

A DECOMPOSITION THEOREM OF 2-TYPE IMMERSIONS

MOTOKO KOTANI

§ 1. Introduction

One branch of the research of submanifolds was introduced by Chen in terms of *type* in [2]. Type of a submanifold makes clear how the eigenspace decomposition of the Laplacian (of the ambient space) preserve after restricted to the submanifold.

We will review the definition of type of a submanifold M in the unit sphere $S^m(1)$ in the Euclidean space E^{m+1} . Let x be the canonical coordinate in E^{m+1} . We call M k -type if x is decomposed into k maps x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\begin{aligned}x &= x_1 + \dots + x_k, \\ \Delta x_i &= \lambda_i x_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k\end{aligned}$$

as a vector valued function, where Δ is the Laplacian of M . As coordinate functions generate the 1st eigenspace of $S^m(1)$, k -type means that the 1st eigenspace of $S^m(1)$ restricted to M is decomposed into k eigenspaces of M . We can generalize the definition to the k -type via l -th eigenspace of other ambient spaces in the same way. But here as we are concerned only with surfaces of 2-type in $S^m(1)$, we will not refer to it anymore. For the precise definitions, see § 5. See [1], [5] etc. for other relevant results for the general case.

The immersion $\iota: M \rightarrow S^m$ is called *mass-symmetric* if the center of mass of $\iota(M)$ coincides with the center of S^m .

In terms of the type of immersions, a well known theorem of Takahashi [4] states that an n -dimensional compact submanifold M of E^{m+1} is *1-type if and only if M is a minimal submanifold of a hypersphere S^m of E^{m+1}* , and any compact minimal submanifold of S^m is known to be mass-symmetric. Our results can be stated as follows.

THEOREM 1. *Any mass-symmetric and proper 2-type immersion of a*

Received September 26, 1988.

topological 2-sphere into a unit hypersphere $S^m(1) \subset E^{m+1}$ is the direct sum of two minimal immersions into spheres. That is we can write

$$x = x_p \oplus x_q \in E^{r+1} \oplus E^{m-r} = E^{m+1}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_p: M &\longrightarrow S^r(\cos \theta) \subset E^{r+1} \quad \text{and} \\ x_q: M &\longrightarrow S^{m-r-1}(\sin \theta) \subset E^{m-r} \end{aligned}$$

are minimal immersions with respect to the induced metrics.

COROLLARY. *If the immersion in Theorem 1 is full, then m is odd and greater than 5.*

Remark. There is a mass-symmetric and 2-type immersion of a flat torus which does not admit a decomposition in the sense of Theorem 1. And to the remark for Corollary no examples of 2-type surfaces are known in even-dimensional spheres.

By Theorem 1 a mass-symmetric and proper 2-type immersion of a 2-sphere is decomposed into two minimal immersions. Hence we reduce the problem to determine the space of all 2-type immersions of S^2 into the sphere to that to know when (S^2, g) admits more than two distinct minimal immersions into spheres.

(S^2, g) of constant curvature has been the only known example having countably infinite minimal immersions. Moreover we get the following when the dimension m is small.

THEOREM 2. *If a 2-sphere admits a mass-symmetric and proper 2-type immersion into $S^q(1)$, then the 2-sphere is of constant curvature.*

Though the hyperbolic space H^m is not compact, we can define the notion of mass-symmetric and 2-type immersions into the hyperbolic space as follows.

Let L^{m+1} be the $(m+1)$ -Euclidean space with the inner-product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$. It is well known that H^m can be realized as

$$H^m = \{x \in L^{m+1}: \langle x, x \rangle = -1\}.$$

Let $x: M \rightarrow H^m$ be an isometric immersion. We can easily see that the mean curvature vector H of M in H^m is given by

$$\Delta x = n(H + x),$$

where $n = \dim M$.

We call the immersion x mass-symmetric and 2-type when x can be given by

$$x = x_p + x_q \in L^{m+1},$$

where $\Delta x_p = \lambda_p x_p$ and $\Delta x_q = \lambda_q x_q$, $\lambda_p < \lambda_q$. We note that x is the eigenfunction of the Laplacian of the hyperbolic space H^m .

By the same argument as in Theorem 1, we can see that

$$x_p: S^2 \longrightarrow L^{m+1}$$

is an immersion, whose induced metric is homothetic to the original one, into the space

$$H^m((\lambda_q - \lambda_p)/(\lambda_q + 2)) = \{x \in L^{m+1}; \langle x, x \rangle = -(\lambda_q + 2)/(\lambda_q - \lambda_p)\},$$

that is, x_p is a minimal immersion of a 2-sphere into the hyperbolic space, which is impossible. Hence we get the following.

THEOREM 3. *There is no mass-symmetric and 2-type immersion of a topological 2-sphere into the hyperbolic space.*

The author wishes to express her gratitude to Professors B.Y. Chen and K. Ogiue for their valuable suggestions.

§ 2. Preliminaries

We assume that $x: M \rightarrow S^m(1)$ is a mass-symmetric and 2-type immersion of a Riemannian surface M into the unit hypersphere $S^m(1)$ in E^{m+1} centered at the origin of E^{m+1} . In terms of an isothermal coordinate $z = x + iy$, the induced metric is given by $g = \rho^2 |dz|^2$. Denote by ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Riemannian connections of M and E^{m+1} respectively, and by \tilde{H} , $\tilde{\sigma}$ and \tilde{D} the mean curvature vector, the second fundamental form and the normal connection of M in E^{m+1} and H , σ and D the mean curvature vector, the second fundamental form and the normal connection of M in $S^m(1)$. By an easy calculation we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta^D \xi = 4\rho^{-2}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \xi + R^D_{\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z} \xi),$$

$$(2.2) \quad H = 2\rho^{-2}\sigma_{z\bar{z}},$$

where ξ is a normal vector field, R^D is the normal where ξ and $\sigma_{z\bar{z}} = \sigma(\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}})$.

The Codazzi equation and the Ricci equation are given respectively by

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_z H = 2\rho^{-2} \partial_z \sigma_{zz},$$

$$(2.4) \quad R_{\partial_z \partial_z}^p \xi = 2\rho^{-2} (\langle \sigma_{zz}, \xi \rangle \sigma_{zz} - \langle \sigma_{zz}, \xi \rangle \sigma_{zz}).$$

From the definition of a mass-symmetric and 2-type immersion, x is decomposed as follows:

$$(2.5) \quad x = x_p + x_q,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta x = \lambda_p x_p + \lambda_q x_q.$$

Then we see

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta(\Delta x) = (\lambda_p + \lambda_q) \Delta x - \lambda_p \lambda_q x.$$

On the other hand, the mean curvature vectors \tilde{H} in E^{m+1} and H in $S^m(1)$ are given by

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{H} = H - x = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta x.$$

Hence x_p and x_q can be written as

$$(2.9) \quad x_p = (2\tilde{H} + \lambda_q x) / (\lambda_p - \lambda_q) = \{2H + (\lambda_q - 2)x\} / (\lambda_q - \lambda_p),$$

$$(2.10) \quad x_q = (2\tilde{H} + \lambda_p x) / (\lambda_q - \lambda_p) = \{2H + (\lambda_p - 2)x\} / (\lambda_p - \lambda_q).$$

From

$$\langle x, x \rangle = 1, \langle x, \tilde{H} \rangle = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Delta(\Delta x), x \rangle = \langle \Delta(-2\tilde{H}), x \rangle = 2|\tilde{H}|^2$$

we easily get

$$(2.11) \quad |\tilde{H}|^2 = |H|^2 + 1 = 1 - \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_p - 2)(\lambda_q - 2),$$

$$(2.12) \quad \langle x_p, x_p \rangle = \{4|H|^2 + (\lambda_q - 2)^2\} / (\lambda_q - \lambda_p)^2 = (\lambda_q - 2) / (\lambda_q - \lambda_p),$$

$$(2.13) \quad \langle x_q, x_q \rangle = \{4|H|^2 + (\lambda_p - 2)^2\} / (\lambda_p - \lambda_q)^2 = (\lambda_p - 2) / (\lambda_p - \lambda_q),$$

$$(2.14) \quad \langle x_p, x_q \rangle = -\{4|H|^2 + (\lambda_p - 2)(\lambda_q - 2)\} / (\lambda_p - \lambda_q)^2 = 0.$$

These imply that x_p and x_q are maps into spheres. In the same way, Chen gives the following formula in [2].

$$(2.15) \quad -\frac{1}{2} \Delta(\Delta x) = \Delta \tilde{H} = \Delta^p H + \frac{4}{\rho^4} \{ \langle H, \sigma_{zz} \rangle \sigma_{zz} - \langle H, \sigma_{zz} \rangle \sigma_{zz} \} \\ + \text{tr}(\nabla \langle \sigma_{zz}, H \rangle) + 2|\tilde{H}|^2 \tilde{H}$$

where Δ^D is the normal Laplacian of M .

§ 3. Some lemmas

In this section we are preparing some lemmas to prove Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *If M is mass-symmetric and 2-type, then $\langle H, \sigma_{zz} \rangle$ is a holomorphic function. Moreover, if M is a topological S^2 , then M is pseudo-umbilic, i.e., $\langle H, \sigma_{zz} \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. In terms of the isothermal coordinate, $\text{tr}(\nabla\langle\sigma_{zz}, H\rangle)$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\nabla\langle\sigma_{zz}, H\rangle) &= 2\rho^{-2}\{(\langle\sigma_{zz}, \partial_{\bar{z}}H\rangle + \langle H, \partial_z\sigma_{zz}\rangle)\partial_{\bar{z}} + (\langle H, \partial_z\sigma_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}\rangle + \langle\partial_zH, \sigma_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}\rangle)\partial_z\} \\ &= 2\rho^{-2}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\langle\sigma_{zz}, H\rangle\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_z\langle H, \sigma_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}\rangle\partial_z). \end{aligned}$$

As M is mass-symmetric and proper 2-type, it follows that $\text{tr}(\nabla\langle\sigma_{zz}, H\rangle) = 0$ by comparing the tangent parts of (2.7) and (2.15). Hence we get $\partial_{\bar{z}}\langle\sigma_{zz}, H\rangle = 0$. ##

LEMMA 2. *Let $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^m(1)$ be mass-symmetric and 2-type. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta^D H = (\lambda_p\lambda_q/2)H.$$

Proof. From the normal parts of (2.7) and (2.15) we obtain

$$(\lambda_p + \lambda_q)\tilde{H} + (\lambda_p\lambda_q/2)x = \Delta\tilde{H} = \Delta^D H + 2(|H|^2 + 1)(H - x).$$

Noting that $H = \tilde{H} + x$ is normal to x , we see that

$$(\lambda_p + \lambda_q)H = \Delta^D H - (\lambda_p\lambda_q - 2\lambda_p - 2\lambda_q)/2.$$

Thus we obtain $\Delta^D H = (\lambda_p\lambda_q/2)H$. ##

LEMMA 3. *Let $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^m(1)$ be mass-symmetric and proper 2-type. Then the following equations hold.*

- 1) $\langle\partial_z^k H, \partial_z^l H\rangle = 0$,
- 2) $\langle\partial_z^k H, \partial_z^l \sigma_{zz}\rangle = 0$,
- 3) $\langle\partial_z^k \sigma_{zz}, \partial_z^l \sigma_{zz}\rangle = 0$.

Proof. We shall prove the result by induction. To this end we define the condition [N] as follows.

- [N]-1 $\langle\partial_z^k H, \partial_z^l H\rangle = 0$ for all $k + l \leq N$.
- [N]-2 $\langle\partial_z^k \sigma_{zz}, \partial_z^l H\rangle = 0$ for all $k + 1 \leq N - 1$,
- [N]-3 $\langle\partial_z^k \sigma_{zz}, \partial_z^l \sigma_{zz}\rangle = 0$ for all $k + l \leq N - 2$,

[N]-4 $\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z(\partial_z^k H)$ is a linear combination of $\partial_z^k H, \partial_z^{k-1} H, \dots, H, \sigma_{zz}, \partial_z \sigma_{zz}, \dots, \partial_z^{k-2} \sigma_{zz}$ for all $k \leq N-2$,

[N]-5 $\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z(\partial_z^k \sigma_{zz})$ is a linear combination of $\partial_z^{k+2} H, \sigma_{zz}, \partial_z \sigma_{zz}, \dots, \partial_z^k \sigma_{zz}$ for all $k \leq N-3$.

In what follows we write $\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}}$ and σ_{zz} simply as $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ and σ , respectively.

Now we know that M is pseudo-umbilic and has constant mean curvature. Moreover it follows from Lemma 2 that its mean curvature vector satisfies the equation $\Delta^p H = \lambda \rho^{-2} H$. Hence using (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma, H \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle H, \partial H \rangle &= \rho^2 \langle H, \bar{\partial} \sigma \rangle = 0, \\ \langle H, \bar{\partial} H \rangle &= \rho^2 \langle H, \partial \bar{\sigma} \rangle = 0, \\ \bar{\partial} \partial H &= \rho^2 \Delta^p H - \rho^{-2} \{ \langle \sigma, H \rangle \bar{\sigma} - \langle \bar{\sigma}, H \rangle \sigma \} = \rho^2 \Delta^p H = \lambda H, \\ \bar{\partial} \langle \partial H, \partial H \rangle &= 2 \langle \lambda H, \partial H \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As a global holomorphic on differential S^2 is identically zero, the last equation implies

$$\langle \partial H, \partial H \rangle = 0.$$

Similarly, noting that

$$\bar{\partial} \langle \partial H, \sigma \rangle = \langle \lambda H, \sigma \rangle + \rho^{-2} \langle \partial H, \partial H \rangle / 2 = 0.$$

we get

$$\langle \partial H, \sigma \rangle = \partial \langle H, \sigma \rangle - \langle H, \partial \sigma \rangle = -\langle H, \partial \sigma \rangle = 0.$$

We also get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial} \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle &= \rho^2 \langle \partial H, \sigma \rangle = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle = 0, \\ \langle \partial^2 H, \partial H \rangle &= 2 \partial \langle \partial H, \partial H \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

These imply that the condition [2] holds.

Next we will show that [N] holds if [N-1] holds. From the Ricci equation, we get

$$\bar{\partial} \partial (\partial^k H) = \partial (\bar{\partial} \partial) (\partial^{k-1} H) + \rho^{-2} \{ \langle \bar{\sigma}, \partial^k H \rangle \sigma - \langle \sigma, \partial^k H \rangle \bar{\sigma} \}.$$

As $k \leq N-2$, we obtain $\langle \sigma, \partial^k H \rangle = 0$ by [N-1]-2. Then combining this with [N-1] we get [N]-4. Similarly, from the Ricci equation we get

$$\bar{\partial} \partial (\partial^k \sigma) = \partial (\bar{\partial} \partial) (\partial^{k-1} \sigma) + \rho^{-2} \{ \langle \partial^k \sigma, \bar{\sigma} \rangle \sigma - \langle \partial^k \sigma, \sigma \rangle \bar{\sigma} \}.$$

By using [N-1]-3 and [N-1]-5, we get [N]-5. Finally we prove [N]-1 ~ 3. We remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial^k \sigma, \partial^l \sigma \rangle &= \partial \langle \partial^{k-1} \sigma, \partial^l \sigma \rangle - \langle \partial^{k-1} \sigma, \partial^{l+1} \sigma \rangle \\ &= -\langle \partial^{k-1} \sigma, \partial^{l+1} \sigma \rangle = (-1)^k \langle \sigma, \partial^{l+k} \sigma \rangle. \\ \langle \partial^k H, \partial^l \sigma \rangle &= (-1)^l \langle \partial^{k+l} H, \sigma \rangle, \\ \langle \partial^k H, \partial^l H \rangle &= (-1)^{l-1} \langle \partial^{k+l-1} H, \partial H \rangle. \\ \bar{\partial} \langle \sigma, \partial^k H \rangle &= \rho^2 \langle \partial H, \partial_k H \rangle / 2 + \langle \sigma, \bar{\partial} \partial (\partial^{k-1} H) \rangle \\ &= \rho^2 \langle \partial H, \partial^k H \rangle / 2 \text{ linear combination of} \\ &\quad \langle \sigma, \partial^{k-1} H \rangle, \dots, \langle \sigma, H \rangle, \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle, \dots, \langle \sigma, \partial^{k-3} \sigma \rangle \\ &= \rho^2 \langle \partial H, \partial^k H \rangle / 2, \\ \bar{\partial} \langle \sigma, \partial^k \sigma \rangle &= \rho^2 \langle \partial H, \partial^k \sigma \rangle / 2 - \langle \sigma, \bar{\partial} \partial (\partial^{k-1} \sigma) \rangle = \rho^2 \langle \partial H, \partial^k \sigma \rangle / 2 \\ &\quad + \text{linear combination of } \langle \sigma, \partial^{k+1} H \rangle, \langle \sigma, \partial^{k-1} \sigma \rangle, \dots, \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle \\ &= \rho^2 \langle \partial H, \partial^k \sigma \rangle / 2. \end{aligned}$$

In these equations we use the assumption [N-1] and the Codazzi equation (2.3). Noting that holomorphic form on S^2 is identically zero, we may prove $\bar{\partial} \langle \partial H, \partial^k H \rangle = 0$ for all $k \leq N - 1$ to get [N]-1 ~ 3.

But in fact we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial} \langle \partial H, \partial^k H \rangle &= \langle \lambda H, \partial^k H \rangle + \langle \partial H, \bar{\partial} \partial (\partial^{k-1} H) \rangle \\ &= \text{linear combination of } \langle \partial H, \partial H \rangle, \dots, \langle \partial H, \partial^{k-1} H \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{##}$$

Now we can prove Corollary of Theorem 1 independently. Let

$$E = \text{span} \{ \partial_z^k H, \partial_z^l \sigma_{zz} \}.$$

By Lemma 3, $E \oplus \bar{E} \oplus \{H\}$ then gives an orthogonal decomposition. In the 2-dimensional case, the normal space is spanned by all the derivatives of σ and H with respect to z and \bar{z} . But (2.3) combined with [N]-4 and [N]-5 in Lemma 3 show that all these derivatives belong to $E \oplus \bar{E}$. Therefore $E \oplus \bar{E} \oplus \{H\}$ gives a decomposition of the normal space, so that

$$\dim S^m = \dim S^2 + 2 \dim E + 1.$$

Thus m is odd.

Moreover noting that

$$\langle \sigma_{zz}, \partial_z H \rangle = \langle \sigma_{zz}, \partial_z H \rangle = 0,$$

we can easily see that m is greater than 5 unless H is parallel.

On the other hand, if $S^2 \rightarrow S^m$ has parallel mean curvature, then the immersion is minimal in a small hypersphere, which contradicts the mass-symmetry. ##

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^m(1) \subset E^{m+1}$ be a mass-symmetric and 2-type immersion, i.e.,

$$(4.1) \quad x = x_p + x_q: S^2 \longrightarrow E^{m+1}$$

where $\Delta x_p = \lambda_p x_p$ and $\Delta x_q = \lambda_q x_q$.

We already know that x has constant mean curvature

$$|H|^2 = -\frac{1}{4}(\lambda_p - 2)(\lambda_q - 2)$$

and x is pseudo-umbilic i.e. $\langle H, \sigma \rangle = 0$. Moreover x_p and x_q can be written in terms of x and H as in (2.9) and (2.10).

First we will show that the maps $x_p, x_q: (S^2, \rho^2|dz|^2) \rightarrow E^{m+1}$ are homothetic immersions into some spheres, so that, on account of Takahashi's theorem, they are minimal in the spheres. We already see in §2 that x_p and x_q are immersions into spheres whose induced metric is homothetic to the original metric $\rho^2|dz|^2$. Since the differential $(x_p)_*$ of x_p satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_p)_* \partial_z &= \{2\tilde{V}_z H + (\lambda_q - 2)\partial_z\}/(\lambda_q - \lambda_p) \\ &= \{2\partial H + (\lambda_q - 2 - 2|H|^2)\partial_z\}/(\lambda_q - \lambda_p), \end{aligned}$$

the induced metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (x_p)_* \partial_z, (x_p)_* \partial_z \rangle &= \langle (x_p)_* \partial_{\bar{z}}, (x_p)_* \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle = 0, \\ \langle (x_p)_* \partial_z, (x_p)_* \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle &= \{4|\partial_z H|^2 + (\lambda_q - 2 - 2|H|^2)\rho^2/2\}/(\lambda_p - \lambda_q)^2 \\ &= \lambda_p(\lambda_q - 2)\rho^2/4(\lambda_q - \lambda_p). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that x_p is a 1-type immersion homothetic to the original metric so that x_p is minimal. The same argument can be applied to x_q .

It remains to prove that $x_p + x_q$ is the direct sum, i.e. $(x_p, x_q) \in S^k \times S^{m-k-1} \subset E^{k+1} \times E^{m-k}$. To show this we prove that all derivatives of x_p with respect to z and \bar{z} are orthogonal to those of x_q , which implies that all coefficients of the Taylor expansion of x_p around a fixed point are orthogonal to those of x_q . But, by the same argument as in Lemma

3 and Proof of Corollary, we can easily see by induction that it is enough to show

$$\langle \partial_z^l x_p, x_q \rangle = 0.$$

As $\partial_z^l x_p$ is a linear combination of $x_p, \partial_z, \partial_z^2 \sigma_{zz}$ and $\partial_z^l H$, we can show the above equation by Lemma 3 and $\langle x_p, x_q \rangle = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

§ 5. Proof of Theorem 2

From Theorem 1 this immersion is decomposed into two minimal immersions;

$$(S^2, c_1 g) \longrightarrow S^2(1) \quad \text{and} \quad (S^2, c_2 g) \longrightarrow S^6(1),$$

or

$$(S^2, c_1 g) \longrightarrow S^4(1) \quad \text{and} \quad (S^2, c_2 g) \longrightarrow S^4(1).$$

Theorem 2 is clear in the first case. In the second case we will show that $c_1 = c_2$ by using the result in [2]. If (S^2, g) admits two minimal immersions with $k_2 = 0$ in (71) in [2], from these equations we find that curvature is constant $c_1/3 = c_2/3$. But if $c_1 = c_2$, then the immersion is 1-type.

§ 6. General case

In this section we define k -type via l th-eigenspace in a general compact manifold. Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold and Δ the Laplacian of M acting on the space $C^\infty(M)$ of all C^∞ functions on M . Then Δ is a self-adjoint elliptic operator and has an infinite, discrete sequence of eigenvalues,

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots \quad \uparrow \infty.$$

Let $V_k = \{f \in C^\infty(M); \Delta f = \lambda_k f\}$ be the eigenspace of Δ with eigenvalue λ_k , which is finite dimensional. Each function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ has the following spectral decomposition:

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k \quad (\text{in } L^2\text{-sense}),$$

where $f_k \in V_k$. In particular, there are positive integers $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ such that $f_p \neq 0$ and $f_q \neq 0$ and

$$f - f_0 = \sum_{k=p}^q f_k,$$

where $f_0 \in V_0$ is a constant.

Let $l: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be an isometric immersion of a compact Riemannian manifold into a compact Riemannian manifold. We set

$$C^\infty(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i(M) \quad \text{and} \quad C^\infty(\tilde{M}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i(\tilde{M}),$$

as the eigenspace decompositions. We may consider the following general problem.

PROBLEM 1. What can we know about ι if ι satisfies

$$\iota^*(V_1(\tilde{M})) \subset V_0(M) + V_{i_1}(M) + \cdots + V_{i_k}(M) \quad \text{for some } l?$$

We call such M *k-type via l-th eigenspace*.

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1})$ be the standard coordinates of E^{m+1} and let S^m be a hypersphere of E^{m+1} . Then $V_1(S^m)$ is spanned by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1} . Our primary concern is the following restricted problem.

PROBLEM 2. Investigate the immersions $x: M \rightarrow S^N$ such that

$$\iota^*x_A \in V_0(M) + V_{i_1}(M) + \cdots + V_{i_k}(M) \quad \text{for all } A.$$

We simply say *k-type* in these cases.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barros and B. Y. Chen, Spherical submanifolds which are of 2-type via the second standard immersion of the sphere, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **108** (1987), 77–91.
- [2] B. Y. Chen, *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type*, World Scientific, 1984.
- [3] S. S. Chern, On the minimal immersions of the two-sphere in a space of constant curvature, *Problems in analysis, A symposium in honor of Salomon Bochner*, Princeton University Press (1970).
- [4] T. Takahashi, Minimal immersions of riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **18** (1966), 380–385.
- [5] A. Ros, On spectral geometry of Kaehler submanifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **36** (1984), 433–447.

*Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Metropolitan University
Fukasawa, Setagaya, Tokyo 158
Japan*