

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cogroupoid structures on the circle and the Hodge degeneration

Tasos Moulinos 

Institute for advanced study, USA.

Received: 26 November 2022; **Revised:** 9 October 2023; **Accepted:** 8 November 2023

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: *Primary* – 14F40; *Secondary* – 55P35

Abstract

We exhibit the Hodge degeneration from nonabelian Hodge theory as a 2-fold delooping of the filtered loop space E_2 -groupoid in formal moduli problems. This is an iterated groupoid object which in degree 1 recovers the filtered circle S^1_{fil} of [MRT22]. This exploits a hitherto unstudied additional piece of structure on the topological circle, that of an E_2 -cogroupoid object in the ∞ -category of spaces. We relate this cogroupoid structure with the more commonly studied ‘pinch map’ on S^1 , as well as the Todd class of the Lie algebroid \mathbb{T}_X ; this is an invariant of a smooth and proper scheme X that arises, for example, in the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem. In particular, we relate the existence of nontrivial Todd classes for schemes to the failure of the pinch map to be formal in the sense of rational homotopy theory. Finally, we record some consequences of this bit of structure at the level of Hochschild cohomology.

1. Introduction

The de Rham cohomology of a ring or scheme comes equipped with a complete, decreasing filtration, known as the *Hodge filtration*. This has been studied in many capacities, and in particular occupies a paradigmatic role in the theory of Hodge structures. Carlos Simpson, in his work on nonabelian Hodge theory, understood this filtration in the geometric language of stacks, using the paradigm of filtrations and geometric objects over the stack $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Using a deformation to the normal cone construction of Fulton-Macpherson (cf. [Ful84]), Simpson displayed the stack parametrizing λ -connections as a 1-parameter degeneration of the de Rham stack X_{dR} , which itself parametrizes bundles with flat connection over a fixed scheme X . These λ -connections are exactly the objects which interpolate between Higgs bundles and bundles with flat connection and give rise, upon passing to subcategories of *harmonic bundles*, to equivalences between the two structures.

Meanwhile, there exists another one-parameter degeneration relating a *sheared* version of the de Rham cohomology of a scheme with its *Hochschild homology*. This was studied in depth in [MRT22] (see also [Rak20] for another perspective on the matter), wherein the authors constructed a filtration on Hochschild homology whose associated graded recovers the *derived de Rham algebra*. This was accomplished algebro-geometrically, by way of the *filtered loop space* $\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X)$; this is a relative derived scheme over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ which base-changes to the loop space $\mathcal{L}X$ and to the shifted tangent bundle $\mathcal{T}_X[-1]$, thus recovering the S^1 -equivariant HKR filtration on Hochschild homology.

The purpose of this work is to relate these two constructions in the setting of derived geometry. Our main theorem can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a derived scheme. Then the filtered loop space $\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X)$ fits as the degree $(1, 1)$ piece of a 2-groupoid $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet, \bullet}_{fil}(X)$ in formal derived stacks over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Taking the 2-fold delooping of this*

groupoid gives the following equivalence:

$$B^{(2)}\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) \simeq X_{Hod}.$$

As a corollary, one obtains the following relationship between the de Rham space X_{dR} and the loop space $\mathcal{L}X$:

Corollary 1.2. *The derived loop space $\mathcal{L}X$ admits a 2-groupoid structure whose iterated delooping is the de Rham space – that is, there is an equivalence*

$$B^{(2)}(\mathcal{L}X) \simeq X_{dR}$$

of formal derived stacks.

These statements follow directly from a canonical E_2 -cogroupoid (which we often call a 2-cogroupoid) structure on the filtered loop space, S^1_{fil} . More precisely, the filtered loop space sits as the ‘(1, 1) space of morphisms’ of a bi-cosimplicial stack satisfying an analog of the Segal conditions (cf. [Lur09a, Section 6.1]). Given a stack X , the formation of mapping objects out of a E_2 -cogroupoid object into X gives rise to a E_2 -groupoid; in this particular case where the cogroupoid is S^1_{fil} , we obtain $\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)$.

We note the following interesting consequence about the filtered circle. In [MRT22], it was verified that, when working over \mathbb{Q} , there is an equivalence

$$(S^1_{fil})^u := \eta^*(S^1_{fil}) \simeq B\mathbb{G}_a \simeq \iota(S^1_{fil}) =: S^1_{gr}.$$

Here, $\eta : \text{Spec}k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ denotes the inclusion of the ‘generic’ point of $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, whereas $\iota : B\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ denotes the inclusion of the ‘closed’ point; in the language of [Mou21b], restriction of a stack $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ along these maps recovers the underlying and associated graded stacks of \mathcal{X} , respectively.

Thus, S^1_{fil} is a constant degeneration (see Section 7.1 for this terminology) of stacks. This is not the case when one takes the E_2 -cogroupoid structure into account:

Corollary 1.3. *The E_2 -cogroupoid $S^1_{fil}^{\bullet,\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ is not a constant degeneration of E_2 -cogroupoids. In particular, the pullbacks of $S^1_{fil}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ along $\text{Spec}k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ and $B\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ are not equivalent.*

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we work in the setting of formal geometry and formal moduli problems. As a clue for why we find ourselves in this setting essentially at the outset, we remark that the derived loop space $\mathcal{L}X$ is formally complete along X when X is a scheme. More generally, we will see that the filtered loop space obtains the structure of an E_2 -groupoid $\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)$ in the ∞ -category of formal moduli problems over X . Meanwhile, the map $X \rightarrow X_{dR}$ to the de Rham space of X is also a nil-isomorphism. In fact, X_{dR} is the final object in the category of formal moduli problems under X .

A key property which we exploit in the setting of formal moduli problems is the following: given a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, which is a nil-isomorphism, Y may be recovered as the classifying space of the Čech nerve. In this sense, the class of nil-isomorphisms plays the same role within formal geometry as the class of effective epimorphisms in derived geometry. Due to all this, the relation between the Hodge degeneration and the filtered loop space is most easily distilled in this setting of formal moduli problems, which we shall briefly review in Section 2.

1.1. The pinch map on S^1 and the Todd class

In a very influential paper [Mar09], N. Markarian described the Todd genus of a smooth proper scheme X as an invariant volume form with respect to the Hopf algebra structure on Hochschild homology. This was made more precise in [KP19], in terms of the formal group structure on the derived loop space $\mathcal{L}(X)$. In this paper, we expand on this story by describing the group structure on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ as arising from an E_1 -cogroupoid structure on the circle S^1 . This E_1 -cogroupoid structure, equivalently a cogroup

structure on S^1 as a *pointed space*, is none other than the well-known ‘pinch map’, which gives rise to the group structure on the fundamental groups of topological spaces. This E_1 cogroupoid, which exists since S^1 is a suspension of a space, can also be extracted as either the row or column 1 sub-cogroupoid of the E_2 -cogroupoid $S^{1,\bullet,\bullet}$. It is well known that over the rationals, the cochain dga $C^*(S^1, k)$ is formal, so that there is an equivalence

$$C^*(S^1, k) \simeq H^*(S^1, k).$$

We show that this formality statement is not true at the level of E_1 cogroupoids, and in particular, this failure is measured by the Todd class:

Theorem 1.4. *The existence of nontrivial Todd classes implies that the cogroupoid (equivalently pointed cgroup) structure on S^1 corresponding to the pinch map is not formal.*

One can summarize the above by saying that the ‘pinch map’ on S^1 is not formal, even though the dga of cochains on S^1 is well known to be formal when working rationally. Furthermore, the difference between the induced groupoid structure on $C^*(S^1, k)$ and that on $H^*(S^1, k)$ manifests itself algebro-geometrically, by way of the two different group structures on the shifted tangent bundle $\mathcal{T}_X[-1]$, which, in turn, is measured by the Todd class of the tangent Lie algebroid \mathbb{T}_X .

1.2. Remarks on Hochschild cohomology

The cogroupoid structures on S^1 also manifest themselves at the level of Hochschild *cohomology*. As we will remark in Section 8, the well-known E_2 -algebra structure on Hochschild cohomology together with its (dual) HKR filtration arises from the E_2 -cogroupoid S^1_{fil} .

Proposition 1.5. *Let X be a derived scheme. The E_2 -cogroupoid S^1_{fil} gives rise to filtration on $\mathrm{HH}^*(X)$ compatible with its E_2 -algebra structure.*

Perhaps more surprisingly, we highlight that one only needs an E_1 -cogroupoid structure on the zero sphere S^0 to recover the E_2 -algebra structure on Hochschild cohomology. As the zero sphere is not a suspension of a space, there exists no cgroup structure on it; in general, there is no group structure on $\pi_0(X)$ of a topological space in general. However, by taking the conerve of the map $\emptyset \rightarrow *$ from the initial object to the final object in spaces, we recover a cogroupoid with S^0 in degree 1.

We summarize the corresponding discussion in Section 8 with the following proposition:

Proposition 1.6. *The cogroupoid $S^{0,\bullet}_{fil}$ (cf. Section 3) gives rise to a monoidal structure on*

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dStk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}}(S^0_{fil}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})), \tag{1.7}$$

the ∞ -category of quasi coherent sheaves on the mapping stack $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dStk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}}(S^0_{fil}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})$. This specializes, by pulling back along the generic fiber, to the convolution monoidal structure on

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times X) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_k(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(X)).$$

Forming endomorphisms of the unit in (1.7) gives an E_2 -algebra $\mathrm{HH}^*_{fil}(X)$ in filtered complexes $\mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Mod}_k)$, which specializes along the generic fiber of $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ to Hochschild cohomology.

Finally, we remark that one may iterate the constructions to obtain E_{n+1} cogroupoids $S^{n,\bullet,\dots,\bullet}_{fil}$ over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. These give rise, verbatim to the filtrations on iterated Hochschild cohomology $\mathrm{HH}^*_{E_n}$ compatible with the E_{n+1} -algebra structure.

Conventions. In general, we work over a characteristic zero base k , although many of the constructions work more generally. In another vein, we work freely in the setting of ∞ -categories and higher algebra from [Lur17]. Similarly, we heavily utilize at times the formalism of formal geometry in [GR19, GR20], which, in particular, does depend on the fact we work over \mathbb{Q} .

2. Formal moduli problems and formal groupoids

Much of the interesting geometry of a derived stack \mathcal{X} is detectable at the infinitesimal level. Heuristically, a derived stack can be viewed as a family of infinitesimal thickenings of a scheme, parametrized by its points. In the language of formal geometry, this can be made precise by the slogan that the map $X \rightarrow X_{dR}$ of a (nice enough) derived stack to its de Rham stack is a crystal of formal derived stacks. The reader may consult [CPT+17a, Section 2] for more on this perspective.

The sought-after relationship between the filtered loop space and the de Rham stack will exploit the fact that these two objects admit the structure of a *formal moduli problem* (at least when X is a scheme) relative to X . A key feature of the theory of formal moduli problems, which we lift from [GR20], is the well behaved correspondence between groupoid objects and formal moduli problems under X . We will eventually exploit this to relate the filtered loop space with the Hodge degeneration X_{Hod} .

As we follow the formalism developed in [GR20], we review some basic constructions and definitions found therein. In particular, we highlight the distinction between formal moduli problems *over* a given stack and formal moduli problems *under* a stack. In order to proceed, we first recall what it means for a stack to admit a *deformation theory*. The basic setup here is over a field k of characteristic zero.

2.1. DAG preliminaries

Before diving into some formal geometry, we review the notions of derived stacks which we will be working in.

We recall that there are two variants of ‘derived’ geometric objects, one whose affine objects are connective E_∞ -rings and one where the affine objects are simplicial commutative rings. In characteristic zero, the two contexts are equivalent. We review parallel constructions from both simultaneously, as we will switch between both settings.

Fix a commutative ring R and let $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{cn}}, \text{sCAlg}_R\}$ denote either of the ∞ -category of connective R -algebras or the ∞ -category of simplicial commutative R -algebras. Recall that the latter can be characterised as the completion via sifted colimits of the category of (discrete) free R -algebras. There exists a functor

$$\theta : \text{sCAlg}_R \rightarrow \text{CAlg}_R^{\text{cn}},$$

which takes the underlying connective E_∞ -algebra of a simplicial commutative algebra. This preserves limits and colimits so is, in fact, monadic and comonadic. In characteristic zero, this is, in fact, an equivalence, and this is often the setting we will find ourselves in within this paper.

In any case, one may define a derived stack via its functor of points, as an object of the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$ satisfying hyperdescent with respect to a suitable topology on \mathcal{C}^{op} (e.g., the étale topology). Throughout the sequel, we distinguish the context we are working in by letting dStk_R denote the ∞ -category of derived stacks and let $\text{dStk}_R^{E_\infty}$ denote the ∞ -category of ‘spectral stacks’ over R . In either case, one obtains an ∞ -topos, which is Cartesian closed, so that it makes sense to talk about internal mapping objects: given any two $X, Y \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$, one forms the mapping stack $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$. In various cases of interest, if the source and/or target is suitably representable by a derived scheme or a derived Artin stack, then this is the case for $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ as well.

In addition, we also will occasionally find ourselves working with *higher stacks*. This genre of geometric objects was introduced by Simpson and essentially is composed of stacks on the site of discrete commutative rings equipped with for example the étale or fppf topology. For the sake of maintaining a somewhat self-contained exposition, we now define this as following:

Definition 2.1. Let Aff_R be the category of affine schemes, equivalently the opposite of the category of discrete commutative R -algebras equipped with some (classical) Grothendieck topology. Then we set

$$\text{Stk}_R := \text{Shv}_R^\tau := \text{Fun}(\text{CAlg}_R, \mathcal{S})^\tau$$

to be the ∞ -category of higher stacks, equivalently that of sheaves of spaces with respect to the topology τ .

Remark 2.2. Higher stacks provide the natural ambient setting for the notion of *affine stacks*, introduced by Toën in [Toë06], which we will briefly review in Section 4. The cogroupoid objects we construct and study in this Section 4 will typically live in this setting of higher stacks. It is an interesting phenomenon that this setting, which is somehow ‘discrete in the domain’ and ‘derived’ or ‘homotopical’ in the target, provides a home for affine objects which are by their very nature coconnective, at least when viewed as E_∞ -algebras.

2.2. Formal moduli problems

In this section, we set up the necessary background regarding formal moduli problems. These objects capture the infinitesimal part of the geometry of derived stacks and feature prominently in this work. First we recall some auxiliary notions leading up to the notion of a formal moduli problem.

Definition 2.3. A stack \mathcal{X} is *convergent* or *nil-complete* if for derived affine scheme $\text{Spec}B$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{X}(B) \rightarrow \lim_k \mathcal{X}(\tau_{\leq k} B)$$

is an equivalence.

Definition 2.4. ([GR20, Section 0.1]) Let \mathcal{X} be a derived stack. We say that \mathcal{X} admits a *deformation theory* if it is convergent, and such that for every pushout square of affine schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_1 & \longrightarrow & S_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S'_1 & \longrightarrow & S'_2, \end{array}$$

where the map $S_1 \rightarrow S'_1$ is a *nilpotent embedding* (i.e., the map on truncations is a closed embedding with nilpotent ideal of definition), the resulting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}(S'_2, \mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(S_2, \mathcal{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}(S'_1, \mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(S_1, \mathcal{X}), \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram.

Remark 2.5. In fact, this is enough, by [GR20], to guarantee the existence of the *pro-cotangent complex* of \mathcal{X} . This is an assignment, for every derived scheme $x : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, of a pro-object

$$T_x^*(\mathcal{X}) \in \text{Pro}(\text{QCoh}(S)),$$

which governs the infinitesimal behavior of \mathcal{X} at the point x .

Remark 2.6. Any n -Artin stack, for example, satisfies the properties of Definition 2.4 and thus admits a deformation theory.

We also recall the notion of ‘locally almost of finite type’ (=lft) from [GR19]. For this, we first need to recall what it means for an (derived) affine scheme to be locally of finite type.

Definition 2.7. Let $X = \text{Spec}A$ be a derived affine scheme. Then X is of finite type if $\pi_0(A)$ is of finite type over k and if each $\pi_n(A)$ is finitely generated as a module over $\pi_0(A)$.

Next, one defines what it means for a (pre)stack to be locally of finite type.

Definition 2.8. Let \mathcal{X} be an ‘ n -coconnective’ derived stack. We say that \mathcal{X} is locally of finite type if it arises as the left Kan extension of its own restriction along the embedding

$$\text{Sch}_{\text{ft}}^{\text{aff}} \hookrightarrow \text{Sch}^{\text{aff}}.$$

In particular, this means that \mathcal{X} is locally of finite type if it is determined by its values on affine schemes of finite type.

Now we define what it means for a general stack to be ‘laft’.

Definition 2.9. Let \mathcal{X} be an arbitrary stack. Then we say that it is locally almost of finite type if the following conditions hold:

- \mathcal{X} is nil-complete (or convergent) (Definition 2.3)
- For every n , we have that ${}^{\leq n}\mathcal{X}$ is locally of finite type.

Remark 2.10. A key reason for working with laft stacks (as well as the notion of an *inf* scheme appearing in the following definition) is that this is the ‘right’ framework in order to set up the correct functoriality for $\text{IndCoh}(-)$. For the sake of completeness, we have included the definitions, but we will not need to focus on this condition in any particular depth.

We are finally ready to define the main objects of this section: formal moduli problems.

Definition 2.11. Let \mathcal{X} be a derived stack locally almost of finite type. The ∞ -category of formal moduli problems *over* \mathcal{X} is the full subcategory spanned by $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ for which the map is

- *inf*-schematic. This means that the base change along a map $\text{Spec}B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an *inf*-scheme (i.e., it satisfies the laft condition), it admits a deformation theory and its reduction will be a reduced quasi-compact scheme. (cf. [GR20, Chapter 2])
- a nil-isomorphism. Recall that this means that the map of the ‘reduced stacks’ $\mathcal{X}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\text{red}}$ is an isomorphism.

We denote this category by $\text{FMP}_{/\mathcal{X}}$.

Next, we define the notion of formal moduli problems under a fixed (pre)stack.

Definition 2.12. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \text{dStk}_{\text{laft-def}}$ be a fixed (pre)stack which is both locally almost of finite type and which admits a deformation theory. The ∞ -category of formal moduli problems *under* \mathcal{X} is spanned by those $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ for which

- \mathcal{Y} is itself locally of finite type and admits a deformation theory.
- the map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a nil-isomorphism.

We denote this ∞ -category by $\text{FMP}_{\mathcal{X}/}$.

Next, we define the notion of a formal groupoid:

Definition 2.13. Let \mathcal{X} be a fixed derived stack, locally almost of finite type. We let $\text{FormGrpoid}(\mathcal{X})$ denote the ∞ -category of groupoid objects in $\text{FMP}_{/\mathcal{X}}$.

The key result which we borrow from this theory will be the following:

Theorem 2.14. ([GR20, Theorem 2.3.2] *Let \mathcal{X} be a stack which admits a deformation theory. Then there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories:*

$$B_{\mathcal{X}} : \text{FormGrpoid}(\mathcal{X}) \rightleftarrows \text{FormMod}_{\mathcal{X}/} : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}}(-).$$

In effect, this states that there is a well-defined procedure of taking the quotient by a formal groupoid to obtain a formal moduli problem under \mathcal{X} .

Remark 2.15. It is sensible to study E_2 -formal groupoids and, indeed, E_n -formal groupoids. As a formal consequence of Theorem 2.14, there exists an ‘iterated’ quotient or classifying stack of an n -formal groupoid over \mathcal{X} ; this will give rise to a formal moduli problem under \mathcal{X} .

Corollary 2.16. *Let \mathcal{X} be as above. Then there exists an equivalence*

$$B_{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)} : \text{FormGrpoid}^{(n)}(\mathcal{X}) \rightleftarrows \text{FormMod}_{\mathcal{X}/} : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}}^{(n)}(-).$$

Here, the left-hand side denotes the ∞ -category of E_n -groupoid objects in $\text{FormGrpoid}/_{\mathcal{X}}$.

2.3. Formal completions

Given a morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, there exists a canonical formal moduli problem under \mathcal{X} ; we may view this as an infinitesimal thickening on \mathcal{X} in \mathcal{Y} . We now briefly review this construction cf. [GR20, CPT+17b].

Construction 2.17. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of derived stacks. The formal completion of \mathcal{Y} along \mathcal{X} is defined to be the pullback

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\wedge} := \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{Y}_{dR}} \mathcal{X}_{dR}.$$

The maps $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{dR}$ induce a map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\wedge}$ which is a nil-isomorphism. Hence, this procedure defines an object in $\text{FormMod}_{\mathcal{X}/}$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.14, the canonical morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\wedge}$ to the infinitesimal thickening in \mathcal{Y} may be recovered as the realization of its Čech nerve. The reader can compare this to the discussion in [Toë14, Section 4.2] for a related universal property of the formal completion with respect to maps into arbitrary derived schemes.

3. Cogroupoid objects

In this section, we recall some basic facts about groupoid objects in ∞ -categories, as well as the dual notion of a cogroupoid object, which will play a key role in our constructions.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and let $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, \mathcal{C})$ be the ∞ -category of simplicial objects in \mathcal{C} . We say $X_{\bullet} \in \text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, \mathcal{C})$ is a *groupoid object* of \mathcal{C} if for every $n \geq 0$ and every partition $[n] = S \cup S'$, such that $S \cap S'$ consists of a single element s , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X([n]) & \longrightarrow & X(S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X(S') & \longrightarrow & X(\{s\}) \end{array}$$

is a pullback square in \mathcal{C} .

Remark 3.2. A group object in \mathcal{C} is a groupoid object X_{\bullet} for which $X_0 \simeq *$.

We have the following dual notion of a cogroupoid.

Definition 3.3. Let \mathcal{C} be as above and let $\text{Fun}(\Delta, \mathcal{C})$ denote the ∞ -category of cosimplicial objects of \mathcal{C} . We say $X^{\bullet} \in \text{Fun}(\Delta, \mathcal{C})$ is a *cogroupoid object* if it is a groupoid object in the opposite category \mathcal{C}^{op} .

In particular, for every partition $[n] = S \cup S'$, such that $S \cap S'$ consists of a single element s , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(\{s\}) & \longrightarrow & X(S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X(S') & \longrightarrow & X([n]) \end{array}$$

is a pushout square in \mathcal{C} . We use the notation $\text{coGrpd}(\mathcal{C})$ to denote the ∞ -category of cogroupoid objects in \mathcal{C} .

Remark 3.4. A cogroup object in \mathcal{C} is a cogroupoid object X_\bullet for which $X_0 \simeq \emptyset$.

Example 3.5. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}_*$ denote the ∞ -category of pointed spaces. For any pointed space $X \in \mathcal{S}_*$, its suspension ΣX is canonically a cogroup object. To see this, let $\pi : X \rightarrow *$ be the map from X to the final object. Then the conerve, $\text{coNerve}(\pi)$,

$$* \rightrightarrows * \bigsqcup_X * \rightrightarrows * \bigsqcup_X * \bigsqcup_X * \dots$$

precisely packages $\Sigma X \simeq * \sqcup_X *$ together with its cogroup structure maps. Setting $X = S^0$ recovers the E_1 cogroup structure on S^1 in pointed spaces.

Construction 3.6. The above example is an instance of the conerve construction, which we now describe. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category which admits finite colimits. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C} . Then we define the conerve of f , $\text{coNerve}(f)$ to be the cosimplicial object

$$\text{coNerve}(f) := X \rightrightarrows X \bigsqcup_Y X \rightrightarrows X \bigsqcup_Y X \bigsqcup_Y X \dots$$

One can iteratively define the notion of an E_n -cogroupoid:

Definition 3.7. We define an E_2 -cogroupoid object to be a cogroupoid object in the ∞ -category $\text{coGrpd}(\mathcal{C})$. Proceeding iteratively, we define an E_n -cogroupoid to be an a cogroupoid object in $\text{coGrpd}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$.

Example 3.8. Let $\phi : \emptyset \rightarrow \text{pt}$ be the map in spaces from the initial object to the final object. Then we set

$$S^{0,\bullet} := \text{coNerve}(\phi).$$

Now let $\phi^\bullet : S^{0,\bullet} \rightarrow *$ be the map to the final cogroupoid object in spaces. We set

$$S^{1,\bullet,\bullet} := \text{coNerve}(\phi^\bullet).$$

Of course, one need not stop here; for each n , there is an E_{n+1} cogroupoid with the n -sphere as the degree $(1, \dots, 1)$ space of morphisms.

4. Affine cogroupoids over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$

Let R be a commutative ring. There exists a distinguished class of (higher) stacks over R which are completely determined by their cohomology (together with its additional yet canonical structure) as a cosimplicial commutative algebra. The study of this class of stacks, known as *affine stacks*, was initiated in [Toë06].

The purpose of this section is to rephrase these constructions internally to the filtered setting (i.e., over the stack $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$).

4.1. Filtrations and $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$

We would like to remind the reader of some basic notions from [Mou21b], motivating our extensive usage of the stack $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.

Definition 4.1. We define the ∞ -category of filtered R -modules to be

$$\text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_R) = \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{op}, \text{Mod}_R),$$

where \mathbb{Z} is to be viewed as a poset. Similarly, we define the ∞ -category

$$\text{Gr}(\text{Mod}_R) = \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{ds,op}, \text{Mod}_R)$$

to be the ∞ -category of graded R -modules, where \mathbb{Z}^{ds} denotes the integers viewed as a discrete space. These both obtain a symmetric monoidal structure, given by Day convolution.

Construction 4.2. There exist symmetric monoidal functors

$$\text{Und} : \text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R,$$

taking a filtered R -module to its underlying object, and

$$\text{gr} : \text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_R) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\text{Mod}_R).$$

Now we turn to an algebro-geometric incarnation of these notions. Let $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ be the quotient stack of \mathbb{A}^1 by the canonical \mathbb{G}_m action by dilation. This stack comes equipped with two distinguished maps:

$$\text{Spec}k \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m \xleftarrow{\iota} B\mathbb{G}_m,$$

which throughout this paper, we refer to as the *generic point* and *central point*, respectively. Viewing it as a derived stack, one obtains the following relationship with filtered objects at the level of quasi-coherent sheaves:

Theorem 4.3 (cf. [Mou21b]). *There is a symmetric monoidal equivalence of stable ∞ -categories*

$$\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_R).$$

Under the above equivalence, the associated graded functor $\text{gr} : \text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_R) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\text{Mod}_R)$ is naturally identified with the pullback

$$\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(B\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \text{Gr}(\text{Mod}_R);$$

the functor $\text{Und} : \text{Sp}^{fil} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ sending a filtered R -module to the R -module underlying the filtration is naturally identified with the pullback

$$\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\text{Spec}R) \simeq \text{Mod}_R.$$

Remark 4.4. Given the above equivalence, we may view (derived) stacks admitting a map

$$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$$

in two ways. First, we may think of them as degenerations, from the *generic fiber* $\mathcal{X}_1 := \eta^*(\mathcal{X})$ to the *special fiber* $\mathcal{X}_0 := \iota^*(\mathcal{X})$. We may also think of them as filtrations on the cohomology $R\Gamma(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1})$ of the generic fiber. In this paper, we straddle the line between these two perspectives.

4.2. Affine stacks over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$

Definition 4.5. Let $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit$ denote the heart of the stable ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves. By [Mou21b, Section 8], this abelian category is equivalent to the filtered diagrams of objects belonging to the heart of Mod_R . Let $\text{CAlg}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit)$ denote the category of algebras in $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit$. We set

$$\text{coSCR}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} := \text{coSCR}(\text{CAlg}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit))$$

to be the underlying ∞ -category of the category of cosimplicial objects in $\text{CAlg}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit)$.

Remark 4.6. There is an alternative way to understand the ∞ -category $\text{coSCR}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$. Let $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1)^\heartsuit \simeq \text{Mod}_{k[t]}$ denote the classical abelian category of $R[t]$ -modules. The canonical inclusion $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ gives an action of \mathbb{G}_m on \mathbb{A}^1 , and thus, we may study \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant objects in the above abelian category. This forms an abelian category, which we denote by $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ and we can canonically form the category of cosimplicial modules in this category, $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_m)^\Delta$. This admits a symmetric monoidal structure (the pointwise one), and so we may take commutative monoids here. By the arguments of [KPT09, Section 3.4], this forms a model category and we can define $\text{coSCR}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ to be its underlying ∞ -category.

Remark 4.7. Let $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{ccn}}$ denote the full subcategory of Mod_R consisting of modules M for which $\pi_n(M) = 0$ if $n > 0$. We remark that there exists a monad T on $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{ccn}}$ for which there is an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_T \simeq \text{coSCR}_R.$$

This can be extracted from [Toë06, Proof of Théorème 2.1.2]. When R is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra, this agrees with the free E_∞ -algebra functor so that, in fact, we have an equivalence

$$\text{coSCR}_R \simeq \text{CAlg}_R^{\text{ccn}};$$

thus, one may think of cosimplicial commutative algebras as coconnective E_∞ -algebras in this context. Similarly, when working over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ in characteristic zero, there will be an equivalence

$$\text{coSCR}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \simeq \text{CAlg}^{\text{ccn}}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)).$$

Construction 4.8. Let $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} : \text{CAlg}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit)^{op} \rightarrow \text{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ denote the relative spectrum functor, sending a commutative algebra in $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit$ to a relative affine (underived) scheme over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. We form the Kan-extension of this functor along the inclusion

$$\text{CAlg}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit) \rightarrow \text{coSCR}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit)$$

to obtain a functor

$$\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta : \text{coSCR}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}.$$

Remark 4.9. This possesses a left adjoint – namely, the global sections functor

$$\mathcal{O} : \text{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \text{coSCR}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^{op}.$$

To understand this functor, we remark that one can work with a point-set model of $\text{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ where every object \mathcal{F} in $\text{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ has a model as a simplicial object $\{\mathcal{F}_\bullet\}$ in presheaves (of sets) over the stack $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Thus, we define the cosimplicial algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F})^\bullet$

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F})^n := \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_n).$$

As in [Toë06, Section 2.2], this functor is left Quillen and thus induces a functor at the level of ∞ -categories.

Proposition 4.10. *The functor*

$$\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta : \mathrm{coSCR}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$$

is fully faithful.

Proof. Note that we may write any cosimplicial algebra A^\bullet as the cosifted limit of objects in CAlg_R (i.e., of discrete R -algebras). Then it follows that

$$A^\bullet \simeq \lim_{\Delta} A^n \simeq \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{colim}_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} [\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta(A_n)]) \simeq \mathcal{O}\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta(A^\bullet)$$

is an equivalence. □

The following proposition, motivated by [Toë06, Théorème 2.29, Corollaire 2.2.10], says that modulo potential size issues, the ∞ -category of (filtered) affine stacks, behaves like a localization of the ∞ -category of higher stacks.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $\mathrm{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ denote the subcategory of stacks such that $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is \mathbb{U} -small with respect to a fixed universe \mathbb{U} . Then the functor*

$$X \mapsto \mathrm{Aff}(X) = \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta \mathcal{O}(X)$$

is a localization. Moreover, X is an affine stack over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ if and only if the adjunction morphism

$$X \rightarrow \mathrm{Aff}(X)$$

is an equivalence in $\mathrm{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$.

Proof. The arguments for the proof of [Toë06, Théorème 2.29] work verbatim when working with $\mathrm{coSCR}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ instead of coSCR_R . □

5. Filtered n -spheres and (higher) loop spaces

In [MRT22], the authors constructed the *filtered circle* as an affine abelian group stack over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. This roughly packages the data arising from the Postnikov filtration on the cochain complex on S^1 , together with its compatibility with the group structure on S^1 . We will now see that it fits into the larger structure of an E_2 -cogroupoid object in affine stacks.

Definition 5.1. Following the discussion in [Toë20, Section 1.3], we define the ‘quantum point’ as the stack $\mathcal{Q} := B\mathbb{G}_m$ viewed as an object over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ via the morphism $\iota : B\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. This will have generic fiber the ‘null scheme’

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \mathcal{Q} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \mathrm{Spec} R = \emptyset$$

and as central fiber the derived scheme

$$\mathcal{Q}_0 = \mathcal{Q} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} B\mathbb{G}_m = \mathrm{Spec}(R \oplus R[1](-1)).$$

Remark 5.2. This can alternatively be described as $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(\mathbb{A})$ (this denotes the relative spectrum) where \mathbb{A} is the image of the unit $\mathbf{1} \in \mathrm{Gr}_R \simeq \mathrm{QCoh}(B\mathbb{G}_m)$ in $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_R$ along the pushforward functor

$$\iota_* : \mathrm{QCoh}(B\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)$$

This is a lax symmetric monoidal (in fact, it ends up being symmetric monoidal), so that \mathbb{A} acquires an E_∞ -algebra structure. Alternatively, one may describe this functor as the symmetric monoidal functor $I : \text{Gr}_R \rightarrow \text{Fil}_R$ given by left Kan extension along $\mathbb{Z}^{ds} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

We now use \mathcal{Q} to construct a cogroupoid object in the ∞ -topos $\text{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$.

Construction 5.3. Let $\iota : B\mathbb{G}_m \simeq \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Let

$$\phi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{O}_{B\mathbb{G}_m})$$

be the unit map of commutative algebra objects in

$$\text{CAlg}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)) \simeq \text{CAlg}(\text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_R)).$$

Finally, let $N(\phi)_\bullet$ be the nerve of this map, viewed as a simplicial object in this ∞ -category; by construction this will be a groupoid object in $\text{CAlg}(\text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_R))$. We note that this is levelwise discrete, in the homotopical sense. We define

$$S_{fil}^{0,\bullet} = \text{Spec}(N(\phi)_\bullet);$$

this will be a cogroupoid object in the ∞ -category of derived affine schemes over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.

Remark 5.4. In simplicial degree 1, one recovers the filtered stack (cf. [Mou21a, Section 5.1]) S_{fil}^0 , which we refer to as the *filtered zero sphere*. As is described in loc. cit., one may express the fiber product $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \times_{\iota_*(\mathcal{O}_{B\mathbb{G}_m})} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ in terms of the equivalence of $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)$ with $k[t]$ -modules in graded complexes as the discrete ring

$$k[t_1, t_2]/(t_1 + t_2)(t_1 - t_2).$$

Since the $\eta^* \iota_*(\mathcal{O}_{B\mathbb{G}_m}) \simeq 0$, one has equivalences

$$S_{fil}^0|_{\text{Spec}(k)} \simeq S^0 \simeq \text{Spec}(k) \sqcup \text{Spec}(k)$$

and

$$S_{fil}^0|_{B\mathbb{G}_m} \simeq \text{Spec}(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2))$$

where in the latter equivalence, we view $k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ as a graded commutative ring with ϵ in weight -1 .

Remark 5.5. One may try to define this cogroupoid directly as the conerve of the map $\iota : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, which we write as $\text{coNerve}^\bullet(\iota)$. We remark that this is related by affinization in the sense that there is an equivalence

$$S_{fil}^{0,\bullet} \simeq \text{Aff}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(\text{coNerve}^\bullet(\iota))$$

Note that in degree 1 of this construction, we obtain the suspension $\Sigma\mathcal{Q}$ in the ∞ -category of derived stacks over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ whereas for $S_{fil}^{0,\bullet}$, we obtain the suspension $\Sigma_{aff} \mathcal{Q}$ in the full subcategory of *affine stacks*. These are not in general equivalent. Indeed, by [Toë06], the inclusion of affine stacks into all stacks is a right adjoint functor, which typically does not preserve colimits.

Construction 5.6. Given any derived stack $X \in \text{dStk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$, we may form the levelwise mapping stack

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S_{fil}^{0,\bullet}, X);$$

this is a simplicial object in $\text{dStk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ which by construction of $S_{fil}^{0,\bullet}$, admits the structure of a groupoid object in derived stacks over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Note that we are working in an ∞ -topos, where every groupoid

object \mathcal{G}_\bullet arises as the Čech nerve of an effective epimorphism. Hence, we may form the associated classifying stack $B\mathcal{G}_\bullet$, for which $\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow B\mathcal{G}_\bullet$ is an effective epimorphism.

5.1. The filtered circle as E_2 -cogroupoid

One can give a construction of the filtered circle of [MRT22] as a 2-cogroupoid in the ∞ -category of affine stacks. This is done by taking the iterated nerve of the map $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow 0_*(\mathcal{O}_{B\mathbb{G}_m})$ in cosimplicial commutative algebra objects in $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)$.

Construction 5.7. Let $N(\phi)_\bullet$ be as above. We define the 2-fold iterated nerve of ϕ to be the bi-simplicial object $N(\phi)_{\bullet,\bullet}$, defined to be the nerve of the map of simplicial objects

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow N(\phi)_\bullet,$$

where the left-hand side is considered as a constant simplicial object. This will canonically give rise to a bisimplicial object in cosimplicial algebras which we denote by $N(\phi)_{\bullet,\bullet}$. This gives rise to a 2-groupoid object in the ∞ -category coSCR_R . We can alternatively restrict to the diagonal simplicial object, at this stage. In any case we set

$$S_{fil}^{1,\bullet,\bullet} := \text{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta(N(\phi)_{\bullet,\bullet})$$

This will be a 2-cogroupoid in the ∞ -category of affine stacks over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.

Construction 5.8. We introduce a variant of the above. Let $S_{fil}^{0,\bullet}$ be as above and let

$$\phi : S_{fil}^{0,\bullet} \rightarrow *$$

be a map in the ∞ -category of cosimplicial objects in derived stacks. Here, the right-hand side is taken to be the constant cosimplicial object, which is $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ in each degree.

$$\Sigma S_{fil}^0 := \text{coNerve}(\phi)$$

denotes the conerve of this map, cf. Construction 3.6. This will be a bicosimplicial object and, by the discussion above, a cogroupoid object in Stk_R .

Proposition 5.9. *The cogroupoid object of Construction 5.7 is the affinization of ΣS_{fil}^0 – that is, there exists an equivalence*

$$S_{fil}^{1,\bullet,\bullet} \simeq \text{Aff}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(\Sigma S_{fil}^0).$$

Proof. This is immediate upon noticing that there is a natural map

$$\Sigma S_{fil}^0 \rightarrow S_{fil}^{1,\bullet,\bullet}$$

which is an equivalence on cohomology. Indeed, one obtains the E_2 -groupoid object of Construction 5.7 by applying the global sections $\mathcal{O}(-)$ functor to Construction 5.8. Applying then $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta(-)$ and noticing that we obtain the canonical affinization morphism, the unit of the adjunction $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta \dashv \mathcal{O}(-)$. This will be an equivalence on cohomologies. \square

Via this description, we see that the degree (1, 1) piece of the E_2 -cogroupoid $S_{fil}^{1,\bullet,\bullet}$ is none other than the filtered circle of [MRT22].

Corollary 5.10. *There is an equivalence*

$$S_{fil}^1 \simeq S_{fil}^{1,1,1}$$

of affine stacks.

Remark 5.11. Putting this together with Construction 5.3, we have the following identification:

$$S_{fil}^1 \simeq \Sigma_{aff}^2(Q),$$

exhibiting the filtered circle as a 2-fold suspension in affine stacks of \mathcal{Q} .

Remark 5.12. The reason we want to work with $\text{Aff}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(\Sigma^2\mathcal{Q})$ here as opposed to just $\Sigma^2\mathcal{Q}$ is that we would like to recover the cogroupoid structure on the affine stack S_{Fil}^1 of [MRT22]. Indeed, the filtered loop space $\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X)$ was defined in loc. cit. as the stack of maps out of S_{Fil}^1 . Thus, we would like to study groupoid structures on the filtered loop space itself, as opposed to studying them on some object which is merely equivalent to it.

Remark 5.13. We remark that while one may recover the affine stack S_{fil}^1 in this way, this does not capture its structure as an abelian group stack. Indeed, this was studied in [MRT22] by exhibiting it as the classifying stack of a filtered abelian group scheme \mathbb{H} over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, which interpolates between the kernel and fixed points of the Frobenius on the Witt vector ring scheme $\mathbb{W}(-)$.

Construction 5.14. One can, in fact, iterate all of the above (e.g., by taking iterated nerves of the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow 0_*B\mathbb{G}_m$ in $\text{coSCR}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ and applying the $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta$ functor to obtain S_{fil}^n). We can summarize this discussion by saying that

$$S_{fil}^n := \text{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}^\Delta(N^{\bullet \cdots \bullet}(\phi))$$

acquires the structure of an E_{n+1} -cogroupoid object in affine stacks over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.

5.2. Filtered loop spaces

Let X be a derived scheme in characteristic zero. We describe the formation of higher filtered loop spaces but focus in particular on the $n = 1$ case.

Construction 5.15. Let X be a derived scheme. Letting $X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} := X \times \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, we set

$$\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{(n)}(X) = \text{Map}(S_{Fil}^n, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})$$

and refer to this as the higher n -dimensional filtered loop space.

We would like to deduce the following:

Proposition 5.16. *Let X be a derived affine scheme. Then the affinization morphism*

$$\Sigma^2\mathcal{Q}^{\bullet \bullet} \rightarrow S_{fil}^{1, \bullet \bullet}$$

induces an (levelwise)-equivalence of bi-cosimplicial derived stacks

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S_{fil}^{1, \bullet \bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(\Sigma^2\mathcal{Q}^{\bullet \bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}).$$

This makes the bi-cosimplicial object $\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet \bullet}(X)$ into an E_2 -groupoid in derived stacks.

Proof. For an arbitrary bidegree (m, n) , we have a canonical map

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S_{fil}^{1, m, n}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(\Sigma^2\mathcal{Q}^{m, n}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}) \tag{5.17}$$

induced by restriction along $\Sigma^2\mathcal{Q}^{m, n} \rightarrow S_{fil}^{1, m, n}$, the levelwise affinization morphism. We would like to show that this is an equivalence. Granting this, we use the fact that $\Sigma^2\mathcal{Q}^{m, n}$ is a 2-cogroupoid in derived stacks, so that one gets a suitable product decomposition in (in each degree (m, n) of the associated mapping stacks) satisfying the Segal conditions for a groupoid object.

In order to display the map (5.17) as an equivalence, it will be enough to do so upon pulling back along η, ι , respectively. We first treat the generic fiber case (i.e., the pullback along η). As we are in the characteristic zero setting, where simplicial and cosimplicial algebras can be modeled by connective and coconnective E_∞ algebras respectively, we may appeal to [Lur11, Section 4], (see also [BZN12]). In particular, the universal property in this setting states that the affinization functor is a monad in derived stacks; in particular, any map to a derived affine scheme out of a derived stack will factor through its affinization. In particular, this gives an equivalence at the level of derived mapping stacks.

For the same reason, one obtains an equivalence upon pulling back along $B\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. We can now conclude that the original map (5.17) defined over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ is a levelwise equivalence. Hence, $\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X)$ is an E_2 -cogroupoid in derived stacks. \square

Remark 5.18. The argument above uses the fact that we are in characteristic zero and the resulting notion of affinization in the derived setting. In positive or mixed characteristics, one can argue more generally using the cohomological finite dimension of the stacks $\Sigma^2 \mathcal{Q}^{m,n}$ and $S_{fil}^{1,m,n}$ to obtain equivalence (5.17).

Remark 5.19. While we have focused on the $n = 1$ case, the considerations here are valid for higher loop spaces as well. Thus, one obtains a E_{n+1} groupoid objects where the degree $(1, \dots, 1)$ object of morphisms is the $\text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S_{fil}^n, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})$.

6. Hodge degeneration and deformation to the normal bundle

In this section, we work over a characteristic zero base field k and study the well-known Hodge degeneration in its geometric form studied by Simpson (cf. [Sim96, Sim90]) One avatar of the Hodge filtration on de Rham cohomology is given by the deformation to the normal cone construction. This manifests itself as a derived stack over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ which specializes upon taking generic and central fibers to the de Rham stack and the Dolbeault stack, respectively.

6.1. The de Rham and Dolbeault spaces

We give a brief review here of the de Rham and Dolbeault space constructions in derived algebraic geometry. The results in this section are quite classical and well known; we give them to motivate the objects studied.

Definition 6.1. Let X be a scheme. Then the de Rham space of X is defined to be the moduli problem $X_{dR} \in \text{dStk}_k$ given by

$$X_{dR}(A) = X(\pi_0(A)/I),$$

where I denotes the nilradical of $\pi_0(A)$.

The de Rham space was originally defined by Simpson as the quotient stack of a certain groupoid object in formal schemes. This goes back to the original construction of Simpson; see, for example, [Sim96].

Construction 6.2. Let X be a smooth scheme and let $\pi : X \rightarrow X_{dR}$ denote the canonical map. One sees that this is an effective epimorphism in the ∞ -category of derived stacks, and so it is the effective quotient of the its nerve groupoid. Thus, we can define the de Rham stack as the realization of the following groupoid

$$X \ltimes (X \times X)_{\Delta}^{\widehat{}}$$

where the object $(X \times X)_{\Delta}^{\widehat{}}$ denotes the formal completion of $X \times X$ along the diagonal morphism $\Delta : X \rightarrow X$.

A proof of the following statement, using ideas which go back to Grothendieck’s work in the setting of infinitesimal cohomology, may be found in [Lur09b]:

Theorem 6.3. *Let X be a smooth scheme over k . Then there is an equivalence of categories:*

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(X_{\mathrm{dR}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_X - \mathrm{Mod}.$$

Thus, the de Rham stack gives a conceptual way to understand \mathcal{D}_X -modules on X . More generally (e.g., for a derived scheme), a Koszul dual variant of the left-hand side parametrizes the notion of *crystals* on the infinitesimal site.

We now move on to the Dolbeault space. This construction is (again) originally due to Carlos Simpson as a natural geometric parametrization for the notion of Higgs bundles. First we recall the notion of tangent bundle in this setting:

Definition 6.4. Let X be a derived scheme. The tangent bundle of X is defined to be

$$\mathcal{T}X = \mathrm{Spec}_X \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{L}_X);$$

thus, it is a relative derived scheme over X .

Remark 6.5. The tangent bundle admits the structure of an abelian group object over X , which we may think of as a simplicial object

$$(\mathcal{T}X)_\bullet$$

with

$$\mathcal{T}X_0 = X \quad \mathcal{T}X_2 = \mathcal{T}X \times_X \mathcal{T}X.$$

Construction 6.6. One can take the formal completion along the unit section

$$u : X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}X.$$

Given the machinery established thus far, we define this to be the pullback

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}X} = X_{\mathrm{dR}} \times_{\mathcal{T}X_{\mathrm{dR}}} \mathcal{T}X.$$

In fact, one may apply this to the map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^n X$ to obtain a simplicial object in formal moduli problems, $\widehat{\mathcal{T}X}_\bullet$. We remark that this becomes a groupoid object in formal moduli problems, cf. [GR20].

Definition 6.7. We define, following Simpson, the Dolbeault stack to be the delooping

$$X_{\mathrm{Dol}} := B_X \widehat{\mathcal{T}X}_\bullet$$

of the formal group object $\mathcal{T}X_\bullet$.

Proposition 6.8. *There is an equivalence of stable ∞ -categories*

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(X_{\mathrm{Dol}}) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{T}X)},$$

where \mathbb{T}_X denotes the \mathcal{O}_X -linear dual of the cotangent complex \mathbb{L}_X .

Proof. An application of the comonadic form of the Barr-Beck theorem shows that

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(X_{\mathrm{Dol}}) \simeq \mathrm{coMod}_{\widehat{\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{L}_X)}}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X)).$$

Alternatively, this can be extracted as the descent data defining X_{Dol} as the realization of the groupoid $\mathcal{T}X_\bullet$ corresponding to the formal group $\widehat{\mathcal{T}X}$ over X . We now take the \mathcal{O}_X -linear dual of the action map for any fixed $M \in \text{QCoh}(X_{Dol})$

$$M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\text{Sym}}(\mathbb{L}_X)$$

to obtain a map

$$(\widehat{\text{Sym}}(\mathbb{L}_X))^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M \rightarrow M,$$

giving M the structure of a $\widehat{\text{Sym}}(\mathbb{L}_X)^\vee$ -module. It thus amounts to identify $\widehat{\text{Sym}}(\mathbb{L}_X)^\vee$ with $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{T}X)$. For this, note first that

$$\widehat{\text{Sym}}(\mathbb{L}_X) \simeq \prod \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{L}_X),$$

so that

$$(\widehat{\text{Sym}}(\mathbb{L}_X))^\vee \simeq \bigoplus \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{L}_X)^\vee.$$

To identify each summand, we use the fact that $(\mathbb{L}_X)^\vee \simeq \mathbb{T}_X$ and that we are in characteristic zero (so that divided and symmetric powers coincide) to conclude that

$$\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{L}_X)^\vee \simeq \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{T}_X)$$

for every n . Thus, we deduce the equivalence

$$\widehat{\text{Sym}}(\mathbb{L}_X)^\vee \simeq \text{Sym}(\mathbb{T}_X).$$

From this, we may conclude that M is naturally a $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{T}_X)$ -module. □

As a consequence of the above, one may think of $\text{QCoh}(X_{Dol})$ as a derived ∞ -category of Higgs sheaves, cf. [Sim90].

6.2. λ -connections and the deformation to the normal cone

There is a natural 1-parameter deformation of the notion of a flat connection. This is the notion of a λ -connection, going back to Deligne.

Definition 6.9. Let E be a vector bundle on a scheme X . A λ -connection is an operator

$$\nabla_\lambda : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1$$

for which

$$\nabla_\lambda(ae) = \lambda d(a)e + a\nabla(e).$$

Remark 6.10. If $\lambda \in R^\times$, then a λ connection ∇_λ on a vector bundle E gives equivalent data to a connection $\lambda^{-1}\nabla_\lambda$. However, if $\lambda = 0$, this is precisely the data of a Higgs bundle.

Let us give another perspective for the notion of λ -connection. Recall that the ring of differential operators \mathcal{D}_X comes equipped with an filtration known as the *order filtration*. This has associated graded $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{T}^*X} \simeq \text{Sym}(\mathbb{T}_X)$. Applying the Rees construction to this gives the following \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant sheaf of algebras over $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$.

Definition 6.11. Let \mathcal{D}_X^λ be the sheaf of algebras on $X \times \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_X^\lambda = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} t^k \mathcal{D}^{\leq k} \subset \mathcal{D}_X \otimes R[t],$$

where the coordinate t acts as λ . There is an evident \mathbb{G}_m action on this given by scaling the variable t . Thus, it descends to a sheaf on $X \times \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.

Remark 6.12. By the definition of the Rees construction, we see that fiber of this object over $B\mathbb{G}_m$ is the associated graded of the weight filtration – namely, $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{T}_X)$. Meanwhile, pullback along the generic point recovers the ring of differential operators \mathcal{D}_X itself. Thus, we may conclude that the structure of a \mathcal{D}_X^λ -module is none other than that of a λ -connection.

The following definition of the Hodge degeneration goes back to Simpson, following a suggestion of Deligne. It gives rise to a natural geometric parametrization for the notion of λ -connection.

Construction 6.13. Form the (levelwise) mapping stack over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$:

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S^{0,\bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}),$$

where $S^{0,\bullet}$ is the cogroupoid object in derived stacks studied in Section 5. This will be a groupoid object in derived stacks. Next, form the formal completion in each degree, along the map

$$X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S^{0,\bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}).$$

In more detail, we define this (in accordance with Section 2.3) by the following pullback square:

$$\begin{CD} \text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S^{0,\bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})_{X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}}^\wedge @>>> \text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S^{0,\bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}) \\ @VVV @VVV \\ [X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}]_{dR} @>>> [\text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S^{0,\bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})]_{dR}. \end{CD} \tag{6.14}$$

Notice that over the point $\text{Spec}R \xrightarrow{1} \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, the map with respect to which we are taking the formal completion is precisely the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$; over the point $0 : B\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, this is exactly the inclusion $X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^\bullet$ of the units into the group(oid) object defining the Dolbeault space.

Definition 6.15. We denote the above simplicial stack by X_λ^\bullet . We then define

$$X_{Hod} = ||X_\lambda^\bullet||$$

as its classifying stack.

By construction, the stack X_{Hod} admits a map to $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Pulling back along the generic fiber, one recovers the de Rham space:

Proposition 6.16. *There is an equivalence*

$$X_{Hod} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \text{Spec}R \simeq X_{dR}.$$

However, pulling back along the map $\iota : B\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ recovers the Dolbeault space:

Proposition 6.17. *There is an equivalence*

$$X_{Hod} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} B\mathbb{G}_m \simeq X_{Dol},$$

where the structure map $X_{Dol} \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$ arises from the canonical dilation \mathbb{G}_m -action on the formal group $\widehat{\mathbb{T}X}$.

The following theorem morally goes back to Simpson and may be proven similarly to Theorem 6.3:

Theorem 6.18 (Simpson). *Let X be a smooth scheme. Then there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(X_{Hod}) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X^1).$$

Here, the right-hand side denotes the ∞ -category of λ -connections.

Remark 6.19. One may thus think of the ∞ -category $\mathrm{QCoh}(X_{Hod})$ as giving a $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)$ -linear enhancement of the ∞ -category of \mathcal{D}_X -modules. By the Rees correspondence, this is none other than a filtration at the level of categories, which manifests itself as the well-known filtration on the ring of differential operators \mathcal{D}_X . The interested reader may consult [TV20, Remark 3.2.3] for a generalization of this story to the setting of *derived foliations*.

Construction 6.20. Let X be a derived scheme. Recall from [MRT22] that we may form the following derived mapping space:

$$\mathcal{L}_{fil} X := \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dStk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}}(S_{fil}^1, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}).$$

This is referred to in loc. cit. as the filtered loop space. By the work in Section 5, S_{fil}^1 fits as the degree $(1, 1)$ piece of the 2-cogroupoid object $S^{1, \bullet, \bullet}$. We take this into account by defining

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dStk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}}(S_{fil}^{1, \bullet, \bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}),$$

which, in turn, is a 2-groupoid in $\mathrm{dStk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$, over $X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$.

One has the following proposition:

Proposition 6.21. *Let X be a derived scheme. Then for each (m, n) , the derived scheme $\mathcal{L}^{(m, n)}(X)$ is formally complete along $X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{(m, n)}(X)$.*

Proof. In degree $(1, 1)$, the cogroupoid object $S_{fil}^{1, \bullet, \bullet}$ is precisely $B\mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{H} is the filtered group scheme from [MRT22]. After forming mapping spaces out of this, one obtains a derived scheme whose truncation is the truncation of X itself. This uses the fact that X is a scheme and does not exhibit any stacky behavior, and so there are no nonconstant maps $B\mathbb{H} \rightarrow X$. Thus, the map $S_{fil}^1 \rightarrow *$ induces an equivalence on truncations $\mathcal{L}_{fil} X$, which is, in particular, a nil-isomorphism. Now that we know that the degree 1 piece is formally complete, we use the intrinsic symmetries along the diagonal that follow from the E_2 -groupoid structure, which allow for us to conclude that it is formally complete in each bidegree. Hence, we conclude that this is a formal groupoid, in the sense of [GR20]. \square

Hence, $\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X)$ is a 2-groupoid object in formal stacks. Moreover, as a bisimplicial object, this has the constant simplicial diagram on $X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ on the zeroth row and column. Our next goal is to compute the 2-fold delooping of this groupoid in the setting of formal moduli problems. Before passing to the formal context, however, one can make the following observation:

Proposition 6.22. *There is an equivalence*

$$\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{1, \bullet, \bullet}(X) \simeq \mathrm{Nerve}(X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(S_{fil}^{0, \bullet, \bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}))$$

of 2-groupoid objects in $\mathrm{dStk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$.

Proof. This will follow by explicitly identifying the two objects in $\mathrm{Grpd}^{(2)}$. The argument ultimately boils down to the fact that both simplicial objects depend on the E_2 -cogroupoid structure of S_{fil}^1 (more

precisely, its structure as a cogroupoid object in the category of cogroupoid objects). At the level of objects, we fix $(n, m) \in \Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}$. Then in bisimplicial degree (n, m) , the object $\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X)$ is given by

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}((S_{fil}^1)^{n,m}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}),$$

where

$$(S_{fil}^1)^{n,m} = * \overbrace{\underbrace{S_{fil}^0 \sqcup_* \cdots \sqcup_* S_{fil}^0}_{n \text{ times}} \quad * \cdots * \quad \underbrace{S_{fil}^0 \sqcup_* \cdots \sqcup_* S_{fil}^0}_{m \text{ times}}}_{m \text{ times}} * \tag{6.23}$$

Note that $* = \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ in this case as all these constructions are being performed in $\text{Stk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$. Applying the functor $\text{Map}_{\text{dStk}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}}(-, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})$ out of the above object sends this pushout square to a fiber product, by the argument in the proof of Proposition 5.16. A moment's thought shows that this is precisely the (n, m) th degree of the bisimplicial object

$$\text{Nerve}(X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \text{Map}(S_{fil}^0, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})). \tag{\square}$$

Passing to the setting of formal moduli problems relative to $X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ now gives rise to the following corollary:

Corollary 6.24. *There exists an equivalence*

$$B^{(1)}\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X) \simeq X_{\lambda}^{\bullet}$$

of formal groupoid objects. Here, the left-hand side denotes the delooping of the groupoid object $\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X)$ along the vertical or horizontal direction, and the right side is the formal groupoid whose classifying stack is the Hodge degeneration.

Proof. We first remark that the delooping of the E_2 -groupoid will be a groupoid object in formal stacks, by Theorem 2.14. Now, as we saw in Proposition 6.22, there is an identification of 2-groupoids

$$\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X) \simeq \text{Nerve}(X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \text{Map}(S_{fil}^{0, \bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})).$$

By Proposition 6.21, the left-hand side is already formally complete in each simplicial degree; thus, we may conclude that the right-hand side is a formal 2-groupoid as well.

Next, we apply the correspondence between formal groupoids and formal moduli problems under X , cf. Theorem 2.14. This tells us that the 2-groupoid $\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X)$ arises as the Čech nerve of some map $X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\bullet}$, which is a nil-isomorphism in the language of [GR20]. We may identify this morphism of simplicial objects with the morphism which in simplicial degree n is given by the map

$$X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \text{Map}(S_{fil}^{0,n}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}) \widehat{X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}} = X_{\lambda}^{\bullet}$$

(i.e., the map of $X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$ to its formal thickening in $\text{Map}(S_{fil}^{0,n}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}) \widehat{X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}}$). Thus, we have identified the 1-groupoid object $B\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet, \bullet} X$ with X_{λ}^{\bullet} . Note that the structure maps agree by construction; indeed, X_{λ} was defined in Construction 6.13 precisely as the levelwise formal completion of the groupoid object $\text{Map}(S_{fil}^{0, \bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})$ along the map

$$X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \text{Map}(S_{fil}^{0, \bullet}, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}). \tag{\square}$$

We may now put this all together and quickly prove the main theorem, which we restate for the reader’s convenience.

Theorem 6.25. *There exists an equivalence*

$$B^2\mathcal{L}^{fil}(X) \simeq X_{Hod}.$$

Proof. We can compute $B^2\mathcal{L}^{fil}(X)$ by first delooping in the vertical direction and then in the horizontal direction (or in reverse). As we saw in the previous proposition, the stage one delooping recovers the formal groupoid X_{λ}^* . By Definition 6.15, the delooping of this is precisely the Hodge stack X_{Hod} . \square

7. Group structures on the loop space and the Todd class

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of schemes. A modern reinterpretation of the Grothendieck Riemann-Roch theorem encodes the compatibility between the Chern character and the proper pushforward on Hodge cohomology, which arises from the pushforward $f_* : \text{Perf}(X) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(Y)$. As described in [HSSS21, Section 5.2], the HKR equivalence

$$R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}X}) \simeq \text{Sym}(\Omega_{X/k}[1])$$

intertwines the ‘integration map’ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}(Y))$ with the pushforward map on Hodge cohomology, twisted by the square root of the Todd class:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(X) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & K_0(Y) \\ \text{ch} \downarrow & & \text{ch} \downarrow \\ \oplus_i H^i(X, \Omega_X^i) & \xrightarrow{f_*(\cup \sqrt{\text{id}(\mathbb{T}_f)})} & \oplus_i H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^i). \end{array}$$

In this section, we give a conceptual explanation for the Todd class in terms of the 2-cogroupoid structure on S_{fil}^1 studied in this paper. In particular, we will see that it arises from the failure of S_{fil}^1 to be a constant degeneration of cogroup(oid) objects.

Construction 7.1. Recall from above that 2-cogroupoid structure on S_{fil}^1 gives the filtered loop space $\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X)$ a 2-groupoid structure. In particular, it may be viewed as an E_2 -group object over the derived scheme X as X will be the degree $(0, 0)$ space of objects.

We would like to study the corresponding group structures on $\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X)$ upon specializing along the closed and generic points of $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Recall from [MRT22] that over $\eta : \text{Spec}k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, there is an equivalence

$$(S_{fil}^1)^\eta := \eta^*(S_{fil}^1) \simeq B\mathbb{G}_a$$

of group stacks. Similarly, one has an equivalence

$$S_{gr}^1 := \iota^*(S_{fil}^1) \simeq B\mathbb{G}_a.$$

We remind the reader that this is only true in characteristic zero. Thus, one has an HKR equivalence (cf. [TV11, BZN12])

$$\exp : \mathcal{T}_X[-1] \simeq \mathcal{L}X.$$

We will see that there exist two group structures on $\mathbb{T}_X[-1]$, one which is related to the cogroupoid structure on S_{gr}^1 and the other which is related to that on S^1 . The extent to which these are nonequivalent

is detected by a distinguished class in

$$\pi_0\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_X[-1], \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_X[-1]})^\times \cong \oplus_i H^i(X, \Omega_X^i).$$

This is none other than the Todd class.

Remark 7.2. These group objects $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathbb{T}_X[-1]$ over X are, in fact, formally complete along X . Thus, we may view them as group objects in formal moduli problems over X .

7.1. Formal groups and the Todd class

We now review how a group structure gives rise to an orientation of the canonical bundle on $\mathbb{T}_X[-1]$. Much of the following discussion is taken from [KP19].

Construction 7.3. We first review, following *loc. cit.* the canonical trivialization of the relative tangent bundle of a formal group over X . Let $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ be the formal group in question. In this setting, one has the following trivialization:

$$\mathbb{T}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}/X} \simeq \pi^*\mathbb{T}_{X/B_X\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \simeq \pi^*e^*\pi^*\mathbb{T}_{X/B_X\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \simeq \pi^*e^*\mathbb{T}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}/X} \simeq \pi^*\mathfrak{g},$$

where $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}_X(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is the corresponding Lie algebra of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. One uses here the key property of the relative tangent sheaf being stable under pullbacks, cf. [KP19, Proposition 5.1.8].

Remark 7.4. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} = \mathcal{L}X$. Using the above, one obtains an orientation

$$\omega_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \simeq \omega_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}/X} \otimes \pi^*(\omega_X) \simeq \pi^*(\omega_X^{-1}) \otimes \pi^*(\omega_X) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}.$$

Construction 7.5. Recall the construction of the determinant of a perfect complex from [STV15]. This is defined as a morphism of stacks

$$\det : \mathbf{Perf} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic},$$

where the left-hand side is the derived stack classifying perfect complexes and the right-hand side classifies invertible objects. Now we fix a formal derived stack \mathcal{Y} over X whose relative tangent complex is perfect, and on which one may equip two distinct formal group structures g_1, g_2 . By composing the trivialization of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ arising from g_1 with the inverse of that arising from g_2 , we obtain an automorphism

$$\gamma : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{Y}/X} \xrightarrow{\iota_u} \pi^*(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\iota_{gr}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{Y}/X}.$$

This is an endomorphism of the relative tangent complex of \mathcal{Y} over X . We now define

$$\text{td}^{grp}(\mathcal{Y}) := \det(\gamma) \in \pi_0\Gamma(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})^\times,$$

by way of the induced map $\text{det}_{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathbf{Perf}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}(\mathcal{Y})$

Remark 7.6. Let us remark for the sake of clarity that $\det(\gamma)$ is, in fact, an invertible element of $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}(\pi^*\omega_X^{-1}, \pi^*\omega_X^{-1})$. However, note that this canonically equivalent to $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Thus, we obtain a well-defined invertible element of $\pi_0\Gamma(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})^\times$

Remark 7.7. Let X be a derived scheme and fix $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{L}X \simeq \mathcal{T}_X[-1]$. This obtains two group structures, one arising from the cogroup structure on $(S_{fil}^1)^u$ and the other coming from the cogroup structure on the $S_{gr}^1 = \text{Spec}^\Delta(k \oplus k[-1])$. Then

$$\text{td}^{grp}(\mathcal{T}_X[-1]) \in \pi_0\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_X[-1], \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_X[-1]})^\times \cong \left(\bigoplus_i H^i(X, \Omega_X^i)\right)^\times.$$

In [KP19], it is shown that this recovers the Todd class of a scheme. We briefly recall a broad explanation for why this is true.

Proposition 7.8 [KP19]. *Let X be a smooth and proper scheme. Then the group theoretic Todd class, defined above, recovers the classical Todd class of the Lie algebroid \mathbb{T}_X .*

Proof. The loop group structure on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ makes it into a formal group over X . The result follows from a general statement valid for arbitrary formal groups. For a general formal group $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow X$, let $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. There exists a general equivalence of formal moduli problems over X :

$$\exp : \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}},$$

where the right-hand side denotes the formal vector bundle stack associated to \mathfrak{g} . Via this equivalence, $\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{g})$ inherits 2 group structures (one being the abelian one, the other via transport of structure from $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$). Via the discussion above, this gives rise to two trivializations of the relative tangent $\mathbb{T}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}/X}$ which is denoted suggestively in [KP19] as

$$d \exp_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} : \pi^* \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathfrak{g}. \tag{7.9}$$

The determinant (in the sense of [STV15]) of this automorphism then gives the group theoretic Todd class.

Meanwhile, the Todd class as it appears in the statement of the GRR theorem is given as a multiplicative characteristic class; it is given by the formula

$$\text{td}_X = \det(f(At(X))),$$

where $f(x)$ is the formal power series

$$f(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x}.$$

The key result of [KP19] states that for an arbitrary formal group $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, the automorphism (7.9) may be expressed as

$$d \exp_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} = \frac{1 - e^{-\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}}}{\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}},$$

where $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the adjoint representation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , given by the Atiyah class of \mathfrak{g} . □

7.2. Non-formality of the pinch map

We would like to relate the construction of the Todd class above to the cogroupoid structures on S^1_{fil} . We will see that the data of the Todd class is in a precise sense included in the data of the E_2 -cogroupoid structure on S^1_{fil} . In particular, the nontriviality of the Todd class will follow from the E_1 cogroup structure on the topological circle S^1 , in the ∞ -category of pointed spaces \mathcal{S}_* . This cogroup structure is often described by the well-known ‘pinch map’

$$S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \vee S^1$$

of pointed spaces. The goal of this section is to relate the existence of this Todd class with the failure of the resulting cogroup(oid) structure on S^1 to be *formal*. We first explain what we mean by this.

Definition 7.10. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\mathcal{X}_1 := \eta^*(\mathcal{X})$. We say that \mathcal{X} is a *constant degeneration* (of \mathcal{X}_1) if there exists an equivalence

$$\mathcal{X}_1 \simeq \mathcal{X}_0,$$

where the right-hand side denotes the pullback of \mathcal{X} along the composite map

$$\text{Spec}k \xrightarrow{\pi} B\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m.$$

Similarly, let $\mathcal{X}^{\bullet \cdots \bullet}$ be E_n cogroupoid object in affine stacks. Then it is a *constant degeneration of cogroupoid objects* if there is an equivalence

$$\mathcal{X}_1^{\bullet} \simeq \mathcal{X}_0^{\bullet}.$$

of cogroupoids.

Remark 7.11. By [Rak20, Proposition 4.5.8], the Postnikov filtration functor induces a fully faithful embedding $\tau_{\geq *}: \text{coSCR}_R \hookrightarrow \text{Fil}(\text{coSCR}_R)$. In particular, if X is a topological space, its cochain algebra $R^X = C^*(X, R)$ can be promoted, by way of the Postnikov filtration, to a filtered commutative algebra. This moreover degenerates to the cohomology ring $H^*(X, R)$ (viewed as a dga with zero differential) at the level of associated graded.

Definition 7.12. Let A be a cosimplicial commutative algebra. By the above remark, it admits a canonical lift to filtered cosimplicial commutative algebras. By the Rees construction, we may, in turn, view this as a cosimplicial commutative algebra in $\text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)$. We say that A is formal if the affine stack $\text{Spec}^\Delta(A)$ is a constant degeneration over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Similarly, if A_\bullet is a groupoid object in coSCR_R , then it is formal as a groupoid object if $\text{Spec}^\Delta(A)^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$ is a constant degeneration of cogroupoids.

Remark 7.13. Let $X^{\bullet \cdots \bullet}$ be an E_n -cogroup(oid) object in spaces. Then, again using [Rak20, Proposition 4.5.8], we obtain an E_n -cogroup(oid) object in affine stacks $\mathcal{X}^{\bullet \cdots \bullet}$ over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, such that in degree $(1, \dots, 1)$, there is an equivalence

$$\mathcal{X}_1 \simeq \text{Spec}^\Delta(C^*(X, k)), \quad \mathcal{X}_0 \simeq \text{Spec}^\Delta(H^*(X, k)).$$

We remark that the E_2 -cogroupoid structure on S^1 discussed thus far contains strictly more structure than the cogroup object S^1 in pointed spaces.

Proposition 7.14. *The co-group structure on S^1 viewed as a pointed space is determined by the 2-cogroupoid structure on S^1 in the ∞ -category of (unpointed) spaces. In particular, the cosimplicial object describing the cogroup S^1 can be recovered as the projection to the 1st column of $S^{1, \bullet, \bullet}$.*

Proof. Recall that 2-cogroupoid object S^1 is defined by as the iterated conerve of the map $\emptyset \rightarrow \text{pt}$. In particular, this will be the conerve of the map of cosimplicial spaces

$$S^{0, \bullet} \rightarrow \text{pt},$$

where pt is viewed as a constant cosimplicial space, and the left-hand side is given by $S^0 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S^0$ in each degree. This begets a bicosimplicial object, which we see by Section 3 is an E_2 -cogroupoid. Restricting to the first column (or the first row as this bicosimplicial object will be symmetric along the diagonal) piece, one obtains the cosimplicial object given by the conerve of the map

$$S^0 \rightarrow \text{pt},$$

which in degree n is precisely given by $S^1 \vee \dots \vee S^1$. This exactly encodes the cogroup structure of S^1 as an object in the ∞ -category of pointed spaces. □

Corollary 7.15. *The 2-cogroupoid structure on S^1 determines the (formal) group structure on $\mathcal{L}X$ over X .*

Now let us fix again a derived scheme X and turn our attention to the filtered loop space $\mathcal{L}_{fil}X$. Note that this is a constant degeneration over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, of the loop space \mathcal{L}_{fil} to the vector bundle stack corresponding to the (shifted) Lie algebra $\mathbb{T}X[-1]$. The 2-cogroupoid structure on S^1_{fil} gives this the structure of an E_2 -groupoid object in formal moduli problems over $X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$. Over the generic point $\eta : \text{Spec}k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, this recovers the group structure on $\mathcal{L}X$, and over $B\mathbb{G}_m$, this recovers the abelian group structure on $\mathbb{T}X[-1]$ arising from its structure as the (formal completion) of a linear stack.

Remark 7.16. Let $X = S^1$ equipped with its E_1 -cogroupoid structure. The formality as above is equivalent to the question of whether or not S^1_{fil} is a constant degeneration of E_1 -cogroupoid objects over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.

We already know that as an E_2 -cogroupoid, the degeneration is nonconstant:

Proposition 7.17. *The E_2 -cogroupoid object $S^{1,\bullet,\bullet}_{fil}$ is not a constant degeneration over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.*

Proof. We apply mapping spaces into a derived scheme X . This gives rise to an E_2 -(formal)-groupoid over $X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}$. By the main theorem, $B^{(2)}\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X) \simeq X_{Hod}$. This obviously is not a constant degeneration of formal moduli problems since

$$X_{dR} \neq X_{Dol}.$$

Hence, we may conclude that $S^{1,\bullet,\bullet}_{fil}$ is not formal as an E_2 -cogroupoid object. □

In fact, the failure of $\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X)$ to be a constant degeneration of groups (i.e., the failure of the formality of the pinch map $S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \vee S^1$) gives rise functorially to the existence of nontrivial Todd classes for smooth and proper schemes.

Theorem 7.18. *The existence of nontrivial Todd classes implies that the cogroupoid (equivalently pointed cogroup) structure on S^1 corresponding to the pinch map is not formal.*

Proof. The E_1 -cogroupoid $S^{1,\bullet}_{fil}$ provides a degeneration of pointed E_1 -cogroup objects over $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$; this specializes to the cogroup $(S^1_{fil})^u$ over the generic fiber and to S^1_{gr} over the special fiber. Note that one recovers the filtered circle in the degree 1 stage. Recall that in characteristic zero at the level of stacks, this is a constant degeneration, so we must verify that the cogroupoid structures are themselves different.

Before doing so, we remark that the cogroup structure on $(S^1_{fil})^u = S^1_{fil} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m} \text{Spec}k$ is indeed controlled by the cogroupoid (equivalently pointed cogroup) structure on the topological space S^1 . The constant stack functor

$$S_* \rightarrow \text{Stk}_k$$

being a left adjoint, preserves colimits, and thus, the associated cosimplicial object in Stk_k is also an E_1 -cogroup. By applying affinization, we obtain a cogroup object in *affine stacks*; however, the affinization morphism $S^1 \rightarrow \text{Aff}(S^1)$ is easily seen to be a morphism of cogroups so the ‘unipotent’ loop space $\text{Map}((S^1_{fil})^u, X)$ (which recovers $\mathcal{L}(X)$) inherits the same E_1 group structure over X as $\mathcal{L}(X)$

Let us fix X a derived scheme. The HKR theorem in characteristic zero gives rise to an equivalence

$$\mathcal{L}(X) \simeq \text{Map}((S^1_{fil})^u, X) \simeq \text{Map}((S^1_{gr})^u, X) \simeq \mathcal{T}X[-1]$$

of derived schemes over X . As we remarked earlier, these are, in fact, formally complete along X , so that they may be viewed as formal moduli problems over X . These sit in the degree 1 piece of the simplicial

object in formal moduli problems over X classifying the loop group and abelian group structures on $\mathcal{T}X[-1]$, respectively. These are given by $\mathcal{L}_{gr}(X)^{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{L}(X)^{\bullet,\bullet}$.

The claim is that the Todd class measures the difference between these two group structures in a functorial way. In particular, one may express the assignment

$$X \rightarrow \text{td}(X)$$

as the image of $\mathcal{L}_{fil}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)$, with its group structure induced by mapping objects from the cogroup structure on $S_{fil}^{1,\bullet}$, under the following composition (of core ∞ -groupoids):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Grp}(\mathcal{L}_{fil}(X)) \rightarrow \text{Grp}(\mathcal{T}_X[-1]) \times \text{Grp}(\mathcal{T}_X[-1]) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Iso}_{\text{QCoh}(\mathcal{T}_X[-1])}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{T}_X[-1]/X}, \pi^*(\mathbb{T}_X[-1])) \times \text{Iso}_{\text{QCoh}(\mathcal{T}_X[-1])}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{T}_X[-1]/X}, \pi^*(\mathbb{T}_X[-1])) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{QCoh}(\mathcal{T}_X[-1])}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{T}_X[-1]/X}) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_X[-1]}^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the first map is induced by simultaneously pulling back the group structure to the generic and special fiber to get two different group structures on $\mathcal{T}_X[-1]$ (since we are working in characteristic zero, both the generic and special fibers are equivalent to $\mathcal{T}_X[-1]$). The second arrow is the assignment, to each of these group structures, of a trivialization of the relative bundle. As described in [KP19, Construction 3.3.1], this assignment is canonical – hence the functoriality of the second arrow. The third arrow is just the composition of the two equivalences which gives an automorphism of the relative tangent bundle.

Now let X be, in particular, smooth and proper. As we reviewed above in Section 7.1, the group theoretical Todd class agrees with the classical Todd class. Thus, the 1-cogroupoid $S_{fil}^{1,\bullet}$ gives rise to a degeneration of groupoid objects, natural in X , with special fiber the abelian group structure and generic fiber given by the loop group. The fact that there exists some smooth and proper scheme X for which $\text{td}(X) \neq 1$ implies that this is not a constant degeneration. Thus, the cogroup structures on S^1 and S_{gr}^1 are themselves not equivalent. We may conclude from all this that the E_1 -cogroup in pointed spaces S^1 is not formal. □

8. Consequences for Hochschild cohomology

We would like to end with a few further remarks on the E_2 -cogroupoid structure on S_{fil}^1 together with consequences at the level of Hochschild cohomology.

This is presumably well known to experts, but we believe it is worthwhile explicitly relating the E_2 -algebra structure on Hochschild cohomology along with its compatible HKR filtration to the cogroupoid objects in stacks presented here.

Definition 8.1. Let X be a derived scheme. The Hochschild cohomology sheaf is defined to be

$$\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^*(X) = p_{1*} \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

This acquires an \mathcal{O}_X -linear E_1 -algebra structure. Furthermore, by, for example, [Mar09, Section 1.4], there exists a perfect pairing

$$\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^*(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X,$$

displaying $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^*(X)$ as the \mathcal{O}_X -linear dual of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$.

Proposition 8.2. *The E_2 -cogroupoid structure on S^1 (resp. S_{fil}^1) endows $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^*(X)$ with the structure of an E_2 -algebra in Mod_k , resp. $\text{Fil}(\text{Mod}_k)$.*

Proof. We will prove this in the unfiltered case, but all the claims go through mutatis mutandis in the filtered setting. Let us focus our attention of the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{L}X$; the induced E_2 -groupoid structure

over X will endow $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$ with an E_2 -coalgebroid structure over \mathcal{O}_X . If we take \mathcal{O}_X -linear duals, this gives an E_2 -algebroid structure on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}X}^\vee$. This bisimplicial object encodes a \mathcal{O}_X -linear multiplication and a $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X)$ -linear homotopy corresponding to the E_2 -commutativity. We may forget this to a k -linear homotopy; this gives $\mathrm{HH}^*(X)$ the structure of an E_2 -algebra in Mod_k . \square

As it turns out, the standard E_2 -algebra structure on (filtered) Hochschild cohomology may be recovered from the E_1 cogroupoid $S_{fil}^{0,\bullet}$:

Remark 8.3. There exists an equivalence

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times X) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_k(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(X)).$$

The left-hand side inherits a monoidal structure from the right, by composition. This is often referred to as the convolution monoidal structure.

Proposition 8.4. *The monoidal structure on $\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times X)$ is induced by the E_1 -cogroupoid structure on $S^{0,\bullet}$.*

Proof. Following [GR19, Section 5.2] the assignment $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{X})$ can be expressed as a symmetric monoidal functor from a certain $(\infty, 2)$ -category of correspondences (in stacks) to the $(\infty, 2)$ -category of k -linear stable ∞ -categories. As a consequence, for any groupoid object \mathcal{M}_\bullet , one obtains a monoidal structure on $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{M}_1)$. This discussion now applies to the E_1 -groupoid $\mathrm{Map}(S^{0,\bullet}, X)$, which inherits the groupoid structure from the cogroupoid S^0 . The degree 1 piece is

$$\mathrm{Map}(S^0, X) \simeq X \times X,$$

so we conclude that $\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times X)$ admits an E_1 -algebra structure. The equivalence

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times X) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_k(\mathrm{QCoh}(X), \mathrm{QCoh}(X))$$

may now be upgraded to an equivalence of monoidal ∞ -categories by [BZFN10, Remark 4.11]. \square

Remark 8.5. It is well known that one can now recover Hochschild cohomology of X , as the endomorphisms of the unit with respect to this monoidal structure:

$$\mathrm{HH}^*(X) := \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times X)^\otimes}(\mathbf{1}),$$

the unit here being the image of \mathcal{O}_X under pushforward along the diagonal $\Delta : X \times X$. In fact, as this is endomorphisms of the unit in an E_1 -monoidal ∞ -category, this is naturally an E_2 -algebra, thereby recovering the well-known fact that $\mathrm{HH}^*(X)$ admits an E_2 -algebra structure.

In fact, the above argument applies verbatim to give a monoidal structure on

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S_{fil}^0, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})),$$

which specializes, upon pullback along $\mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$, to that of $\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times X)$.

Corollary 8.6. *There exists a filtration on Hochschild cohomology compatible with its E_2 -algebra structure, with associated graded E_∞ -algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{T}_X[-1])$.*

Proof. By the discussion in the proof of Proposition 8.4, the ∞ -category $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{Map}(S_{Fil}^0, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}))$ acquires a monoidal structure. Moreover, this monoidal structure is linear over the base in that it is $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m)$ -linear. Thus, one may define the enriched (over $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Mod}_R)$) endomorphism object

$$\mathrm{HH}_{fil}^*(X) := \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m}(S_{fil}^0, X|_{\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m})}(\mathbf{1}) \in \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Mod}_R).$$

As endomorphisms of the unit in an E_n -monoidal category acquire an E_{n+1} monoidal structure, this gives rise to the E_2 -monoidal structure on $\mathrm{HH}_{f,il}^*(X)$. This base changes to $\mathrm{HH}^*(X) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times X)^\otimes}(\mathbf{1})$ upon passing to the generic fiber, thus recovering the E_2 -monoidal structure on Hochschild cohomology.

Let us now pass to the central fiber. By Koszul duality, together with the fact that

$$\mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Spec}(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)), X) \simeq \mathrm{Spec} \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{L}_X) \simeq \overline{\mathcal{T}}_X,$$

one obtains an equivalence

$$\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^*(\mathbb{T}_X[-1]).$$

□

Remark 8.7. We remark that we have now exhibited two E_2 -algebra structures on Hochschild cohomology (and its filtered variant): one defined via the E_2 cogroupoid $S^{1,\bullet,\bullet}$ and the standard one defined by composition of natural transformations, now seen to be induced by the E_1 -cogroupoid $S^{0,\bullet}$. We claim that they are equivalent, but we do not include an argument here.

Remark 8.8. The same argument as that of Corollary 8.6 goes through to show that E_n -Hochschild cohomology,

$$\mathrm{HH}_{E_n}^*(X) := \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{L}^{(n-1)}(X))}(\mathbf{1}),$$

obtains an E_{n+1} algebra structure. For example, $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{L}(X))$ will obtain an E_2 -monoidal structure, with unit given by the pushforward $e_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ along the constant morphism

$$e : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X).$$

Hence, we recover an E_3 -algebra structure on $\mathrm{HH}_{E_2}^*(X)$.

We remark that an alternative but closely related explanation for the E_{n+1} structure on n -iterated Hochschild cohomology may be found in [Toë13].

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Bertrand Toën for various conversations and ideas which led to the content of paper. I would also like to thank Joost Nuiten for helpful conversations. This work is supported by the grant NEDAG ERC-2016-ADG-741501.

Competing interest. The authors have no competing interest to declare.

Financial support. NEDAG ERC-2016-ADG-741501,

References

[BZFN10] D. Ben-Zvi, J. Francis and D. Nadler, ‘Integral transforms and drinfeld centers in derived algebraic geometry’, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23**(4) (2010), 909–966.

[BZN12] D. Ben-Zvi and D. Nadler, ‘Loop spaces and connections’, *J. Topol.* **5**(2) (2012), 377–430.

[CPT+17a] D. Calaque, T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié and G. Vezzosi, ‘Shifted poisson structures and deformation quantization’, *J. Topol.* **10**(2) (2017), 483–584.

[CPT+17b] D. Calaque, T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié and G. Vezzosi, ‘Shifted Poisson structures and deformation quantization’, *J. Topol.* **10**(2) (2017), 483–584.

[Ful84] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)) vol. 2 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984). MR 732620

[GR19] D. Gaitsgory and N. Rozenblyum, *A Study in Derived Algebraic Geometry: Volume I: Correspondences and Duality* vol. 221 (American Mathematical Society, 2019).

[GR20] D. Gaitsgory and N. Rozenblyum, *A Study in Derived Algebraic Geometry: Volume II: Deformations, Lie Theory and Formal Geometry* vol. 221 (American Mathematical Society, 2020).

[HSS21] M. Hoyois, P. Safronov, S. Scherotzke and N. Sibilla, ‘The categorified grothendieck–riemann–roch theorem’, *Compos. Math.* **157**(1) (2021), 154–214.

- [KP19] G. Kondyrev and A. Prikhodko, 'Equivariant Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem via formal deformation theory', Preprint, 2019, [arXiv:1906.00172](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.00172).
- [KPT09] L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev and B. Toën, 'Algebraic and topological aspects of the schematization functor', *Compos. Math.* **145**(3) (2009), 633–686.
- [Lur09a] J. Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory* (Princeton University Press, 2009).
- [Lur09b] J. Lurie, 'Notes on crystals and algebraic D-modules', <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/files/Lurie-NotesOnCrystals.pdf> (2009).
- [Lur11] J. Lurie, 'DAG VIII: Quasi-coherent sheaves and tannaka duality theorems', Preprint, 2011.
- [Lur17] J. Lurie, *Higher Algebra*.
- [Mar09] N. Markarian, 'The Atiyah class, Hochschild cohomology and the Riemann–Roch theorem', *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **79**(1) (2009), 129–143.
- [Mou21a] T. Moulinos, 'Filtered formal groups, Cartier duality, and derived algebraic geometry', Preprint, 2021, [arXiv:2101.10262](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.10262).
- [Mou21b] T. Moulinos, 'The geometry of filtrations', *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2021).
- [MRT22] T. Moulinos, M. Robalo and B. Toën, 'A universal Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem', *Geom. Topol.* **26**(2) (2022), 777–874.
- [Rak20] A. Raksit, 'Hochschild homology and the derived de Rham complex revisited', Preprint, 2020, [arXiv:2007.02576](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.02576).
- [Sim90] C. T. Simpson, 'Nonabelian Hodge theory', *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians* vol. 1, 1990, 747–756.
- [Sim96] C. Simpson, 'The Hodge filtration on nonabelian cohomology', Preprint, 1996, [arXiv:alg-geom/9604005](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9604005).
- [STV15] T. Schürg, B. Toën and G. Vezzosi, 'Derived algebraic geometry, determinants of perfect complexes, and applications to obstruction theories for maps and complexes', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **702** (2015), 1–40. MR 3341464
- [Toë06] B. Toën, 'Champs affines', *Selecta Math.* **12**(1) (2006), 39–134.
- [Toë13] B. Toën, 'Operations on derived moduli spaces of branes', Preprint, 2013, [arXiv:1307.0405](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.0405).
- [Toë14] B. Toën, 'Derived algebraic geometry', *EMS Surv. Math. Sci.* **1**(2) (2014), 153–240.
- [Toë20] B. Toën, 'Classes caractéristiques des schémas feuilletés', Preprint, 2020, [arXiv:2008.10489](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.10489).
- [TV11] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi, 'Algèbres simpliciales S^1 -équivariantes, théorie de de Rham et théorèmes HKR multiplicatifs', *Compos. Math.* **147**(6) (2011), 1979–2000.
- [TV20] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi, 'Algebraic foliations and derived geometry II: The Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch theorem', Preprint, 2020, [arXiv:2007.09251](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.09251).