

## ON SQUARES OF JACOBSON RADICAL RINGS

E.R. PUCZYŁOWSKI AND H. ZAND

We construct several examples of Jacobson radical rings which are not squares of other rings.

Denote by  $J$  and  $N$  the classes of Jacobson radical rings and nilpotent rings, respectively. Let  $J_2 = \{A \mid \text{there is a Jacobson radical ring } R \text{ such that } A = R^2\}$ . Obviously  $J$  is equal to the lower radical class determined by  $J_2 \cup N$ . This was noted in [5], Theorem 1, in the context of a problem of Divinsky [4] to represent the Jacobson radical as a lower radical class. However it is not clear whether the representation is non trivial (that is, whether  $J \neq J_2 \cup N$ ). In [5] an example showing that  $N \not\subseteq J_2$  was constructed (which obviously does not clarify the relation between  $J$  and  $J_2 \cup N$ ) and the problem of finding more examples in  $J \setminus J_2$  was raised. In this note we obtain some results which can be used to construct many such examples. They in particular show that  $J \neq J_2 \cup N$ .

The question studied in this paper is a special case of the following extension problem: given rings  $A$  and  $B$ , describe all rings  $R$  such that  $A \simeq I$ , where  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $R/I \simeq B$ . For some results and further references concerning that problem and its applications we refer to [1] and [6].

All rings in this paper are associative. To denote that  $I$  is an ideal of a ring  $R$  we write  $I \triangleleft R$ . Given a subset  $S$  of a ring  $A$ , we denote by  $l_A(S)$  and  $r_A(S)$  the left and right annihilators of  $S$  in  $A$ , respectively.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Suppose that  $P$  is a ring with an identity,  $p \in P$ ,  $l_{Pp}(Pp) = 0$  and  $Pp \triangleleft R$ . Then*

- (i) *for every  $r \in R$  and  $s \in P$ ,  $s(pr) = (sp)r$ ;*
- (ii)  *$S = \{s \in P \mid sp \in pR\}$  is a subring of  $P$ ;*
- (iii)  *$l_P(p) \triangleleft S$  and  $r_R(p) \triangleleft R$ ;*
- (iv) *there is an isomorphism  $f : R/r_R(p) \rightarrow S/l_P(p)$  such that  $f((Pp + r_R(p))/r_R(p)) = (pP + l_P(p))/l_P(p)$ .*

---

Received 14th June, 1995

This research was supported by the Technical University of Białystok, Poland and the Open University, England.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/96 \$A2.00+0.00.

PROOF: (i) Take any  $x \in Pp$ . Since  $s, p, pr, x$  and  $rx$  are in  $P$ ,  $[s(pr)]x = s[(pr)x]$  and  $(sp)(rx) = s[p(rx)]$ . On the other hand  $p, r, x$  and  $sp$  are in  $R$ , so  $p(rx) = (pr)x$  and  $[(sp)r]x = (sp)(rx)$ . Consequently  $[s(pr) - (sp)r]x = 0$ . Note also that since  $Pp \triangleleft R$ ,  $s(pr)$  and  $(sp)r$  are in  $Pp$ . These show that  $s(pr) - (sp)r \in l_{Pp}(Pp) = 0$ , so  $s(pr) = (sp)r$ .

(ii) Obviously  $S$  is an additive subgroup of  $P$ . Take  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . There are  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  such that  $s_i p = pr_i, i = 1, 2$ . Now  $(s_1 s_2)p = s_1(s_2 p) = s_1(pr_2)$ . By applying (i) we get that  $(s_1 s_2)p = s_1(pr_2) = (s_1 p)r_2 = (pr_1)r_2 = p(r_1 r_2) \in pR$ . Hence  $s_1 s_2 \in S$ .

(iii) Clearly  $l_P(p)$  is a left ideal of  $S$ . Now if  $s \in S$ , then there is  $r \in R$  such that  $sp = pr$ . By applying (i) we get that  $(l_P(p)s)p = l_P(p)(sp) = l_P(p)(pr) = (l_P(p)p)r = 0$ . Hence  $l_P(p)$  is also a right ideal of  $S$ .

Since  $p \in Pp \triangleleft R$ , for every  $x \in R$ , there is  $s \in P$  such that  $px = sp$ . By (i),  $p(xr_R(p)) = (px)r_R(p) = s(pr_R(p)) = 0$ . Consequently  $Rr_R(p) \subseteq \tau_R(p)$ , so  $\tau_R(p)$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

(iv) Since  $p \in Pp$  and  $Pp \triangleleft R$ , for every  $r \in R$  there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sp = pr$ . Observe that if  $s'p = pr$  for some  $s' \in S$ , then  $s - s' \in l_P(p)$ . This shows that on putting  $g(r) = s + l_P(p)$  we get a well defined map  $g : R \rightarrow S/l_P(p)$ . Clearly  $g$  is an additive homomorphism of  $R$  onto  $S/l_P(p)$ . If  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , then by applying (i) we get that  $p(r_1 r_2) = (g(r_1)p)r_2 = g(r_1)(pr_2) = (g(r_1)g(r_2))p$ . Hence  $g(r_1 r_2) = g(r_1)g(r_2)$  and so  $g$  is a ring epimorphism of  $R$  onto  $S/l_P(p)$ . Clearly  $g(Pp) = (pP + l_P(p))/l_P(p)$ . Moreover  $\ker g = \{r \in R \mid pr = 0\} = \tau_R(p)$ . The isomorphism  $f : R/\tau_R(p) \rightarrow S/l_P(p)$  induced by  $g$  is the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Under the notation of Proposition 1, if  $R^2 = Pp$ , then  $S^2 = pP + l_P(p)$ . In particular if  $l_P(p) = 0$ , then  $S^2 = pP$ .*

By applying the above Corollary one can easily find examples of rings in  $J \setminus (J_2 \cup N)$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $A$  be a ring with an identity and  $P = A\{x\}$  be the power series ring over  $A$  in the indeterminate  $x$ . For  $p = x, Pp \in J$  and  $l_P(p) = 0$ . We claim that  $Pp \notin J_2$ . Indeed, assuming that  $Pp \triangleleft R$  and  $R^2 = Pp$  we would get by Corollary 1 that there is a subring  $S$  of  $P$  such that  $S^2 = pP$ , which is impossible.

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $P$  be a commutative local ring with the maximal ideal  $M$ . Obviously  $M \in J$ . Since  $P/M$  is a field, it follows from Corollary 1 that if  $M$  is a principal ideal of  $P$  generated by a regular element  $p$ , then  $M \notin J_2$  or  $M = M^2$ . However if  $M = M^2$ , then  $p = p^2 x$  for some  $x \in P$ . Consequently  $p(1 - px) = 0$  and since  $p$  is regular,  $1 = px$ . Thus  $M = P$ , a contradiction.

As a particular  $P$  one can take any commutative principal ideal domain localised

at a maximal ideal.

Observe that if  $F$  is a field, then  $P = F + x^2F\{x\}$  is a local ring whose maximal ideal  $M = x^2F\{x\}$  is not principal. Since  $M = (xF\{x\})^2$ ,  $M \in J_2$ .

Proposition 1 can be also applied to some other cases of the extension problem. For instance we have:

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose that  $P$  is a ring with an identity and  $p$  is a central regular element of  $P$  such that the ring  $P/pP$  is reduced. If  $pP \triangleleft R$  and  $R/pP$  is a nil ring, then  $R = pP \oplus I$  for a nil ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .*

**PROOF:** By applying Proposition 1 we get that there is a subring  $S$  of  $P$  such that  $pP \subseteq S$  and  $S/pP \simeq R/(pP + r_R(p))$ . However  $S/pP$  is a reduced ring and  $R/(pP + r_R(p))$ , being a homomorphic image of  $R/pP$ , is a nil ring. Hence both of them are equal to zero. Consequently  $R = pP + r_R(p)$ . Since  $p$  is a central element of  $P$ , by applying Proposition 1 (i), we get that  $(pP \cap r_R(p))p = 0$ . However  $p$  is a regular element of  $P$ , so  $pP \cap r_R(p) = 0$ . Consequently  $R = pP \oplus r_R(p)$  and, since  $R/pP \simeq r_R(p)$ ,  $r_R(p)$  is a nil ideal of  $R$ . □

Now we shall present another method of finding rings in  $J \setminus J_2$ .

An algebra over a ring  $D$  is called a *left chain algebra* if the left  $D$ -ideals of the algebra form a chain. In the case when  $D$  is the ring of integers left chain algebras are called *left chain rings*. Obviously every  $D$ -algebra which is a left chain ring is a left chain  $D$ -algebra but not conversely. Commutative left chain algebras are called simply chain algebras.

Many examples of left chain rings can be found in [2,3].

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Suppose that  $A \in J$  is a left chain algebra over a field  $F$ . If for a ring  $R$ ,  $A \triangleleft R$  and  $R^2 = A$ , then  $A^2 = A$  or  $A^2 = 0$ .*

**PROOF:** Take  $0 \neq a \in A$ . Suppose first that  $a \in F(Ra)$ . Then for every  $b \in A$ ,  $ab \in F(Ra)b = RaFb = F(Rab)$  and further  $ab \in F(Rab) \subseteq F(R(RaFb)) = R^2aFb = AaFb = Aab$ . Hence, since  $A \in J$ ,  $ab = 0$ . Consequently  $aA = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $a \notin F(Ra)$ . Then  $I = Aa + Fa \not\subseteq F(Ra)$ . Since  $I$  and  $F(Ra)$  are left  $F$ -ideals of  $A$  and  $A$  is a left chain  $F$ -algebra,  $Aa \subseteq F(Ra) \subset I$ . Since  $\dim_F I/Aa = 1$ ,  $Aa = F(Ra)$ . This implies that  $Aa \subseteq Ra \subseteq F(Ra) = Aa$ , so  $Ra = Aa$ . Now  $Aa = R^2a = R(Ra) = R(Aa) \subseteq Aa$ . Thus  $Aa = RAA$  and further  $RAA = R^2Aa = A^2a$ , which imply that  $Aa = A^2a$ . Consequently for every  $x \in A$  there exists  $y \in A^2$  such that  $xa = ya$ . This gives that  $A = A^2 + l_A(a)$ . Since  $l_A(a)$  and  $A^2$  are left  $F$ -ideals of  $A$ ,  $l_A(a) \subseteq A^2$  or  $A^2 \subseteq l_A(a)$ . In the former case  $A = A^2$  and we are done. In the latter  $A = l_A(a)$ . This and the conclusion of the last paragraph imply that if  $A \neq A^2$ , then for every  $a \in A$ ,  $Aa = 0$  or  $aA = 0$ . Thus  $l_A(A) \cup r_A(A) = A$ . Consequently  $l_A(A) = A$  or  $r_A(A) = A$ . The result follows. □

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $A = xF[x]/x^n F[x]$ , where  $n$  is an integer  $> 2$  and  $F[x]$  is the polynomial ring over a field  $F$  in the indeterminate  $x$ . It is easy to check that  $A$  is a chain  $F$ -algebra. Obviously  $0 \neq A^2 \neq A$ , so by Proposition 2  $A \in J \setminus J_2$ .

Observe that for  $n = 2$  the algebra  $A$  belongs to  $J_2$ . Indeed, in that case  $A \simeq x^2 F[x]/x^3 F[x]$  and  $x^2 F[x]/x^3 F[x] = (xF[x]/x^3 F[x])^2$ .

EXAMPLE 4. Let  $G$  be a linearly ordered Abelian group (written multiplicatively) and let  $P$  be the semigroup of positive elements of  $G$ . Let  $R = F[P \cup \{1\}]$  be the semigroup algebra of the semigroup  $P \cup \{1\}$  over a field  $F$ . Observe that  $F[P]$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , so for  $S = R \setminus F[P]$ ,  $A = S^{-1}R$  is a local  $F$ -algebra with the maximal ideal  $M = S^{-1}F[P]$ . Every principal proper ideal of  $A$  is of the form  $Ap$  for some  $p \in P$  and if  $p, q \in P$ ,  $p \leq q$ , then  $Aq \subseteq Ap$ . Hence  $A$  is a chain ring. All  $F$ -ideals of  $M$  are ideals of  $A$ , so  $M$  is a chain  $F$ -algebra. Observe that  $A^2 = A$  if and only if  $P^2 = P$ . Thus Proposition 2 implies that if  $P^2 \neq P$ , then  $A \in J \setminus J_2$ .

The algebra  $A$  in Example 4 is a chain ring. However its ideal  $M$  is a chain ring if and only if  $F$  is a finite prime field. It is a consequence of the following more general observation.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If an algebra  $R$  over a field  $F$  is a Jacobson radical left chain ring, then  $F$  is a finite prime field.*

PROOF: Let  $K$  be the subring of  $F$  generated by 1. It suffices to show that  $K = F$ . Take  $0 \neq r \in R$  and  $f \in F$ . Observe that  $Kfr + Rr$  and  $Kr + Rr$  are left ideals of the ring  $R$ . Thus  $Kfr + Rr \subseteq Kr + Rr$  or  $Kr + Rr \subseteq Kfr + Rr$ . In the former case  $fr \in kr + Rr$  for some  $k \in K$ . If  $f \neq k$ , then  $r \in Rr$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $R \in J$ . Thus  $f = k \in K$ . In the later case,  $r \in kfr + Rr$  for some  $k \in K$ . Hence  $(1 - kf)r \in Rr$ . If  $kf \neq 1$ , then  $r \in Rr$ , a contradiction. Thus  $k = f^{-1} \in F$ . Consequently  $K = F$  and the result follows.  $\square$

It is natural to ask whether if  $A \in J_2$  is a left chain ring, then  $A = A^2$  or  $A^2 = 0$ . We close by showing that the answer to this question is negative.

EXAMPLE 5. Let  $R$  be the ring of integers localised at the set of odd integers. Clearly  $R$  is a commutative local ring with the maximal ideal  $M = 2R$ . Every non-zero ideal of  $R$  is of the form  $2^k R$  for a non-negative integer  $k$ . Moreover for every  $k$ , the additive group of  $R/2^k R$  is cyclic of order  $2^k$ . Let  $A = M^2$ . Take an ideal  $I$  in  $A$  and put  $\bar{I} = RI$ . Obviously  $\bar{I}$  and  $4\bar{I}$  are ideals of  $R$ , so the additive group of  $\bar{I}/4\bar{I}$  is cyclic of order 4. However  $4\bar{I} = 4RI = M^2 I \subseteq I \subseteq \bar{I}$ , so  $I = \bar{I}$  or  $I = 2\bar{I}$  or  $I = 4\bar{I}$ . In all cases  $I \triangleleft R$ . Hence  $A$  is a chain ring. Clearly  $A \in J_2$  and  $A \neq A^2 \neq 0$ .

REFERENCES

[1] K.I. Beidar, ‘On essential extensions, maximal essential extensions and iterated maximal

- essential extensions in radical theory', in *Theory of Radicals (Proc. Conf. Szekszard, 1991)*, Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **61** (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1993), pp. 17–26.
- [2] C. Bessenrodt-Timmerscheidt, H.H. Brungs and G. Törner, *Right chain rings, Part 1*, Schriftenreihe des FB Mathematik **74** (Universität Duisburgi, 1985).
- [3] C. Bessenrodt-Timmerscheidt, H.H. Brungs and G. Törner, *Right chain rings*, Schriftenreihe des FB Mathematik **101** (Universität Duisburg, 1990).
- [4] N.J. Divinsky, *Rings and radicals* (Allen and Unwin, 1965).
- [5] Y. L. Lee, 'A note on the Jacobson radical', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118** (1993), 337–338.
- [6] E. R. Puczyłowski, 'On essential extensions of rings', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **35** (1987), 379–386.

Faculty of Mathematics  
University of Warsaw  
02-907 Warsaw, Banach 2  
Poland  
e-mail: edmundp@mimuw.edu.pl

IET and Faculty of  
Mathematics and Computing  
The Open University  
Walton Hall, MiltonKeynes MK7 6AA  
England  
e-mail: h.zand@open.ac.uk