

ERRATUM

A FIRST NAME BASIS? – ERRATUM

David Benatar

doi:10.1017/S1477175611000169, Published by Cambridge University Press, 7 September 2011.

Unfortunately, on page 5 of this paper, there is an error in the sixth line from the top. The sentence in which it occurs should read as follows:

Third, if it is suggested that dropping honorific address is a way to counter these inequalities, then we can note that more informal means of address do not remove the differentials.

We apologise for this error.

Reference

Benatar, D. (2011) A first name basis? *Think*, 10, 51–57.