

# A CLASS OF REFLEXIVE SYMMETRIC BK-SPACES

D. J. H. GARLING

**1. Introduction.** We denote by  $\omega$  the linear space of all sequences of real or complex numbers. A linear subspace of  $\omega$  is called a *sequence space*. A sequence space  $E$  is a BK-space (9) if it is equipped with a norm under which: first,  $E$  is a Banach space and second, each of the coordinate maps  $x \rightarrow x_i$  is continuous. Let  $\Sigma$  be the group of all permutations of  $Z^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . If  $x \in \omega$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , the sequence  $x_\sigma$  is defined by  $(x_\sigma)_i = x_{\sigma(i)}$ . A sequence space  $E$  is *symmetric* if  $x_\sigma \in E$  whenever  $x \in E$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . Accounts of symmetric sequence spaces occur in (3; 7; 8). The well-known spaces  $l^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) are examples of symmetric BK-spaces which are also reflexive (as Banach spaces); our aim in this paper is to describe a class of reflexive symmetric BK-spaces closely related to, but distinct from, the  $l^p$  spaces. Special cases of spaces of this class occur in the theory of Fourier coefficients (theorems of Paley and of Hardy and Littlewood, 10, pp. 120–131).

**2. Notation and terminology.** We shall, in general, use the notation and terminology of (3); we follow (7), however, by defining the *reduced form* of a sequence  $x$  in  $c_0$  as the sequence  $\hat{x} = (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots)$  defined by

$$\hat{x}_n = \inf_{\substack{J \subseteq Z^+ \\ |J| < n}} \sup_{i \notin J} |x_i|.$$

Thus  $\hat{x} = [x]$ , in the notation of (3). If  $E$  is a symmetric sequence space,  $E^{++} = \{x: x \in E, x = \hat{x}\}$ . If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we denote by  $q$  the *associate* of  $p$ ;  $q = p/(p - 1)$  if  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $q = 1$  if  $p = \infty$ , and  $q = \infty$  if  $p = 1$ . We denote the unit ball of  $l^q$  by  $B_q$ , and denote by  $M_q$  the set  $(l^q)^{++} \cap B_q$ . We denote by  $e_i$  the sequence with 1 in the  $i$ th position and 0 elsewhere; if  $x \in \omega$ , we denote by  $P_n(x)$  the sequence  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$ . If  $\lambda$  is a sequence space,  $\lambda^x$  denotes the  $\alpha$ -dual of  $\lambda$ :

$$\lambda^x = \left\{ x: x \in \omega, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i y_i| < \infty, \text{ for each } y \text{ in } \lambda \right\}.$$

Finally, if  $(E, F)$  is a dual pair of vector spaces, the weak topology on  $E$  of the dual pair  $(E, F)$  is denoted by  $\sigma(E, F)$ .

**3. The space  $\mu_{a,p}$ .** Suppose that  $a \in c_0^{++}$ , and that  $a \notin l$ . If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then the space  $\mu_{a,p}$  is defined as the space

$$\left\{ x: x \in c_0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{x}_i^p a_i < \infty \right\}.$$

---

Received December 10, 1967.

Let  $b_i = a_i^{1/p}$ , and let  $b = (b_i)$ . Then

$$\mu_{a,p} = \left\{ x: x \in c_0, \sup_{y \in M_q} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{x}_i y_i b_i < \infty \right\} = \mu_B,$$

where  $B = \{(y_i b_i): y \in M_q\}$ . Thus  $\mu_{a,p}$  is a linear space and a Banach space under the norm

$$\|x\|_{a,p} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{x}_i^p a_i \right)^{1/p} = \sup_{y \in B} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{x}_i y_i$$

(3, Theorems 6 and 7). Note that if  $p = 1$ , then  $\mu_{a,p} = \mu_a$ ; from now on we shall assume that  $p > 1$ . It is clear that if  $x \in \mu_{a,p}^{++}$ , there exists an element  $y$  in  $\mu_{a,p}^{++}$  for which  $x_i/y_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . It therefore follows from (3, Theorem 9) that  $P_n(x) \rightarrow x$  for each  $x$  in  $\mu_{a,p}$ ; that is, in the terminology of Zeller (9),  $\mu_{a,p}$  is an AK-space. In particular, this means that  $\mu_{a,p}'$ , the topological dual of  $\mu_{a,p}$ , may be identified with the sequence space  $\mu_{a,p}^X$ . Since  $\mu_{a,p}$  is a Köthe space (3, Theorem 6), to show that  $\mu_{a,p}$  is reflexive it is enough to show that  $\mu_{a,p}^X$  is also an AK-space (6, p. 421, § 30, paragraph 7(5)). This is done in the next section, by giving an explicit characterization of  $\mu_{a,p}^X$ .

**4. The space  $v_{a,p}$ .** We now introduce another space  $v_{a,p}$  which we shall eventually identify as  $\mu_{a,p}^X$ .  $v_{a,p}$  (where  $a, b$ , and  $p$  have the same meaning as in the preceding section) is defined to be the collection of those sequences  $f$  in  $c_0$  for which it is possible to find  $k$  in  $M_q$  such that

$$\sup_n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i b_i} < \infty.$$

PROPOSITION 1.  $v_{a,p}$  is a linear space, and the function

$$\|f\|_{a,p} = \inf_{k \in M_q} \sup_n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i b_i}$$

is a norm on  $v_{a,p}$ . Under this norm,  $v_{a,p}$  is a BK-space, and the unit ball of  $v_{a,p}$  is compact under the topology of coordinatewise convergence.

If  $f \in v_{a,p}$ , then clearly  $\alpha f \in v_{a,p}$ , and  $\|\alpha f\|_{a,p} = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_{a,p}$ , for any scalar  $\alpha$ . Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  belong to  $v_{a,p}$ , so that given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $k$  and  $l$  in  $M_q$  for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i \leq (\|f\|_{a,p} + \epsilon) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n k_i b_i \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i \leq (\|g\|_{a,p} + \epsilon) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n l_i b_i \right),$$

for all  $n$ . Let  $m = (\|f\|_{a,p} + \|g\|_{a,p} + 2\epsilon)^{-1}(\|f\|_{a,p} + \epsilon)k + (\|g\|_{a,p} + \epsilon)l$ ;  $m$  belongs to  $M_q$ . If  $h = f + g$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{h}_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i \leq (\|f\|_{a,p} + \|g\|_{a,p} + 2\epsilon) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n m_i b_i \right);$$

since this is true for any  $n$ ,  $f + g \in \nu_{a,p}$ , and since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,

$$\|f + g\|_{a,p} \leq \|f\|_{a,p} + \|g\|_{a,p}.$$

Thus  $\nu_{a,p}$  is a normed linear space under  $\| \cdot \|_{a,p}$ . Further,  $|f_i| \leq \hat{f}_i \leq b_1 \|f\|_{a,p}$ , so that the coordinate functionals are continuous. Thus the unit ball  $C$  of  $\nu_{a,p}$  is coordinatewise bounded, and is therefore a relatively compact subset of  $\omega$  in the topology of coordinatewise convergence. Since  $\omega$  is metrizable in this topology, if  $f$  belongs to the closure of  $C$  in  $\omega$  there exists a sequence  $(f^{(r)})$  in  $C$  such that  $f^{(r)} \rightarrow f$  coordinatewise. Note that

$$\hat{f}_n \leq \limsup_r \hat{f}_n^{(r)}, \quad \text{for any } n.$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists, for each  $r$ ,  $k^{(r)}$  in  $M_q$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \hat{f}_j^{(r)} \leq (1 + \epsilon) \sum_{j=1}^n k_j^{(r)} b_j, \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Since the unit ball of  $l^q$  is  $\sigma(l^q, l^p)$  compact, we can suppose (by taking a subsequence, if necessary) that  $k^{(r)}$  converges coordinatewise to an element  $k$  of  $M_q$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \hat{f}_j \leq \limsup_r \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{f}_j^{(r)} \leq (1 + \epsilon) \limsup_r \sum_{j=1}^n k_j^{(r)} b_j = (1 + \epsilon) \sum_{j=1}^n k_j b_j.$$

This holds for all  $n$ , so that  $f \in \nu_{a,p}$ , and  $\|f\|_{a,p} \leq 1 + \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $f \in C$ . Thus  $C$  is compact under the topology of coordinatewise convergence. In particular,  $C$  is complete under the topology of coordinatewise convergence, and is also closed in  $\nu_{a,p}$  under the topology of coordinatewise convergence. Thus,  $C$  is complete under the norm topology (**2**, *Chapitre 1, Proposition 8*), so that  $\nu_{a,p}$  is a BK-space.

We denote by  $\pi_{a,p}$  the collection of those sequences  $f$  in  $c_0$  for which it is possible to find  $k$  in  $M_q$  such that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i b_i} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.**  $\nu_{a,p} = \pi_{a,p}$ , and  $\nu_{a,p}$  is an AK-space under the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{a,p}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\nu_{a,p} \supseteq \pi_{a,p}$ . Suppose that  $f \in \nu_{a,p}$ , and that  $k$  is an element of

$M_q$  for which

$$\sup_n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i b_i} < \infty.$$

We consider two cases. If first  $f \in l^1$ , then since  $b \notin l^p$ , there exists  $k'$  in  $M_q$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty k_i' b_i = \infty$ . Then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i' b_i} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If secondly  $f \notin l^1$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty k_i b_i = \infty$ . Furthermore (3, Theorem 9), there exists  $k'$  in  $M_q$  such that  $k_i/k_i' \rightarrow 0$ . A straightforward argument then shows that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i' b_i} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $f \in \pi_{a,p}$ , and  $\nu_{a,p} = \pi_{a,p}$ .

Further, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i' b_i} \leq \epsilon \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

Also, since  $f \in c_0$ , there exists  $i_0$  such that  $|f_i| \leq n_0^{-1} k_1' b_{1\epsilon}$ , for  $i \geq i_0$ . Suppose that  $k \geq i_0$ , and let  $q = f - P_k(f)$ . Then, if  $n \leq n_0$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{q}_i \leq n n_0^{-1} k_1' b_{1\epsilon} \leq \epsilon \left( \sum_{i=1}^n k_i' b_i \right)$$

and if  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{q}_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i \leq \epsilon \left( \sum_{i=1}^n k_i' b_i \right),$$

so that  $|||f - P_k(f)||| \leq \epsilon$ , for  $k \geq i_0$ , and  $\nu_{a,p}$  is an AK-space.

PROPOSITION 3.  $\nu_{a,p}'$ , the topological dual of  $\nu_{a,p}$ , may be identified isometrically with  $\mu_{a,p}$ .

Let us denote the dual norm of  $\nu_{a,p}'$  by  $||| \cdot |||_{a,p}'$ . Since  $\nu_{a,p}$  is an AK-space and a Banach space,  $\nu_{a,p}'$  may be identified with  $\nu_{a,p}^X$ . Further, since  $b \notin l^p$ ,  $\nu_{a,p} \not\subseteq l^1$ , and therefore  $\nu_{a,p}^X \not\subseteq l^\infty$ ; thus, since  $\nu_{a,p}^X$  is symmetric,  $\nu_{a,p}^X \subseteq c_0$  (3, Proposition 6). Suppose that  $h \in \nu_{a,p}^X$ . Then clearly  $\hat{h} \in \nu_{a,p}^X$ , and  $|||h|||_{a,p}' = |||\hat{h}|||_{a,p}'$ . If  $k \in l^q$ ,  $(\hat{k}, b_i) \in \nu_{a,p}$ , and  $|||(\hat{k}, b_i)|||_{a,p} \leq |||k|||_q$ . Thus

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{h}_i \hat{k}_i b_i \leq \| \|h\|_{a,p'} \|k\|_q$ . However, as this is true for any  $k$  in  $l^q$ , this implies that  $(\hat{h}_i b_i) \in (l^q)' = l^p$  and that

$$\|(\hat{h}_i b_i)\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{h}_i^p a_i \right)^{1/p} \leq \| \|h\|_{a,p'}$$

However, this means that  $h \in \mu_{a,p}$ , and that  $\|h\|_{a,p} \leq \| \|h\|_{a,p'}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $g \in \mu_{a,p}$ . If  $f \in \nu_{a,p}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $k \in M_q$  be such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i \leq (\| \|f\|_{a,p} + \epsilon) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n k_i b_i \right) \text{ for all } n.$$

Let  $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i$ ,  $t_n = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i b_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i g_i| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i \hat{g}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n s_i (\hat{g}_i - \hat{g}_{i+1}) + s_n \hat{g}_n \\ &\leq (\| \|f\|_{a,p} + \epsilon) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\hat{g}_i - \hat{g}_{i+1}) + t_n \hat{g}_n \right) \\ &= (\| \|f\|_{a,p} + \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i k_i b_i \\ &\leq (\| \|f\|_{a,p} + \epsilon) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i^p a_i \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq (\| \|f\|_{a,p} + \epsilon) \|g\|_{a,p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $g \in \nu_{a,p}^X$ , and  $\| \|g\|_{a,p'} \leq \|g\|_{a,p}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *The BK-spaces  $\mu_{a,p}$  and  $\nu_{a,p}$  are reflexive, and each is isometrically isomorphic to the dual of the other.*

Proposition 3 shows that  $\mu_{a,p}$  may be identified with the dual of  $\nu_{a,p}$ . On the other hand,  $\mu_{a,p}$  is an AK-space, so that  $\mu_{a,p'}$  may be identified with  $\mu_{a,p}^X$ . The unit ball  $C''$  of  $\mu_{a,p'}$  is  $\sigma(\mu_{a,p'}, \mu_{a,p})$ -compact, and hence  $\sigma(\mu_{a,p'}, \mu_{a,p})$  and the topology of coordinatewise convergence induce the same topology on  $C''$ , and thus on  $C$ , the unit ball of  $\nu_{a,p}$ . This implies that  $C$  is  $\sigma(\nu_{a,p}, \mu_{a,p})$  compact; since  $\mu_{a,p} = \nu_{a,p}'$ , this means that  $\nu_{a,p}$  is reflexive, and the result follows.

*Remark.* The proof of Theorem 1 would be much simpler if I could show directly that

$$\inf_{k \in M_q} \sup_n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i b_i} = \sup_{\|x\|_{a,p} \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i f_i|$$

for any  $f$  in  $c_0$ . For this would show that  $\nu_{a,p} = \mu_{a,p}^X$ , and, bearing in mind the

remarks made at the end of § 3, it would then only remain to prove Proposition 2.

**5. Another representation of  $v_{a,p}$ .** We now give another representation of  $v_{a,p}$ , which appears to be more natural than that described in the preceding section, but which seems to be less suitable for determining the properties of  $v_{a,p}$ .

Suppose that  $f \in \mu_{a,p}$ , that  $k \in l^q$ , and that  $c \in \mu_b^X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i k_i f_i| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i \hat{k}_i \hat{f}_i \\ &\leq \|c\|_{b'} \|P_n(\hat{k}\hat{f})\|_b \\ &= \|c\|_{b'} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{k}_i \hat{f}_i b_i \\ &\leq \|c\|_{b'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{k}_i^q\right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i^p a_i\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|c\|_{b'} \|k\|_q \|f\|_{a,p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus each element  $f$  of  $\mu_{a,p}$  defines a continuous bilinear functional  $T(f)$  on  $\mu_b^X \times l^q$ ; further,  $T$  is a continuous linear map of  $\mu_{a,p}$  into  $B(\mu_b^X, l^q)$ , the Banach space of continuous bilinear functionals on  $\mu_b^X \times l^q$ , and  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Note also that

$$\|f\|_{a,p} = \|\hat{f}\hat{b}\|_p = \sup_{k \in M_q} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{k}_i \hat{f}_i b_i \leq \sup_{k \in B_q} \sup_{\|c\|_{b'} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k_i f_i c_i \right| = \|T(f)\|,$$

so that  $T$  is a norm-preserving map, and  $(\mu_{a,p}, \|\cdot\|_{a,p})$  may be identified with a closed linear subspace of  $B(\mu_b^X, l^q)$ . There is a canonical norm-preserving map  $J$  of the projective tensor product  $\mu_b^X \hat{\otimes} l^q$  into  $B'(\mu_b^X, l^q)$ , the topological dual of  $B(\mu_b^X, l^q)$ . Let  $S$  be the composite map  $T'J$  from  $\mu_b^X \hat{\otimes} l^q$  into  $v_{a,p} = \mu_{a,p}'$ . It is readily verified that if  $c \in \mu_b^X$  and  $k \in l^q$ , then  $S(c \otimes k) = h$ , where  $h_i = c_i k_i$ . Thus  $S$  is a continuous linear mapping of  $\mu_b^X \hat{\otimes} l^q$  onto a dense linear subspace of  $v_{a,p}$ . Identifying  $B(\mu_b^X, l^q)$  with  $(\mu_b^X \hat{\otimes} l^q)'$ , however, it is easy to see that  $S' = T$ . It therefore follows, since  $S'$  is norm-preserving, that  $S$  maps  $\mu_b^X \hat{\otimes} l^q$  onto  $v_{a,p}$ , and that the norm on  $v_{a,p}$  is the quotient norm defined by  $S$  (4, Chapitre IV, p. 298, § 2, Théorème 3, Corollaire 1).

The following theorem therefore follows from the characterization of the projective tensor product of two Banach spaces (5, Chapitre I, p. 51, Théorème 1).

**THEOREM 2.** *A sequence  $x$  belongs to  $v_{a,p}$  if and only if there exist  $\lambda \in l^1$ , a sequence  $(c^{(i)})$  in the unit ball of  $\mu_b^X$ , and a sequence  $(k^{(i)})$  in the unit ball of  $l^q$  such that*

$$(*) \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i c^{(i)} k^{(i)}.$$

Further,  $\|x\| = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|$ , the infimum being taken over all representations of the form (\*).

**6. Concluding remarks.** We conclude by remarking that in general the spaces  $\mu_{a,p}$  and  $\mu_{a,p}^X$  are distinct from, and indeed not linearly isomorphic to, the  $\ell^p$  spaces. For example, take  $a_r = 1/r$ , and suppose, if possible, that  $T$  is a linear isomorphism of  $\mu_{a,p}^X$  onto  $\ell^s$ , for some  $s$ . Clearly,  $1 < s < \infty$ . Let  $x_i = T(e_i)$ ; since  $e_i \rightarrow 0$  in the weak topology  $\sigma(\mu_{a,p}^X, \mu_{a,p})$ ,  $x_i \rightarrow 0$  in the weak topology of  $\ell^s$ . Thus, by (1, *Chapitre XII, Théorème 3*), there exists a subsequence  $(x_{i_k})$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_{i_k} \right\| = O(n^{1/s}).$$

Since  $T$  is an isomorphism, it follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n e_{i_k} \right\|_{a,p} = O(n^{1/s}).$$

However, if  $k \in M_q$  and  $m$  is any positive integer, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m k_i b_i \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^m k_i^q \right)^{1/q} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m i^{-1} \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^m i^{-1} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Thus

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n e_{i_k} \right\|_{a,p} \geq \frac{n}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n i^{-1} \right)^{1/p}},$$

from which it easily follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n e_{i_k} \right\|_{a,p} \neq O(n^{1/s}),$$

giving the required contradiction.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Banach, *Opérations linéaires* (Chelsea, New York, 1955).
2. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*, Livre V: *Espaces vectoriels topologiques*, Chapitres I–II, *Actualités Sci. Indust.*, no. 1189 (Hermann, Paris, 1953).
3. D. J. H. Garling, *On symmetric sequence spaces*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) *16* (1966), 85–105.
4. A. Grothendieck, *Espaces vectoriels topologiques*, 2<sup>e</sup> éd., mimeographed notes (Sociedade de Matemática de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, 1958).
5. ———, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, no. 16, 1955.
6. G. Köthe, *Topologische lineare Räume* (Springer, Berlin, 1960).
7. W. Ruckle, *Symmetric coordinate spaces and symmetric bases*, *Can. J. Math.* *19* (1967), 828–838.
8. W. L. C. Sargent, *Some sequence spaces related to the  $\ell^p$  spaces*, *J. London Math. Soc.* *35* (1960), 161–171.
9. K. Zeller, *Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren* (Springer, Berlin, 1958).
10. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. II (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959).

*St. John's College,  
Cambridge, England*