


What Price Vigilance, published by Yale University Press. In presenting the award of \$500.00 to Russett, Charles O. Jones quoted John P. Lovell's review of the book that it "is a forthright and timely expression of the author's deep concern about the burden of maintaining a vast defense establishment; yet it is a careful, skillful analysis, in which inferences and prescription are closely tied to data." Members of the Selection Committee were: Charles O. Jones, University of Pittsburgh, Chairman; Thomas R. Dye, Florida State University; and Ira Sharkansky, University of Wisconsin.

The Pi Sigma Alpha Award, for the best paper presented at the 1970 Annual Meeting, went to Daniel Ellsberg, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, for his paper, "Escalating in a Quagmire." Members of the Selection Committee were: Davis B. Bobrow, University of Minnesota, Chairman; Melvin Richter, Hunter College; and Robert C. Tucker, Princeton University.

The Edward S. Corwin Award, for the best dissertation in public law, broadly defined, went to Douglas Eurico Rosenthal, of the firm Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver and Jacobson. His dissertation "Client Participation in Professional Decision: The Lawyer-Client Relationship in Personal Injury Cases," was submitted by the Department of Political Science, Yale University. Members of the Selection Committee were: David Danelski, Cornell University, Chairman; Paul C. Bartholomew, University of Notre Dame; and Sanford V. Levinson, Stanford University.

The Leonard D. White Award, for the best dissertation in the general field of public administration, broadly defined, went to Larry B. Hill, University of Oklahoma. His dissertation, "The International Transfer of Political Institutions: A Behavioral Analysis of the New Zealand Ombudsman" was submitted by the Department of Political Science, Tulane University. Members of the Selection Committee were: Laurence I. Radway, Dartmouth College, Chairman; Arnold J. Meltsner, University of California, Berkeley; and Robert V. Presthus, York University.

The Helen Dwight Reid Award, for the best dissertation in the field of international relations, law and politics. The Reid Award Committee for 1971 recommended that no award be made for 1971 and that consideration be given to means whereby more submissions for consideration would be available for future awards and that the 1972 Committee consider making two awards.



Heinz Eulau,
Stanford University, Association President 1971-72

APSA Council Minutes

The third Council meeting of the year was held at the Washington Hilton Hotel, Washington, D.C., June 7 and 8, 1971.

Present:

Chadwick F. Alger, Philip E. Converse, John A. Davis, Thomas R. Dye, Heinz Eulau, Fred I. Greenstein, Samuel P. Huntington, Henry S. Kariel, Evron M. Kirkpatrick, Robert E. Lane, Herbert McClosky, Donald R. Matthews, Joyce M. Mitchell, Nelson W. Polsby, Jewel L. Prestage, James W. Prothro, William P. Robinson, Dankwart A. Rustow, Victoria Schuck, Allan P. Sindler and Ellis Waldron.

Approval of Minutes

Minutes of the previous meeting were unanimously approved, subject to such minor corrections that the Secretary may find necessary.

Priorities of the Association

President-Elect Eulau, at the request of President Lane, presented the Council with a "Prolegomenon to a Discussion of APSA Priorities." President-Elect Eulau's comments included the following:

Given constraints arising out of the heterogeneous nature of our membership and our

limited resources, it is easier to say what the Association is not and what it should not do, than what it is and what it should do. . . .

First, we are not a university backed by public or private funds. . . . Much as I appreciate the Association's potential in shaping the course of teaching and research, I believe that this course will be set in and by the university departments. . . . It is in this context that whatever we do about secondary, undergraduate, graduate and minority education or the dissemination of research and writing must be judged. We have undertaken such efforts in the past and Bob Lane has initiated a great many relevant enterprises in the past year. I propose, therefore, that in the coming year or two this Council, the Executive Director and the President do no more than try to carry on these programs and not scatter our limited resources in further new directions. . . .

Second, we are not a foundation in the business of subsidizing worthy causes. . . . This is not to say that the Association cannot help, directly or indirectly, those who wish to promote something of which this Council approves. I think the efforts being made in behalf of black students for fellowship support are of this order. But we are in this respect at best a broker institution that, like an *amicus curiae*, intervenes. . . .

Third, we are neither a labor union nor a trade association. Although we are concerned with the social and economic welfare of our members, especially those who are teachers, we are in no position to bargain collectively, or as an Association, make demands on the universities. . . . Those of our members or departments who wish to enter into trade union relationships with their employers should affiliate with the American Federation of Teachers or similar organizations.

Those who are concerned with academic freedom and tenure are free to join the AAUP. It seems to me inappropriate for our Association to do what other organizations are set up to do and potentially capable of doing.

Finally, and I am coming here to a matter closest to my heart, we are not set up or organized for political action or the propagation of political points of view. . . . My objection to political

action groups in the Association is not that they may have certain aims, some of which I probably share, but that they seek to use and, I think, misuse the Association as an instrument for the achievement of their political aims. . . . As a learned society whose members differ a great deal among themselves, the Association should commit its moral and scientific resources most sparingly. . . .

I consider it, therefore, as our top priority to maintain rationality and sanity in a world that to some people seems so topsy-turvy that they lose all sense of direction and long-range purpose. That purpose has been and shall continue to be "to encourage the study of Political Science."

President Lane also spoke to the Council of his ideas of Association priorities. He described on-going activities of the Association in a variety of fields, together with the new programs he had previously presented to the Council. He categorized these activities as follows:

- Education in Political Science
 - Pre-Collegiate Education
 - Undergraduate Education
 - Graduate Education

- Publications and Information Exchange
 - APSR
 - PS
 - Annual Meeting
 - Abstracting and bibliographic services
 - Book reviewing
 - Scientific Information Exchange

- Manpower
 - Recruitment and Placement
 - National Register
 - Manpower study

- Professional Equality
 - Committee on the Status of Blacks
 - Black Fellowship Program
 - Committee on the Status of Women
 - Committee on the Status of Chicanos

- Ethics and Academic Freedom

- Application of Political Science Knowledge in Public Affairs

1971 ANNUAL MEETING

The Technological Challenge to Political and Administrative Organization in the United States: An Analytical Colloquium



L to R: Jonathan F. Galloway, Lake Forest College; David H. Davis, Johns Hopkins University; James D. Carroll, Ohio State University; Albert H. Teich, Syracuse University; and Roger E. Kanet, University of Kansas.

The Measurement of Policy Outcomes in the Administration of Social Services




L to R: Jay W. Stein, Western Illinois University; Murry Tucker, Florida State University; Sydney Reid, Florida A & M University; Lenneal Henderson, San Francisco State and Paul L. Puryear, Florida State University.

Nominating Presidents and Prime Ministers: Comparative Perspectives



L to R: Austin Ranney, University of Wisconsin; Elijah B. Kaminsky, Arizona State University; Donald R. Matthews, Brookings Institution and David Butler, Nuffield College, Oxford University.

The Financing of Politics



L to R: John Owens, University of California, Davis; Donald Balmer, Lewis and Clark College, and Delmer Dunn, University of Georgia, Athens.

Multi-Media Approaches to Teaching International Relations in High Schools



L to R: Cheryl Nottingham, Center for Teaching International Relations, University of Denver and H. Thomas Collins, Center for War/Peace Studies, University of Denver.

What Kind of Majority — Republican, Real, For Change or None



L to R: David Kovenock, University of North Carolina; Robert Wagner, Louis Harris and Associates; Kevin Phillips, King Features Syndicate; Richard M. Scammon, Elections Research Center; Rick S. Piltz, University of Texas and William Hamilton, Independent Research Association.

Presidential Address and Awards Ceremony



L to R: Charles O. Jones, University of Pittsburgh, Chairman, Gladys M. Kammerer Award Committee; Sidney Verba, University of Chicago, 1971 Annual Meeting Program Chairman; Robert E. Lane, Yale University, 1971 Association President; and Laurence I. Radway, Dartmouth College, Chairman, Leonard D. White Award Committee.

Yale University Dutch Treat Reception




David R. Mayhew, Yale University, and Michael Kraft, Vassar College.



The Presidential Address, Robert E. Lane
Yale University

Awards Presentation



L to R: Bruce M. Russett, Yale University, winner 1971 Gladys M. Kammerer Award; Ted Robert Gurr, Northwestern University, winner of the 1971 Woodrow Wilson Book Award and William T. R. Fox, Columbia University, Chairman, Woodrow Wilson Book Award Committee.

APSA Council Meeting



L to R: John A. Davis, City College; Evron M. Kirkpatrick, APSA; Robert E. Lane, Yale University; Heinz Eulau, Stanford University; and Thomas R. Dye, Florida State University.

Filming the Council Meeting



L to R: Jewel L. Prestage, Southern University; Donald R. Matthews, Brookings Institution; Herbert McClosky, University of California, Berkeley; Allan P. Sindler, University of California, Berkeley; John H. Kessel, Ohio State University; Henry Kariel, University of Hawaii; Gordon Tullock, Virginia Polytechnic Institute; Edward C. Banfield, Harvard University; and Victoria Schuck, Mt. Holyoke College. The cameraman is Samuel Walker.

Kirkpatrick pointed out that in reading the history of the Association and in meeting with directors of other social science associations, he was impressed with the similarities in the activities, programs, and problems of all the professional associations. He felt that two of the most important functions of the Association should be to strengthen publications and the Annual Meetings. He stated that pre-collegiate and undergraduate education have been a concern of all the associations through the years and will probably continue to be in the future.

Following these statements by Eulau, Lane, and Kirkpatrick, the Council engaged in only a brief discussion of Association priorities. The Council neither approved nor disapproved of the views expressed by the President, President-Elect, and Executive Director. Statements by Davis, Rustow and Prestage indicated diversity within the Council about Association priorities.

Association Budget for Fiscal 1971-72

Matthews presented the Council with the budget for fiscal 1971-72 proposed by the Administrative Committee. He pointed out that the proposed budget was balanced at \$724,000, and that the By-Laws of the Association obliged the Council to adopt a balanced budget. He observed that the deficit for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1971, was approximately \$157,000. On a procedural point, he moved that if more than one motion is made to alter the budget expenditures proposed by the Administrative Committee the following procedure will be followed:

- (1) Each motion will be considered separately and approved or disapproved by a preliminary vote of the Council.
- (2) The budget as amended will then be voted on as a whole. This final budget must be passed by roll call vote of the Council for alterations in the budget to take effect.

Motion was unanimously approved.

During the discussion of *income from the Annual Meeting*, Prestage moved to reaffirm the registration fees set by the Council last fall of \$15 for non-members, \$10 for regular members, and \$5 for student members. Matthews observed that the proposed budget envisioned a \$10 registration fee

for both student and regular members of the Association, and that a \$5 student registration fee would reduce anticipated income by \$5,000.

The vote on the Prestage motion was:

For: Alger, Davis, Kariel, Prestage, Sindler, Waldron

Against: Converse, Dye, Eulau, Greenstein, Huntington, Kessel, McClosky, Matthews, Polsby, Prothro, Schuck

Lane, Mitchell and Rustow abstained from voting

Motion defeated — 6 approved; 11 opposed.

Matthews, for the Administrative Committee, moved to establish registration fees for the Annual Meeting as follows: \$10 for members, \$15 for non-members.

Vote was:

For: Converse, Davis, Dye, Eulau, Greenstein, Huntington, Kessel, McClosky, Matthews, Polsby, Prothro, Rustow, Schuck, Sindler

Against: Alger, Prestage, Waldron

Kariel and Lane abstained from voting.

Motion carried — 14 approved; 3 opposed.

Because of the increased *printing cost of the Review*, Polsby moved to increase the amount allocated for such printing by 10% (\$10,500). No objection; motion approved. Dye asked Polsby when he intended to increase the *Review* to six issues annually, and asked why Polsby had not requested funds to do this in 1971-72. Polsby's reply was that he wished to consult with next year's officers and Council.

Rustow observed that the Administrative Committee was proposing only \$35,000 for *PS* compared to \$51,000 allocated to *PS* in 1970-71. Kariel, Davis, and Schuck spoke on behalf of *PS* and its popularity among Association members. James David Barber, Chairman of the Editorial Board of *PS*, asked the Council's view on how *PS* should implement a reduced appropriation. McClosky, Kessel, and Huntington mentioned various steps to reduce *PS* expenses, but noted that editorial responsibility rested with the Board.

Rustow moved to increase the printing costs of *PS* by \$10,000. McClosky moved that the consideration of the *PS* budget item be tabled and brought back from the table after a joint committee of members

of the Editorial Board of *PS* and members of the Council had met and were prepared to submit a proposal for additional funding.

Later, Barber, for the joint committee, proposed an increase of \$5,000 to the *PS* budget. Rustow made a substitute motion to his previous motion to increase the budget of *PS* from \$35,000 to \$40,000. Motion unanimously approved.

Eulau suggested that, in order to *increase the revenue available to the Review*, the Executive Director and Managing Editor of the *Review* be authorized to explore the possibilities of establishing page charges for articles prepared under the terms of a grant or contract and establishing submission fees for all manuscripts submitted. Polsby noted that the Ad Hoc Committee on Association Publications had considered both of these possibilities and rejected them as unfeasible. He stated that he had no objection to exploring them further, but that \$5-6,000 has already been spent to consider the proposals and they were not approved.

Josephine Milburn, Chairman of the *Committee on the Status of Women* in the Profession, stated that her committee originally requested a \$1,000 budget item as the "minimum" amount required to continue the Committee's work, but that the Administrative Committee was proposing only \$500. She stated that this appropriation would not enable her committee to hold any funded meetings. Matthews stated that the Administrative Committee had allocated \$500 for costs of committee members for telephone, correspondence, and transportation to the national meeting of members who might not otherwise get their way funded. Prestage moved that \$500 be added to the appropriation for the Committee on the Status of Women to bring their total appropriation to \$1,000. Prestage motion was approved; only Dye voted against; Eulau, McClosky and Polsby abstained from voting.

Schuck moved to add \$500 to the allocation to the *Committee on the Status of Chicanos* in the Profession to bring their total appropriation to \$1,000. Alger amended the motion to allocate the Committee on the Status of Chicanos to a total of \$1,500. Schuck accepted the amendment. Sindler stated his feeling that the Council was obligated to give the Committee on the Status of Chicanos a larger amount of money because of the large appropriations which have been expended in

previous years by the Committee on the Status of Women and the Committee on the Status of Blacks. He offered a substitute motion for the appropriation of \$4,500 for the Committee on the Status of Chicanos, contingent upon failure of the Committee to receive foundation support, with the understanding that this would be the last year of funding at such a level and that if the committee continues beyond next year, it will be funded at a level of a "watch-dog" committee.

Vote on the Sindler motion was:

For: Alger, Converse, Greenstein, Mitchell, Prestage, Robinson, Rustow, Sindler

Against: Davis, Dye, Eulau, Huntington, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, Matthews, Mitchell, Prothro, Schuck, Waldron

Lane and Polsby abstained from voting.

Motion defeated — 8 approved; 11 opposed.

Schuck motion, as amended by Alger, was approved; only Dye voted against; Polsby and Eulau abstained from voting.

Waldron moved to increase the allocation to the *Committee on Ethics and Academic Freedom* by \$1,000 to bring its total appropriation to \$1,500. He stated that he could find nothing in the entire budget which he considers to be of more importance to the Association and the membership than this committee, and that one meeting of the committee is a minimal commitment.

The Waldron motion was approved; only Dye voted against; Polsby and Eulau abstained from voting.

Alger asked about the Administrative Committee's budget cut in the "*membership in other societies*" category. Kirkpatrick explained that this was because of a proposed cut from \$1,500 to \$500 of the APSA membership fee in the International Political Science Association. Alger moved that the \$1,500 contribution to IPSA be maintained, and that this amount be restored to the budget for this purpose.

Vote on the Alger motion was:

For: Alger, Rustow

Against: Davis, Dye, Eulau, Greenstein, Huntington, Kariel, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, McClosky, Matthews, Polsby, Prothro, Schuck, Sindler, Waldron

Converse, Lane and Robinson abstained from voting.

Motion defeated — 2 approved; 15 opposed.

Prior to voting on the amended budget, Dye raised a point of order: the increases voted by the Council totaled \$18,000, and even after administrative adjustments there remained a deficit in the amount of \$12,460. Under the By-Laws adopted for the Association, the Council is required to preserve a balance between expenditures and income, and, therefore, said Dye, it would be out of order for the Council to accept the budget as it now stands.

Prestage moved to direct the Administrative Committee to reduce the total expenditures by 2%, guided by the necessity of fixed costs. No objection; motion approved.

Matthews moved for adoption of the budget as amended, including the Prestage amendment.

Vote on the Matthews motion was:

For: Alger, Converse, Davis, Dye, Eulau, Greenstein, Huntington, Kariel, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, Lane, McClosky, Matthews, Mitchell, Polsby, Prestage, Prothro, Robinson, Rustow, Sindler, Waldron

No opposition; motion unanimously approved.

The Council unanimously commended the Treasurer, Executive Director, and Administrative Committee for their work in developing the Association budget.

Establishment of an E. E. Schattschneider Award

Greenstein moved to authorize the establishment of an endowment fund for an E. E. Schattschneider Award for the best dissertation completed in the previous year in the general field of American government and politics. He stated that Wesleyan University has pledged \$1,000 to the fund. Unanimously approved.

Rules of Procedure for the Annual Meeting

Kessel, on behalf of the Rules Committee, moved that the Rules of Procedure be amended to include:

“2.8. Any amendment that fails to gain support of 40% of those members present and voting shall be defeated; any amendment supported by at least 40% of those members present and

voting shall be referred to the full membership by mail ballot. (Art. IX, Sec. 2) Any resolution that fails to gain the support of one-third of those members present and voting shall be defeated; any resolution supported by more than one-third but less than two-thirds of those members present and voting shall be referred to the full membership by mail ballot; any resolution supported by at least two-thirds of those members present and voting shall be passed.” (Art. VIII)

“2.9. In accordance with the mail ballot requirements specified in Sec. 2.8, a motion to table, postpone, or defer an amendment must be supported by at least 60% of the members present and voting to pass. A motion to table, postpone, or defer a resolution must be supported by at least two-thirds of the members present and voting to pass. If an amendment has been tabled, it may be lifted from the table if at least 40% of the members present and voting cast votes to do so. If a resolution has been tabled, it may be lifted from the table if at least one-third of the members present and voting cast votes to do so.”

This motion was made with the understanding that if there are substantial objections raised by the membership after publication of the Rules of Procedure, the Committee on Rules would be willing to entertain a motion to reconsider the rules at the September meeting of the Council.

Vote on the Kessel motion was:

For: Alger, Converse, Davis, Eulau, Greenstein, Huntington, Kariel, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, McClosky, Matthews, Mitchell, Polsby, Prothro, Robinson, Schuck, Sindler

Against: Rustow

Dye, Lane, Prestage and Waldron abstained from voting.

Motion carried — 17 approved; 1 opposed.

Kessel moved, on behalf of the Rules Committee, the following revisions to the Rules of Procedure:

“4.2. In the initial formal presentation of the new constitution, fifteen minutes shall be allotted to proponents of the new constitution (members of the drafting committee) followed by fifteen minutes to be divided equally among principle opponents of the new constitution. Thereafter,

discussion will be governed by the three-minute rule outlined in Sec. 2.5."

No objection; motion approved.

"4.3. In view of the time and effort that went into the drafting of this new constitution, and the year that has been devoted to its discussion by the membership, the new constitution shall have a privileged status; motions to table, postpone or delay the full draft of the new constitution shall not be admitted. If the new constitution receives the support of at least 40% of those present and voting, its provisions will subsequently be presented to the membership at large for a mail ballot. If it does not receive support at the 40% level, it will be defeated."

No objection; motion approved.

"2.12. Except as otherwise provided in the Constitution, By-Laws, and these Rules of Procedure, the Annual Business Meeting shall be governed by the rules set forth in the most recent edition of Sarah Corbin Robert (ed.) *Robert's Rules of Order* (Glenview, Ill.: Scott, Foresman and Co.)."

No objection; motion approved.

In reply to a Rules Committee request that the Treasurer orally present the Budget Report to the Annual Business Meeting, the Council directed the Chairman of the Rules Committee to provide an opportunity for people to meet with the Treasurer at a time and place other than the Annual Business Meeting.

In consideration of the proposed Schedule for the 1971 Annual Business Meeting, Polsby moved to reschedule consideration of proposed Resolutions to Tuesday evening, before the discussion of the proposed Constitution. The Council unanimously agreed that the Business Meeting should consider Resolutions from 8:00 to 9:30 p.m., Tuesday, followed by the Open Forum for Discussion of the New Constitution at 9:30 p.m.

Kessel moved for adoption of the Rules of Procedure as amended. No objection; motion approved.

Constitutional Amendment — Administrative Committee

Kessel then presented a proposed Constitutional amendment substituting an Elective Administrative

Committee for Partially Appointive Executive Committee (see Summer, 1971, *PS* for wording of amendment). No objection; motion approved.

Constitutional Amendment — APSA Trust Fund

The Council then discussed a Constitutional Amendment proposed by Lane for the establishment of an American Political Science Association Trust Fund. (A copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes). Lane defended the proposed Trust Fund as a "prudent protection of the Association's endowment and a guarantee of continued future Association income from the interest thereon." The principle beneficiaries of the Trust Fund idea, he said, would be future members of the Association.

Polsby stated that it seems undesirable, in principle, to take power over Association funds from the Council and lodge it elsewhere in a separate Board of Trustees. He also questioned the proposed composition of the Board of Trustees — the three immediate past Presidents of the Association.

McClosky moved that the Council direct the President to appoint a committee to investigate the advisability of establishing such a trust fund and the best means for executing it; the committee is to be composed of the President-Elect, Treasurer, and such other persons as the President designates, and it is to report to the Council by the second meeting of the 1971-72 Council.

Sindler spoke in support of the McClosky motion. He stated that he was in sympathy with the goal of preserving the capital endowment of the Association, but that there may be more effective ways of doing so.

McClosky motion was approved; only Dye voted against.

Proposed New Constitution

The question was raised by Rustow as to whether or not the present Council should take a position on the proposed new constitution. Kessel stated his opinion that the present Council lacks jurisdiction under the By Laws and Constitution to vote on the proposed Constitution. The previous Council had already discharged the Constitutional responsibility for advising on these constitutional amendments; and the new Constitution is still technically in the hands of the Business Meeting

where it is tabled. Polsby moved that the Council take up the proposed Constitution and amendments to it in order to record its sentiments with respect to them.

Vote on the Polsby motion was:

For: Davis, Huntington, Matthews, Mitchell, Polsby, Rustow, Schuck

Against: Alger, Dye, Eulau, Greenstein, Kessel, Kirkpatrick, McClosky, Prestage, Sindler, Waldron

Lane abstained from voting.

Motion defeated — 7 approved; 10 opposed.

Executive Director's Contract

McClosky moved that the Council approve the joint letter of agreement concerning the employment of the Executive Director, between President Robert E. Lane and Evron M. Kirkpatrick. Council approval is given with the understanding that the letter incorporates the policies concerning retirement age, and retirement and other benefits for the Executive Director previously approved by the Executive Committee and the Council, specifically including the Executive Committee actions of 1961, reaffirmed in 1968; and with the further understanding that copies of these actions and others relating to the Executive Director's employment be attached to the record copy of the Lane-Kirkpatrick letter. Motion unanimously approved.

Proposed Council Action on Committees

Lane presented the Council with a proposal for reorganizing the Committee structure of the Association. Kessel moved to refer Lane's proposal to the Administrative Committee with the instructions that staggered terms for committee membership be provided; that all committee appointments be from January 1; and that the President-Elect be consulted concerning the committee structure and committee appointments. Rustow amended the Kessel motion to provide that, pending a report to and review by the Council, such committees which have continuing functions be allowed to function as they are currently composed and budgeted. Kessel accepted the Rustow amendment. Motion unanimously approved.

Report on a Proposal for a Center for Political Science Abstracts

Lane reported to the Council on discussions with NSF relating to political science abstracts. Sindler moved to authorize the submission of the proposal to NSF and to authorize the President, in

consultation with the President-Elect, to re-appoint a Committee on Scientific Information Exchange. Motion unanimously approved.

Pre-Collegiate Education

Tom Mann reported to the Council for the Committee on Pre-Collegiate Education. He stated that the Committee has produced a number of materials useful to people in the profession and to people in foundations, and is also preparing proposals for curriculum development.

Proposal to Establish Standards for Graduate Programs in Political Science

Lane reported to the Council his receipt of a letter and proposal from Professor Warren Ilichman for a study of graduate education in political science. Lane spoke of the utility of establishing national standards for the evaluation of graduate programs in political science. Sindler, Kessel, and Dye pointed out the serious implications involved in proposals to standardize graduate education. Whatever may be the posture of other associations, the discipline of political science does not lend itself to standardization. Moreover, proposals of such potential importance to the discipline should not be acted upon, they said, without due notice and consideration. Polsby moved that documents relevant to this proposal be circulated to members of the Council before the next meeting, and that no action be taken until then. Unanimously approved.

Committee on the Status of Women

Josephine Milburn, Chairman of the Committee on the Status of Women in the Profession, presented the final report of the Committee (the Report is printed in the Summer 1971 issue of *PS*) to the Council. The Committee recommended that the following Resolution be favorably recommended to the Annual Business Meeting:

"That the American Political Science Association recommends that academic institutions provide programs for part-time study; that institutions and foundations provide support for part-time study with more flexible age and time provisions."

In support of this recommendation, Milburn stated that "provisions for part-time programs of study and support on the undergraduate and graduate level will afford women and for that matter men, the opportunity to enter the profession at various times during their lives. Existing styles of life for

women — often including family responsibilities, and for men — often including armed services, act to deter their entering into professional careers, hence programs of study and support for the serious applicants of various ages are necessary. For women part-time programs of study and support are essential to encourage entrance into the field while they continue with family responsibilities."

Eulau moved that the Council receive the report from the Committee on the Status of Women and refer it to the Administrative Committee for advice and recommendations. Mitchell amended the Eulau motion by adding "accept in spirit," thus reading that "the Council receive the report from the Committee on the Status of Women, accept it in spirit, and refer it to the Administrative Committee for advice and recommendations."

Vote on the Mitchell amendment to the Eulau motion was:

For: Alger, Converse, Kariel, Kirkpatrick, McClosky, Matthews, Mitchell, Prothro, Robinson, Rustow, Schuck, Sindler, Waldron

Against: Dye, Kessel

Eulau, Lane and Polsby abstained from voting.

Motion carried — 13 approved; 2 opposed.

There were no objections to the Eulau motion as amended. Motion approved.

Status of Blacks

The Council received a written Annual Report to the Council from the Committee on the Status of Blacks in the Profession (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes).

Conference on Disadvantaged Groups

Lane reported to the Council that the Association had not heard from the Foundation to which a proposal for a Conference on Disadvantaged Groups had been submitted.

Pamphlet on Careers in Political Science

Kariel moved to authorize the President and the President-Elect, in consultation with the Administrative Committee, to ask someone to write a pamphlet on Careers in Political Science to be considered by the Council for publication by the Association. Motion unanimously approved.

Proposal for Regional and Specialized Journals

Lane presented the Council with proposals for (1) a Consortium of Political Science Regional Associations and (2) APSA National Office Assistance to Specialized Journals related to Political Science (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes).

Kariel moved to authorize the Executive Director and the Administrative Committee to explore the possibilities of using the business services of the Association to assist regional and specialized journals. Unanimously approved.

The Council agreed to meet again on September 5 and 6 in Chicago.

Thomas R. Dye, *Secretary*

E. E. Schattschneider Award

The Association is continuing to receive contributions for its fund for the newly established E. E. Schattschneider Annual Award for the best doctoral dissertation in the field of American Government and Politics.

According to Fred I. Greenstein of Wesleyan, who introduced the award resolution to honor the late Professor Schattschneider at the Council meeting on behalf of himself and a number of co-sponsors associated with Schattschneider over the years, the award "seeks to recognize the permanent impact 'Schatt' made through his writings, teaching, professional activity and his unbounded ebullience and imagination." Members of the selection committee for the first Schattschneider award to be made at the 1972 Annual Meeting are Austin Ranney, Chairman, University of Wisconsin, Barbara Hinckley, Cornell University, and H. Douglas Price, Harvard University.

Members of the Association wishing to contribute to the E. E. Schattschneider Award fund are provided a form below. Contributions to the award fund are tax-deductible and will be acknowledged