



The impact of body composition parameters on ipilimumab toxicity in metastatic melanoma

L. Daly¹, A. O'Reilly², P. Donnelan, S. Cushen¹, D. Woodlock², D.G. Power³ and A.M. Ryan¹
¹School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Ireland, ²Department of Medical Oncology, University Hospital Galway, Ireland and ³Department of Medical Oncology Mercy & Cork University Hospitals, Cork, Ireland

Body composition has emerged as an important predictor of chemotherapeutic drug efficacy and toxicity^(1,2,3). Ipilimumab (Ipi) is a monoclonal antibody that inhibits cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4), an inhibitory receptor expressed on T lymphocytes. This inhibition allows T cell immune activation against melanoma antigen and has been shown to improve overall survival in patients with advanced melanoma. Immune-related toxicity can result as a consequence of Ipi mode of action. There are no validated predictive biomarkers of Ipi efficacy or toxicity.

The aim of this study was to evaluate if body composition, specifically sarcopenia, as assessed by computed tomography (CT) can predict toxicity to Ipi in metastatic melanoma. Patients with metastatic melanoma, treated with Ipi at two university teaching hospitals between 2009–2015 were included. Skeletal muscle cross-sectional area at L3 was measured using by CT using OsiriX[®] software (Pixmeo, Geneva, Switzerland). Sarcopenia was defined using sex specific published cut-offs⁽⁴⁾. Toxicity was recorded using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) v4.0.

Sixty-six patients were included in this study, 38 were male (58%). In total 68% (n = 45) were overweight or obese by WHO standards (BMI >25 kg/m²). Twenty four (36.4%) were sarcopenic at baseline, and 17% (n = 11) had sarcopenic obesity. Overall 71% completed all 4 cycles of treatment and 15.2% experienced early cessation of treatment as a result of significant toxicity. In total, 57.6% experienced an immune-related adverse event, the most common being dermatologic toxicity (rash and pruritus) (35%), followed by gastrointestinal (GI) toxicities (31.8%, including diarrhoea and colitis). Overall 70% of patients experienced Grade (gr) 1–2 toxicities while 40.9% (27) experienced gr 3–4 toxicities.

We observed no significant difference in the prevalence of GI, dermatologic, or endocrine immune-related events between sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic patients across all 4 cycles of the drug [(20.8% vs 38.1% (p = 0.241), 33.3% vs 8.1% (p = 0.904), 8.3% vs 11.9% (p = 1.00) respectively]. We noted that patients with a BMI >30 kg/m² [16 (24.6%)], had a significantly higher incidence of GI toxicities (81.2% vs 38.8%, p = 0.008), including diarrhoea (62.5% vs 20.4%, p = 0.004, gr 3–4 diarrhoea 12.5% vs 0%, p = 0.001), nausea (56.2% vs 12.2%, p = 0.001) and colitis (68.6% vs 42.9%, p = 0.01).

Sarcopenic patients were identified as being more susceptible to early treatment cessation; only 58% of sarcopenic patients received the full 4 cycles of treatment compared with 83% of non-sarcopenic patients (p = 0.09). Overall 33% of sarcopenic patients received <2 cycles of treatment compared with 11.9% of non sarcopenic patients (p = 0.053).

We found no significant difference in treatment toxicity between sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic patients, and this may partially be explained by the pharmacokinetics of ipilimumab, as it is not protein-bound drug. Previous studies, which have demonstrated an increase in toxicity in sarcopenic patients have been carried out in protein bound drugs e.g. Docetaxel⁽¹⁾, Sorafenib⁽²⁾, Epirubicin⁽³⁾. We conclude that Ipilimumab toxicity is not increased in patients with sarcopenia, however sarcopenic patients tend to spend less time on treatment. This study may have implications for Ipi outcomes and further data will be presented.

1. Cushen S, Power DG, Teo My *et al.* (2014) *Am J Clin Onco* [Epub ahead of print]
2. Prado CM, Lima I, Baracos V *et al.* (2010) *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **67**, 93–101
3. Mir O, Coriat R, Blanchet B *et al.* (2012) *Plos Biol* **5**, 37563
4. Mourtzakis M, Prado C.M, Lieffer JR *et al.* (2008) *Appl Physiol, Nutr Metab* **33**, 997–1006