



# An innovative technique for faecal score classification based on RGB images and artificial intelligence algorithms

L. Ortenzi<sup>1</sup>, S. Violino<sup>1</sup>, C. Costa<sup>1</sup>, S. Figorilli<sup>1</sup>, S. Vasta<sup>1</sup>, F. Tocci<sup>1</sup>, L. Moscovini<sup>1</sup>, L. Basiricò<sup>2</sup>, C. Evangelista<sup>2</sup>, F. Pallottino<sup>1</sup>  and U. Bernabucci<sup>2</sup>

## Animal Research Paper

**Cite this article:** Ortenzi L *et al* (2023). An innovative technique for faecal score classification based on RGB images and artificial intelligence algorithms. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **161**, 291–296. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859623000114>

Received: 28 July 2022  
Revised: 17 November 2022  
Accepted: 6 February 2023  
First published online: 15 February 2023

**Keywords:**  
AI; CNN; deep learning; FCI; transfer learning

**Author for correspondence:**  
F. Pallottino,  
E-mail: [federico.pallottino@crea.gov.it](mailto:federico.pallottino@crea.gov.it)

<sup>1</sup>Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria (CREA) – Centro di ricerca Ingegneria e Trasformazioni agroalimentari, Via della Pascolare 16, 00015 Monterotondo, Rome, Italy and <sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences (DAFNE), University of Tuscia, 01100 Viterbo, Italy

### Abstract

The milk production is strongly influenced by the dairy cow welfare related to a good nutrition and the analysis of the digestibility of feeds allows us to evaluate the health status of the animals. Through faeces' visual examination it is possible to estimate the quality of diet fed in terms of lacking in fibre or too high in non-structural carbohydrates. The study was carried out in 2021, on four dairy farms in central Italy. The purpose of this work is the classification and evaluation of dairy cow faeces using RGB image analysis through an artificial intelligence (AI) (convolutional neural network (CNN)) algorithm. The main features to analyse are pH, colour and consistency. For the latter two RGB imaging was combined with deep learning and AI to reach objectivity in samples' evaluation. The images have been captured with several smartphones and cameras, under various light conditions, collecting a data set of 441 images. Images acquired by RGB cameras are then analysed through CNN technology that extracts features and data previously standardized by a faecal score index assigned after a visual analysis and based on five classes. The results achieved with different training strategies show a training accuracy of 90% and a validation accuracy of 78% of the model which allow us to identify problems in bovine digestion and to intervene promptly in feed variation. The method used in this study eliminates subjectivity in field analysis and allows future improvement of increasing the data set to strengthen the model.

### Introduction

Nowadays, dairy cow welfare is a major concern for both producers and consumers. Welfare is defined as good nutrition, housing, health status and behaviour of the animal. Changes in animal welfare can cause a decrease in productivity, particularly milk production (Islam *et al.*, 2020). Milk production is related to the intake of necessary nutrients in dairy cows (Conrad *et al.*, 1964). The diet of ruminants often includes not only corn silage which has a high concentration of starch but also digestible neutral detergent fibre (aNDFom). The content of fibre creates satiety in the animal, so much so that feed intake is limited. The concentration of aNDFom and the digestibility associated with it play a key role in the animal's diet (Krämer-Schmid *et al.*, 2016). Fibre, in addition to being responsible for ruminal filling, promotes chewing and peristaltic activity, contributing to proper digestion. During digestion, a more homogeneous environment is created and there is control of retention or escape of particles from the rumen. Ruminal filling is related to rumination because during this phase pressure and stretch receptors are activated in the wall of the reticulum, depending on the particle size of the different foods. To measure physical filling, it is also important to measure the indigestible NDF content. This parameter represents the fibre that is not digested and is estimated through fermentation for 240 h (Fustini *et al.*, 2017).

It is of paramount importance to analyse the digestibility of feeds. There are two types of digestibility: true digestibility and apparent digestibility. The former is defined as the percentage of feed that is excreted in the faeces and thus has been absorbed by an animal; whereas the latter is lower than true digestibility because faeces contain endogenous proteins and fats and secretions of the digestive system. The compound that limits digestibility is lignin, due to bonds with cell wall polysaccharides (González Torres, 2012). It is well known that (Jung *et al.*, 1997) dry matter (DM) and NDF digestibility are negatively correlated with lignin content.

Manure or faeces analysis can be performed by obtaining information on the digestibility of diets and on fermentative processes. There are several factors that influence the characteristics of faeces. Higher intake of DM determines an increase in the size of the particles present in the faeces, the same is evident when diets are low in effective fibre (peNDF) and high in non-

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



structural carbohydrate content; this is because inadequate consumption of peNDF causes inadequate ruminal fibrous mass formation, which is not effective enough to retain small particles in the rumen (Mertens, 1997). This similarly occurs if the decrease in peNDF is accompanied by a reduction in feed particle size (Poppi *et al.*, 1980).

To be analysed, the faeces should be washed with hot or cold water to allow the operator to check if the state of digestion is optimal. Next, they should be sieved, observing the particles found in each sieve. Diets with low levels of forage and detergent acid have been found to have a high DM content in the faeces and a low faecal score (Ireland-Perry and Stalling, 1993).

Another important parameter in faecal analysis is colour. It depends on the type of feed, bile concentration and average digesta transit. Usually, it has a dark green colour when cows eat fresh forage and an olive-brown colour if the animals eat hay in their diet. If cows eat large amounts of grain, the faeces are olive-yellow in colour (Kononoff and Heinrichs, 2003). Another important value in faecal analysis is pH. This is negatively correlated with the starch content of the ration, the starch content of the faeces and the DM content of the faeces. In addition, diets with low forage content and 170 g/kg of acid detergent fibre (ADF) show low pH, compared to diets characterized by an ADF equal to 250 g/kg (Ireland-Perry and Stalling, 1993). Cows fed an abundance of grain showed a more acidic pH, compared to grass-fed cows (Cook *et al.*, 1996). Measurement of faecal pH is a good indicator of small intestinal pH (Wheeler and Noller, 1977; Nordlund *et al.*, 2004).

Imaging analysis can be used to assess stool consistency and colour. In recent years, RGB imaging is widely used because of its colour rendering and simple hardware structure. RGB images are captured using digital cameras, webcams or scanners. This technology also features a lighting system, a camera and image analysis software via a computer, so colour information can be obtained from the images in the form of RGB pixel ribbons. RGB imaging makes it possible to determine the overall colour and visually evaluate the analysed samples. Generally, RGB imaging is very beneficial, although it provides limited information (Fan and Su, 2022). To overcome this problem, RGB image analysis is often combined with deep learning. Using deep learning with artificial intelligence (AI) allows for the elimination of subjectivity in sample evaluation. Image processing and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are the key components of deep learning; this approach has already been used in stool detection and classification (Choy *et al.*, 2021).

CNN technology is used as an algorithmic tool to analyse data from sample images acquired with RGB cameras. CNNs can learn the representation and can perform shift-invariant classification on the input information according to a hierarchical structure. Normally, CNN is most often used as a tool to analyse images, such as in the case of white meat classification (Fan and Su, 2022). CNN models have already been trained on forest data sets and shown to perform well (Egli and Höpke, 2020).

The CNN technology consists of an input layer, a convolution layer, a pooling layer and an output layer. The input layer receives pixels from the image. The convolution layer uses the convolution kernel to extract features from the image. The pooling layer is followed by the convolution layer, with the purpose of reducing the pixels to be processed and formulating the abstract features. The output layer allows classification based on the considered categories (Gao *et al.*, 2017).

The literature shows few works regarding the analysis of RGB images on animal faeces, also using a deep learning system for classification.

Therefore, the aim of the present work was to evaluate and classify dairy cow faeces by RGB image analysis, using an AI (CNN) algorithm. A visual faecal analysis was performed. To standardize data analysis, a faecal score index (FCI) was assigned on an empirical basis.

## Materials and methods

### Farms and sampling

The study was carried out on four dairy farms located in central Italy (Viterbo and Roma provinces). The herds were selected because they were representative of the production area in terms of production system adopted (intensive), average milk yield per cow and barn design and management (total confinement-free barn housing with no time at pasture, Total Mix Ration (TMR) and feeding practices based on corn silage). The herd size was 60, 105, 290 and 510, lactating and dry cows (herds A, B, C and D, respectively). All herds were freestall barns. Lactating cows were fed TMR once a day, in the morning. The study was carried out from January 2021 until the end of December 2021.

### Faecal condition scoring

Faecal condition scores and faecal colour provide information on how a diet is being digested and the state of gastrointestinal health. Manure change is a guide when making feed changes for evaluating rations. Fresh, undisturbed piles of faeces or droppings may provide valuable clues and should be part of your toolbox when evaluating the nutritional status of the dairy herd. Currently, there are five faecal scores to assess faecal consistency and thus digestibility in ruminants. Consistency is dependent on water and fibre content of the manure, type of feed and passage rate. A scale of 1–5 is listed below with a score 3 optimal (Ireland-Perry and Stalling, 1993):

- Score 1: Very liquid stool, pea soup consistency: excess protein or starch can lead to this score. Excess urea in the large intestine can generate an osmotic gradient that increases the water content of the stool.
- Score 2: Very liquid stool that sprays when it hits the ground or concrete floor; cows on pasture or fed low-fibre diets or lacking effective fibre may exhibit this score.
- Score 3: This is the ideal score. Faeces take on a cake shape on the ground, can be stacked up to 1.5 or 2 inches thick, with several concentric rings and a small depression in the centre; they make a plopping sound when they hit the floor.
- Score 4: On the ground, faeces take on a thickness greater than 2 inches; dry cows may have faeces with this type of score; may reflect feeding poor quality or protein deficient forages. Addition of grain and protein or better forage quality may lower the stool score.
- Score 5: Stool appears as solid pellets; straw feeding or excessive dehydration contributes to this rating; cows in digestive block may exhibit this score.

The scoring was validated before starting the trial. Some authors of this article individually scored several faecal samples in two

**Table 1.** Numerosity of the data set subdivided per FCI class based on a faecal score, from 2 to 4, that grows as the water content in the faeces decreases

FCI class	No. of samples
2	13
2.5	43
3	168
3.5	162
4	25
n.c.	30
Total no. of samples	441

n.c., not classified.

farms until there was a difference between evaluators lower than 0.5 point. The details of the data set used for the calculations are reported in Table 1 which includes the total number of samples (441) taken without replicates.


### Data acquisition and data set construction

Images were on purpose acquired with different smartphones under several environmental light conditions.

Most of the images were taken using the rear camera of a Samsung Note 9 acquiring a 12 megapixel image, shot at 4.3 mm focal length (26 mm in 35 mm equivalent format), manual exposure and with flash on. Another portion of the images was taken using an iPhone X rear camera also working at 12 megapixel resolution at 4 mm focal length (28 mm in 35 mm equivalent format). Remaining images were taken with an Oppo A9 2020 model, manual exposure at 2.34 mm focal length.

This was done to increase the variability and to strengthen the final developed model. As a result, zoom level and pixel dimensions are not uniform across the data set. Figure 1 shows four samples of images of the data set belonging to the class 2, 3, 4 and n.c., respectively, where n.c. stands for 'not classifiable'.

The data set considered in this preliminary work was made of five classes plus an additional class where we put all the samples for which FCI is not well defined, namely: 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4 and n.c. The FCI was visually assessed by an expert operator identifying the correct values through the pictures. The original FCI was based on seven classes: 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5 and n.c. representing not classifiable faeces, since they do not fall in any of the standard faecal score values ranging from 1 to 5. The class was anyway included in the analysis to better test the generalization of the model.

**Fig. 1.** Example of RGB images from the faecal data set. Ground truth labels are assigned by eye inspection.**Fig. 2.** Frequency count for each faecal score ( $n = 441$ ). n.c., not classified.

However, class 1.5 and class 4.5 were composed by an insufficient number of samples each. For this reason, the number of classes was reduced including the samples owing to class 1.5 in class 2 and the samples owing to class 4.5 in class 4.


### CNN architecture and training

The CNN was trained adopting two separated strategies, called in the following (A) and (B). In both cases transfer learning on a pre-trained CNN known as 'googlenet' (ImageNet, 2020) ([www.image-net.org](http://www.image-net.org)), trained on the ImageNet data set (Krizhevsky *et al.*, 2017; Szegedy *et al.*, 2015) was applied as implemented in the deep learning MATLAB (R2019b) toolbox. The obtained network was named 'transfernet'. In the first case – case (A) – a one-step training strategy was adopted, and the CNN was trained for ten epochs and saved as 'transfernet1'. In the second case – case (B) – the obtained CNN was trained for six epochs and named 'transfernet2'. Afterwards, 'transfernet2' was retrained for six epochs more, and saved as 'fecalnet'.

In both strategies a minibatch of ten iterations (30 iterations per epoch) was adopted during the training process. The stochastic gradient descent with momentum optimizer was used with a learning rate of  $10^{-4}$ . Data augmentation was not applied due to the significant class imbalance.

The final output is obtained by means of a softmax function and is a six-dimensional probability vector  $p$  whose components represent the output probability of the corresponding class. The output class is given by the larger component of the vector  $p$ .

The 441 samples have been split into proportions of 0.7 for the training set (309 samples) and 0.3 for the evaluation set (132 samples). Being the data set formed by unstructured data (i.e. undefined features) it is not possible to define a metrics for



**Fig. 3.** Training (light blue line) and validation (black dashed-dotted line) accuracy for one step (a) and two-steps (b) and (c) training strategies. Dark blue lines represent the training accuracy smoothed by means of a moving mean over ten iterations.

optimal splitting of the data into training and test sets. For this reason, the partitioning of the data sets was conducted with a fully random procedure.

## Results

Figure 2 illustrates the total number of faecal piles scored across. The great majority of the faeces received a score of 3 and 3.5. Results were different compared with data reported by Melendez and Roy (2016) who found greater frequency of score

2.5 in Holstein lactating cows in Florida. Figure 3 shows the results obtained with a one-step and a two-steps training strategy. Training ‘transfernet’ with six epochs gives a validation accuracy of 54%. Keeping training for ten epochs in total (‘transfernet1’) improves the accuracy by  $\approx 15\%$  but it ends up in the so-called ‘overfitting’ region where the training accuracy (78%) was significantly larger than the validation accuracy (68%). Adopting a two-steps training strategy (training ‘transfernet’ for six epochs, saving the weights, initializing the parameters and then training again for six epochs) prevents overfitting and improves the validation accuracy by  $\approx 44\%$  bringing the training accuracy up to  $\approx 90\%$  and the validation accuracy up to 78%. Results are summarized in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

As reported by Atkinson *et al.* (2020), it is possible to detect the presence of undigested fibre and corn kernels (approximately 90%) using a deep learning approach. This indicates the importance of this system in monitoring the digestive health of ruminants, allowing for rapid intervention to change feed if digestibility issues arise. Figure 4 shows training and test confusion matrices and an example of the classification obtained on

**Table 2.** Principal results of CNN ‘faecal net’

	Training (70%)	Test (30%)	Total
Number of cases	309	132	441
% Bad predictions	10.4	22	14
No. of bad predictions	32	29	61



Fig. 4. Training and test confusion matrices of 'fecalnet' obtained with the two-steps training strategy described in the text.

the four samples of the data set used (Fig. 5). It is possible to observe that the classification overall accuracy is high (86%), but, considering the single classes, the errors are mainly distributed on the adjacent classes and not randomly. Increasing the data set size, the discrimination ability between two adjacent classes is expected to increase significantly.

**Discussion**

Faeces' colour and consistency evaluation gives important information about hindgut fermentation of consumed feeds to observe cattle nutrition and health. This method represents a useful diagnostic tool for investigating what might be happening during the digestive process. Many authors have shown the relationship between diet composition and faecal consistency. For example, studies have shown that cows from intensive breeding produce more liquid faeces and restricted water, or protein intake and severe dehydration could result in firmer faeces (Varga, 2003). The ration composition influences the consistency and the colour of manure. A high-protein diet or that experience high levels of rumen degradable protein, causes liquid or loose manure. Conversely, cows eating diets poor in proteins, or with lower water intake excrete firmer faeces (Kononoff *et al.*, 2002). When

animals are fed excessive starch lower faecal consistency may occur (Hall, 2002). Moreover, Hall (2002) reported that the high presence of faeces in the first two score classes was directly related to unbalanced rations in terms of protein. On the contrary, an increase of categories 4 and 5 (high consistency) was observed in farms characterized by fibre excess and low water intake (Adduci *et al.*, 2015). The consistency of manure is a function of the feed moisture content and the mean retention time of the feed in the digestive tract of the animal (Varga, 2003). For example, more consistent faecal scores may indicate a lower by-pass of undigested feeds (carbohydrate in particular) to the lower gut (Bagheri Varzaneh, 2022). A normal faecal consistency consists in a medium porridge-like with a shape in a range between 2.5 and 5.0 cm (Varga, 2003). This is probably because of increased water consumption to excrete excess nitrogen through the urine. When animals are fed diets high in carbohydrates, faeces are bright, yellowish, with a sweet-sour smell (Kleen *et al.*, 2003), foamy with gas bubbles and contain more undigested fibre or grain (Hall, 2002). Manure of animals nourished with fresh forage is normally dark green while animals nourished with more hay forage in the ration produce brown olive faeces. Dark manure colour indicates the presence of haemorrhagic events in the intestinal tract. Finally, the evaluation of




Fig. 5. Example of FCI assignment based on faeces image classification by the CNN. Class and probability, and ground truth labels are also reported on top and bottom of the single image, respectively.

manure characteristics together with other information on physical and chemical characteristics of the diet may be useful for better understanding the feeding management of dairy cows.

Other factors can be responsible for a change in FCI. The phase of lactation affects the consistency of faeces. Early lactation cows have faeces more liquid than late lactation cows. This is probably also due to the different diet fed in early and late lactation (Ward *et al.*, 2002).

Faecal score was also related to cleanliness scores of the udders, flanks and legs of lactating cows (Ward *et al.*, 2002). Those authors have observed a significant correlation between the consistency of faeces and cleanliness score of early lactation score, when cows produce large quantities of liquid faeces it is not easy to maintain clean surface.

## Conclusions

The present work was an attempt to evaluate and classify dairy cow faeces by advanced RGB image analysis based on an AI (CNN) algorithm called 'fecalnet'. Considering the results obtained we can define the methodology as a very promising approach to an often-subjective field evaluation. This is also true considering the low sample number used. Future studies may increase the data set to strengthen the already good performances. Moreover, this kind of model can be easily extracted and adapted through a Java script to build an Android app. This will represent a powerful yet easy to use tool for in field direct evaluation of the faecal score which is normally visually evaluated. Thus, the app may be used by both, farmers and technicians for providing correct faecal score determination.

**Author contributions.** L. O., S. Vi., F. P. and C. C. conceived and designed the study. S. F., S. Vi., S. V., F. T., F. P., C. E., L. B., U. B. and C. C. conducted data gathering. C. C. and L. O. performed deep learning analyses. S. Vi., L. M., L. O., C. C. and F. P. wrote the article.

**Financial support.** Project INNOVALAT: tecnologie innovative per l'alimentazione del bovino da latte. MipAAF (Italian Ministry of Agricultural, Food and Forestry Policies) DM no. 16843 del 11/04/2019.

**Conflict of interest.** The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Adduci F, Labella C, Musto M, D'Adamo C, Freschi P and Cosentino C (2015) Use of technical and economical parameters for evaluating dairy cow ration efficiency. *Italian Journal of Agronomy* **10**, 202–207.
- Atkinson GA, Smith LN, Smith ML, Reynolds CK, Humphries DJ, Moorby JM and Kingston-Smith AH (2020) A computer vision approach to improving cattle digestive health by the monitoring of faecal samples. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 1–12.
- Bagheri Varzaneh M (2022) Effects of a commercial blend of phytochemicals and probiotic on the performance of mid-lactation dairy cows exposed to heat-stress. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science* **12**, 489–495.
- Choy YP, Hu G and Chen J (2021) Detection and classification of human stool using deep convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Access* **9**, 160485–160496.
- Conrad HR, Pratt AD and Hibbs JW (1964) Regulation of feed intake in dairy cows. I. Change in importance of physical and physiological factors with increasing digestibility. *Journal of Dairy Science* **47**, 54–62.
- Cook DF, Dadour IR and Ali DN (1996) Effect of diet on the excretion profile of ivermectin in cattle faeces. *International Journal for Parasitology* **26**, 291–295.
- Egli S and Höpke M (2020) CNN-based tree species classification using high resolution RGB image data from automated UAV observations. *Remote Sensing* **12**, 3892.
- Fan KJ and Su WH (2022) Applications of fluorescence spectroscopy, RGB- and multispectral imaging for quality determinations of white meat: a review. *Biosensors* **12**, 76.
- Fustini M, Palmonari A, Canestrari G, Bonfante E, Mammi L, Pacchioli MT and Formigoni A (2017) Effect of undigested neutral detergent fibre content of alfalfa hay on lactating dairy cows: feeding behaviour, fibre digestibility, and lactation performance. *Journal of Dairy Science* **100**, 4475–4483.
- Gao F, Huang T, Wang J, Sun J, Hussain A and Yang E (2017) Dual-branch deep convolution neural network for polarimetric SAR image classification. *Applied Sciences* **7**, 447.
- González Torres YO (2012) *Tecniche di allevamento della bovina e produzione del latte* (PhD thesis). Italy, University of Parma, Department of Veterinary Medicine. Available at <https://www.repository.unipr.it/bitstream/1889/1954/1/TECNICHE%20DI%20ALLEVAMENTO%20DELLA%20BOVINA.pdf> (21 March 2022).
- Hall MB (2002) Working with non-NDF carbohydrates with manure evaluation and environmental considerations. *Proc. Mid. South Ruminant Conf., Texas*.
- ImageNet (2020) [Online] Stanford Vision Lab, Stanford University, Princeton University. Available at <http://www.image-net.org> (25 March 2022).
- Ireland-Perry RL and Stalling CC (1993) Faecal consistency as related to dietary composition in lactating Holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **76**, 1074–1082.
- Islam MA, Sharma A, Ahsan S, Mazumdar S, Rudra KC and Phillips CJ (2020) Welfare assessment of dairy cows in small farms in Bangladesh. *Animals* **10**, 394.
- Jung HG, Mertens DR and Payne AJ (1997) Correlation of acid detergent lignin and Klason lignin with digestibility of forage dry matter and neutral detergent fibre. *Journal of Dairy Science* **80**, 1622–1628.
- Kleen JL, Hooijer GA, Rehage J and Noordhuizen JPTM (2003) Subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA): a review. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine. A, Physiology, Pathology, Clinical Medicine* **50**, 406–414.
- Kononoff PJ and Heinrichs AJ (2003) The effect of corn silage particle size and cottonseed hulls on cows in early lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science* **86**, 2438–2451.
- Kononoff PJ, Heinrichs J and Varga G (2002) Using manure evaluation to enhance dairy cattle nutrition. [Online]. Penn State College of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Dairy and Animal Science. Available at <http://www.das.psu.edu/teamdairy/> (21 March 2022).
- Krämer-Schmid M, Lund P and Weisbjerg MR (2016) Importance of NDF digestibility of whole crop maize silage for dry matter intake and milk production in dairy cows. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **219**, 68–76.
- Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I and Hinton GE (2017) Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Communications of the ACM.*, 84–90.
- Melendez P and Roy E (2016) The association between total mixed ration particle size and faecal scores in Holstein lactating dairy cows from Florida, USA. *American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences* **11**, 33–40.
- Mertens DR (1997) Creating a system for meeting the fibre requirements of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **80**, 1463.
- Nordlund KV, Cook NB and Oetzel GR (2004) Investigation strategies for laminitis problem herds. *Journal of Dairy Science* **87**(Suppl), E27–E35.
- Poppi DP, Norton BW, Minson DJ and Hendricksen RE (1980) The validity of the critical size theory for particles leaving the rumen. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **94**, 275.
- Szegedy C, Liu W, Jia Y, Sermanet P, Reed S, Anguelov D, Erhan D, Vanhoucke V and Rabinovich A (2015) Going deeper with convolutions. In *2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 1–9.
- Varga GA (2003) Can manure evaluation diagnose areas for improvement in ration formulation, management and health. [Online]. Penn State College of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Dairy and Animal Science. Available at <http://www.das.psu.edu/dcn/catnut/PDF/manure.pdf> (22 March 2022).
- Ward WR, Hughes JW, Faul WB, Cripps PJ, Sutherland JP and Sutherst JE (2002) Observational study of temperature, moisture, pH and bacteria in straw bedding, and faecal consistency, cleanliness and mastitis in cows in four dairy herds. *The Veterinary Record* **151**, 199–206.
- Wheeler WE and Noller CH (1977) Gastrointestinal tract pH and Starch in faeces of ruminants. *Journal of Animal Science* **44**, 131.