Commentary



Om Prakash

Keywords

General psychiatry; superspecialist care; defensive psychiatric practice; patients' rights; mental health legislation.

Response

Martin Deahl's guest editorial 'General psychiatry, still in no-man's land after all these years' in a recent issue of $BJPsych^1$ serves as a catalyst for an essential dialogue in our field. As a psychiatrist deeply immersed in the mental hospital milieu, I find the discourse on the evolving terrain of mental healthcare both necessary and timely.

The rapid expansion of superspecialisation in psychiatry, although a testament to our field's advancements, should not eclipse the indispensable role of general psychiatry. It is in the generalist's breadth of understanding where the most nuanced and compassionate patient care often resides. This holistic approach becomes increasingly vital as we navigate the complex interplay of patient rights under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) and the defensive posture this has fostered in psychiatric practice.

Particularly noteworthy is the emerging defensive approach in treatments such as electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) and psychotropic medication. This shift, often propelled by rigorous human rights advocacy, has inadvertently introduced a layer of hesitancy in therapeutic decisions, affecting the bedrock of trust in the psychiatrist–patient relationship.²

Furthermore, the intricacies of team dynamics within mental healthcare cannot be overstated. The effective coordination among varied professionals is paramount, yet often marred by discordant communication and differing philosophies, leading to disjointed patient care.³

Equally pressing is the marginalisation of caregivers in mental health legislation, a gap that speaks volumes about our oversight in holistic care. Additionally, the role of the state in mental healthcare governance, amid this flux of evolving practices and ethics, poses profound questions about the future direction of our profession.⁴

In weaving these threads together, the tapestry of modern psychiatry emerges, complex and multifaceted. It calls for a reinvigorated commitment to general psychiatry, not as a relic of the past but as a cornerstone of comprehensive mental healthcare. As we stride forward, the challenge

Copyright and usage

 $\textcircled{\sc or}$ The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Royal College of Psychiatrists.

lies in balancing the rigour of specialisation with the empathy and versatility inherent in general psychiatric practice.⁵

Om Prakash (), MD, Department of Psychiatry, Institute of Human Behaviour and Allied Sciences (IHBAS), New Delhi, India

Correspondence: Om Prakash. Email: drjhirwalop@yahoo.co.in

First received 24 Jan 2024, final revision 6 Mar 2024, accepted 11 Mar 2024

Data availability

Data availability is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this work.

Funding

This work received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interest

None.

References

- 1 Deahl M. General psychiatry, still in no-man's land after all these years. Br J Psychiatry 2024; 224: 86–8.
- 2 McGorry PD, Nelson B, Amminger GP. Intervention in individuals at ultra-high risk for psychosis: a review and future directions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2009; 70: 1206–12.
- 3 Broome MR, Johns LC, Valli I. Delusion formation and reasoning biases in those at clinical high risk for psychosis. Br J Psychiatry 2007; 191(suppl 51): s38–42.
- 4 Palaniyappan L, Liddle PF. Does the salience network play a cardinal role in psychosis? An emerging hypothesis of insular dysfunction. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2012; 37: 17–27.
- 5 National Institute of Mental Health. Challenges and Opportunities. NIMH, 2020 (updated: May 2023) (https://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/strategic-planningreports/challenges-and-opportunities).

