to change during the production process.

1 Males Receive More Intense Inpatient Stroke Rehabilitation Than Females in Ontario,

- 2 Canada
- 3

4 Shannon L. MacDonald*

- 5 Hennick Bridgepoint Hospital, Toronto, ON
- 6 Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON
- 7 ICES, Toronto, ON
- 8 Tel: 416-461-8252
- 9 Email: Shannon.MacDonald@sinaihealth.ca
- 10 Orcid: 0000-0001-5022-4449
- 11 *Corresponding author
- 12

13 Elizabeth Linkewich

- 14 University Hospital, London Health Sciences Centre
- 15 Occupational Science & Occupational Therapy, University of Toronto
- 16 Tel: 226-219-6815
- 17 Email: beth.linkewich@lhsc.on.ca
- 18 Orcid: 0000-0002-6327-3693
- 19

20 Mark Bayley

- 21 UHN-Toronto Rehab Institute, Toronto, ON
- 22 Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON
- 23 ICES, Toronto, ON
- 24 Tel: 416-597-3422 ext.3943
- 25 Email: Mark.Bayley@uhn.ca
- 26
- 27 Irene Joo-Hyun Jeong
- 28 Research and Analysis Department

29	ICES	Central,	Toronto,	ON
----	------	----------	----------	----

- 30 Email: jjeong46@uwo.ca
- 31

32 Jiming Fang

- 33 Research and Analysis Department
- 34 ICES Central, Toronto, ON
- 35 Email: jiming.fang@ices.on.ca
- 36

37 Sue Peters

- 38 Western University, School of Physical Therapy, London, ON
- 39 Tel: 519-661-2111 x 81160
- 40 Email: sue.peters@uwo.ca
- 41 ORCID: 0009-0004-8245-5558
- 42

43 Jamie L. Fleet

- 44 Parkwood Institute, London, ON
- 45 Western University, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, London, ON
- 46 Tel: 519-685-4559
- 47 Email: Jamie.Fleet@sjhc.london.on.ca
- 48 Orcid: 0000-0002-9468-0697
- 49
- 50 Abstract
- 51

52 Increased rehabilitation intensity, the number of minutes of therapy per day, is associated with 53 improved outcomes; however, it is unclear whether males and females receive the same inpatient 54 stroke rehabilitation intensity. A sub-analysis of a retrospective population-based cohort study of 55 adults (5877 females, 6893 males) with stroke discharged to inpatient rehabilitation between 56 2017 and 2021 was conducted. Mean rehabilitation intensity was 75.86 mins/day for males and 73.33 mins/day for females (p <.0001). Males <80 years of age were more likely to receive 57 58 higher rehabilitation intensity than females. Future research should explore what factors account 59 for this sex difference.

50 Stroke is a leading cause of disability globally, but it affects males and females differently; 51 females have worse functional outcomes than males.^{1, 2} Although many of the sex differences in 52 stroke functional outcomes may be attributed to females being older at the time of stroke, having 53 more severe strokes, and being more dependent pre-stroke, these factors do not fully account for 54 the disparity in outcomes.^{1, 3} Therefore, it is important to identify any potentially modifiable 55 factors that may contribute to females' worse functional outcomes and to develop strategies to 56 mitigate their effects.

67

Increased rehabilitation intensity (RI), measured by the number of minutes per day of therapy, in the inpatient rehabilitation setting is associated with several positive outcomes including improved function (as measured by the FIM® Instrument), returning to one's pre-admission setting, and a lower likelihood of being discharged to long-term care.⁴ However, it is currently unclear if males and females receive similar RI.

73

The objective of this study was to determine if there are sex differences in the RI provided toindividuals admitted for inpatient rehabilitation after stroke.

76

This is a sub-analysis of a previous study; the study design and cohort have been described elsewhere.⁴ In brief, we used a population-based cohort of community-dwelling adults in Ontario, Canada who were discharged from acute care between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2021with a diagnosis of subarachnoid hemorrhage (International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 10th version, code I60), intracerebral hemorrhage (ICD code I61), or ischemic stroke (ICD codes I63 and I64) and who were subsequently admitted to inpatient stroke rehabilitation.

84

The primary predictor was sex (male vs female). The primary outcome was RI, which was defined as the number of minutes per day of direct therapy provided to a patient divided by rehabilitation length of stay. Although there is currently debate in the literature as to how to define rehabilitation intensity vs rehabilitation dosage,⁵ we used Ontario's current reporting definition. In Ontario, it is mandatory for inpatient stroke rehabilitation programs to report RI (as documented by frontline clinicians) for each patient to the National Rehabilitation ReportingSystem (NRS).

92

Data from the Discharge Abstract Database, NRS, Registered Persons Database, National
Ambulatory Care Reporting System, Continuing Care Reporting System, and Postal Code
Conversion File held at ICES were linked using unique coded identifiers.

96

97 Sex differences in baseline characteristics were examined using Chi-square tests for categorical 98 variables and Student's t tests for continuous variables. The association between sex and RI was 99 examined using regression analyses, stratified by age. Regression analyses adjusted for the 100 following variables: treated on an acute stroke unit at any time during their inpatient stay (yes vs 101 no); Charlson co-morbidity index (CCI; low = 0-1 vs high = ≥ 2); rural (residing in a community 102 with a population $\leq 10,000$ – yes vs no); admission setting (home vs assisted living vs other); 103 living alone prior to admission (yes vs no); income quintile; acute LOS (days); and total 104 admission FIM (18 - 126). Rehabilitation institution was adjusted as a random effect. All 105 analyses were carried out using SAS version 9.4.

106

107 A total of 5877 females and 6893 males were included. Compared to males, females were older, 108 more likely to be living alone prior to their stroke, more likely to be in the bottom two income 109 quintiles, and had lower admission FIM scores. On the other hand, males were more likely to 110 have a CCI \geq 2, reside in a rural community, be admitted from home, or treated on an acute 111 stroke unit, compared to females (Table 1).

112

Mean (SD) RI was 75.86 mins/day (29.69) for males and 73.33 mins/day (29.76) for females (p <.0001). For each age category, males received higher RI than females. After adjusting for baseline factors, males <80 years of age continued to be more likely to receive higher RI than females (Table 2).

117

This study examined sex differences in the provision of inpatient RI after stroke. Males <80 years of age were more likely to receive higher RI than females. Although this difference was statistically significant, the clinical significance is unclear as the absolute difference was small.

121 However, there is potential that a combination of several factors, including RI, each with a 122 relatively small individual effect size, may account for the sex difference in stroke functional 123 outcomes reported by others, which was not explained by age, stroke severity, or pre-morbid function.^{1, 3} Additionally, studies are limited in terms of the relationship between fatigue, pain, 124 125 and low mood and level of participation in rehabilitation. These conditions may be more 126 prevalent in females than males and several studies have demonstrated an association between these factors and outcomes after stroke.⁶⁻¹⁰ Future studies should address whether these, or other 127 128 factors, account for the sex difference in the provision of inpatient stoke RI.

129

130 This analysis focused on the delivery of rehabilitation and not outcomes. Prior research has 131 generally focused on RI and outcomes or sex differences in outcomes, but not the intersection of 132 both. Additionally, much of the previous research is in the acute setting and information 133 regarding RI and sex differences in the rehabilitation setting is limited. Given the important role 134 inpatient rehabilitation plays in recovery post-stroke, more research should focus on potential sex 135 differences in access, delivery, and outcomes in this setting. A previous study performed in 136 Ontario demonstrated no difference in functional outcomes based on sex for those on an inpatient stroke rehabilitation unit.¹¹ It is possible that the sex difference in RI is associated with 137 138 differences in other outcome measures not previously reported; however, it is anticipated that the 139 effect size of any findings would be small. Further research is warranted on the interaction 140 between RI and sex.

141

142 Acknowledgments

This study was supported by ICES, which is funded by an annual grant from the Ontario
Ministry of Health and the Ministry of Long-Term Care. Funding was also received from the
University of Toronto, Division of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation.

146

147 Statement of authorship

SLM, EL, MB, JLF contributed to the study concept and design. IJ-HJ, JF conducted the analyses. SLM prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the data and critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content.

151 Disclosures

This document used data adapted from the Statistics Canada Postal Code^{OM} Conversion File, 152 153 which is based on data licensed from Canada Post Corporation, and/or data adapted from the 154 Ontario Ministry of Health Postal Code Conversion File, which contains data copied under 155 license from ©Canada Post Corporation and Statistics Canada. Parts of this material are based on 156 data and/or information compiled and provided by CIHI and the Ontario Ministry of Health. The 157 analyses, conclusions, opinions and statements expressed herein are solely those of the authors 158 and do not reflect those of the funding or data sources; no endorsement is intended or should be 159 inferred. Parts of this material are adapted from Statistics Canada, Census, 2016. This does not 160 constitute an endorsement by Statistics Canada of this product.

161

SLM has received institutional support to attend academic conferences. She chairs / co-chairs
committees for the Toronto Stroke Networks (unpaid).

MB has grants or contracts from Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Brain Canada Foundation, UHN Foundation, Ministry of Health of Ontario Research Branch, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, National Institutes of Health (USA), and National Health and Medical Research Council Australia. He is the Chair of the Rehabilitation Care Alliance of Ontario (unpaid) and the Co-chair of the March of Dimes After Stroke Advisory Committee (unpaid). He receives a stipend as his leadership role as Medical Director of UHN - Toronto Rehabilitation Institute. Table 1. Sex differences in baseline characteristics.

Variable	Total	Female	Male	P-Value
Variable	N=12,770	N=5,877	N=6,893	P-value
Age, mean (SD)	72.57 (13.37)	74.88 (13.42)	70.60 (13.01)	<.0001
Stroke inpatients treated on an acute care				
stroke unit, n (%)	8,928 (69.9%)	4,046 (68.8%)	4,882 (70.8%)	0.015
LOS (days) among stroke patients				
admitted to inpatient care, mean (SD)	29.50 (21.76)	29.44 (20.39)	29.56 (22.86)	0.761
Charlson co-morbidity index \geq 2, n (%)	7,856 (61.5%)	3,480 (59.2%)	4,376 (63.5%)	<.0001
Residing in a community with a population				
≤10,000, n (%)	1,258 (9.9%)	538 (9.2%)	720 (10.4%)	0.0147
Admitted from, n (%):				
Assisted living	645 (5.1%)	431 (7.3%)	214 (3.1%)	
Home	12,006 (94.0%)	5,411 (92.1%)	6,595 (95.7%)	
Other	119 (0.9%)	35 (0.6%)	84 (1.2%)	<.0001
Living Alone, n (%)	3,754 (29.4%)	2,119 (36.1%)	1,635 (23.7%)	<.0001
Income Quintile, n (%)				
1	3,249 (25.4%)	1,533 (26.1%)	1,716 (24.9%)	
2	2,771 (21.7%)	1,327 (22.6%)	1,444 (20.9%)	
3	2,546 (19.9%)	1,140 (19.4%)	1,406 (20.4%)	
4	2,205 (17.3%)	971 (16.5%)	1,234 (17.9%)	
5	1,999 (15.7%)	906 (15.4%)	1,093 (15.9%)	0.0251
Admission Motor FIM, mean (SD)	47.04 (18.28)	45.64 (17.63)	48.24 (18.73)	<.0001
Admission Cognitive FIM, mean (SD)	24.41 (6.29)	24.27 (6.32)	24.53 (6.26)	0.0175
Admission Total FIM, mean (SD)	71.45 (20.97)	69.91 (20.36)	72.77 (21.38)	<.0001

SD = standard deviation; LOS = length of stay

viean (SD) KI lor	Mean (SD) RI	Unadjusted Risk	p-value	Adjusted Risk	p-value
males	for females	Difference (95% CI) -		Difference (95% CI)	
		Male vs Female		- Male vs Female	
79.25 (30.73)	77.97 (29.22)	2.33 (0.24 to 4.42)	0.03	3.34 (1.33 to 5.35)	0.001
76.41 (29.68)	74.67 (30.06)	1.29 (0.13 to 2.44)	0.03	1.37 (0.21 to 2.53)	0.02
72.41 (28.59)	70.52 (29.35)	1.86 (0.56 to 3.16)	0.005	1.15 (-0.23 to 2.53)	0.1
7	nales 9.25 (30.73) 6.41 (29.68)	nales for females 9.25 (30.73) 77.97 (29.22) 6.41 (29.68) 74.67 (30.06)	nales for females Difference (95% CI) - 9.25 (30.73) 77.97 (29.22) 2.33 (0.24 to 4.42) 6.41 (29.68) 74.67 (30.06) 1.29 (0.13 to 2.44)	nales for females Difference (95% CI) - Image: Male vs Female 9.25 (30.73) 77.97 (29.22) 2.33 (0.24 to 4.42) 0.03 6.41 (29.68) 74.67 (30.06) 1.29 (0.13 to 2.44) 0.03	nales for females Difference (95% CI) - Male vs Female Difference (95% CI) - Male vs Female Difference (95% CI) - Male vs Female 9.25 (30.73) 77.97 (29.22) 2.33 (0.24 to 4.42) 0.03 3.34 (1.33 to 5.35) 6.41 (29.68) 74.67 (30.06) 1.29 (0.13 to 2.44) 0.03 1.37 (0.21 to 2.53)

Table 2. Unadjusted and adjusted risk differences in rehabilitation intensity by sex, stratified by age

SD = standard deviation

References

1. Rexrode KM, Madsen TE, Yu AYX, Carcel C, Lichtman JH, Miller EC. The impact of sex and gender on stroke. Circ Res. 2022;130:512-28.

2. GBD 2019 Stroke Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet Neurol. 2021;20:795-820.

3. Phan HT, Blizzard CL, Reeves MJ, et al. Factors contributing to sex differences in functional outcomes and participation after stroke. Neurology. 2018;90:e1945-e53.

4. MacDonald SL, Linkewich E, Bayley M, Jeong IJ, Fang J, Fleet JL. The association between inpatient rehabilitation intensity and outcomes after stroke in Ontario, Canada. Int J Stroke. 2024;19:431-41.

5. Goikoetxea-Sotelo G, van Hedel HJA. Defining, quantifying, and reporting intensity, dose, and dosage of neurorehabilitative interventions focusing on motor outcomes. Front Rehabil Sci. 2023;4:1139251.

6. Ozkan H, Ambler G, Banerjee G, et al. Prevalence, patterns, and predictors of patientreported non-motor outcomes at 30 days after acute stroke: prospective observational hospital cohort study. Int J Stroke. 2024;19:442-51.

7. Glader EL, Stegmayr B, Asplund K. Poststroke fatigue: a 2-year follow-up study of stroke patients in Sweden. Stroke. 2002;33:1327-33.

8. Westerlind E, Singh R, Persson HC, Sunnerhagen KS. Experienced pain after stroke: a cross-sectional 5-year follow-up study. BMC Neurol. 2020;20:4.

9. Kutlubaev MA, Hackett ML. Part II: predictors of depression after stroke and impact of depression on stroke outcome: an updated systematic review of observational studies. Int J Stroke. 2014;9:1026-36.

10. Poynter B, Shuman M, Diaz-Granados N, Kapral M, Grace SL, Stewart DE. Sex differences in the prevalence of post-stroke depression: a systematic review. Psychosomatics. 2009;50:563-9.

11. MacDonald SL, Hall RE, Bell CM, Cronin S, Jaglal SB. Sex differences in the outcomes of adults admitted to inpatient rehabilitation after stroke. PM R. 2021.