

## References

- Abbott, A. (2000). *Chaos of disciplines*. University of Chicago Press.
- Abend, G. (2008). The meaning of “theory”. *Sociological Theory*, 26(2), 173–199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9558.2008.00324.x>
- Adams, D. (2017). *The ultimate hitchhiker’s guide to the galaxy*. Pan Macmillan.
- Addams, J. (1990). *Twenty years at Hull-House*. University of Illinois Press.
- Addams, J. (2002). *Democracy and social ethics*. University of Illinois Press.
- Adnan, K., Akbar, R., Khor, S. W., & Ali, A. B. A. (2020). Role and challenges of unstructured big data in healthcare. *Data Management, Analytics and Innovation*, 1042, 301–323. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-32-9949-8\\_22](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-32-9949-8_22)
- Åkerblad, L., Seppänen-Järvelä, R., & Haapakoski, K. (2021). Integrative strategies in mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 15(2), 152–170. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689820957125>
- Al-Ababneh, M. M. (2020). Linking ontology, epistemology and research methodology. *Science & Philosophy*, 8(1), 75–91.
- Aldewereld, H., Boissier, O., Dignum, V., Noriega, P., & Padget, J. A. (2016). *Social coordination frameworks for social technical systems*. Springer.
- Alexander, J. C. (1982). *Positivism, presuppositions, and current controversies*. University of California Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315815855>
- Allemang, B., Sitter, K., & Dimitropoulos, G. (2022). Pragmatism as a paradigm for patient-oriented research. *Health Expectations*, 25(1), 38–47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.13384>
- Allport, G. (1954). *The nature of prejudice*. Addison-Wesley.
- Altman, A. (1983). Pragmatism and applied ethics. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 20(2), 227–235.
- American Psychological Association. (2010). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*. American Psychological Association. [www.apa.org/ethics/code/principles.pdf](http://www.apa.org/ethics/code/principles.pdf)
- American Psychological Association. (2023). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*. American Psychological Association. [www.apa.org/ethics/code](http://www.apa.org/ethics/code)
- Anderson, C. (2008, June 23). *The end of theory: The data deluge makes the scientific method obsolete*. Wired. [www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/](http://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/)
- Andrejevic, M. (2014). Surveillance in the big data era. In K. B. Pimple (Ed.), *Emerging Pervasive Information and Communication Technologies (PICT): Ethical challenges, opportunities and safeguards* (pp. 55–69). Springer.

- Andreotta, M., Nugroho, R., Hurlstone, M. J., et al. (2019). Analyzing social media data: A mixed-methods framework combining computational and qualitative text analysis. *Behavior Research Methods*, 51(4), 1766–1781. <https://doi.org/10/gfxzv2>
- Anguera, M. T., Blanco-Villaseñor, A., Jonsson, G. K., Losada, J. L., & Portell, M. (2020). Best practice approaches for mixed methods research in psychological science. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 590131. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.590131>
- Ansell, C., & Boin, A. (2019). Taming deep uncertainty: The potential of pragmatist principles for understanding and improving strategic crisis management. *Administration & Society*, 51(7), 1079–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399717747655>
- Arras, J. D. (2001). Freestanding pragmatism in law and bioethics. *Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics*, 22(2), 69–85. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011495624471>
- Asch, S. E. (1951). Effects of group pressure upon the modification and distortion of judgment. In H. Guetzkow (Ed.), *Groups, leadership and men* (pp. 177–190). Carnegie Press.
- Asch, S. E. (1955). Opinions and social pressure. *Scientific American*, 193(5), 31–35.
- Asghar, M. R., Lee, T., Baig, M. M., et al. (2017). A review of privacy and consent management in healthcare: A focus on emerging data sources. In *2017 IEEE 13th International Conference on E-Science (e-Science)* (pp. 518–522). <https://doi.org/10.1109/eScience.2017.84>
- Ashby, C. E. (2011). Whose “voice” is it anyway? Giving voice and qualitative research involving individuals that type to communicate. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, 31(4), 26. <https://doi.org/10.18061/dsq.v31i4.1723>
- Aveling, E.-L., Gillespie, A., & Cornish, F. (2015). A qualitative method for analysing multivoicedness. *Qualitative Research*, 15(6), 670–687. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794114557991>
- Axley, S. R. (1984). Managerial and organizational communication in terms of the conduit metaphor. *The Academy of Management Review*, 9(3), 428–437.
- Backett-Milburn, K., Mauthner, N., & Parry, O. (1999). The importance of the conditions and relations of project design for the construction of qualitative data: Some experiences from collaborative team working. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 2(4), 297–312. <https://doi.org/10.1080/136455799294970>
- Bacon, F. (1620). *Novum organum*. P. F. Collier & Son.
- Baggini, J. (2017). *A short history of truth: Consolations for a post-truth world*. Quercus.
- Bailey, J. R., & Eastman, W. N. (1994). Positivism and the promise of the social sciences. *Theory & Psychology*, 4(4), 505–524. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959354394044003>
- Baker, G., & Morris, K. J. (1996). *Descartes' dualism*. Routledge.
- Baker, M., & Schaltegger, S. (2015). Pragmatism and new directions in social and environmental accountability research. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 28, 263–294. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-08-2012-01079>

- Bakhtin, M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination: Four essays*. University of Texas Press.
- Bakhtin, M. (1986). *Speech genres & other late essays*. University of Texas Press.
- Baldwin, T. (1979). Foresight and responsibility. *Philosophy*, 54(209), 347–360. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031819100048750>
- Ball, J. (2017). *Post-truth: How bullshit conquered the world*. Biteback Publishing.
- Bateson, G. (1972). *Steps to an ecology of mind*. Ballantine Books.
- Baucal, A., Gillespie, A., Krstić, K., & Zittoun, T. (2020). Reproducibility in psychology: Theoretical distinction of different types of replications. *Integrative Psychological and Behavioral Science*, 54(1), 152–157. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12124-019-09499-y>
- Bauer, M. W., & Gaskell, G. (2000). *Qualitative researching: With text, image and sound*. SAGE.
- Baumrind, D. (1964). Some thoughts on ethics of research: After reading Milgram's "Behavioral study of obedience". *American Psychologist*, 19, 421–423.
- Baumrind, D. (2013). Is Milgram's deceptive research ethically acceptable? *Theoretical & Applied Ethics*, 2(2), 1–18.
- Bazeley, P. (2017). *Integrating analyses in mixed methods research*. SAGE.
- Bazeley, P., & Kemp, L. (2012). Mosaics, triangles, and DNA: Metaphors for integrated analysis in mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(1), 55–72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689811419514>
- Becker, H. S. (1998). *Tricks of the trade: How to think about your research while you're doing it*. University of Chicago Press.
- Beckwith, C. I. (2017). *Greek buddha: Pyrrho's encounter with early Buddhism in Central Asia*. Princeton University Press.
- Beer, D., & Burrows, R. (2013). Popular culture, digital archives and the new social life of data. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 30(4), 47–71. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276413476542>
- Bell, S. K., & Martinez, W. (2019). Every patient should be enabled to stop the line. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 28, 172–176. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2018-008714>
- Bennett, A. (2015). Found in translation: Combining discourse analysis with computer assisted content analysis. *Millennium*, 43(3), 984–997. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829815581535>
- Berentson-Shaw, J. (2018). *A matter of fact: Talking truth in a post-truth world* (Vol. 67). Bridget Williams Books.
- Berger, P., & Luckmann, T. (1966). *The social construction of reality: A treatise in the sociology of knowledge*. Penguin.
- Berger, P., & Luckmann, T. (1967). *The social construction of reality*. Doubleday New York.
- Bergkvist, L., & Zhou, K. Q. (2019). Cause-related marketing persuasion research: An integrated framework and directions for further research. *International Journal of Advertising*, 38(1), 5–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2018.1452397>
- Berka, K. (1983). *Measurement*. Springer.
- Bhaskar, R. (1975). *A realist theory of science*. Leeds Books.
- Biesta, G., & Burbules, N. C. (2003). *Pragmatism and educational research*. Rowman & Littlefield.

- Billig, M. (1985). Prejudice, categorization and particularization: From a perceptual to a rhetorical approach. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 15, 79–103.
- Birnie, K. A., Chambers, C. T., Taddio, A., et al. (2015). Psychological interventions for vaccine injections in children and adolescents: Systematic review of randomized and quasi-randomized controlled trials. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, 31(Suppl 10), S72–S89.
- Bjerg, O., & Presskorn-Thygesen, T. (2017). Conspiracy theory: Truth claim or language game? *Theory, Culture & Society*, 34(1), 137–159. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276416657880>
- Blackburn, S. (2005). *The Oxford dictionary of philosophy*. Oxford University Press.
- Blumer, H. (1969). *Symbolic interactionism*. University of California Press.
- Boeije, H., Slagt, M., & van Wesel, F. (2013). The contribution of mixed methods research to the field of childhood trauma: A narrative review focused on data integration. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 7(4), 347–369. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689813482756>
- Boesch, E. E. (1991). *Symbolic action theory and cultural psychology*. Springer-Verlag.
- Bohannon, J. (2015). Torture report prompts APA apology. *Science*, 349(6245), 221–222. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.349.6245.221>
- Bolla, S., & Anandan, R. (2018). Contemporary review on technologies and methods for converting unstructured data to structured data. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology (UAE)*, 7(3), 527–530. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i3.27.18476>
- Borges, J. L. (1999). *By Jorge Luis Borges Collected Fictions*. Penguin Books.
- Boyd, D., & Crawford, K. (2012). Critical questions for big data. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15(5), 662–679. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878>
- Boyd, R. L., & Schwartz, H. A. (2021). Natural language analysis and the psychology of verbal behavior: The past, present, and future states of the field. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 40(1), 21–41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20967028>
- Breen, R., & Jonsson, J. O. (2005). Inequality of opportunity in comparative perspective: Recent research on educational attainment and social mobility. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 31, 223–243. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.31.041304.122232>
- Brendel, D. H., & Miller, F. G. (2008). A plea for pragmatism in clinical research ethics. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 8(4), 24–31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15265160802166025>
- Brennis, D. (2005). Documenting ethics. In L. Meskell & P. Pels (Eds.), *Embedding ethics* (pp. 24–31). Routledge.
- Brinkmann, S. (2009). Facts, values, and the naturalistic fallacy in psychology. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 27(1), 1–17.
- Brinkmann, S. (2010). *Psychology as a moral science: Perspectives on normativity*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Brinkmann, S. (2013). *John Dewey: Science for a changing world*. Transaction Publishers.

- British Psychological Society. (2010). *Code of conduct, ethical principles, and guidelines*. British Psychological Society.
- Brockmeier, J., & Meretoja, H. (2014). Understanding narrative hermeneutics. *Storyworlds: A Journal of Narrative Studies*, 6(2), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.5250/storyworlds.6.2.0001>
- Brown, A. J. (2020). “Should I stay or should I leave?”: Exploring (dis) continued Facebook use after the Cambridge Analytica scandal. *Social Media+ Society*, 6(1), 2056305120913884.
- Brown, M. E. L., & Dueñas, A. N. (2020). A medical science educator’s guide to selecting a research paradigm: Building a basis for better research. *Medical Science Educator*, 30(1), 545–553. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-019-00898-9>
- Bruner, J. S. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Harvard University Press.
- Bryman, A. (2006). Integrating quantitative and qualitative research: How is it done? *Qualitative Research*, 6(1), 97–113. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794106058877>
- Bryman, A. (2008). The end of the paradigm wars. In P. Alasuutari, J. Brannen, & L. Bickman (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of social research methods* (13–25). SAGE.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2011). The big data boom is the innovation story of our time. *The Atlantic*, 21, 30.
- Buckley, R. (2018). Simultaneous analysis of qualitative and quantitative social science data in conservation. *Society & Natural Resources*, 31(7), 865–870. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2018.1446232>
- Butt, T. (2000). Pragmatism, constructivism, and ethics. *Journal of Constructivist Psychology*, 13(2), 85–101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/107205300265892>
- Byrne, D., & Callaghan, G. (Eds.). (2013). *Complexity theory and the social sciences: The state of the art*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203519585>
- Cain, L. K., MacDonald, A. L., Coker, J. M., Velasco, J. C., & West, G. D. (2019). Ethics and reflexivity in mixed methods research: An examination of current practices and a call for further discussion. *International Journal of Multiple Research Approaches*, 11(2), 144–155. <https://doi.org/10.29034/ijmra.v11n2a2>
- Calude, C. S., & Longo, G. (2017). The deluge of spurious correlations in big data. *Foundations of Science*, 22(3), 595–612. <https://doi.org/10/gfkk82>
- Campbell, C., & Jovchelovitch, S. (2000). Health, community and development: Towards a social psychology of participation. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 10(4), 255–270. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1298\(200007/08\)10:4<255::AID-CASP582>3.0.CO;2-M](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1298(200007/08)10:4<255::AID-CASP582>3.0.CO;2-M)
- Campbell, J., & Cassam, Q. (2014). *Berkeley’s puzzle: What does experience teach us?* Oxford University Press.
- Caputo, J. D. (2016). *Truth, the search for wisdom in the postmodern age*. Penguin Books.
- Carolan, M. (2003). Reflexivity: A personal journey during data collection. *Nurse Researcher* (through 2013), 10(3), 7–14.
- Cartwright, N., & Bradburn, N. (2011). *A theory of measurement*. Unpublished manuscript.

- Casparly, W. R. (2000). *Dewey on democracy*. Cornell University Press.
- Cassell, C., Cunliffe, A. L., & Grandy, G. (2017). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative business and management research methods*. SAGE.
- Chaiken, S. (1979). Communicator physical attractiveness and persuasion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37(8), 1387–1397. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.37.8.1387>
- Chambers, S. (2021). Truth, deliberative democracy, and the virtues of accuracy: Is fake news destroying the public sphere? *Political Studies*, 69(1), 147–163. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032321719890811>
- Chang, T., DeJonckheere, M., Vydiswaran, V. G. V., et al. (2021). Accelerating mixed methods research with natural language processing of big text data. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 15(3), 398–412. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15586898211021196>
- Chen, N.-C., Drouhard, M., Kocielnik, R., Suh, J., & Aragon, C. R. (2018). Using machine learning to support qualitative coding in social science: Shifting the focus to ambiguity. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS)*, 8(2), 1–20.
- Chomsky, N. (1995). *The minimalist program* (Vol. 28). Cambridge University Press.
- Christ, T. W. (2007). A recursive approach to mixed methods research in a longitudinal study of postsecondary education disability support services. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(3), 226–241. <https://doi.org/10/chxs3r>
- Christ, T. W. (2013). The worldview matrix as a strategy when designing mixed methods research. *International Journal of Multiple Research Approaches*, 7(1), 110–118. <https://doi.org/10.5172/mra.2013.7.1.110>
- Christens, B. D. (2019). *Community power and empowerment*. Oxford University Press.
- Christensen, T. C., Barrett, L. F., Bliss-Moreau, E., Lebo, K., & Kaschub, C. (2003). A practical guide to experience-sampling procedures. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 4(1), 53–78. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023609306024>
- Christiano, T. (1997). The significance of public deliberation. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative democracy: Essays on reason and politics* (pp. 243–278). Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press.
- Christopher, S., Watts, V., McCormick, A. K. H. G., & Young, S. (2008). Building and maintaining trust in a community-based participatory research partnership. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(8), 1398–1406.
- Clark, A. (2018). A nice surprise? Predictive processing and the active pursuit of novelty. *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, 17(3), 521–534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11097-017-9525-z>
- Clark, V. L. P., & Ivankova, N. (2016). Why use mixed methods research? Identifying rationales for mixing methods. In V. L. P. Clark & N. V. Ivankova (Eds.), *Mixed methods research: A guide to the field* (pp. 79–104). SAGE Publications.
- Cleland, C. E. (2011). Prediction and explanation in historical natural science. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 62(3), 551–582.

- Comte, A. (1858). *The positive philosophy of Auguste Comte* (H. Martineau, Trans.). Blanchard.
- Constant, A., Ramstead, M. J. D., Veissière, S. P. L., & Friston, K. (2019). Regimes of expectations: An active inference model of social conformity and human decision making. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00679>
- Conway, E. M., & Oreskes, N. (2012). *Merchants of doubt*. Bloomsbury Paperbacks.
- Cooke, N. A. (2017). Post truth, truthiness, and alternative facts: Information behavior and critical information consumption for a new age. *The Library Quarterly*, 87(3), 211–221. <https://doi.org/10.1086/692298>
- Corley, K. G., & Gioia, D. A. (2011). Building theory about theory building: What constitutes a theoretical contribution? *Academy of Management Review*, 36(1), 12–32. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2009.0486>
- Cornish, F. (2004). Making “context” concrete: A dialogical approach to the society-health relation. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 9(2), 281–294.
- Cornish, F. (2020). Communicative generalisation: Dialogical means of advancing knowledge through a case study of an “unprecedented” disaster. *Culture & Psychology*, 26(1), 78–95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354067X19894930>
- Cornish, F. (2021). “Grenfell changes everything?” Activism beyond hope and despair. *Critical Public Health*, 31(3), 293–305. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09581596.2020.1869184>
- Cornish, F., & Gillespie, A. (2009). A pragmatist approach to the problem of knowledge in health psychology. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 14(6), 800–809. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105309338974>
- Corti, K. (2015). *Developing the cyranoid method of mediated interpersonal communication in a social psychological context: Applications in person perception, human-computer interaction*. London School of Economics.
- Corti, K., & Gillespie, A. (2015a). A truly human interface: Interacting face-to-face with someone whose words are determined by a computer program. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 634. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00634>
- Corti, K., & Gillespie, A. (2015b). Revisiting Milgram’s cyranoid method: Experimenting with hybrid human agents. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 155(1), 30–56. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2014.959885>
- Corti, K., & Gillespie, A. (2016). Co-constructing intersubjectivity with artificial conversational agents: People are more likely to initiate repairs of misunderstandings with agents represented as human. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 58, 431–442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.12.039>
- Coveney, P. V., Dougherty, E. R., & Highfield, R. R. (2016). Big data need big theory too. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 374(2080), 20160153. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2016.0153>
- Coxon, A. P. M. (2005). Integrating qualitative and quantitative data: What does the user need? *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 6(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-6.2.463>

- Craig, R. T. (2007). Pragmatism in the field of communication theory. *Communication Theory*, 17(2), 125–145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2885.2007.00292.x>
- Crano, W. D., Brewer, M. B., & Lac, A. (2014). *Principles and methods of social research*. Routledge.
- Creamer, E. G. (2017). *An introduction to fully integrated mixed methods research*. SAGE.
- Cresci, S., Di Pietro, R., Petrocchi, M., Spognardi, A., & Tesconi, M. (2020). Emergent properties, models, and laws of behavioral similarities within groups of twitter users. *Computer Communications*, 150, 47–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2019.10.019>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. SAGE.
- Creswell, J. W., Hanson, W. E., Clark Plano, V. L., & Morales, A. (2007). Qualitative research designs: Selection and implementation. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 35(2), 236–264. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000006287390>
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.
- Curran, T. (2023). *The perfection trap*. Penguin.
- Damasio, A. R. (2006). *Descartes' error*. Random House.
- D'Ancona, M. (2017). *Post-truth: The new war on truth and how to fight back*. Random House.
- Darley, J. M., & Latané, B. (1968). Bystander intervention in emergencies: Diffusion of responsibility. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 8(4), 377–383.
- Darwin, C. (1859). *The origin of species*. Dent, Rowman & Littlefield.
- Darwin, C. (2001). *Charles Darwin's Beagle diary*. Cambridge University Press.
- Daston, L. (1992). Objectivity and the escape from perspective. *Social Studies of Science*, 22(4), 597–618. <https://doi.org/10.1177/030631292022004002>
- Davis, C. (2021). Sampling poetry, pedagogy, and protest to build methodology: Critical poetic inquiry as culturally relevant method. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 27(1), 114–124. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800419884978>
- De Leeuw, R. N., Engels, R. C., Vermulst, A. A., & Scholte, R. H. (2008). Do smoking attitudes predict behaviour? A longitudinal study on the bi-directional relations between adolescents' smoking attitudes and behaviours. *Addiction*, 103(10), 1713–1721. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02293.x>
- De Rosa, A. S., & Mannarini, T. (2020). The “invisible other”: Social representations of COVID-19 pandemic in media and institutional discourse. *Papers on Social Representations*, 29(2), 5–1.
- de Saint Laurent, C. (2014). “I would rather be hanged than agree with you!”: Collective memory and the definition of the nation in parliamentary debates on immigration. *Outlines. Critical Practices Studies*, 15(3), 22–53. <https://doi.org/10.7146/ocps.v15i3.19860>
- de Saint Laurent, C., Glăveanu, V. P., & Chaudet, C. (2020). Malevolent creativity and social media: Creating anti-immigration communities on Twitter. *Creativity Research Journal*, 32(1), 66–80.



- de Saint Laurent, C., Glăveanu, V. P., & Literat, I. (2021a). Internet memes as partial stories: Identifying political narratives in coronavirus memes. *Social Media + Society*, 7(1), 2056305121988932. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305121988932>
- de Saint Laurent, C., Glăveanu, V. P., & Literat, I. (2022). Mimetic representations of the COVID-19 pandemic: An analysis of objectification, anchoring, and identification processes in coronavirus memes. *Psychology of Popular Media*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000370>
- de Saint Laurent, C., Murphy, G., Hegarty, K., & Greene, C. (2021b). Measuring the effects of misinformation exposure on behavioural intentions. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/2xngy>
- de Saint Laurent, C., Obradović, S., & Carriere, K. R. (2018). *Imagining collective futures: Perspectives from social, cultural and political psychology*. Springer.
- Deaun, T. W. (2011). *Incomplete nature: How mind emerged from matter*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Dennett, D. (1991). *Consciousness explained*. Penguin Press.
- Denscombe, M. (2021). *The good research guide: For small-scale social research projects*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Denzin, N. K. (1970). *The research act*. Aldine.
- Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437186>
- DeRosa, D. M., Smith, C. L., & Hantula, D. A. (2007). The medium matters: Mining the long-promised merit of group interaction in creative idea generation tasks in a meta-analysis of the electronic group brainstorming literature. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23(3), 1549–1581.
- Descartes, R. (1637). Discourse on the method for rightly conducting one's reason and for seeking truth in the sciences. In D. Weissman (Ed.), *Discourse on method: And, Meditations on first philosophy* (pp. 3–48). Yale University Press.
- Descartes, R. (1641). Meditations on first philosophy. In J. Cottingham, R. Stoothoff, & D. Murdoch (Eds.), *The philosophical writings of Descartes* (Vol. II). Cambridge University Press.
- Devers, K. J., & Frankel, R. M. (2000). Study design in qualitative research—2: Sampling and data collection strategies. *Education for Health*, 13(2), 263.
- deVries, W., Jackman, H., Aikin, S. F., & Talisse, R. B. (2017). *Pragmatism, pluralism, and the nature of philosophy*. Routledge.
- Dewey, J. (1896). The reflex arc concept in psychology. *Psychological Review*, 3(July), 357–370.
- Dewey, J. (1903). *Studies in logical theory* (Vol. 11). Chicago University Press.
- Dewey, J. (1905). The postulate of immediate empiricism. *The Journal of Philosophy, Psychology and Scientific Methods*, 2(15), 393–399.
- Dewey, J. (1910a). Science as subject-matter and as method. *Science*, 31(787), 121–127. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.31.787.121>
- Dewey, J. (1910b). *The influence of Darwin on philosophy and other essays*. Henry Hold and Company.
- Dewey, J. (1917). Duality and dualism. *The Journal of Philosophy, Psychology and Scientific Methods*, 14(18), 491–493. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2940462>

- Dewey, J. (1920). Reconstruction in philosophy. In J. A. Boydston (Ed.), *John Dewey the middle works 1899–1924* (Vol. 12). Southern Illinois University Press.
- Dewey, J. (1922). *Human nature and conduct*. Henry Holt.
- Dewey, J. (1929). *The quest for certainty*. Minton, Balch & Company.
- Dewey, J. (1934). *Art as experience*. Minton, Balch & Company.
- Dewey, J. (1958). *Experience and human nature*. Dover Publications.
- Dewey, J. (1997). *How we think*. D.C. Heath.
- Dewey, J., & Bentley, A. F. (1946). Interaction and transaction. *The Journal of Philosophy*, 43(19), 505–517.
- Dick, P. K. (1962). *The man in the high castle*. Penguin UK.
- Dingemans, M., Roberts, S. G., Baranova, J., et al. (2015). Universal principles in the repair of communication problems. *PLOS ONE*, 10(9), e0136100. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136100>
- Doody, O., & Bailey, M. E. (2016). Setting a research question, aim and objective. *Nurse Researcher*, 23(4). <https://doi.org/10.7748/nr.23.4.19.s5>
- Douglas, K. M., Uscinski, J. E., Sutton, R. M., et al. (2019). Understanding conspiracy theories. *Political Psychology*, 40, 3–35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12568>
- Doyle, A. C. (1892). *The adventures of Sherlock Holmes*. George Newnes.
- Duncker, K. (1945). On problem-solving. *Psychological Monographs*, 58(5), 1–113.
- Eames, C., & Eames, R. (Directors). (1977). *Powers of ten*. The Eames Office.
- Earp, B. D., & Trafimow, D. (2015). Replication, falsification, and the crisis of confidence in social psychology. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00621>
- Edwards, M., Tuke, J., Roughan, M., & Mitchell, L. (2020). The one comparing narrative social network extraction techniques. In *2020 IEEE/ACM international conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM)* (pp. 905–913). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ASONAM49781.2020.9381346>
- Einstein, A. (1982). How I created the theory of relativity. *Physics Today*, 35(8), 45–47.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258557>
- Elamin, M. B., & Montori, V. M. (2012). The hierarchy of evidence: From unsystematic clinical observations to systematic reviews. In J. G. Burneo (Ed.), *Neurology: An evidence-based approach* (pp. 11–24). Springer.
- Emadian, A., England, C. Y., & Thompson, J. L. (2017). Dietary intake and factors influencing eating behaviours in overweight and obese South Asian men living in the UK: Mixed method study. *BMJ Open*, 7(7), e016919. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016919>
- Evans, D. (2003). Hierarchy of evidence: A framework for ranking evidence evaluating healthcare interventions. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 12(1), 77–84. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2702.2003.00662.x>
- Eynon, R., Fry, J., & Schroeder, R. (2008). The ethics of internet research. In G. Blank, N. G. Fielding, & R. M. Lee (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of online research methods* (pp. 23–41). SAGE.

- Fahy, K. (1997). Postmodern feminist emancipatory research: Is it an oxymoron? *Nursing Inquiry*, 4(1), 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1800.1997.tb00134.x>
- Fakis, A., Hilliam, R., Stoneley, H., & Townend, M. (2014). Quantitative analysis of qualitative information from interviews: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 8(2), 139–161. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689813495111>
- Falk, H. (2003). Digital archive developments. *The Electronic Library*, 21(4), 375–397. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02640470310491603>
- Farr, R. (1987). The science of mental life: A social psychological perspective. *Bulletin of the British Psychological Society*, 40, 2–17.
- Farr, R. (1984). Interviewing: The social psychology of the inter-view. In C. L. Cooper & P. Makin (Eds.), *Psychology for Managers*, 2nd edition (pp. 182–200). Macmillan and British Psychological Association.
- Farr, R. (1997). The significance of the skin as a natural boundary in the sub-division of psychology. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 27(2–3), 305–323.
- Faugier, J., & Sargeant, M. (1997). Sampling hard to reach populations. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 26(4), 790–797. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.1997.00371.x>
- Feilzer, Y. M. (2010). Doing mixed methods research pragmatically: Implications for the rediscovery of pragmatism as a research paradigm. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 4(1), 6–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689809349691>
- Feltham-King, T., & Macleod, C. (2016). How content analysis may complement and extend the insights of discourse analysis: An example of research on constructions of abortion in South African newspapers 1978–2005. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 15(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406915624575>
- Ferretti, A., Ienca, M., Sheehan, M., et al. (2021). Ethics review of big data research: What should stay and what should be reformed? *BMC Medical Ethics*, 22(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-021-00616-4>
- Fesmire, S. (2003). *John Dewey and moral imagination: Pragmatism in ethics*. Indiana University Press.
- Festinger, L. (1956). *When prophecy fails: A social psychological study of a modern group that predicted the destruction of the world*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford University Press.
- Fetters, M. D., & Freshwater, D. (2015a). The 1 + 1 = 3 integration challenge. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 9(2), 115–117. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689815581222>
- Fetters, M. D., & Freshwater, D. (2015b). Publishing a methodological mixed methods research article. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 9(3), 203–213. <https://doi.org/10/gcsfkr>
- Fetters, M. D., & Molina-Azorin, J. F. (2017a). The Journal of Mixed Methods Research starts a new decade: Principles for bringing in the new and divesting of the old language of the field. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 11(1), 3–10. <https://doi.org/10/gf23fh>
- Fetters, M. D., & Molina-Azorin, J. F. (2017b). The Journal of Mixed Methods Research starts a new decade: The mixed methods research integration trilogy and its dimensions. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 11(3), 291–307. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689817140666>

- Feyerabend, P. (2001). *Conquest of abundance: A tale of abstraction versus the richness of being*. University of Chicago Press.
- Fielding, N. G. (2012). Triangulation and mixed methods designs: Data integration with new research technologies. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 124–136. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437101>
- Filstead, W. J. (1979). Qualitative methods: A needed perspective in evaluation research. In T. D. Cook & C. S. Reichardt (Eds.), *Qualitative and quantitative methods in evaluation research* (pp. 33–48). SAGE.
- Fisher, A. J., Medaglia, J. D., & Jeronimus, B. F. (2018). Lack of group-to-individual generalizability is a threat to human subjects research. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(27), E6106–E6115. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711978115>
- Flick, U. (2002). *An introduction to qualitative research*. SAGE.
- Fong, A., Hettinger, A. Z., & Ratwani, R. M. (2015). Exploring methods for identifying related patient safety events using structured and unstructured data. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 58, 89–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2015.09.011>
- Foster, I., Ghani, R., Jarmin, R. S., Kreuter, F., & Lane, J. (2016). *Big data and social science: A practical guide to methods and tools*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Foucault, M. (1973). *Madness and civilization*. Vintage Books.
- Foucault, M. (1975). *Discipline & punish: The birth of the prison*. Vintage Books.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed* (M. B. Ramos, Trans.). Continuum.
- French, D. P., Cooke, R., McLean, N., Williams, M., & Sutton, S. (2007). What do people think about when they answer theory of planned behaviour questionnaires? A “think aloud” study. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 12(4), 672–687.
- Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 127. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787>
- Friston, K., Thornton, C., & Clark, A. (2012). Free-energy minimization and the dark-room problem. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 130. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00130>
- Galenson, D. W. (2008). *Old masters and young geniuses: The two life cycles of artistic creativity*. Princeton University Press.
- Gallese, V., & Goldman, A. (1998). Mirror neurons and the simulation theory of mind-reading. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 2(12), 493–501.
- Gaskell, G., & Bauer, M. W. (2000). Towards public accountability: Beyond sampling, reliability and validity. In M. W. Bauer & G. Gaskell (Eds.), *Qualitative researching with text, image and sound: A practical handbook for social research* (336–350). SAGE.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures*. Fontana Press.
- Gergen, K. J. (1973). Social psychology as history. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 26, 309–320.
- Gergen, K. J. (2015). From mirroring to world-making: Research as future forming. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 45(3), 287–310. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsb.12075>
- Gerring, J. (2012). Mere description. *British Journal of Political Science*, 721–746. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123412000130>

- Gillespie, A. (2003). Supplementarity and surplus: Moving between the dimensions of otherness. *Culture & Psychology*, 9(3), 209–220.
- Gillespie, A. (2004). The mystery of G. H. Mead's first book. *Theory & Psychology*, 14(3), 423–425.
- Gillespie, A. (2005a). G.H. Mead: Theorist of the social act. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 35(1), 19–39.
- Gillespie, A. (2005b). Malcolm X and his autobiography: Identity development and self-narration. *Culture & Psychology*, 11(1), 77–88.
- Gillespie, A. (2006a). Descartes' demon: A dialogical analysis of Meditations on First Philosophy. *Theory & Psychology*, 16(6), 761–781.
- Gillespie, A. (2006b). Games and the development of perspective taking. *Human Development*, 49(2), 87–92.
- Gillespie, A. (2007a). Collapsing Self/Other positions: Identification through differentiation. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 46(3), 579–595.
- Gillespie, A. (2007b). The social basis of self-reflection. In J. Valsiner & A. Rosa (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of sociocultural psychology* (pp. 678–691). Cambridge University Press.
- Gillespie, A. (2012). Position exchange: The social development of agency. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 30, 32–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newideapsych.2010.03.004>
- Gillespie, A. (2018). Distinguishing two processes of self-reflection. In J. Valsiner & A. Rosa (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of sociocultural psychology* (pp. 245–259). Cambridge University Press.
- Gillespie, A. (2020a). Disruption, self-presentation, and defensive tactics at the threshold of learning. *Review of General Psychology*, 24(4), 382–396. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1089268020914258>
- Gillespie, A. (2020b). Semantic contact and semantic barriers: Reactionary responses to disruptive ideas. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 35, 21–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.02.010>
- Gillespie, A., Best, C., & O'Neill, B. (2012). Cognitive function and assistive technology for cognition: A systematic review. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, 18, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617711001548>
- Gillespie, A., & Cornish, F. (2014). Sensitizing questions: A method to facilitate analyzing the meaning of an utterance. *Integrative Psychological and Behavioral Science*, 48(4), 435–452. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12124-014-9265-3>
- Gillespie, A., Cornish, F., Aveling, E.-L., & Zittoun, T. (2007). Conflicting community commitments: A dialogical analysis of a British woman's World War II diaries. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 36(1), 35–52.
- Gillespie, A., & Corti, K. (2016). The body that speaks: Recombining bodies and speech sources in unscripted face-to-face communication. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 1300. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01300>
- Gillespie, A., Corti, K., Evans, S., & Heasman, B. (2017). Imagining the self through cultural technologies. *Handbook of Imagination and Culture*, 301–318.
- Gillespie, A., & Martin, J. (2014). Position Exchange Theory: A socio-material basis for discursive and psychological positioning. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 32, 73–79.

- Gillespie, A., & Reader, T. W. (2016). The Healthcare Complaints Analysis Tool: Development and reliability testing of a method for service monitoring and organisational learning. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 25(12), 937–946. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2015-004596>
- Gillespie, A., & Reader, T. W. (2018). Patient-centered insights: Using health care complaints to reveal hot spots and blind spots in quality and safety. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 96(3), 530–567. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.12338>
- Gillespie, A., & Reader, T. W. (2022). Online patient feedback as a safety valve: An automated language analysis of unnoticed and unresolved safety incidents. *Risk Analysis*, n/a(n/a). <https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.14002>
- Gillespie, A., & Zittoun, T. (2010). Using resources: Conceptualizing the mediation and reflective use of tools and signs. *Culture & Psychology*, 16(1), 37–62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354067X09344888>
- Gillon, S. M. (2001). Unintended consequences [Why our plans don't go according to plan]. *The Futurist*, 35(2), 49–53.
- Glăveanu, V. P. (2010). Paradigms in the study of creativity: Introducing the perspective of cultural psychology. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 28(1), 79–93.
- Glăveanu, V. P. (2011). Is the lightbulb still on? *Social representations of creativity in a western context. International Journal of Creativity and Problem Solving*, 21(1), 53–72.
- Glăveanu, V. P. (2014). Revisiting the “art bias” in lay conceptions of creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, 26(1), 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2014.873656>
- Glăveanu, V. P. (2020a). *The possible: A sociocultural theory*. Oxford University Press.
- Glăveanu, V. P. (2020b). *Wonder: The extraordinary power of an ordinary experience*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Glăveanu, V. P., & Gillespie, A. (2014). Creativity out of difference: Theorising the semiotic, social and temporal origin of creative acts. In V. P. Glăveanu, A. Gillespie, & J. Valsiner (Eds.), *Rethinking creativity* (pp. 25–39). Routledge.
- Glăveanu, V. P., & Gillespie, A. (2021). Cognition stays wild: A commentary on Ross and Vallée-Tourangeau's rewilding cognition. *Journal of Trial & Error*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.36850/14>
- Glăveanu, V. P., Gillespie, A., & Karwowski, M. (2019). Are people working together inclined towards practicality? A process analysis of creative ideation in individuals and dyads. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 13(4), 388–401. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000171>
- Glerup, C., Davies, S. R., & Horst, M. (2017). “Nothing really responsible goes on here”: Scientists' experience and practice of responsibility. *Journal of Responsible Innovation*, 4(3), 319–336. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23299460.2017.1378462>
- Gobo, G., Fielding, N. G., La Rocca, G., & van der Vaart, W. (2022). *Merged methods: A rationale for full integration*. SAGE.
- Goertzel, T. (1994). Belief in conspiracy theories. *Political Psychology*, 731–742. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3791630>
- Goffman, E. (1959). *The presentation of self in everyday life*. Penguin.

- González-Bailón, S. (2013). Social science in the era of big data. *Policy & Internet*, 5(2), 147–160. <https://doi.org/10.1002/1944-2866.POI328>
- Goodman, R. B. (1995). *Pragmatism: A contemporary reader*. Routledge.
- Goodman, R. B. (2012). William James's pluralisms. *Revue Internationale de Philosophie*, 260(2), 155–176. <https://doi.org/10.3917/rip.260.0155>
- Gosden, C. (2004). The past and foreign countries: Colonial and post-colonial archaeology and anthropology. In L. Meskell & R. W. Preucel (Eds.), *A companion to social archaeology* (pp. 161–178). Blackwell.
- Gould, S. J. (1981). *The mismeasure of man*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Graham, J., Haidt, J., Koleva, S., et al. (2013). Moral foundations theory: The pragmatic validity of moral pluralism. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 47, 55–130.
- Graham, J., Haidt, J., & Nosek, B. A. (2009). Liberals and conservatives rely on different sets of moral foundations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 96(5), 1029. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015141>
- Greene, J. C., Caracelli, V. J., & Graham, W. F. (1989). Toward a conceptual framework for mixed-method evaluation designs. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 11(3), 255–274. <https://doi.org/10/cjqt52>
- Greenhalgh, T., & Russell, J. (2009). Evidence-based policymaking: A critique. *Perspectives in Biology and Medicine*, 52(2), 304–318. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pbm.o.0085>
- Guastello, S. J., Koopmans, M., & Pincus, D. (2008). *Chaos and complexity in psychology: The theory of nonlinear dynamical systems*. Cambridge University Press.
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. *Handbook of Qualitative Research*, 2(163–194), 105.
- Guess, A., Lyons, B., Nyhan, B., & Reifler, J. (2018). Avoiding the echo chamber about echo chambers: Why selective exposure to like-minded political news is less prevalent than you think. Knight Foundation White Paper.
- Guetterman, T. C., Fetters, M. D., & Creswell, J. W. (2015). Integrating quantitative and qualitative results in health science mixed methods research through joint displays. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, 13(6), 554–561. <https://doi.org/10/f7zj9r>
- Guetterman, T. C., Molina-Azorin, J. F., & Fetters, M. D. (2020). Virtual special issue on “integration in mixed methods research”. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 14(4), 430–435. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689820956401>
- Gupta, M., Rahman, A., Dutta, N. C., et al. (2020). Impact of a rural drowning reduction programme in Bangladesh on gender equity, norms and behaviour: A mixed-method analysis. *BMJ Open*, 10(12), e041065. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-041065>
- Haack, S. (1976). The pragmatist theory of truth. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 27(3), 231–249. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjps/27.3.231>
- Habermas, J. (1968). *Knowledge and human interests*. Polity Press.
- Habermas, J. (1989). *The structural transformation of the public sphere*. Polity Press.
- Hacking, I. (1995). The looping effects of human kinds. *Causal Cognition: A Multidisciplinary Approach*, 351–383.
- Hacking, I. (1999). *The social construction of what?* Harvard University Press.

- Hagan, T. L., Rosenzweig, M. Q., Zorn, K. K., van Londen, G. J., & Donovan, H. S. (2017). Perspectives on self-advocacy: Comparing perceived uses, benefits, and drawbacks among survivors and providers. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 44(1), 52–59. <https://doi.org/10.1188/17.ONF.52-59>
- Hagues, R. (2021). Conducting critical ethnography: Personal reflections on the role of the researcher. *International Social Work*, 64(3), 438–443. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020872818819731>
- Haig, B. D. (2005). An abductive theory of scientific method. *Psychological Methods*, 10(4), 371–388.
- Hamad, E. O., Savundranayagam, M. Y., Holmes, J. D., Kinsella, E. A., & Johnson, A. M. (2016). Toward a mixed-methods research approach to content analysis in the digital age: The combined content-analysis model and its applications to health care twitter feeds. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 18(3), e5391. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5391>
- Hammersley, M. (1996). The relationship between qualitative and quantitative research: Paradigm loyalty versus methodological eclecticism. In J. T. E. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of qualitative research methods for psychology and the social sciences* (pp. 159–174). BPS Blackwell.
- Hammersley, M. (2002). Research as emancipatory. *Journal of Critical Realism*, 1(1), 33–48. <https://doi.org/10.1558/jocr.vi1i.33>
- Haney, C., Banks, C., & Zimbardo, P. (1973). Interpersonal dynamics in a simulated prison. *International Journal of Criminology and Penology*, 1(1), 69–97.
- Haugestad, C. A. P., Skauge, A. D., Kunst, J. R., & Power, S. A. (2021). Why do youth participate in climate activism? A mixed-methods investigation of the #FridaysForFuture climate protests. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 76, 101647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2021.101647>
- Hawlina, H., Gillespie, A., & Zittoun, T. (2019). Difficult differences: A socio-cultural analysis of how diversity can enable and inhibit creativity. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 53(2), 133–144. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jocb.182>
- Hayes, S. C., & Hofmann, S. G. (2020). *Beyond the DSM: Toward a process-based alternative for diagnosis and mental health treatment*. New Harbinger Publications.
- Hayes, S. C., Hofmann, S. G., Stanton, C. E., et al. (2019). The role of the individual in the coming era of process-based therapy. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 117, 40–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2018.10.005>
- Heasman, B., & Gillespie, A. (2017). Perspective-taking is two-sided: Misunderstandings between people with Asperger's syndrome and their family members. *Autism*, 22(6), 740–750. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361317708287>
- Hegel, G. W. F. (1807). *Phenomenology of spirit*. Oxford University Press.
- Helbing, D. (2012). *Social self-organization: Agent-based simulations and experiments to study emergent social behavior*. Springer.
- Henderson, K. A., Ainsworth, B. E., Stolarczyk, L. M., Hootman, J. M., & Levin, S. (1999). Notes on linking qualitative and quantitative data: The cross cultural physical activity participation study. *Leisure Sciences*, 21(3), 247–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/014904099273138>



- Hendrick, C. (1977). Social psychology as an experimental science. In C. Hendrick (Ed.), *Perspectives on social psychology* (pp. 1–74). Erlbaum.
- Hesse-Biber, S. (2010). Emerging methodologies and methods practices in the field of mixed methods research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(6), 415–418.
- Hewstone, M., & Brown, R. (1986). Contact is not enough: An intergroup perspective on the “contact hypothesis.” In M. Hewstone & R. Brown (Eds.), *Contact and conflict in intergroup encounters* (pp. 1–44). Basil Blackwell.
- Hirschberg, J., & Manning, C. D. (2015). Advances in natural language processing. *Science*, 349(6245), 261–266. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8685>
- Ho, P., Chen, K., Shao, A., et al. (2021). A mixed methods study of public perception of social distancing: Integrating qualitative and computational analyses for text data. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 15(3), 374–397. <https://doi.org/10/gmggzw>
- Hogan, B. (2015). From invisible algorithms to interactive affordances: Data after the ideology of machine learning. In E. Bertino & S. A. Matei (Eds.), *Roles, trust, and reputation in social media knowledge markets* (pp. 103–117). Springer.
- Holstein, J. A., & Gubrium, J. F. (2013). *Handbook of constructionist research*. Guilford Publications.
- Holton, G. (1975). On the role of themata in scientific thought. *Science*, 188(4186), 328–334.
- Holton, J. A., & Walsh, I. (2016). *Classic grounded theory: Applications with qualitative and quantitative data*. SAGE.
- Hood, K., Robling, M., Ingledew, D., et al. (2012). Mode of data elicitation, acquisition and response to surveys: A systematic review. *Health Technology Assessment*, 16(27), 1–162.
- Hoover, J. (2016). *Reconstructing human rights: A pragmatist and pluralist inquiry into global ethics*. Oxford University Press.
- Hunter, A. (2018). Towards a framework for computational persuasion with applications in behaviour change. *Argument & Computation*, 9(1), 15–40. <https://doi.org/10.3233/AAC-170032>
- Hussein, A. (2009). The use of triangulation in social sciences research: Can qualitative and quantitative methods be combined. *Journal of Comparative Social Work*, 1(8), 1–12.
- Imhoff, R., & Lamberty, P. (2018). How paranoid are conspiracy believers? Toward a more fine-grained understanding of the connect and disconnect between paranoia and belief in conspiracy theories. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 48(7), 909–926. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2494>
- Jaccard, J., & Jacoby, J. (2020). *Theory construction and model-building skills: A practical guide for social scientists*. Guilford Press.
- Jäger, K. (2016). Not a new gold standard: Even big data cannot predict the future. *Critical Review*, 28(3–4), 335–355. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08913811.2016.1237704>
- James, W. (1882). On some Hegelisms. *Mind*, 7(26), 186–208.
- James, W. (1890). *Principles of psychology*. Harvard University Press.
- James, W. (1907). *Pragmatism*. Dover Publications.

- James, W. (1912). *Essays in radical empiricism*. Longman Green and Co.
- Janasik, N., Honkela, T., & Bruun, H. (2009). Text mining in qualitative research: Application of an unsupervised learning method. *Organizational Research Methods*, 12(3), 436–460. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428108317202>
- Joas, H. (1993). *Pragmatism and social theory*. University of Chicago Press.
- Johansen, M. B., & Frederiksen, J. T. (2021). Ethically important moments – A pragmatic-dualist research ethics. *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 19(2), 279–289. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-020-09377-y>
- John of Salisbury. (1159). *Metalogicon* (D. McGarry, Trans.). University of California Press.
- Johnson, R. B. (2017). Dialectical pluralism: A metaparadigm whose time has come. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 11(2), 156–173. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689815607692>
- Johnson, R. B., Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Turner, L. A. (2007). Toward a definition of mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(2), 112–133. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689806298224>
- Jovanović, G. (2010). Historizing epistemology in psychology. *Integrative Psychological and Behavioral Science*, 44(4), 310–328. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12124-010-9132-9>
- Jovchelovitch, S. (2019). *Knowledge in context: Representations, community and culture*. Routledge.
- Jovchelovitch, S., & Hawlina, H. (2018). Utopias and world-making: Time, transformation and the collective imagination. In C. de Saint-Laurent, S. Obradović, & K. R. Carriere (Eds.), *Imagining collective futures* (pp. 129–151). Springer.
- Just, D. R. (2019). Is the ban on deception necessary or even desirable? *Food Policy*, 83, 5–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2018.12.010>
- Kafka, F. (1915). *The metamorphosis*. Schocken Books.
- Kakutani, M. (2018). *The death of truth: Notes on falsehood in the age of Trump*. William Collins.
- Kaposi, D. (2017). The resistance experiments: Morality, authority and obedience in Stanley Milgram's account. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 47(4), 382–401. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsb.12137>
- Kauffman, S. (1996). *At home in the universe: The search for the laws of self-organization and complexity*. Oxford University Press.
- Kaufman, J. C., & Glăveanu, V. P. (2018). The road to uncreative science is paved with good intentions: Ideas, implementations, and uneasy balances. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 13(4), 457–465. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691617753947>
- Kaushik, V., & Walsh, C. A. (2019). Pragmatism as a research paradigm and its implications for social work research. *Social Sciences*, 8(9), 255. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci8090255>
- Kelly, L. M., & Cordeiro, M. (2020). Three principles of pragmatism for research on organizational processes. *Methodological Innovations*, 13(2), 2059799120937242. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2059799120937242>

- Kerrigan, M. R. (2014). A framework for understanding community colleges' organizational capacity for data use: A convergent parallel mixed methods study. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 8(4), 341–362. <https://doi.org/10.66ngcv>
- Kessler, J. S. (2017). Scattertext: A browser-based tool for visualizing how corpora differ. *ArXiv*, Preprint ArXiv:1703.00565.
- Kilpinen, E. (2009). Pragmatism as a philosophy of action. In S. Pihlström & H. Rydenfelt (Eds.), *Pragmatist perspectives* (pp. 163–179). Societas Philosophica Fennica.
- Kim, Y., Russo, S., & Amnå, E. (2017). The longitudinal relation between online and offline political participation among youth at two different developmental stages. *New Media & Society*, 19(6), 899–917. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444815624181>
- Knappertsbusch, F. (2020). “Fractal heuristics” for mixed methods research: Applying Abbott’s “fractal distinctions” as a conceptual metaphor for method integration. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 14(4), 456–472. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689819893573>
- Knight, L. W. (2008). *Citizen: Jane Addams and the struggle for democracy*. University of Chicago Press.
- Kordzadeh, N., & Warren, J. (2013). Toward a typology of health 2.0 collaboration platforms and websites. *Health and Technology*, 3(1), 37–50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-013-0043-x>
- Kowsari, K., Jafari Meimandi, K., Heidarysafa, M., et al. (2019). Text classification algorithms: A survey. *Information*, 10(4), 150. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info10040150>
- Kreuzer, M. (2010). Historical knowledge and quantitative analysis: The case of the origins of proportional representation. *American Political Science Review*, 104(2), 369–392. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055410000122>
- Krippendorff, K. (2019). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology*, 4th edition. SAGE Publications.
- Krpan, D. (2022). (When) should psychology be a science? *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 52(1), 183–198. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsb.12316>
- Kuhn, T. S. (1962). *The structure of scientific revolutions*. University of Chicago Press.
- Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2008). *Interviews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing*. SAGE.
- Kyza, E. A., Varda, C., Panos, D., et al. (2020). Combating misinformation online: Re-imagining social media for policy-making. *Internet Policy Review*, 9(4), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.14763/2020.4.1514>
- LaFollette, H. (1997). Pragmatic ethics. In H. LaFollette (Ed.), *The Blackwell guide to ethical theory* (pp. 400–419). Blackwell.
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by*. University of Chicago Press.
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1999). *Philosophy in the flesh: The embodied mind and its challenge to Western thought*. Basic Books.

- Lakoff, G., & Núñez, R. (2000). *Where mathematics comes from*. Basic Books.
- Lamm, C., Decety, J., & Singer, T. (2011). Meta-analytic evidence for common and distinct neural networks associated with directly experienced pain and empathy for pain. *Neuroimage*, 54(3), 2492–2502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.014>
- Laplace, P. S. (1814). *A philosophical essay on probabilities*. Wiley.
- Latour, B. (1993). *We have never been modern*. Harvard University Press.
- Law, J. (2004). *After method: Mess in social science research*. Routledge.
- Leary, D. E. (1990). *Metaphors in the history of psychology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lee, L. W., Dabirian, A., McCarthy, I. P., & Kietzmann, J. (2020). Making sense of text: Artificial intelligence-enabled content analysis. *European Journal of Marketing*, 54(3), 615–644. <https://doi.org/10/gmt7m3>
- Leeson, W., Resnick, A., Alexander, D., & Rovers, J. (2019). Natural language processing (NLP) in qualitative public health research: A proof of concept study. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 18, 1609406919887021. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406919887021>
- Leonelli, S. (2016). Locating ethics in data science: Responsibility and accountability in global and distributed knowledge production systems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 374(2083), 20160122. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2016.0122>
- Levinas, E. (1991). *On thinking-of-the-other: Entre nous*. The Athlone Press Ltd.
- Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K., & Cook, J. (2017). Beyond misinformation: Understanding and coping with the “post-truth” era. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 6(4), 353–369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2017.07.008>
- Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K., Seifert, C. M., Schwarz, N., & Cook, J. (2012). Misinformation and its correction: Continued influence and successful debiasing. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 13(3), 106–131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612451018>
- Lewandowsky, S., Oberauer, K., & Gignac, G. E. (2013). NASA faked the moon landing—therefore, (climate) science is a hoax: An anatomy of the motivated rejection of science. *Psychological Science*, 24(5), 622–633. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612457686>
- Lewin, K. (1943). Psychology and the process of group living. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 17(1), 113–131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1943.9712269>
- Lieber, E. (2009). Mixing qualitative and quantitative methods: Insights into design and analysis issues. *Journal of Ethnographic & Qualitative Research*, 3(4), 218–227.
- Linell, P. (2009). *Rethinking language, mind, and world dialogically: Interactional and contextual theories of human sense-making*. Information Age Publishing.
- Liu, C. (2014). *The three-body problem* (Vol. 1). Macmillan.
- Locke, J. (1847). *An essay concerning human understanding*. Kay & Troutman.
- Love, H. R., & Corr, C. (2022). Integrating without quantizing: Two examples of deductive analysis strategies within qualitatively driven mixed methods

- research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 16(1), 64–87. <https://doi.org/10/gpdcq2>
- Ludwig, K. (2007). The epistemology of thought experiments: First person versus third person approaches. *Midwest Studies in Philosophy*, 31(1), 128–159.
- Luria, A. R. (1968). *The mind of a mnemonist: A little book about a vast memory*. Harvard University Press.
- Mach, K. J., Lemos, M. C., Meadow, A. M., et al. (2020). Actionable knowledge and the art of engagement. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 42, 30–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2020.01.002>
- Macnab, N., Visser, J., & Daniels, H. (2007). Desperately seeking data: Methodological complications in researching “hard to find” young people. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 7(3), 142–148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-3802.2007.00091.x>
- Madison, G. (2005). Habermas, psychoanalysis, and emancipation. *Existential Analysis*, 16(2), 209–220.
- Malafouris, L. (2019). Mind and material engagement. *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, 18(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11097-018-9606-7>
- Manikandan, S. (2010). Preparing to analyse data. *Journal of Pharmacology & Pharmacotherapeutics*, 1(1), 64–65.
- Mannell, J., Davis, K., Akter, K., et al. (2021). Visual participatory analysis: A qualitative method for engaging participants in interpreting the results of randomized controlled trials of health interventions. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 15(1), 18–36. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689820914806>
- Mantelero, A. (2018). AI and Big Data: A blueprint for a human rights, social and ethical impact assessment. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 34(4), 754–772. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2018.05.017>
- Markie, P. (2004). Rationalism vs. empiricism. *The Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy*. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/rationalism-empiricism>
- Marková, I. (1982). *Paradigms, thought and language*. Wiley.
- Marková, I. (2016). *The dialogical mind*. Cambridge University Press.
- Marshall, M. N. (1996). Sampling for qualitative research. *Family Practice*, 13(6), 522–526. <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/13.6.522>
- Martin, J. (2006). Reinterpreting internalization and agency through GH Mead’s perspectival realism. *Human Development*, 49, 65–86.
- Martin, J., & Gillespie, A. (2013). Position exchange theory and personhood: Moving between positions and perspectives within physical, socio-cultural, and psychological space and time. In J. Martin, & M. H. Bickhard (Eds.), *The psychology of personhood* (147–164). Cambridge University Press.
- Martin, J., & Gillespie, A. (2020). Position exchange theory. In V. Glaveanu (Ed.), *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of the Possible* (pp. 1–9). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98390-5\\_III-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98390-5_III-2).
- Martin, J., & Sugarman, J. (2001). Interpreting human kinds: Beginnings of a hermeneutic psychology. *Theory & Psychology*, 11(2), 193–207. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959354301112003>
- Martin, K. (2019). Ethical implications and accountability of algorithms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 160(4), 835–850. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3921-3>

- Masson, M. E. J. (2011). A tutorial on a practical Bayesian alternative to null-hypothesis significance testing. *Behavior Research Methods*, 43(3), 679–690. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-010-0049-5>
- Mayer-Schönberger, V., & Ramge, T. (2022). The data boom is here—it's just not evenly distributed. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 63(3), 7–9.
- McCain, K. W. (2015). “Nothing as practical as a good theory” Does Lewin’s maxim still have salience in the applied social sciences? *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 52(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1002/praz.2015.145052010077>
- McCloskey, D. N. (1995). Metaphors economists live by. *Social Research*, 62(2), 215–237.
- McCrudden, M. T., Marchand, G., & Schutz, P. A. (2021). Joint displays for mixed methods research in psychology. *Methods in Psychology*, 5, 100067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metip.2021.100067>
- McGuire, W. J. (1997). Creative hypothesis generating in psychology: Some useful heuristics. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 48(1), 1–30.
- McIntyre, A. (2008). *Participatory action research*. SAGE Publications.
- McIntyre, L. (2018). *Post-truth*. MIT Press.
- McNamee, S. (1988). Accepting research as social intervention: Implications of a systemic epistemology. *Communication Quarterly*, 36(1), 50–68. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01463378809369707>
- Mead, G. H. (1903). The definition of the psychical. *Decennial Publications of the University of Chicago*, 1(3), 77–112.
- Mead, G. H. (1912). The mechanism of social consciousness. *The Journal of Philosophy, Psychology and Scientific Methods*, 9(15), 401–406.
- Mead, G. H. (1913). The social self. *Journal of Philosophy, Psychology and Scientific Methods*, 10(14), 374–380.
- Mead, G. H. (1917). Scientific method and individual thinker. In J. Dewey, A. W. Moore, H. C. Brown, G. H. Mead, B. H. Bode, H. W. Stuart, J. H. Tufts, & H. M. Kallen (Eds.), *Creative intelligence: Essays in the pragmatic attitude* (pp. 176–227). Henry Holt and Co.
- Mead, G. H. (1925). The genesis of self and social control. *International Journal of Ethics*, 35(3), 251–277.
- Mead, G. H. (1926). The objective reality of perspectives. In S. Brightman (Ed.) *Proceedings of the Sixth International Congress of Philosophy* (pp. 75–85). Longmans, Green, and Co.
- Mead, G. H. (1932). *The philosophy of the present*. Open Court.
- Mead, G. H. (1934). *Mind, self & society from the standpoint of a social behaviorist*. University of Chicago Press.
- Mead, G. H. (1936). *Movements of thought in the nineteenth century* (M. H. Moore, Ed.). University of Chicago Press.
- Mead, G. H. (1964a). *On social psychology: Selected papers*. University of Chicago Press.
- Mead, G. H. (1964b). *Selected writings* (A. J. Reck, Ed.). Bobbs-Merrill.
- Mearns, K., Kirwan, B., Reader, T. W., et al. (2013). Development of a methodology for understanding and enhancing safety culture in Air Traffic Management. *Safety Science*, 53, 123–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2012.09.001>

- Mede, N. G., Schäfer, M. S., Ziegler, R., & Weißkopf, M. (2021). The “replication crisis” in the public eye: Germans’ awareness and perceptions of the (ir)reproducibility of scientific research. *Public Understanding of Science*, 30(1), 91–102. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662520954370>
- Melles, G. (2008). An enlarged pragmatist inquiry paradigm for methodological pluralism in academic design research. *Artifact: Journal of Design Practice*, 2(1), 3–13.
- Merchant, C. (2015). *Autonomous nature: Problems of prediction and control from ancient times to the scientific revolution*. Routledge.
- Merleau-Ponty, M. (1945). *Phenomenology of perception*. Routledge.
- Mertens, D. M. (2007). Transformative paradigm: Mixed methods and social justice. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(3), 212–225. <https://doi.org/10/bn9hm3>
- Merton, R. K. (1936). The unanticipated consequences of purposive social action. *American Sociological Review*, 1(6), 894–904.
- Midgley, M. (2003). *The myths we live by*. Taylor & Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203480922>
- Milgram, S. (1969). *Obedience to authority: An experimental view*. Harper Torchbooks.
- Milgram, S. (1992). Cyranoids. In S. Milgram, J. E. Sabini, & M. E. Silver (Eds.), *The Individual in a social world* (pp. 337–345). McGraw-Hill.
- Miller, G. A. (1956). The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychological Review*, 63(2), 81.
- Miller, R. (2010). Embracing complexity and using the future. *Ethos*, 10(10), 23–28.
- Mitchell, A. (2018). A review of mixed methods, pragmatism and abduction techniques. *The Electronic Journal of Business Research Method*, 16(3), 103–116.
- Molina-Azorin, J. F., & Fetters, M. D. (2022). Books on mixed methods research: A window on the growth in number and diversity. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 16(1), 8–16.
- Montiel, C. J., & Uyheng, J. (2022). Foundations for a decolonial big data psychology. *Journal of Social Issues*, 78(2), 278–297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12439>
- Moore, H., & Gillespie, A. (2014). The caregiving bind: Concealing the demands of informal care can undermine the caregiving identity. *Social Science & Medicine*, 116, 102–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.06.038>
- Moran-Ellis, J., Alexander, V. D., Cronin, A., et al. (2006). Triangulation and integration: Processes, claims and implications. *Qualitative Research*, 6(1), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794106058870>
- Moretti, F. (2013). *Distant reading*. Verso Books.
- Morgan, D. L. (2007). Paradigms lost and pragmatism regained: Methodological implications of combining qualitative and quantitative methods. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(1), 48–76. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2345678906292462>
- Morgan, D. L. (2014a). Pragmatism as a paradigm for social research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 20(8), 1045–1053. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800413513733>

- Morgan, D. L. (2018). Living within blurry boundaries: The value of distinguishing between qualitative and quantitative research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 12(3), 268–279. <https://doi.org/10/gdtbrn>
- Morgan, M. (2014b). The poverty of (moral) philosophy: Towards an empirical and pragmatic ethics. *European Journal of Social Theory*, 17(2), 129–146. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368431013505016>
- Morse, J. M. (1991). Approaches to qualitative-quantitative methodological triangulation. *Nursing Research*, 40(2), 120–123. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006199-199103000-00014>
- Morse, J. M. (2010). “Cherry picking”: Writing from thin data. *Qualitative Health Research*, 20(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732309354285>
- Moscovici, S. (1987). The conspiracy mentality. In C. F. Graumann & S. Moscovici (Eds.), *Changing conceptions of conspiracy* (pp. 151–169). Springer-Verlag.
- Moscovici, S. (1991). Experiment and experience: An intermediate step from Sherif to Asch. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 21(3), 253–268.
- Moscovici, S. E., Mucchi-Faina, A. E., & Maass, A. E. (1994). *Minority influence*. Nelson-Hall Publishers.
- Mulej, M. (2007). Systems theory: A worldview and/or a methodology aimed at requisite holism/realism of humans’ thinking, decisions and action. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science* 24(3), 347–357. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.810>
- Mulhall, A. (2003). In the field: Notes on observation in qualitative research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 41(3), 306–313. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2003.02514.x>
- Mullen, B., Johnson, C., & Salas, E. (1991). Productivity loss in brainstorming groups: A meta-analytic integration. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 12(1), 3–23.
- Nasie, M., Bar-Tal, D., Pliskin, R., Nahhas, E., & Halperin, E. (2014). Overcoming the barrier of narrative adherence in conflicts through awareness of the psychological bias of naïve realism. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40(11), 1543–1556.
- Nastasi, B. K., Hitchcock, J., Sarkar, S., et al. (2007). Mixed methods in intervention research: Theory to adaptation. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(2), 164–182. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689806298181>
- Neuman, Y. (2016). *Computational personality analysis: Introduction, practical applications and novel directions*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42460-6>
- Newell, A. (1973). You can’t play 20 questions with nature and win: Projective comments on the papers of this symposium. In W. G. Chase (Ed.), *Visual information processing* (pp. 283–308). Academic Press.
- Niculae, V., Kumar, S., Boyd-Graber, J., & Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil, C. (2015). Linguistic harbingers of betrayal: A case study on an online strategy game. ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:1506.04744.
- Nisbett, R. E., & Cohen, D. (1996). *Culture of honor: The psychology of violence in the south*. Routledge.



- Noort, M. C., Reader, T. W., & Gillespie, A. (2019a). Speaking up to prevent harm: A systematic review of the safety voice literature. *Safety Science*, 117, 375–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2019.04.039>
- Noort, M. C., Reader, T. W., & Gillespie, A. (2019b). Walking the plank: An experimental paradigm to investigate safety voice. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00668>
- Noort, M. C., Reader, T. W., & Gillespie, A. (2021a). Cockpit voice recorder transcript data: Capturing safety voice and safety listening during historic aviation accidents. *Data in Brief*, 39, 107602.
- Noort, M. C., Reader, T. W., & Gillespie, A. (2021b). Sounds of silence: Data for analysing muted safety voice in speech. *Data in Brief*, 37, 107186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2021.107186>
- Noort, M. C., Reader, T. W., & Gillespie, A. (2021c). The sounds of safety silence: Interventions and temporal patterns unmuting unique safety voice content in speech. *Safety Science*, 140, 105289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105289>
- Norman, D. A. (1967). Temporal confusions and limited capacity processors. *Acta Psychologica*, 27, 293–297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918\(67\)90071-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(67)90071-6)
- Norton, J. (1991). Thought experiments in Einstein's work. In T. Horowitz & G. J. Massey (Eds.), *Thought experiments in science and philosophy* (Vol. 1991). Rowman & Littlefield Publishers. [http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/archive/00003190/01/8\\_norton.pdf](http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/archive/00003190/01/8_norton.pdf)
- Nosek, B. A., Beck, E. D., Campbell, L., et al. (2019). Preregistration is hard, and worthwhile. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 23(10), 815–818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.07.009>
- Nzabonimpa, J. P. (2018). Quantitizing and qualitizing (im-)possibilities in mixed methods research. *Methodological Innovations*, 11(2), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2059799118789021>
- Obradović, S., & Sheehy-Skeffington, J. (2020). Power, identity, and belonging: A mixed-methods study of the processes shaping perceptions of EU integration in a prospective member state. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 50(7), 1425–1442. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2691>
- O'Halloran, K. L., Pal, G., & Jin, M. (2021). Multimodal approach to analysing big social and news media data. *Discourse, Context & Media*, 40, 100467. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcm.2021.100467>
- O'Halloran, K. L., Tan, S., Pham, D.-S., Bateman, J., & Vande Moere, A. (2018). A digital mixed methods research design: Integrating multimodal analysis with data mining and information visualization for big data analytics. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 12(1), 11–30. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689816651015>
- O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction*. Crown.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Johnson, R. B. (2021). *The Routledge reviewer's guide to mixed methods analysis*. Routledge.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Leech, N. L. (2005). On becoming a pragmatic researcher: The importance of combining quantitative and qualitative research methodologies. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8(5), 375–387. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13645570500402447>

- Open Science Collaboration. (2015). Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Science*, 349(6251), aac4716. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac4716>
- Page, S. E. (2015). What sociologists should know about complexity. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 41, 21–41. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-073014-112230>
- Paluck, E. L., Green, S. A., & Green, D. P. (2019). The contact hypothesis re-evaluated. *Behavioural Public Policy*, 3(2), 129–158. <https://doi.org/10.1017/bpp.2018.25>
- Parker, I. (1998). *Social constructionism, discourse and realism*. SAGE.
- Paschalides, D., Stephanidis, D., Andreou, A., et al. (2020). Mandola: A big-data processing and visualization platform for monitoring and detecting online hate speech. *ACM Transactions on Internet Technology (TOIT)*, 20(2), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3371276>
- Patterson, D. M. (1990). Law's pragmatism: Law as practice & narrative. *Virginia Law Review*, 76(5), 937–996. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1073154>
- Peirce, C. S. (1878). How to make our ideas clear. *Popular Science Monthly*, 12(January), 286–302.
- Peirce, C. S. (1894). What is a sign? In R. T. Craig & H. L. Muller (Eds.), *Theorizing communication: Readings across traditions* (pp. 177–182). SAGE.
- Peirce, C. S. (1955). *Philosophical writings of Peirce*. Dover Publications, Inc.
- Peirce, C. S. (1974). *Collected papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*. Harvard University Press.
- Peirce, C. S. (1992). *The essential Peirce: Selected philosophical writings* (Vol. 2). Indiana University Press.
- Perlstadt, H. (2018). How to get out of the Stanford prison experiment: Revisiting social science research ethics. *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 1(2), 45–59.
- Pettigrew, T. F. (1998). Intergroup contact theory. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 49(1), 65–85. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.49.1.65>
- Phillips, D. C. (1995). The good, the bad, and the ugly: The many faces of constructivism. *Educational Researcher*, 24(7), 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X024007005>
- Piaget, J. (1977). *The development of thought: Equilibration of cognitive structures*. Viking.
- Pinfield, S., Salter, J., Bath, P. A., et al. (2014). Open-access repositories worldwide, 2005–2012: Past growth, current characteristics, and future possibilities. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 65(12), 2404–2421. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.23131>
- Pokhrel, P., Herzog, T. A., Muranaka, N., & Fagan, P. (2015). Young adult e-cigarette users' reasons for liking and not liking e-cigarettes: A qualitative study. *Psychology & Health*, 30(12), 1450–1469. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2015.1061129>
- Poli, R. (2017). *Introduction to anticipation studies*. Springer.
- Popper, K. (1934). *The logic of scientific discovery*. Routledge.
- Popper, K. (1969). *Conjectures and refutations: The growth of scientific knowledge*. Routledge & Kegan Paul.

- Poth, C. N. (2018). *Innovation in mixed methods research: A practical guide to integrative thinking with complexity*. SAGE.
- Poth, C. N., Bulut, O., Aquilina, A. M., & Otto, S. J. G. (2021). Using Data mining for rapid complex case study descriptions: Example of public health briefings during the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 15586898211013924. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15586898211013925>
- Power, S. A. (2018). The deprivation-protest paradox: How the perception of unfair economic inequality leads to civic unrest. *Current Anthropology*, 59(6), 765–789. <https://doi.org/10.1086/700679>
- Power, S. A., Velez, G., Qadafi, A., & Tennant, J. (2018). The SAGE model of social psychological research. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 13(3), 359–372. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691617734863>
- Power, S. A., Zittoun, T., Akkerman, S., et al. (2023). Social psychology of and for world-making. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 10888683221145756. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10888683221145756>
- Prasad, A. (2022). Anti-science misinformation and conspiracies: COVID–19, post-truth, and science & technology studies (STS). *Science, Technology and Society*, 27(1), 88–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09717218211003413>
- Preissle, J., Glover-Kudon, R., Rohan, E. A., Boehm, J. E., & DeGross, A. (2015). Putting ethics on the mixed methods map. In S. Hesse-Biber & B. R. Johnson (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of multimethod and mixed methods research inquiry* (pp. 144–166). Oxford University Press.
- Psaltis, C., & Duveen, G. (2006). Social relations and cognitive development: The influence of conversation type and representations of gender. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 36(3), 407–430.
- Psaltis, C., & Duveen, G. (2007). Conservation and conversation types: Forms of recognition and cognitive development. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 25(1), 79–102.
- Putnam, H. (1974). Meaning and reference. *The Journal of Philosophy*, 70(19), 699–711. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2025079>
- Putnam, H. (1995). *Words and life*. Harvard University Press.
- Qu, S. Q., & Dumay, J. (2011). The qualitative research interview. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 8(3), 238–264. <https://doi.org/10.1108/117666091111162070>
- Rawls, J. (1971). *A theory of justice*. Belknap Press.
- Reader, T. W., & Gillespie, A. (2021). Stakeholders in safety: Patient reports on unsafe clinical behaviors distinguish hospital mortality rates. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(3), 439–451. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/apl0000507>
- Reader, T. W., & Gillespie, A. (2022). Developing a battery of measures for unobtrusive indicators of organisational culture: A research note. *Journal of Risk Research*, 0(0), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13669877.2022.2108116>
- Reader, T. W., Gillespie, A., Hald, J., & Patterson, M. (2020). Unobtrusive indicators of culture for organizations: A systematic review. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 29(5), 633–649. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2020.1764536>

- Reader, T. W., Gillespie, A., & Roberts, J. (2014). Patient complaints in health-care systems: A systematic review and coding taxonomy. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 23(8), 678–689. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2013-002437>
- Reeve, C. D. (2004). *Plato: Republic*. Hackett Publishing Company.
- Renz, S. M., Carrington, J. M., & Badger, T. A. (2018). Two strategies for qualitative content analysis: An intramethod approach to triangulation. *Qualitative Health Research*, 28(5), 824–831. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732317753586>
- Rice, J. A. (2007). *Mathematical statistics and data analysis*. Cengage Learning.
- Rodriguez, M. Y., & Storer, H. (2020). A computational social science perspective on qualitative data exploration: Using topic models for the descriptive analysis of social media data. *Journal of Technology in Human Services*, 38(1), 54–86. <https://doi.org/10/gg2wrw>
- Roots, E. (2007). Making connections: The relationship between epistemology and research methods. *Special Edition Papers*, 19(1), 19–27.
- Rorty, R. (1981). *Philosophy and the mirror of nature*. Princeton University Press.
- Rorty, R. (1982). *Consequences of pragmatism: Essays, 1972–1980*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Rorty, R. (1989). *Contingency, irony, and solidarity*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rorty, R. (1998). *Truth and progress: Philosophical papers*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rorty, R. (1999). *Philosophy and social hope*. Penguin.
- Rose, N. (1998). *Inventing our selves: Psychology, power, and personhood*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rosenbaum, P. J., & Valsiner, J. (2011). The un-making of a method: From rating scales to the study of psychological processes. *Theory & Psychology*, 21(1), 47–65. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959354309352913>
- Ross, W., & Vallée-Tourangeau, F. (2021). Rewilding cognition: Complex dynamics in open experimental systems. *Journal of Trial and Error*. <https://doi.org/10.36850/e4>
- Rozin, P. (2001). Social psychology and science: Some lessons from Solomon Asch. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 5(1), 2–14. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0501\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0501_1)
- Rozin, P. (2009). What kind of empirical research should we publish, fund, and reward? A different perspective. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 4(4), 435–439. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2009.01151.x>
- Rubin, H. J., & Rubin, I. S. (2005). The first phase of analysis: Preparing transcripts and coding data. In H. J. Rubin & I. S. Rubin (Eds.), *Qualitative interviewing: The art of hearing data* (pp. 201–223). SAGE.
- Rubin, M., & Donkin, C. (2022). Exploratory hypothesis tests can be more compelling than confirmatory hypothesis tests. *Philosophical Psychology*, 0(0), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09515089.2022.2113771>
- Runco, M. A. (2010). *Divergent thinking, creativity, and ideation*. Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism: Western conceptions of the Orient*. Penguin.

- Sale, J. E., Lohfeld, L. H., & Brazil, K. (2002). Revisiting the quantitative-qualitative debate: Implications for mixed-methods research. *Quality and Quantity*, 36(1), 43–53. <https://doi.org/10/bczskd>
- Salganik, M. (2019). *Bit by bit: Social research in the digital age*. Princeton University Press.
- Sandelowski, M., Voils, C. I., & Knaf, G. (2009). On quantizing. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 3(3), 208–222. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689809334210>
- Sanderson, I. (2002). Evaluation, policy learning and evidence-based policy making. *Public Administration*, 80(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00292>
- Sandoval, C. (2013). *Methodology of the oppressed*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Saussure, F. (1916). *Course in general linguistics*. Open Court.
- Schaffner, B. F., & Luks, S. (2018). Misinformation or expressive responding? What an inauguration crowd can tell us about the source of political misinformation in surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 82(1), 135–147. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfx042>
- Scheel, A. M., Tiokhin, L., Isager, P. M., & Lakens, D. (2020). Why hypothesis testers should spend less time testing hypotheses. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 16(4), 744–755. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620966795>
- Schegloff, E. A. (1992). Repair after next turn: The last structurally provided defence of intersubjectivity in conversation. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 97(5), 1295–1345.
- Schegloff, E. A. (2007). *Sequence organization in interaction: A primer in conversation analysis*. Cambridge University Press.
- Schilbach, L., Timmermans, B., Reddy, V., et al. (2013). Toward a second-person neuroscience. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 36(04), 393–414.
- Schoonenboom, J., & Johnson, R. B. (2017). How to construct a mixed methods research design. *KZfSS Kölner Zeitschrift Für Soziologie Und Sozialpsychologie*, 69(2), 107–131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11577-017-0454-1>
- Schrag, Z. M. (2011). The case against ethics review in the social sciences. *Research Ethics*, 7(4), 120–131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/174701611100700402>
- Schratz, M., & Walker, R. (2005). *Research as social change: New opportunities for qualitative research*. Routledge.
- Schuetz, A. (1945). On multiple realities. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 5(4), 533–576.
- Seale, C. (1999). *The quality of qualitative research*. SAGE Publications.
- Searle, J. R. (1982). The Chinese room revisited. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 5(2), 345–348. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00012425>
- Seawright, J. (2016). *Multi-method social science: Combining qualitative and quantitative tools*. Cambridge University Press.
- Senghor, A. S., & Racine, E. (2022). How to evaluate the quality of an ethical deliberation? A pragmatist proposal for evaluation criteria and collaborative research. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*, 1–18.
- Senteio, C., Adler-Milstein, J., Richardson, C., & Veinot, T. (2019). Psychosocial information use for clinical decisions in diabetes care. *Journal of the American*

- Medical Informatics Association*, 26(8–9), 813–824. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocz053>
- Serra, J. P. (2010). What is and what should pragmatic ethics be? Some remarks on recent scholarship. *European Journal of Pragmatism and American Philosophy*, 2(1–15).
- Shabou, B. M., Tièche, J., Knafou, J., & Gaudinat, A. (2020). Algorithmic methods to explore the automation of the appraisal of structured and unstructured digital data. *Records Management Journal*, 30(2), 175–200.
- Shahin, S. (2016). When scale meets depth: Integrating natural language processing and textual analysis for studying digital corpora. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 10(1), 28–50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2015.1118447>
- Shannon-Baker, P. (2016). Making paradigms meaningful in mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 10(4), 319–334. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1846-4553-10-4-319>
- Shehzad, W. (2011). Outlining purposes, stating the nature of the present research, and listing research questions or hypotheses in academic papers. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 41(2), 139–160. <https://doi.org/10.2190/TW.41.2.c>
- Shergill, S. S., Murray, R. M., & McGuire, P. K. (1998). Auditory hallucinations: A review of psychological treatments. *Schizophrenia Research*, 32(3), 137–150. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-9964\(98\)00052-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-9964(98)00052-8)
- Shrout, P. E., & Rodgers, J. L. (2018). Psychology, science, and knowledge construction: Broadening perspectives from the replication crisis. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 69(1), 487–510. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122216-011845>
- Shweder, R. A. (1996). Quanta and qualia: What is the “object” of ethnographic method. In R. Jessor, A. Colby, & R. A. Shweder (Eds.), *Ethnography and human development: Context and meaning in social inquiry* (pp. 175–182). University of Chicago Press.
- Simpson, B., & den Hond, F. (2022). The contemporary resonances of classical pragmatism for studying organization and organizing. *Organization Studies*, 43(1), 127–146. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840621991689>
- Sinclair, S., & Rockwell, G. (2016). Text analysis and visualization. In S. Schreibman, R. Siemens, & J. Unsworth (Eds.), *A new companion to digital humanities* (pp. 274–290). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118680605.ch19>
- Skinner, B. F. (1989). The origins of cognitive thought. *American Psychologist*, 44(1), 13.
- Smagorinsky, P. (1995). The social construction of data: Methodological problems of investigating learning in the zone of proximal development. *Review of Educational Research*, 65(3), 191–212. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543065003191>
- Smith, R. J., Grande, D., & Merchant, R. M. (2016). Transforming scientific inquiry: Tapping into digital data by building a culture of transparency and consent. *Academic Medicine: Journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges*, 91(4), 469. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000001022>

- Stadnick, N. A., Poth, C. N., Guetterman, T. C., & Gallo, J. J. (2021). Advancing discussion of ethics in mixed methods health services research. *BMC Health Services Research*, 21(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06583-1>
- Stahl, C. C., & Literat, I. (2022). # GenZ on TikTok: The collective online self-Portrait of the social media generation. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2022.2053671>
- Stainton Rogers, W. (2011). *Social psychology*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Stebbins, R. A. (2001). *Exploratory research in the social sciences*. SAGE.
- Stein, M. I. (1953). Creativity and culture. *The Journal of Psychology*, 36(2), 311–322. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.1953.9712897>
- Stewart, I., & Cohen, J. (1997). *Figments of reality: The evolution of the curious mind*. Cambridge University Press.
- Stinson, C. (2022). Algorithms are not neutral: Bias in collaborative filtering. *AI and Ethics*, 2, 763–770.
- Swami, V., Voracek, M., Stieger, S., Tran, U. S., & Furnham, A. (2014). Analytic thinking reduces belief in conspiracy theories. *Cognition*, 133(3), 572–585. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2014.08.006>
- Swedberg, R. (2020). Using metaphors in sociology: Pitfalls and potentials. *The American Sociologist*, 51(2), 240–257. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12108-020-09443-3>
- Tangaard, L. (2007). The research interview as discourses crossing swords: The researcher and apprentice on crossing roads. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 13(1), 160–176. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800406294948>
- Tavory, I., & Timmermans, S. (2014). *Abductive analysis: Theorizing qualitative research*. University of Chicago Press.
- Teddle, C., & Tashakkori, A. (2009). *Foundations of mixed methods research: Integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in the social and behavioral sciences*. SAGE.
- Tenner, E. (1996). *Why things bite back: Technology and the revenge effect*. Fourth Estate.
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2009). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Penguin.
- Thompson, N. A., & Byrne, O. (2022). Imagining futures: Theorizing the practical knowledge of future-making. *Organization Studies*, 43(2), 247–268. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01708406211053222>
- Toulmin, S. (1973). *Human understanding, Vol. I: The collective use and evolution of concepts*. Clarendon Press.
- Toulmin, S. (1992). *Cosmopolis: The hidden agenda of modernity*. University of Chicago Press.
- Tourish, D. (2020). The triumph of nonsense in management studies. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 19(1), 99–109. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2019.0255>
- Trafimow, D. (2022). Generalizing across auxiliary, statistical, and inferential assumptions. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 52(1), 37–48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsb.12296>
- Trochim, W. M., & Donnelly, J. P. (2021). *Research methods knowledge base* (Vol. 2). <https://jointly.com/kb/>

- Trott, C. D. (2019). Reshaping our world: Collaborating with children for community-based climate change action. *Action Research*, 17(1), 42–62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476750319829209>
- Tukey, J. W. (1977). *Exploratory data analysis* (Vol. 2). Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Tukey, J. W. (1980). We need both exploratory and confirmatory. *The American Statistician*, 34(1), 23–25.
- Tynes, R. (2021). Democracy's savior or citizen spy? *Surveillance & Society*, 19(3), 350–353. <https://doi.org/10.24908/ss.v19i3.15015>
- Valsiner, J. (1998). *The guided mind*. Harvard University Press.
- Valsiner, J. (2006). Developmental epistemology and implications for methodology. In R. M. Lerner (Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology* (pp. 166–209). John Wiley & Sons.
- Valsiner, J. (2014). *An invitation to cultural psychology*. SAGE.
- Van Dael, J., Gillespie, A., Reader, T., et al. (2021). Getting the whole story: Integrating patient complaints and staff reports of unsafe care. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*, 13558196211029324. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13558196211029324>
- Van den Bossche, P., Gijssels, W., Segers, M., Woltjer, G., & Kirschner, P. (2011). Team learning: Building shared mental models. *Instructional Science*, 39(3), 283–301. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-010-9128-3>
- van der Duin, P. (2019). Toward “responsible foresight”: Developing futures that enable matching future technologies with societal demands. *World Futures Review*, 11(1), 69–79. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1946756718803721>
- Van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide*. John Wiley & Sons.
- van Huizen, L. S., Dijkstra, P., Halmos, G. B., et al. (2019). Does multidisciplinary videoconferencing between a head-and-neck cancer centre and its partner hospital add value to their patient care and decision-making? A mixed-method evaluation. *BMJ Open*, 9(11), e028609. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028609>
- van Velzen, J. H. (2018). Students' general knowledge of the learning process: A mixed methods study illustrating integrated data collection and data consolidation. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 12(2), 182–203. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689816651792>
- Verkuyten, M. (2022). The meanings of tolerance: Discursive usage in a case of “identity politics”. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 52(2), 224–236. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsb.12339>
- Vermeule, C. A., & Sunstein, C. R. (2009). Conspiracy theories: Causes and cures. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, 17(2), 202–227.
- Vogeley, K. (2017). Two social brains: Neural mechanisms of intersubjectivity. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 372(1727), 20160245. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0245>
- Vogl, S. (2019). Integrating and consolidating data in mixed methods data analysis: Examples from focus group data with children. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 13(4), 536–554. <https://doi.org/10/gd4rtwk>



- Voigt, K., Gottschall, M., Köberlein-Neu, J., et al. (2016). Why do family doctors prescribe potentially inappropriate medication to elderly patients? *BMC Family Practice*, 17(1), 93. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-016-0482-3>
- Von Uexküll, J. (1982). The theory of meaning. *Semiotica*, 42(1), 25–79.
- Voros, J. (2003). A generic foresight process framework. *Foresight*, 5(3), 10–21. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14636680310698379>
- Vygotsky, L. S., & Luria, A. (1994). Tool and symbol in child development. In R. Van de Veer & J. Valsiner (Eds.), *The Vygotsky reader* (pp. 99–174). Blackwell.
- Wagoner, B., & Valsiner, J. (2005). Rating tasks in psychology: From static ontology to dialogical synthesis of meaning. In A. Gulerce, A. Hofmeister, I. Staebler, G. Saunders, & J. Kaye (Eds.), *Contemporary theorizing in psychology* (pp. 197–213). Captus Press.
- Webb, E. J., Campbell, D. T., Schwartz, R. D., & Sechrest, L. (1966). *Unobtrusive measures: Nonreactive research in the social sciences* (Vol. 111). Rand McNally Chicago. [www.ncjrs.gov/App/Publications/abstract.aspx?ID=48508](http://www.ncjrs.gov/App/Publications/abstract.aspx?ID=48508)
- Wee, L. E., Cher, W. Q., Sin, D., Li, Z. C., & Koh, G. C.-H. (2016). Primary care characteristics and their association with health screening in a low-socioeconomic status public rental-flat population in Singapore—a mixed methods study. *BMC Family Practice*, 17(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-016-0411-5>
- Wegener, C., Meier, N., & Maslo, E. (2018). *Cultivating creativity in methodology and research*. Springer.
- Weiss, D. J. (2001). Deception by researchers is necessary and not necessarily evil. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24(3), 431. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X01544143>
- Wenzel, M. (2022). Taking the future more seriously: From corporate foresight to “future-making”. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 36(2), 845–850. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2020.0126>
- Werner, H. (1957). The concept of development from a comparative and organismic point of view. In D. B. Harris (Ed.), *The concept of development* (pp. 125–147). University of Minnesota Press.
- Wertsch, J. V. (1998). *Mind as action*. Oxford University Press.
- West, C. (1989). *The American evasion of philosophy*. Springer.
- Whittaker, L., & Gillespie, A. (2013). Social networking sites: Mediating the self and its communities. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 23(6), 492–504. <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2148>
- Wicherts, J. M., Veldkamp, C. L. S., Augusteijn, H. E. M., et al. (2016). Degrees of freedom in planning, running, analyzing, and reporting psychological studies: A checklist to avoid p-hacking. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7. <https://doi.org/10/gc5sjn>
- Wicks, A. C., & Freeman, R. E. (1998). Organization studies and the new pragmatism: Positivism, anti-positivism, and the search for ethics. *Organization Science*, 9(2), 123–140. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.9.2.123>
- Wiedemann, G. (2013). Opening up to big data: Computer-assisted analysis of textual data in social sciences. *Historical Social Research / Historische Sozialforschung*, 38(4 (146)), 332–357.

- Wight, C. (2018). Post-truth, postmodernism and alternative facts. *New Perspectives*, 26(3), 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2336825X1802600302>
- Wilson, N. L., Just, D. R., Swigert, J., & Wansink, B. (2017). Food pantry selection solutions: A randomized controlled trial in client-choice food pantries to nudge clients to targeted foods. *Journal of Public Health*, 39(2), 366–372.
- Wise, A. F., & Shaffer, D. W. (2015). Why theory matters more than ever in the age of big data. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, 2(2), 5–13. <https://doi.org/10.18608/jla.2015.2.2.2>
- Wolfe, D., Likert, R., Marquis, D. G., & Sears, R. R. (1949). Standards for appraising psychological research. *American Psychologist*, 4(8), 320–328. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0057345>
- World Medical Association. (2022). *Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects*. World Medical Association. [www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/](http://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/)
- Wright, E. O. (2010). *Envisioning real utopias*. Verso.
- Yao, Q., & Tong, H. (1994). On prediction and chaos in stochastic systems. *Philosophical Transactions: Physical Sciences and Engineering*, 348(1688), 357–369. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1994.0096>
- Yaqoob, I., Hashem, I. A. T., Gani, A., et al. (2016). Big data: From beginning to future. *International Journal of Information Management*, 36(6), 1231–1247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2016.07.009>
- Yardley, L., & Bishop, F. (2017). Mixing qualitative and quantitative methods: A pragmatic approach. In C. Willig & W. Stainton Rogers (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research in psychology* (pp. 398–413). SAGE Publications.
- Zeller, R. A., Zeller, R. A., & Carmines, E. G. (1980). *Measurement in the social sciences: The link between theory and data*. Cambridge University Press.
- Zittoun, T., & Gillespie, A. (2015). *Imagination in human and cultural development*. Routledge.
- Zittoun, T., & Gillespie, A. (2018). Imagining the collective future: A socio-cultural perspective. In C. de Saint-Laurent, S. Obradović, & K. R. Carriere (Eds.), *Imagining collective futures* (pp. 15–37). Palgrave. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76051-3\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76051-3_2)
- Zittoun, T., & Gillespie, A. (2020). Metaphors of development and the development of metaphors. *Theory & Psychology*, 30(6), 827–841. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959354320939194>
- Zydney, J. M., & Warner, Z. (2016). Mobile apps for science learning: Review of research. *Computers & Education*, 94, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.11.001>