

## ON MÖBIUS FUNCTIONS AND A PROBLEM IN COMBINATORIAL NUMBER THEORY

BY  
BERNT LINDSTRÖM

**1. Introduction.** After the publication of the important paper by Rota [9] on Möbius functions a large number of papers have appeared in which the ideas are applied or generalized in various directions, the papers by Crapo [3], Smith [10] and Tainiter [11] are some of them. The theory of Möbius functions is now recognized as a valuable tool in combinatorial and arithmetical research.

It is the purpose of the present note to prove a valuable property of Möbius functions and then to apply this to generalize the method in [5] to construct detecting sets of vectors. We recall that a set of vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  was said to be detecting if all the sums  $\sum_1^n \epsilon_i v_i$  ( $\epsilon_i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ) are different. The result depends on the function  $h_k(x)$ , which is defined as the maximum number  $h$  for which there exist integers  $d_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, h$ ) in the interval  $1 \leq d_i \leq x$  such that the sums  $\sum_1^h \epsilon_i d_i$  ( $\epsilon_i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ) are different.

The problem to estimate  $h_2(x)$  from above has been studied by Erdős and Moser (cf. [4]). The conjecture of Erdős in [4] that  $h_2(2^k) \geq k + 2$  for sufficiently large  $k$  has been studied by Conway and Guy [2].

**2. Möbius functions.** Let  $P$  be a finite partially ordered set. The Möbius function  $\mu(x, y)$  of  $P$  is defined for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $P$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \mu(x, x) = 1$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mu(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \not\leq y$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mu(x, y) = - \sum_{z: x \leq z < y} \mu(x, z) \quad \text{if } x < y.$$

By duality [9, p. 345] is

$$(2.4) \quad \mu(x, y) = - \sum_{z: x < z \leq y} \mu(z, y) \quad \text{if } x < y.$$

Observe that the function  $\mu(x, y)$  is integervalued. When  $P$  is the Boolean algebra of all subsets of a finite set is

$$(2.5) \quad \mu(x, y) = (-1)^{n(y) - n(x)} \quad \text{if } x \subset y,$$

where  $n(x)$  is the cardinality of  $x$ . A similar formula holds for the lattice associated with a convex polytope (cf. [7]).

We shall prove the following theorem.

---

Received by the editors July 24, 1970.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $P$  be a finite partially ordered set with 0 and a unique last element 1. Let  $\mu(x, y)$  be the Möbius function of  $P$ . Put  $m = \sum_{x \in P} |\mu(x, 1)|$ .  $m$  is then an even integer. Let  $n$  be an arbitrary integer in the interval  $0 \leq n \leq m/2$ . Then there exists a function  $f(x) = 0$  or 1 on  $P$  such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{x: 0 < x \leq 1} f(x)\mu(x, 1) = -n \operatorname{sign} \mu(0, 1),$$

where  $\operatorname{sign} a = 1$  if  $a \geq 0$  and  $\operatorname{sign} a = -1$  if  $a < 0$ .

**Proof.** We shall first prove another related result. Let  $e$  be an arbitrary integer in the interval  $0 \leq e \leq m$ . We shall then prove the existence of a function  $g(x) = 1$  or  $-1$  such that  $g(0) = 1$  and

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{x: 0 \leq x \leq 1} g(x)\mu(x, 1) = e \operatorname{sign} \mu(0, 1).$$

Let  $Y$  be an arbitrary subset of  $P$  such that  $y \in Y$  and  $y < z$  (in  $P$ ) implies  $z \in Y$ . Then  $Y$  is partially ordered by  $<$  and the Möbius function of  $Y$  is the restriction to  $Y$  of  $\mu(x, y)$ . Put

$$m_Y = \sum_{y \in Y} |\mu(y, 1)|.$$

We shall prove by induction on the number of elements in  $Y$  that

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{y \in Y} g(y)\mu(y, 1) = -m_Y, -m_Y + 2, \dots, \text{ or } m_Y$$

for a suitable function  $g(y) = 1$  or  $-1$  on  $Y$ . This is true when the cardinality of  $Y$  is  $|Y| = 1$ , in which case  $Y = \{1\}$  and  $\mu(1, 1) = 1$ .

Assume that  $|Y| > 1$ . Let  $c$  denote a minimal element in  $Y$  and put  $Z = Y - \{c\}$ . By the inductive assumption it follows that we can find  $g(y) = 1$  or  $-1$  on  $Z$  such that

$$\sum_{y \in Z} g(y)\mu(y, 1) = \text{any of } -m_Z, -m_Z + 2, \dots, \text{ or } m_Z.$$

It follows that the sum (2.8) equals any of the integers  $-m_Z \pm \mu(c, 1)$ ,  $-m_Z \pm \mu(c, 1) + 2, \dots$ , or  $m_Z \pm \mu(c, 1)$  if  $g(c) = \pm 1$ . Since  $m_Y = m_Z + |\mu(c, 1)|$  and  $|\mu(c, 1)| \leq m_Y$  by (2.4) and the triangle inequality, it follows that (2.8) is true for a suitable function  $g(y) = 1$  or  $-1$  on  $Y$ . In the special case when  $Y = P$  is 0 one of the possible values by (2.4) and  $m$  must be even.

We apply the preceding result to  $Y = P - \{0\}$ . Put  $g(0) = \operatorname{sign} \mu(0, 1)$ . Since  $|\mu(0, 1)| \leq m_Y$  by (2.4), it follows that for any even  $e$  in  $0 \leq e \leq m$  we can find  $g(y) = 1$  or  $-1$  on  $Y$  such that the value of the sum in (2.7) is  $e$ . We multiply the equality by  $\operatorname{sign} \mu(0, 1)$  and (2.7) follows for the function  $g(x) \operatorname{sign} \mu(0, 1) = G(x)$ .

If we subtract (2.7) from  $\sum_{y \in P} \mu(y, 1) = 0$  and divide by 2, we obtain (2.6) with  $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - G(y))$  and  $f(0) = 0$  since  $G(0) = 1$ .

**3. Detecting sets.** A proof of the following lemma can be found in [6]. For the definition of semilattices (cf. [1, p. 24]).

LEMMA. Let  $P$  be a finite semilattice with Möbius function  $\mu(x, y)$ . Let  $a, b \in P$  and  $b \not\leq a$ . Let  $f(x)$  be defined for all  $x \leq a \wedge b$  with values in a commutative ring with unit. Then we have

$$\sum_{x: x \leq b} f(x \wedge a)\mu(x, b) = 0.$$

The lemma in [5, p. 481] is a special case when  $P$  is a subsemilattice of a Boolean algebra. The value of the Möbius function can be found by (2.5) in this case.

We shall now prove our main result.

THEOREM 2. Let  $P$  be a finite semilattice with  $m + 1$  elements. The product in  $P$  is  $a \wedge b$  and  $P$  is partially ordered such that  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a = a \wedge b$ . The first element in  $P$  is  $\theta$ . Put  $m_j = \sum_{x: x \leq y} |\mu(x, y)|$ . Then there exists a detecting set containing  $\sum_{y > \theta} h_k(m_y/2)$  vectors of dimension  $m$  with all components 0 or 1.

Proof. Let  $x_0 = \theta, x_1, \dots, x_m$  be an enumeration of  $P$  such that  $x_i < x_j$  holds only if  $i < j$ . We shall write  $m_i$  instead of  $m_y$  if  $y = x_i$ .

Consider a particular  $i$  in the interval  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Let  $d_{i1}, \dots, d_{ih}$ , where  $h = h_k(m_i/2)$ , be a detecting sequence of integers with  $1 \leq d_{ij} \leq m_i/2$  for  $j = 1, \dots, h$ . By Theorem 1 we can find a function  $f_{ij}(x) = 0$  or 1 on  $P$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{x: \theta < x \leq x_i} f_{ij}(x)\mu(x, x_i) = -d_{ij} \text{ sign } \mu(\theta, x_i).$$

Then we have by the lemma

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{v=1}^m f_{ij}(x_v \wedge x_i)\mu(x_v, x_r) = 0 \quad \text{if } i < r.$$

We shall prove that the set of all vectors

$$(3.3) \quad v_{ij} = (f_{ij}(x_1 \wedge x_i), \dots, f_{ij}(x_m \wedge x_i)),$$

where  $j = 1, \dots, h_k(m_i/2)$  and  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , is a detecting set. In order to prove this assume that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i,j} e_{ij}v_{ij} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (e_{ij} = -k, \dots, 0, \dots, \text{ or } k),$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq h_k(m_i/2)$ . We shall prove that all  $e_{ij} = 0$ . If this is not true let  $r$  be the last  $i$  such that  $e_{ij} \neq 0$  for some  $j$ . We multiply the  $v$ th component on both members of (3.4) by  $-\mu(x_v, x_r) \text{ sign } \mu(\theta, x_r)$  and take the sum for  $v = 1, \dots, m$ . Then we obtain by (3.2) and (3.3)

$$\sum_{j=1}^h e_{rj}d_{rj} = 0,$$

where  $h = h_k(m_r/2)$ . From the fact that the sequence  $d_{rj}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, h$ ) is detecting it follows that  $e_{rj} = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, h$  in contradiction to the assumption that  $e_{rj} \neq 0$  for some  $j$ . Hence all  $e_{ij} = 0$  and we have proved that the set of all vectors  $v_{ij}$  defined in

(3.3) is a detecting set. The cardinality of the set is easily determined and the theorem is proved.

EXAMPLES. It seems to be a difficult problem to find the best possible estimate for given  $m$ . For certain classes of semilattices it is possible to find the best estimates. Consider e.g. the class of complexes in Boolean algebras. By the method in [8] it can be proved that the best possible choice was already made in [5].

If we apply the detecting sequences of Conway and Guy [2] one can improve the estimate  $F_2(m) \geq A(m)$  in [5] to  $F_2(m) \geq A(m) + m - C$  for a constant  $C$ , but this is a real improvement only if  $m$  is very large ( $m \geq 2^{21}$ ).

If we apply Theorem 2 to a suitable semilattice it is possible to improve the estimate  $F_2(m) \geq A(m)$  even for moderate  $m$ . We give an example when  $m = 10$ . Let  $P$  be the lattice of the integers 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 14, 21, 35, 210 ordered by divisibility ( $x \leq y$  if  $x$  divides  $y$ ). The value of  $m_y/2$  for  $y > \theta$  is 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 4 respectively. Since  $h_2(1) = 1$ ,  $h_2(2) = 2$  and  $h_2(7) \geq 4$  (the sequence 3, 5, 6, 7 is detecting), we obtain a detecting set of cardinality 18, which is an improvement since  $A(10) = 17$  (cf. [5, p. 481]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. In publishing this note I would like to mention how much I am indebted to late Professors Alfréd Rényi and Leo Moser for their kind interest in my work on the detection problem.

I am also very grateful to the Committee of the Calgary International Conference on Combinatorial Structures and Their Applications for the grant which made it possible for me to attend the conference in Calgary, June, 1969. During the conference I had the opportunity to meet Professor Rényi and Professor Moser for the first and, sad to say, last time in my life.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc. 1961.
2. J. H. Conway and R. K. Guy, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **15**, 1969, p. 345.
3. H. H. Crapo, *Möbius inversion in lattices*, Arch. Math. **XIX**, (1968), 595–607.
4. P. Erdős, *Quelques problèmes de la théorie des nombres*, Mon. L'Enseign. Math. **6**, Genève, Problème 30, Soc. Math. Suisse, 1963, p. 101.
5. B. Lindström, *On a combinatorial problem in number theory*, Canad. Math. Bull. **8** (1965), 477–490.
6. ———, *Determinants on semilattices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 207–208.
7. ———, *On the realization of convex polytopes, Euler's formula and Möbius functions*, Aequationes Math., (to appear).
8. B. Lindström and H.-O. Zetterström, *A combinatorial problem in the  $k$ -adic number system*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 166–170.
9. G.-C. Rota, *On the foundations of combinatorial theory, I Theory of Möbius functions*, Z. Wahrsch. **2** (1964), 340–368.
10. D. Smith, *Incidence functions as generalized arithmetic functions, I, II, III*, Duke Math. J. **34**, 1967, pp. 617–634, **36**, 1969, pp. 15–30, 353–368.
11. M. Tainiter, *Generating functions on idempotent semigroups with application to combinatorial analysis*, J. Combinatorial Theory **5** (1968), 273–288.

UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM,  
STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN