

# INDEX

## Abbreviations used in the index

- ACHPR (African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (1991) (Banjul Charter))  
ACHPR Protocol (Protocol on the Establishment of an African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights (1998))  
ACtHPR (African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights)  
CCP (Code of Civil Procedure)  
CESCR (Committee on Social, Economic and Cultural Rights)  
CIL (customary international law)  
DPA (Diplomatic Privileges Act (1964) (UK))  
ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))  
ECSC (European Convention on State Immunity (Council of Europe, Basle, 1972))  
EQD (Qualifications Directive 2004/83 (Minimum Standards for the Qualification and Status of Third Country Nationals or Stateless Persons as Refugees))  
ESCR (economic, social, cultural and environmental rights)  
FSIA (Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (1996) (US))  
GATS (General Agreement on Trade in Services (1995))  
GATT (General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (1947))  
I-USTA (Treaty of Amity, Economic Relations and Consular Rights (1955))  
IACHR (Inter-American Convention on Human Rights (1969, San José))  
IACtHR (Inter-American Court of Human Rights)  
IBRD (International Bank for Reconstruction and Development)  
IBRD AoA (Articles of Agreement of International Bank for Reconstruction and Development)  
ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))  
ICESCR (International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966))  
ILC (International Law Commission)  
JISP (United Nations Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property (2004))  
OAS (Organization of American States)  
PHROP (Inter-American Convention on the Protection of the Human Rights of Older Persons (2015))  
RC (Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (1951))  
SIA (State Immunity Act (1978) (UK))  
TRIA (Terrorism Risk Insurance Act (2002) (US))  
TRNC (Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus)  
UNC (UN Charter (1945))  
UNCRC (UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (1989))  
UNCSI (UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property (2004))  
UNDRIP (American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2016))  
UNSCR (Resolutions of the United Nations Security Council)  
VCDR (Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961))  
VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969))

## Act of Accession to European Union (2003)

- derogations, grounds for [448](#)  
*Metallurgiki Halyps v. Commission (Case 258/81)* [448](#)  
Protocol 10—Cyprus: *see* Cyprus

**African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (1991) by article**

- 1, recognition of rights, duties and freedoms 116
- 7, access to justice 116

**African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (Protocol 1998) by article**

- 2, right to remedy for individuals and NGOs 111, 114, 116-17
- 3(1), jurisdiction 91-2, 111, 117
- 5(3), justiciability of rights 93, 114-15
- 6(2), admissibility of applications 115
- 34(1), ratification
  - lack of signature, relevance of 91, 96-7
- 34(6), competence of court, acceptance of 114
  - conferring subjective rights on individuals and NGOs 109-11, 114-17
  - declaration as unilateral act not supported by VCLT 92
  - declaration, optional nature of 92, 112, 117
  - retroactive effect 91, 96
  - right to withdraw declaration 110
  - State obligations 93

**African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights**

- jurisdiction of court
  - prima facie* jurisdiction 95-6
  - whether State's declarations accepting jurisdiction are optional 92, 117
  - whether application to institute proceedings void if unsigned 91

**African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, Rules of Court, by rule**

- 34(1), application procedures 91, 96
- 49(1), jurisdiction, preliminary examination 103
- 51(1), provisional measures 98

**Agreement between the Republic of Austria, the IBRD, the International Finance Corporation and the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency Regarding the Establishment of Liaison Offices in Vienna (Establishment Agreement)**

IBRD exemption of court fees under: *see* *IBRD Court Fees Case*

**American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man (1948, Bogota Declaration)**

Article XI, right to the preservation of health and to well-being 239

**American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2016) by article**

- VI, right of indigenous peoples to collective action 216
- VIII, right to belong to indigenous peoples 165-6
- IX, right of indigenous peoples to juridical personality 216
- XIII, right to cultural identity and integrity 248
- XIX, right to protection of a healthy environment 255, 370-1
- XXV, right to land, territory and resources 371-2

**Argentina**

- Constitution by article
  - 41, right to a healthy environment 236, 245
  - 75.17, recognition of rights of indigenous peoples 176
- indigenous peoples, rights over communal property: *see* *Lhaka Honhat Case*

**armed forces**

whether procedure for service on military base necessary through diplomatic channels: *see* *Houghton v. USA*

**asylum, refusal of**

- acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN: *see* *Commissaire Général aux Réfugiés et aux Apatrides v. Mostafa Loumani*
- degree of gravity requirement
- terrorist acts 119-20

EQD, Articles 12(2), 12(3) 125

participation in terrorism: *see Commissaire Général aux Réfugiés et aux Apatrides v. Mostafa Lounani*

### **Australia**

state immunity

service on foreign states rules 606, 632

### **Austria**

Agreement between the Republic of Austria, the IBRD, the International Finance Corporation and the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency Regarding the Establishment of Liaison Offices in Vienna (Establishment Agreement)

exemption from court fees under: *see IBRD Court Fees Case*

jurisdiction of Austrian authorities over international organizations: *see IBRD Court Fees Case*

### **Belgium**

asylum, refusal of

participation in terrorism: *see Commissaire Général aux Réfugiés et aux Apatrides v. Mostafa Lounani*

Criminal Code, by article

139, terrorism offences 128

140, participation in terrorism 128

Law of 15 December 1980, Article 55(2), exclusion from refugee status 127

Law of 19 December 2003, terrorism offences 127-8

terrorism

exclusion from refugee status 127

offences 127-8

participation in: *see Commissaire Général aux Réfugiés et aux Apatrides v. Mostafa Lounani*

### **Canada**

state immunity

diplomatic service of process 606-7

### **central banks**

classification of acts as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis* 8-9, 29-30, 54-9

state immunity of: *see Certain Iranian Assets (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)*

### ***Certain Iranian Assets (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)*** (background, facts and jurisdiction) 2-5, 11-14

abuse of process

distinction from abuse of rights 5, 7

lack of exceptional circumstances 5

recharacterization of objection 7

unclean hands 5, 7-8

admissibility of claims

abuse of rights versus abuse of process 5, 7

Preliminary Objection 1 5

Preliminary Objection 2 5

unclean hands 7-8

Claimant's submissions

oral proceedings on preliminary objections 18

- Certain Iranian Assets (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)***  
**(background, facts and jurisdiction)** (cont.)
- written proceedings on the merits 16-17
  - Defendant's submissions
    - oral proceedings on preliminary objections 18
    - written proceedings on the merits 17
  - ICJ jurisdiction
    - Barcelona Traction* 8
    - preliminary objections, restrictions on decision-making 8
    - suitability of Rules of Court 79(9) for preliminary objections 8
  - I-USTA
    - company, definition of 5, 7, 10, 35-6
  - by article
    - III(2), applicability of state immunity to companies 4, 6, 30-2
    - IV(1), fair and equitable treatment 4-6, 28, 32-3, 37
    - IV(2), minimum standard of treatment 4, 6, 26-8
    - X(1), freedom of commerce 4-5, 33-5
    - XI(4), limitations on immunities to prevent unfair conduct 4, 6, 29-30
    - XX(1)(c)(d), jurisdiction *ratione materiae* 3-4, 6, 21, 23-5
    - XXI(2), jurisdiction to determine and apply state immunity 26, 35
  - jurisdiction
    - general conclusion of Court 5-8
    - limitations on immunities to prevent unfair conduct 4, 6, 29-30
    - Preliminary objection 1 unfair and inequitable treatment 3, 5-6, 23-5
    - Preliminary Objection 2 violation of state immunity 4-7, 25-35
    - Preliminary Objection 3 5, 7
    - to determine and apply state immunity 26, 35
  - jurisdiction—jurisprudence
    - Alleged Violations of I-USTA (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)* 24-5
    - Alleged Violations of Sovereign Rights and Maritime Spaces in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v. Colombia)* 30
    - Application of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (Georgia v. Russian Federation)* 22
    - Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 22
    - Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America)* 3, 24-5
    - Obligation to Negotiate Access to the Pacific Ocean (Bolivia v. Chile)* 22
    - S.S. "Wimbledon" 30
    - Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)* 3-4, 6, 22-5, 30, 34, 40
    - United States Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran (United States of America v. Iran)* 22
  - overview of claims 14-15
    - CIL requirements 26-8, 31-5
    - clean hands doctrine, and 5
    - companies, immunities of 21-2, 35-41
    - fair and equitable treatment 26-8, 32-3, 37
    - freedom of access to courts and agencies 30-2, 37
    - FSIA, alleged violations 2-3
    - incorporation of CIL 27
    - I-USTA, alleged violations 21-2
    - jure imperii* activities of public entities, immunities of 29-30
    - minimum standard of treatment 4

- terrorism, allegations of sponsoring 5
- US law interference with freedom of commerce 21-2
- US responses to violation claims 22
- overview of facts 19-20
  - bombing of US Marine Corps barracks in Beirut 19
  - diplomatic relations between Iran and US, status of 19
  - I-USTA, signature of 19
  - Peterson* 2-3, 12-14, 20
  - US legislative amendments 19-20
- terrorism, allegations of sponsoring
  - clean hands doctrine 5
- Certain Iranian Assets (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America) (Court judgment—principal ruling)***
  - admissibility of claims
    - abuse of rights versus abuse of process 41-4
    - exceptional circumstances criteria 43-4
    - overview of objections 7-8, 41
    - unclean hands 42, 44-5
  - admissibility of claims—jurisprudence
    - Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America)* 45
    - Immunities and Criminal Proceedings (Equatorial Guinea v. France)* 41-4
    - Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)* 45
    - Northern Cameroons* 43
  - Central Bank of Iran (Bank Markazi), status of
    - enforcement proceedings against 2-3
    - freedom of access to courts and agencies 31-2, 37
    - legal personality 7, 36-8
    - nature of activities, relevance of 39-41
    - state immunity, applicability to 5, 7, 10
    - whether company under I-USTA III(1) 5, 7, 10, 35-41
  - clean hands doctrine
    - admissibility of claims 44-5
    - no decision on status under international law 44
    - satisfaction of circumstances 45
  - clean hands doctrine—jurisprudence
    - Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America)* 45
  - companies, status of
    - definition under I-USTA III(1) 5, 7, 10, 35-6
    - freedom of access to courts and agencies 31-2, 37
    - whether Bank Markazi within definition of 5, 7, 10, 35-41
  - general conclusions 41, 45-6
  - jurisdiction
    - interpretation under VCLT 31(3)(c) 10
    - Preliminary Objection 1 unfair and inequitable treatment 5-6, 10, 23-5
    - Preliminary Objection 2 violation of state immunity 6-7, 10, 25-35
    - Preliminary Objection 3 whether Central Bank is company for state immunity
      - purposes 7, 10, 35-41
  - nationals, definition 37
  - overview 5-8
  - state immunity
    - Bank Markazi, whether company for purposes of 35-41
    - CIL, and

***Certain Iranian Assets (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)* (Court judgment—principal ruling) (cont.)**

- fair and equitable treatment 32-3, 37
- freedom of access to courts and agencies 31-2, 37
- freedom of commerce, scope of 4-5, 33-5
- incorporation of 27
- jurisdiction to determine and apply state immunity 35
- minimum standard of treatment 26-8
- Peterson* 2-3, 12-14, 20

**I-USTA**

- abuse of process 43-4
- by article
  - III(2), applicability to companies 4, 6, 30-2
  - IV(1), fair and equitable treatment 32-3, 37
  - IV(2), minimum standard of treatment 4, 6, 26-8
  - X(1), freedom of commerce 4-5, 33-5
  - XI(4), limitations on immunities to prevent unfair conduct 4, 6, 29-30
  - XXI(2), jurisdiction to determine and apply state immunity 26, 35
- limitations to prevent unfair conduct 4, 6, 29-30

***Certain Iranian Assets (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)* (Court judgment, separate opinions and declarations)**

- Brower, Judge ad hoc 9, 63-77
  - clean hands doctrine 9, 64-7
    - Diversion of Water from the Meuse* 9, 65-7
    - Guyana v. Suriname* 65
  - Special Rapporteurs' references, citation of 64-5
- jurisdiction
  - Bank Markazi, whether a company 73-7
  - I-USTA XX, as self-judging clause, restriction to raising issue for its merits 67-8
  - I-USTA XX, comparison with GATT XXI/GATS XIV *bis* 67-8
  - Preliminary Objection 1 10, 67-8
  - Preliminary Objection 2 10, 68-73
  - Preliminary Objection 3 10
- overview 9-10
- state immunity 68-73
  - Bank Markazi, applicability to 76-7
  - CIL, applicability of 70
  - commercial activities, relevance to 70-3
  - consular and diplomatic immunities 69-70
  - I-USTA provisions, applicability and scope of 68-73
  - I-USTA XI(4), *a contrario* interpretation 71-3
  - VC LT 31(3)(c), interpretation 70
- state immunity—jurisprudence
  - Land, Island and Maritime Frontier Dispute (El Salvador/Honduras)* 73
  - Petersen* 76
  - S.S. "Wimbledon" 71-2
  - Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)* 71-3, 77
- Gaja J 52-3
  - Bank Markazi, recognition of status and sovereign functions 52-3
  - I-USTA XI(4), applicability to state entities 8, 53
  - Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)* 52
  - overview 8

- Georgian J 59-63
- CIL and state immunity 9, 59-63
- Central Bank activities, I-USTA applicability to 60-3
  - freedom of commerce 62-3
  - US terrorism exception 59-60
- CIL and state immunity—jurisprudence
- Arrest Warrant of 1 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium)* 62
  - Jurisdictional Immunities of the State (Germany v. Italy: Greece intervening)* 62
  - Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)* 62-3
  - Immunities and Criminal Proceedings (Equatorial Guinea v. France)* 9, 60
- overview 9
- Petersen 60, 62
- Momtaz, Judge ad hoc 12-78
- claims, summary of 78-9
- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America)* 79
- conclusion 12-87
- dispute, definition of 80
- Alleged Violations of Sovereign Rights and Maritime Spaces in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v. Colombia)* 80
  - Immunities and Criminal Proceedings (Equatorial Guinea v. France)* 80
  - Interpretation of Peace Treaties with Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania* 80
  - Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions, Judgment No. 2* 80
  - Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)* 80
  - South West Africa (Ethiopia v. South Africa; Liberia v. South Africa)* 80
- jurisdiction
- a contrario* interpretation 85-7
  - interpretation within scope of treaty 81-3
  - jure imperii* acts of state entities 79-80, 82-3
  - VCLT 31(3)(c), interpretation 83-5
- jurisdiction—jurisprudence
- Dispute regarding Navigational and Related Rights (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua)* 86
  - Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)* 81-2, 86
  - S.S. “*Wimbledon*” 85
  - Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)* 85
  - Territorial Dispute (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya/Chad)* 81
- overview 10
- state immunity
- company, definition 86
  - JISP 21(1)(c) 87
  - jure imperii* activities by state entities 86-7
  - terrorism exception, validity of 87-8
  - VCLT 27, CIL applicability 87
- state immunity—jurisprudence
- Applicability of the Obligation to Arbitrate under Section 21 of the United Nations Headquarters Agreement of 26 June 1947* 87
  - Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (Djibouti v. France)* 88
  - Greco-Bulgarian Communities* 87
  - Jurisdictional Immunities of the State (Germany v. Italy: Greece intervening)* 88
  - Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America)* 88
  - Right of Passage over Indian Territory (Portugal v. India)* 88

***Certain Iranian Assets (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America) (Court judgment, separate opinions and declarations)*** (cont.)

- treaty interpretation, and international law 83-5
- ILC Report on Fragmentation of International Law 84-5
- treaty interpretation, and international law—jurisprudence
  - Al-Adsani v. United Kingdom* 84
  - Dispute regarding Navigational and Related Rights (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua)* 83
  - Georges Pinson (France) v. United Mexican States* 83
  - Jones and Others v. United Kingdom* 84
  - Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia (South West Africa) notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276 (1970)* 83
  - Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)* 83

**Robinson J** 53-9

- CIL and state immunity
  - a contrario* interpretation 56-7
  - applicability of 8-9, 53
  - Central banks, I-USTA applicability to 57-9
  - jure imperii* activities versus commercial activities of public entities 8-9, 54-9
  - VCIT 31(1), interpretation 54-6

**CIL and state immunity—jurisprudence**

- Alleged Violations of Sovereign Rights and Maritime Spaces in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v. Colombia)* 56-7
- Schooner Exchange v. McFaddon* 55, 57

**overview** 8-9

**Tomka and Crawford JJ** 46-52

- Bank Markazi, whether company for purposes of I-USTA 51-2
- overview 8

**suitability of Rules of Court 79(9) for preliminary objections** 47-52

- Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Croatia v. Serbia)* 50

*Barcelona Traction* 8, 47-8

- Land and Maritime Boundary between Cameroon and Nigeria (Cameroon v. Nigeria)* 49

*Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America)* 48-9

- Questions of Interpretation and Application of the 1971 Montreal Convention arising from the Aerial Incident at Lockerbie (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya v. United Kingdom)* 48-50

*Questions of Interpretation and Application of the 1971 Montreal Convention arising from the Aerial Incident at Lockerbie (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya v. United States of America)* 48-50

*Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)* 50

**CESCR General Comment No 3 (States parties' obligations)**

- non-regressive measures, principle of 111, 116

**CESCR General Comment No 12 (The right to adequate food)**

- indigenous peoples, and 241-3

**CESCR General Comment No 14 (The right to an attainable standard of health)**

- indigenous peoples, and 243

**CESCR General Comment No 15 (The right to water)**

- indigenous peoples, and 241-3, 246-8

**CESCR General Comment No 21 (The right to take part in cultural life)**

- culture, interpretation 251



- indigenous peoples, and 248, 252-3
- necessary conditions for full realization of right 252-3
- Commissaire Général aux Réfugiés et aux Apatrides v. Mostafa Lounani*** 118
  - background and facts
    - acts contrary to purposes and principles of the UN 120-1
    - Council Framework Decision 2002/475, 1(1) 120
    - EQD, Article 12(2)(c), interpretation 120
    - overview 119-20
    - RC 1F(c), scope of exclusion 120-1
    - refusal of asylum, degree of gravity requirement 119-20
    - terrorism and terrorist acts, participation in, interpretation of 120-1
  - Council Framework Decision 2002/475
    - acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN 133
    - Article 1(1), definition of terrorist offences 125-6
    - Article 2, definition of terrorist groups 126
    - definition of terrorist offences 125
    - recruitment for terrorism 127
    - terrorism, punishable offences 126-7
  - Court judgment
    - acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN
      - EC Framework Decision 2002/475 133
      - EQD, Article 12(2)(c), relationship with international law 132-3, 139-40
      - participation in terrorism, interpretation as 132-3
      - RC 1F(c) 122-3, 132
      - refugee status, grounds for refusal of 137-9
      - terrorist group, membership or participation in 136-9
      - UNSCR 1373 (2001) 123, 132
      - UNSCR 1624 (2005) 123, 132-3
      - UNSCR 2178 (2014) 136
    - admissibility 134-5
      - grounds for court refusal to rule on preliminary question 134
      - Petruhhin* 134
      - Polkomtel* 134
      - Prezes Urzędu Komunikacji Elektronicznej and Petrotel* 134
    - annulment claims 129
    - conclusions 139-40
    - facts in main proceedings 128-9
    - participation in terrorism
      - EQD, Article 12(2)(c), interpretation within meaning of 130-1
      - leadership of terrorist organization 131
      - membership or participation in terrorist group 136-9
      - refugee status, grounds for refusal of 136-9
      - whether convicted acts constituted 130-3, 135-6
    - refugee status, refusal of 129-30
      - A and Others* 131-2
        - acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN 137-9
        - B and D* 132
        - participation in terrorism, scope of interpretation 136-9
      - request for preliminary ruling 121-2
        - admissibility 134-5
- EQD
  - acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN 125

***Commissaire Général aux Réfugiés et aux Apatrides v. Mostafa Lounani*** (cont.)

Articles 12(2), 12(3), refugee status, grounds for refusal 125

criteria for recognition of refugee status 125

grounds for refusal of refugee status 125

interpretation within international law 131-3

minimum standards for refugee status 125

Recitals 125

terrorism, participation in 125, 130-1, 139-40

legal context

Belgian Criminal Code 128

Belgian Law of 15 December 1980, Article 55(2) 127

Belgian Law of 19 December 2003 127-8

EC Framework Decision 2002/475 125-8

EQD 124-5

RC 1F(c) 122-3

UNC 1(1), (3) 122

UNSCR 1373 (2001) 123-32

UNSCR 1377 (2001) 123-32

UNSCR 1624 (2005) 123-4

UNSCR 2178 (2014) 124

terrorism and terrorist acts, definitions

punishable offences 126-7

recruitment for terrorism 127

structured group 126

terrorist groups 126

terrorism and terrorist acts, participation in

acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN 132-3

interpretation of 120-1

punishable offences 126-7

recruitment for terrorism 127

refugee status, grounds for refusal of 136-9

terrorism and terrorist acts, prevention of

grant of refugee status, necessary and appropriate measures 123-4

State obligations under international law 123-4

**Convention 169 of the International Labour Organization (ILO) on Indigenous and Tribal Peoples (1989)** 176

human rights of indigenous peoples 216

respect for relationship with land 369-70

**customary international law**

service via diplomatic channels, applicability to armed forces proceedings: *see Houghton v. USA*

test for identification of 604-5

**Cyprus:** *see also* **Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus**

Act of Accession to European Union (2003) Protocol 10

applicability to Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus 445

*Orams v. Apostilides (British Residents' Association Intervening)* 448, 466-8, 471

suspension of *acquis communautaire* under 445, 447-8, 466-8, 470

**diplomatic immunity from civil proceedings**

diplomat's children, conflicts with care proceedings: *see London Borough of Barnet v. AG and Foreign Secretary*

**EU Council Framework Decision 2002/475**

- acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN 133
- Article 1(1), definition of terrorist offences 125-6
- Article 2, definition of terrorist groups 126
- definition of terrorist offences 125
- recruitment for terrorism 127
- terrorism, punishable offences 126-7

**EU Directive 2002/83/EC on Life Assurance (Qualifications Directive)**

- acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN 125
- Article 12(2), 12(3), refugee status, grounds for refusal 125
- criteria for recognition of refugee status 125
- grounds for refusal of refugee status 125
- interpretation within international law 131-3
- minimum standards for refugee status 125
- Recitals 125
- terrorism, participation in 125

**EU Directive 2004/38/EC on the Right of Citizens of the Union and their Family Members to Move and Reside freely within the Territory**

- applicability to TRNC 461-2

**EU Regulation 2201/2003 on Matrimonial and Parental Judgments by article**

- 8, general jurisdiction 445-57
- 12(3), grounds for jurisdiction 457
- 13, jurisdiction based on child's presence 445
- 14, where no court has jurisdiction 457
- 15, transfer to court better placed to hear case 472
- 20, jurisdiction in urgent cases 458

**EU Regulation 1393/2007 on the Service in Member States of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents**

- diplomatic service methods, applicability of 607

**European Convention on Human Rights (1950), by article**

- 3, prohibition on torture and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment
  - jurisdiction over individuals from non-recognized states: *see R (Akarçay) v. Chief Constable of the West Yorkshire Police*
  - whether incompatible with diplomatic immunity in child protection proceedings: *see London Borough of Barnet v. AG and Foreign Secretary*
- 6, right to fair trial
  - jurisdiction over individuals from non-recognized states: *see R (Akarçay) v. Chief Constable of the West Yorkshire Police*
  - whether service via diplomatic channels in breach of: *see General Dynamics UK Ltd v. Libya*

**European Convention on State Immunity (1972) by article**

- 16, procedural rules for proceedings against a contracting state 558-60, 608-9

**family law**

- diplomatic immunity and child protection proceedings: *see London Borough of Barnet v. AG and Foreign Secretary*

**General Dynamics UK Ltd v. Libya**

- background and overview
  - Court conclusions
  - principal ruling 537-8

**General Dynamics UK Ltd v. Libya** (cont.)

Lady Arden (separate opinion) 538

Lord Stephens (separate opinion) 538-638

facts 536

Court judgment—principal ruling—background and overview

conclusions 537-8

evidence relating to effect of service 546-50

facts 540

relevant legislation

CPR 6, rules of service 545-6

CPR 62.18 543-4

SIA 12, service of process in default of appearance 544

SIA 13, other procedural privileges 544-5

SIA 21, evidence by certificate 545

Court judgment—principal ruling—issues for consideration

issue 1—scope and effect of SIA 12(1) 550-77

applicable procedural rules 551

Australian Law Reform Commission commentary on 566-8

conclusions 576-7

domestic law interpretations 566-8

ECSI 16, scope of provisions and differences from SIA 558-60, 577

entry of appearance and judgments in default of appearance, interpretation 553

exceptions to State immunity 550

importance of proper invocation of jurisdiction 566

judicial authorities 568-76

meaning of receipt 552

method of service 551

permission for service out of jurisdiction 551

procedure for enforcement of arbitration awards outside scope of ECSI 559-60

procedure for enforcement of arbitration awards under CPR 62.18 553-4, 556-8

procedure for service in absence of an agreement 554-5

requirement to give notice of proceedings 556-8

role and obligations of FCDO 551-2

scope of State immunity generally 550

Singapore State Immunity Act, compared with 574-6

Supreme Court of Judicature (Consolidation) Act 1925 99, amendment or

revocation of procedural rules 611

whether document served was suitable for purposes of instituting proceedings 537-

8, 571, 576-7

whether meeting requirements of international law and comity 537

whether proceedings to enforce arbitral award under New York Convention fall

within 537, 555-8

whether UNCSI 22 amounted to CIL 537, 560-5

issue 1—scope and effect of SIA 12(1)—jurisprudence

*AIC Ltd v. Federal Government of Nigeria* 553, 572, 576*Alcom Ltd v. Republic of Colombia* 565*Barton v. Wright Hassall LLP* 557*Belhaj v. Straw* 562*Benkharbouche v. Embassy of the Republic of Sudan* 561*Boru Hatlari Ile Petrol Tasima AS v. Tepe Insaat Sanayii AS* 562*Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's of London v. Syrian Arab Republic* 552, 555*European Union v. Syrian Arab Republic* 555, 570-1

- Firebird Global Master Fund II Ltd v. Republic of Nauru* 564, 572-4  
*Gold Reserve Inc. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela* 571-2, 576  
*Heiser (Estate of) v. Islamic Republic of Iran* 552  
*Jones v. Ministry of the Interior of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia* 561  
*Jurisdictional Immunities of the State (Germany v. Italy)* 561, 565  
*Kuwait Airways Corp v. Iraqi Airways Co* 554-5  
*L v. Y Regional Government of X* 551, 569-70, 576  
*London Steam-Ship Owners' Mutual Insurance Association Ltd v. Kingdom of Spain (No 4) (The Prestige)* 564  
*Military and Paramilitary activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States)* 562  
*Norsk Hydro ASA v. State Property Fund of Ukraine (Note)* 551, 553, 568-71, 576  
*North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (Federal Republic of Germany v. Denmark; Federal Republic of Germany v. The Netherlands)* 562  
*Unión Fenosa Gas SA v. Egypt* 552, 571  
*Van Zyl v. Kingdom of Lesotho* 560, 570, 572, 574-6  
*Wallishauer v. Austria* 564-5  
*Westminster City Council v. Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran* 554, 570  
 issue 2—whether Court has discretion to dispense with service pursuant to CPR 6.16 and/or 6.28, in exceptional circumstances 538, 577-9  
*Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's of London v. Syrian Arab Republic* 579  
*Qatar National Bank (QPSC) v. Government of Eritrea* 579  
 issue 3—whether service requirements under SIA 12(1) in violation of ECHR 6 579-81  
*Al-Adsani v. UK* 580  
 applicability of principle of legality 580-1  
*Cudak v. Lithuania* 581  
*Holland v. Lampen-Wolfe* 580  
*Jones v. Ministry of the Interior for the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia* 580  
 proportionality 581  
 relationship between state immunity and court jurisdiction 580-1  
 issue 3—whether service requirements under SIA 12(1) in violation of ECHR 6 538  
 Court judgment—Lady Arden (separate opinion)  
 conclusions—overview 538  
 considerations  
 ECHR 6, whether conflicts with applicability of SIA 12(1) 586-7  
 ECSI, applicability of SIA 12(1) in light of 538, 583-6  
 HRA 3, compatibility of SIA 12(1) with 586-7  
 overview 538  
 scope of law preceding SIA and legislative intention 583-4  
 statutory interpretation of SIA 12(1) 583-7  
 jurisprudence  
*Attorney General v. Edison Telephone Company of London* 585  
*Benkharbouche v. Embassy of the Republic of Sudan* 583-4  
*Cia Naviera Vascongada v. Steamship Cristina (The Cristina)* 583-4  
*Ghaidan v. Godin-Mendoza* 586  
*Philippine Admiral (Owners) v. Wallem Shipping (Hong Kong) Ltd (The Philippine Admiral)* 584  
*Playa Larga (Owners of Cargo lately laden on board) v. I Congreso del Partido* 584  
*Trendtex Trading Corp v. Central Bank of Nigeria* 584  
 Court judgment—Lord Stephens (separate opinion)  
 background and facts 591-4

***General Dynamics UK Ltd v. Libya*** (cont.)

CIL, interpretation of

ILC Draft Conclusions on Identification of CIL (2016) 609-10

international comity and CIL as restrictive doctrine of state immunity 601-4

international comity and CIL as service of proceedings on foreign states 604-11

state immunity and rules of marketplace 538-9, 598, 601-4

test for establishment of CIL 604-5

treaty rules, criteria for codification by 609-10

whether CIL on diplomatic service of proceedings on foreign states 539, 609-11, 615

conclusions—overview 538-638

diplomatic service of process rules, generally

Australia, in 606

Canada, in 606-7

ECSI 16, applicability of 608-9

EU Service Regulation (1939/2007) 607

Germany, in 607

Hong Kong, in 606

New Zealand, in 606

Switzerland, in 607

UNSCI, creation of CIL by 609-10

US, in 605-6

introduction 587-91

issue 1—scope and effect of SIA 12(1)

absence of specific contrary provisions, interpretation in light of 629-35

access to justice, importance of 615

always speaking interpretation 598-600

CIL, whether relevant rules of 615

conclusions 635

considerations 588-9

enforcement of awards under AA 1950, 26 614-15

ex parte registration of foreign judgments under RSC 71.2(1) and 73.8 (1965) 612-15

exceptions in arbitration proceedings under AA 1996 101 616, 623-4

exceptions to state immunity, generally 615-16

executive control and diplomatic balance, importance of 625-8

facilitative nature of 620

historical background to domestic procedural provisions 611-15

international comity requirements, general compatibility with 538-9, 598

interpretation in absence of specific contrary provision 595

interpretation in light of ECSI 16 595, 616-18, 628-9

judgments in default of appearance 617, 619-20, 623-5

legislative background 611-13

non-compliance, consequences of 620-2

overseas territories, applicability to 618-20

overview 594-5

procedural provisions in Northern Ireland 611-12, 618

procedural provisions in Scotland 612, 618

purposive construction of an enactment 597-8

review of Court of Appeal ruling 596-7

review of first instance ruling 595-6

scope of law preceding SIA and legislative intention 597-600, 611-15

- service requirements for enforcement orders under CPR 6.28 592-3, 614
- territorial applicability 618-20
- time for entering an appearance under SIA 12(2), and 624
- whether document served was suitable for purposes of instituting proceedings 630-1
- whether interpretation of SIA 12(1) incorporating developments in procedural law 539, 611-15, 619-20
- whether proceedings have been instituted under domestic procedural rules, parliamentary intention 539, 622-3
- whether subversion of purpose of SIA 12(1) leads to creation of *de facto* immunity 538, 589-90, 634
- without notice applications 612
- writs of control, validity of 590-1
- issue 2—whether Court has discretion to dispense with service pursuant to CPR 6.28 and/or 6.16 in exceptional circumstances
  - considerations 596, 635-6
  - overview 589, 597
- issue 3—whether service requirements under SIA 12(1) in violation of ECHR 6
  - common law principle of access to justice, exceptions to 637
  - conclusions 636-8
  - considerations 539-638
  - incorporation of domestic procedural law 635-6
- jurisprudence
  - Adams v. Adams (Attorney General intervening)* 627
  - AIC Ltd v. Federal Government of Nigeria* 623
  - Al-Adsani v. UK* 638
  - Ashingdane v. UK* 638
  - Banque Commerciale Arabe SA v. Popular Democratic Republic of Algeria* 607
  - Barker v. Wilson* 600
  - Belfast City Council v. Miss Behavin' Ltd* 621
  - Belhaj v. Straw* 609, 626-7
  - Benkharbouche v. Embassy of the Republic of Sudan* 601, 604-5, 609, 616, 638
  - Chapman v. Kirke* 600
  - Democratic Republic of the Congo v. FG Hemisphere Associates LLC* 606
  - Federal Comr of Taxation v. ICI Australia Ltd* 600
  - FG Hemisphere Associates LLC v. Democratic Republic of the Congo* 606
  - Firebird Global Master Fund II Ltd v. Republic of Nauru* 623, 631-4
  - Fisheries case (UK v. Norway)* 605
  - Garden Contamination Case (No 1)* 607
  - Gold Reserve Inc v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela* 631
  - Golder v. UK* 637
  - I Congreso del Partido* 598, 601, 603-4, 627
  - International Schools Service v. Government of Iran* 606
  - Jones v. Ministry of the Interior of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia* 610
  - Kuwait Airways Corp v. Iraqi Airways Co* 617
  - L v. Y Regional Government of X* 630-1
  - La Générale des Carrières et des Mines v. F G Hemisphere Associates* 617
  - Lake Macquarie Shire Council v. Aberdare County Council* 600
  - London Steam-Ship Owners' Mutual Insurance Association Ltd v. Kingdom of Spain (No 4) (The Prestige)* 607
  - Nationwide Access Ltd v. Customs and Excise Comrs* 600
  - New England Merchants National Bank v. Iran Power Generation and Transmission* 606
  - Norsk Hydro ASA v. State Property Fund of Ukraine (Note)* 629-30

**General Dynamics UK Ltd v. Libya** (cont.)

- North Somerset District Council v. Honda Motor Europe Ltd* 621  
*Parkyn v. Preist* 600  
*Philippine Admiral (Owners) v. Wallem Shipping (Hong Kong) Ltd* 602, 604  
*R (N) v. Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council* 599  
*R (Quintavalle) v. Secretary of State for Health* 597, 599-600  
*R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, Ex p Jeyeanthan* 620  
*R v. Soneji* 621  
*Ritter v. Donell* 607  
*Shahid v. Scottish Ministers* 621  
*SM (Rwanda) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* 621  
*Svenska Petroleum Exploration AB v. Government of the Republic of Lithuania (No 2)* 616, 638  
*Thai-Europe Tapioca Service Ltd v. Government of Pakistan, Directorate of Agricultural Supplies* 601-3  
*Trendtex Trading Corp v. Central Bank of Nigeria* 598, 601-4  
*Unión Fenosa Gas SA v. Egypt* 612  
*United Arab Republic v. X* 607  
*USA v. Friedland* 607  
*Van Zyl v. Kingdom of Lesotho* 634  
*Victory Transport Inc. v. Comisaria General* 605  
*Westinghouse Electric Corp Uranium Contract Litigation MDL Docket No 235 (Nos 1 and 2), In re* 627  
*Yukos Capital SARL v. OJSC Rosneft Oil Co (No 2)* 626-7

**Germany**

- state immunity  
 diplomatic service of process 607

**Hong Kong**

- state immunity  
 absolute doctrine of state immunity 606

**Houghton v. USA**

- background and overview  
 Court conclusions 526  
 facts 525-6  
 Court judgment  
 arguments of the parties  
 claimant's contentions 531-2  
 respondent's contentions 528-31  
 basis of claim 527-8  
 discussion and decision  
 consequences of lack of time extension 534  
 interests of justice requirement 532-4  
 proceedings, interpretation of 526, 533  
 relevance of subject matter of proceedings involving armed forces 534  
 subject matter of proceedings did not derogate from need for time for proceedings 526  
 unfairness, avoidance of 534  
 whether SIA 12(1) can be disapplied before institution of proceedings 533-4  
 SIA 12(1), procedure for service via diplomatic channels  
 applicability to armed forces proceedings 529-31



- CIL applicability to 529, 531
- CPR 6.44, and 530-1
- ET Rules of Procedure 91, applicability of 531
- general applicability 528-9
- SIA 12(1), procedure for service via diplomatic channels—jurisprudence
  - Estate of Michael Heiser & 121 Others v. Iran* 529
  - Kuwait Airways Corporation v. Iraqi Airways* 528
  - Kwik Save Stores Ltd v. Swain* 534
  - L and others v. Y Regional Government of X* 530, 533
  - Pendragon plc (t/a CD Bramall Bradford) v. Copus* 534
  - Wright v. USA and Webster v. USA* 529
- SIA 16(2), exclusion of armed forces proceedings 529-32
- Hossou and Adélakoun v. Benin*** 89
  - background and facts
  - applicant's claims 90
  - jurisdiction
    - ACHPR 3(1) 91-2
    - State acceptance, optional nature of 92
  - overview 90
  - respondent's claims 90
- Court judgment
  - ruling on costs 105
  - ruling on jurisdiction
    - access to justice, restrictions on 101
    - assessment of jurisdiction, court powers 103
    - Court conclusions 105-6
    - declaration as unilateral act 104-5
    - human rights violations 101
    - overview 91-2
    - parties 100
    - procedure before court, summary of 101
    - requests of the parties 101-2
    - scope of material jurisdiction 104
    - State acceptance, optional nature of 103
    - subject of application 100-1
    - VCLT, applicability of 104
    - withdrawal of declaration, validity of 104
  - ruling on provisional measures
    - access to justice, restrictions on 94
    - admissibility for request for provisional measures 96-7
    - Court conclusions 99
    - Court powers 98
    - Houngue Eric Noudehouenou v. Republic of Benin* 96-7
    - Ingabire Victoire Umuhoza v. Rwanda* 97
    - lack of signature, relevance of 94
    - measures requested 97-9
    - overview 91
    - parties 93-4
    - prima facie* jurisdiction 95-6
    - procedure before court, summary of 95
    - regression of rights 94
    - subject of application 94

***Hossou and Adelakoun v. Benin*** (cont.)

- Bensaoula J (dissenting opinion) 106-17
- Ajavon v. Benin* 93
- Court's decision contradicts previous jurisprudence 107-9
- Court's failure to address all requests 117
- declaration, optional nature of 112, 117
- Houngue Eric Noudehouenou v. Republic of Benin* 109
- human rights in African context, ruling's exclusion of 110-13
- human rights, justiciability of 113-17
- human rights, withdrawal as violation of 92-3, 109-17
- Ingabire Victoire Umuhuza v. Republic of Rwanda* 107-9
- jurisdiction, lack of 106-9
- non-regressive measures, principle of 92-3, 116
- overview 92-3
- rights of individuals and NGOs to judicial remedy 111
- Sébastien Germain Marie Aïkoué Ajavon v. Benin* 111, 116
- Umuhuza v. Rwanda* 92

**human rights**

- evolutive interpretation 312
- justiciability of, basis for
  - conferring subjective rights on individuals and NGOs 109-11, 114-17
  - humans as subjects of international law 113
  - principle of reciprocity 113
  - state obligations 113-14
  - state sovereignty, implications for 113
- progressive realization, principle of 111, 116
- state immunity rules in breach of: *see* *General Dynamics UK Ltd v. Libya*
- State withdrawal from treaty, whether regression of rights: *see* *Hossou and Adelakoun v. Benin*

***IBRD Court Fees Case***

- background—facts
  - applicable dispute settlement provisions 403
  - claim of exemption from court fees 403
  - Establishment Agreement 10(3), whether transactions within scope of 403
  - IBRD AoA VII(9)(a), whether court fees included in exemption 404
  - immunity from taxation and customs duties 404
    - separation from immunity from jurisdiction 404
    - transactions and documents 404
  - summary 402-3
- Court conclusions
  - overview 404
- Court judgment
  - Austrian law
    - CCP 84, security for legal costs 418
    - CCP 408, subjection to judicial assertion of claims resulting from proceedings 418
    - court fees, applicable law 405-6
    - dispute settlement provisions 418
    - Enterprise Liquidity Support Act, 8, exemption of liability agreements from fees 419-20
    - impermissibility of prescribing fees as lack of jurisdiction 409
    - judicial duty to decide 408-10

- jurisdiction of Austrian authorities 406-10, 416-19
- property, definition 416
- waiver of immunity of international organizations 418-19
- exemption from jurisdiction
  - exemption from court fees separated from 419-22
  - limitations on 409
  - scope of immunity 415
  - waiver of immunity from 418
- IBRD AoA VII(3), position of IBRD with regard to judicial process 413, 418
- IBRD AoA VII(9), immunity from taxation
  - court fees, whether included in exemption 405, 407-8, 421-2
  - scope of provisions 413-14
- IBRD AoA IX, dispute settlement provisions 418
- IBRD Establishment Agreement by article
  - 5, immunity from jurisdiction 414, 417-18
  - 10(1), immunity from taxation 409-16, 420
  - 10(3), immunity from court fees 405-6, 419-21
  - 20(1), dispute settlement provisions 408-15, 418
- immunity of international organizations in civil proceedings 415
  - dispute settlement provisions 417
  - reasons for 417
  - Waite and Kennedy 417
  - waiver of immunity from jurisdiction, distinction from enforcement against assets 419
  - waiver of, generally 418-19
- jurisdiction of Austrian authorities 406-7, 416-19
  - admissibility of appeal 412, 416
  - contested decision, legal status of 409-11
  - decision not to hold oral hearings 411-12
  - immunities, interpretation 417
- material exemption from court fees 419-22
  - contested decision, legal status of 409-11
  - good faith interpretation 419
  - immunity from taxation, interpretation 420
  - obligation to pay fees, impacts of arbitral awards on 409-10
  - personal exemption from fees, restrictions on 412-13
  - separation from immunity of jurisdiction 404
  - taxation, interpretation 420
  - transactions and documents 406-7, 416, 420-1
  - whether *lex specialis* 408
- overview 405
- waiver of immunity from jurisdiction 404
- indigenous peoples**
  - fission-fusion 165-6
  - indigenous communities, definition 165-6
  - right to cultural identity: *see Lhaka Honhat Case*
  - right to food and water: *see Lhaka Honhat Case*
  - right to indigenous communal property: *see Lhaka Honhat Case*
  - right to a healthy environment: *see Lhaka Honhat Case*
- Inter-American Convention on Human Rights (1969) by article**
  - 1, State obligation to respect rights 322
  - 2, State obligation to adopt domestic legal provision 191-6, 203-4

**Inter-American Convention on Human Rights (1969) by article** (cont.)

- 21, effective title for indigenous communities 191-6, 223
- 22, freedom of movement 231-2, 322
- 25, judicial protection 322
- 26, progressive development 232-3, 309-10
  - treaty interpretation 318, 321-37
- 29, restrictions regarding interpretation 232-3, 310-11, 313-14, 322
- 30, scope of restrictions 322
- 31, recognition of other rights 322
- 45, competence to examine violations of human rights 322
- 48(1)(f), allegations of violation of rights, procedures regarding 322
- 63(1), right to compensation for violation of human rights 322

**Inter-American Convention on the Protection of the Human Rights of Older Persons (2015), Article 12, rights of older persons in long-term care** 245**Inter-American Court of Human Rights, Rules of Procedure, Rule 35(2), identification of presumed victims** 165**International Bank for Reconstruction and Development**

- Articles of Agreement, by article
  - VII, status, immunities and privileges 413
  - VII(3), position with regard to judicial process 413, 418
  - IX, immunities from taxation 413-14, 418
- immunity from court fees: *see* *IBRD Court Fees Case*
- immunity from judicial process 413, 418

**International Court of Justice, Rules of Court (1964), Rule 62(5), preliminary objections** 8, 47-8**International Court of Justice, Rules of Court (1978), Rule 79(9), preliminary objections** 8, 47-52**International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966), by article**

- 24, rights of the child 502
- 27, equality before the law
  - indigenous peoples 250

**International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966) by article**

- 11(1), right to adequate standard of living 239-40
- 15(1), right to take part in cultural life 250

**International Law Commission, Draft Conclusions on Identification of Customary International Law (2016), treaty rules, criteria for codification by** 609-10**international organizations, immunity from court fees:** *see* *IBRD Court Fees Case***Iran-US Treaty of Amity, Economic Relations and Consular Rights (1995), state immunity, allegations of violation:** *see* *Certain Iranian Assets (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America)****Lhaka Honbat Case (Indigenous Communities of the Lhaka Honbat (Our Land) Association v. Argentina)* (Merits, Reparations and Costs), judgment**

- background—evidence
  - admissibility of documentary evidence 167-70
  - admissibility of testimonial and expert evidence 170
- background—facts
  - administrative and judicial actions filed 189
  - construction work, activities and projects on territory claimed 189
  - indigenous and *criollo* population on Lots 14 and 55
    - historical nomadic background 174

- non-indigenous (*criollo*) settlement patterns 174-5
- overview 171-5
- variability of population and nomadic practices 174
- indigenous territorial claims—first stage (pre-1999)
  - claims and commitments to grant land titles 179-80
  - Decree 2609/91 179
  - Decree 3097/95 180
- indigenous territorial claims—second stage (1999-2004)
  - claims and commitments to grant land titles 181-2
  - Decree 339/01 181
  - division of land proposals 181-2
- indigenous territorial claims—third stage (2005-2006)
  - creation of Provincial Executing Unity (EUP) 182
  - Decree 939/05 182
  - Law 7,352 of 2005 183
  - referendum 183
- indigenous territorial claims—fourth stage (2007-)
  - Decree 4705/08 (Salta province) 184
  - Decree 2398/12 185
  - Decree 2001/13 185
  - Decree 1498/14 186-8
  - Memorandum of Understanding (October 1, 2007) 184
- National Institute for Indigenous Affairs, creation of (INAI) 175-6
- National Registry of Organizations of Indigenous Peoples, creation of 177
- overview 142-4, 170-1
- relevant legislation on indigenous lands
  - Constitutional Amendment 1994, 176
  - Decree 155/1989 175-6
  - Decree 339/01 (Salta province) 181
  - Decree 700/2010 177
  - Decree 939/05 (Salta province) 182
  - Decree 1498/14 186-8
  - Decree 2398/12 185
  - Decree 2609/91 (Salta province) 179
  - Decree 2786/07 (Salta province) 184
  - Decree 3097/95 (Salta province) 180
  - Decree 3459/11 (Salta province) 210
  - Decree 3505/14 (Salta province) 178
  - Decree 4705/08 (Salta province) 185
  - INAI Resolution 328/2010 175-7
  - Law 23,302 of 1985 178
  - Law 6,373 of 1986 (Salta province) 178
  - Law 24/071 of 1992 176
  - Law 7,121 of 2000 (Salta province) 178
  - Law 7,352 of 2005 (Salta province) 183
  - Law 26,160 of 2006 176-7
  - Law 26,994 of 2016 177
  - National Civil and Commercial Code 9 177
- background—jurisdiction 160
- background—preliminary considerations
  - determination of presumed victims
    - arguments of parties and Commission 163-4

***Lhaka Honbat Case (Indigenous Communities of the Lhaka Honbat (Our Land) Association v. Argentina)* (Merits, Reparations and Costs), judgment** (cont.)

- Court considerations 164-7
  - exception applying when not possible to identify alleged victims in cases of human rights violations 165
  - identification difficulties, cultural reasons for 165-6
  - indigenous communities, definition 165-6
  - non-indigenous settlers (*criollos*), differentiation from indigenous peoples 166-7
- facts subsequent to January 26 2012
  - arguments of parties and Commission 160-1
  - Court considerations 161-3
  - preliminary objection, validity of 160-3
- background—proceedings before Court
  - amicus curiae* briefs 157-9
  - answering brief 157
  - brief with pleadings, motions and evidence 156
  - case deliberations 160
  - final written arguments and observations 160
  - notification of State and representative 156
  - on site procedures 159
  - preliminary objections 157
  - public hearing 157
- background—purpose of dispute
  - Admissibility Report 78/06 154
  - case overview 153-4
  - Commission's requests 156
  - Merits Report 2/12 154
  - notification to the State 155
  - petition 154
  - procedure before the Commission 154-6
  - Reports on the Commission's recommendation 155-6
  - submission to the Court 156
- Court conclusions 144-6, 227, 297-300
- merits—overview 189-91
- merits—right to indigenous communal property
  - general considerations on communal property
    - Kuna Indigenous People of Madungandi and the Emberá Indigenous People of Bayano and their members v. Panama* 195
    - Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua* 191-6
    - overview 191-6
    - Saramaka People v. Suriname* 193
    - Sawhoyamaxa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 194
    - Xucuru Indigenous People and its members v. Brazil* 196
    - Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 192-4
  - recognition and determinations on communal property 196-378
    - arguments of the Commission 197-8
    - arguments of the representatives 198-200
    - arguments of the State 200-378
    - Court conclusions 221
    - failure to enable effective enjoyment of rights 198-200
    - failure to provide clear title 197-8, 204-5
    - harmonization of rights in the interests of society 201-378

- State actions taken regarding alleged violation of juridical personality 199-200, 215-17
- State actions taken towards recognition of ownership
  - pre-1999 205-6
  - 1999-2004 206-7
  - 2005-2006 207
  - 2007- 207-8
  - descriptions 202-5
  - dialogue with *criollo* population 208-10
  - procedures followed 210-14
  - impact of domestic law 217-21
  - state obligations to adopt domestic legal provisions 203-5
- right to participate in relation to projects or works on communal property
  - arguments of the Commission and parties 221-2
  - Court conclusions 227
  - failure to comply with consultation obligations 222
  - international bridge and related civil works 226-7
  - Kaliña and Lokono Peoples v. Suriname* 223-4
  - Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku v. Ecuador* 223-4
  - provincial route 54 225-6
  - Saramaka People v. Suriname* 223-4
  - State obligations and guarantees 223-5
  - violation of rights of access to information 221
- merits—rights to healthy environment, food, water and cultural identity
  - arguments of the representatives
    - environmental degradation of territory 229-30
    - fencing as illegitimate and unjustified interference 228-30
    - presence of *criollo* population, implications of 229-30
  - arguments of the State
    - allegations of violation of right to cultural identity 231
    - right to food and water 230-1
    - right to healthy environment, disproportionate burden of 230
  - interdependence between rights and specificity
    - adequacy of food, cultural dimension of 254
    - expression of cultural identity and traditional activities 256-8
    - role of indigenous peoples in environmental protection 256
    - special measures for safeguarding culture and environment 254-5
  - interpretation by referral to OAS Charter 232-3
  - jurisprudence
    - Acevedo Buendía v. Peru* 232
    - ANCEJUB-SUNAT v. Peru* 232-3
    - Artavia Murillo et al. (In vitro fertilization) v. Costa Rica* 243
    - Atala Riffo v. Chile* 233
    - Chinchilla Sandoval et al. v. Guatemala* 243
    - Cuscul Pivaral et al. v. Guatemala* 232-3
    - Dismissed Employees of PetroPeru et al. v. Peru* 232
    - Gelman v. Uruguay* 233, 243
    - Hernández v. Argentina* 232-4, 243
    - I.V. v. Bolivia* 233
    - Kaliña and Lokono Peoples v. Suriname* 243
    - Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku v. Ecuador* 248
    - Lagos del Campo v. Peru* 232-3

***Lhaka Honbat Case (Indigenous Communities of the Lhaka Honbat (Our Land) Association v. Argentina) (Merits, Reparations and Costs), judgment*** (cont.)

- Marangopoulos Foundation for Human Rights v. Greece* 243
- Massacres of El Mozote v. El Salvador* 243
- Muelle Flores v. Peru* 232-3, 241
- Omeara Carrascal et al. v. Colombia* 231, 243
- Pacheco Tineo family v. Bolivia* 232-4
- Poblete Vilches et al. v. Chile* 232-3, 241-3
- Ricardo Canese v. Paraguay* 231
- San Miguel Sosa et al. v. Venezuela* 232
- Sawhoyamaxa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 243
- Trujillo Oroza v. Bolivia* 243
- Velásquez Rodríguez v. Honduras* 234
- Xakmok Kásek Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 243, 246
- Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 243
- overview 231-4
- right to adequate food
  - adequacy of food and food security 242-3
  - availability and accessibility of food 241-2
  - Constitutional provisions 240
  - domestic law recognition 240-1
  - international obligations 239-41
- right to freedom of movement and residence 231-2
- right to healthy environment
  - Advisory Opinion OC-23/17 235-9
  - CIL and principle of prevention of environmental harm 238
  - Constitutional provisions 236
  - cultural vulnerabilities 238-9
  - international obligations 236-8
- right to take part in cultural life
  - Constitutional provisions 250
  - culture, definition 250-1
  - international obligations 248-50
  - necessary conditions for full realization of right 252-3
  - prevention of interference 253
- right to water
  - Advisory Opinion OC-23/17 243, 246-7
  - Constitutional provisions 245
  - environmental hygiene, and 247
  - international obligations 243-8, 253
  - right to life, link with 245
  - special attention requirements regarding indigenous peoples 247-8
- State acts and responsibilities
  - analysis of 266-71
  - expert witness testimony on human rights impacts 267-9
  - fencing practices—overview of impacts 259, 262-3
  - fencing practices—State actions 263-4
  - fencing practices—whether violation of IACHR 22 231-2
  - illegal logging—overview of impacts 261-2
  - illegal logging—State actions 264-5
  - impacts on indigenous practices and cultural identity 267-71
  - interdependence of rights and cultural identity 266-7



- livestock practices—overview of impacts 259-61
- progressive development obligations 232-3
- merits—rights to judicial guarantees
  - arguments of representatives 271-2
  - arguments of the State 272
- circumstances of the case
  - actions relating to Decree 461/99 and Resolution 423/99 275-6
  - application for amparo regarding construction of international bridge 274-5
  - judicial action against 2005 Referendum 276
- Court conclusions 297-300
- general considerations
  - due process and effective level remedy obligations 273-4
- jurisprudence
  - Amrhein et al. v. Costa Rica* 276
  - ANCEJUB-SUNAT v. Peru* 273
  - Bayarri v. Argentina* 275
  - Cantos v. Argentina* 273
  - Colindres Schonenberg v. El Salvador* 275
  - García Lucero et al. v. Chile* 273
  - Goiburú et al. v. Paraguay* 273
  - Gómez Virula et al. v. Guatemala* 273
  - Granier et al. (Radio Caracas Televisión) v. Venezuela* 276
  - López et al. v. Argentina* 273
  - Mejía Idrovo v. Ecuador* 273
  - Ramírez Escobar et al. v. Guatemala* 275
  - Velasquez Rodríguez v. Honduras* 273
- reparations
  - applicability 277
  - injured party, identification of 278
  - measures for restitution—general considerations
    - Commission's requirements 278
    - Court's considerations 281
    - representatives' requirements 278-80
    - State's requirements 280-1
  - measures for restitution—jurisprudence
    - Cantoral Benavides v. Peru* 290
    - Garifuna Community of Punta Piedra v. Honduras* 287
    - Garrido and Baigorria v. Argentina* 295
    - Jenkins v. Argentina* 290
    - Kaliña and Lokono Peoples v. Suriname* 283, 287
    - Loayza Tamayo v. Peru* 292
    - Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua* 283
    - Moiwana Community v. Suriname* 292
    - Muelle Flores v. Peru* 295
    - Rodríguez Vera (Disappeared from the Palace of Justice) v. Colombia* 291
    - Sawhoyamaxa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 292
    - Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 287, 291
  - measures for restitution—right to healthy environment, food, water and cultural identity
    - Community Development Fund for indigenous culture 287-8
    - State actions relating to water, food and forestry resources 285-7
  - measures for restitution—right to property

***Lhaka Honhat Case (Indigenous Communities of the Lhaka Honhat (Our Land) Association v. Argentina) (Merits, Reparations and Costs), judgment*** (cont.)

- delimitation, demarcation and titling 282-3
- prior consultation obligations 283
- relocation of *criollo* population 284-5
- measures for restitution—supplementary considerations
  - costs and expenses 295-6
  - measures of non-repetition 291-4
  - measures of satisfaction 290-1
  - method of compliance 296
  - other measures requested 294-5
  - State reports, work plans and monitoring measures 288-9
- measures for restitution—time frame for compliance 280-2
- Annex I—indigenous communities included in initial petition according to Merits Report 2/12 300
- Annex II—indigenous communities considered victims in Merits Report 2/12 301
- Annex III—indigenous communities included in Decree 1498/14 of Salta Province 302
- Annex IV—indigenous communities indicated in the brief with pleadings, motions and evidence 303
- Annex V—indigenous communities indicated in the representatives' final written arguments that are victims in the case pursuant to the judgment 306
- Lhaka Honhat Case (Indigenous Communities of the Lhaka Honhat (Our Land) Association v. Argentina) (Merits, Reparations and Costs), opinions***
- Freire J (concurring)
  - Convention interpretations, restrictions on 313-14
  - evolutive interpretation of human rights 312
  - interdependence of rights 314-15
  - international derivation of rights 309-12
  - introduction 309-11
  - limitations of Court judgment in expanding indigenous rights law 312-13
  - overview 146
  - State responsibility for progressive development 309-10
- Mac-Gregor Poisot J (separate opinion)
  - amicus curiae*
    - Court Rules of Procedure regarding 387-8
    - role in dialogue between civil society and IACtHR 389-92
    - use of, generally 387-9
    - use of, in *Lhaka Honhat* case 389-92
  - conclusions 392-4
  - indigenous communal property, land and territoriality interpretations
    - African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights (Ogiek) v. Kenya* 377-80
    - American Declaration XIX and XXV 370-2
    - differentiated protections 366-80
    - ILO Convention 169, relationship with land 369-70
    - Kaliña and Lokono Peoples v. Suriname* 372
    - Kuna Indigenous People of Madungandí and the Emberá Indigenous People of Bayano and their members v. Panama* 373
    - Lagos del Campo v. Peru* 374
    - Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua* 368-9
    - non-indigenous settlers, presence of 376
    - OAS Charter 21, autonomous rights, enjoyment of 378-80

- rights to healthy environment, food and water and cultural identity, separation from 375-7
- Saramaka People v. Suriname* 372-3
- Sawhoyamasa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 374
- UN Declaration 25 370
- Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 373-4
- interdependence of human rights
  - ANCEJUB-SUNAT v. Peru* 382
  - autonomy, relationship with 380-2
  - Cuscul Pivaral et al. v. Guatemala* 383
  - Hernández v. Argentina* 383
  - Lagos del Campo v. Peru* 384
  - Poblete Vilches v. Chile* 382
  - San Miguel Sosa et al. v. Venezuela* 382
  - state obligations, interpretation of reasons for violations of 380-4
- introduction 363-7
  - amicus curiae* briefs 366-7
  - importance of case 364-7
  - Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku v. Ecuador* 366
  - Lagos del Campo v. Peru* 364
  - Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua* 363
  - Suárez Peralta v. Ecuador* 365
- overview 148
- reparations, ESCER focus of
  - challenges of 386-7
  - community development fund 385-6
  - conclusions 387
  - limitations of 385
  - objectives, multiplicity of 386
  - unique nature of 384-5
- Manrique J (partially dissenting)
  - conclusions 400-1
  - interdependence and simultaneity of human rights
    - ANCEJUB-SUNAT v. Peru* 396
    - Hernández v. Argentina* 398
  - interpretations 396-8
  - Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua* 399
  - San Salvador Protocol, restrictions of 397-8
  - violations of ESCER rights in *Lhaka Honhat*, analysis of interpretation 398-400
- introduction 394-5
- justiciability of ESCER
  - competence of Court to examine autonomous violations 395-7
  - discussion regarding 395-6
- overview 147-9
- Porto J (partially dissenting)
  - justiciability of IACHR 26 and economic, social, cultural and environmental rights
    - compliance with reparation measures, challenges of 362-3
    - Court competence, limits of 352-3
    - general considerations 351-5
  - Genie Lacayo v. Nicaragua* 361
  - Hernández v. Argentina* 353
  - improper use of the *iura novit curiae* principle 353

***Lhaka Honbat Case (Indigenous Communities of the Lhaka Honbat (Our Land) Association v. Argentina)* (Merits, Reparations and Costs), opinions** (cont.)

- interdependence of rights 355, 357-9
- Kaliña and Lokono Peoples v. Suriname* 358
- Kuna Indigenous People of Madungandí and the Emberá Indigenous People of Bayano and their members v. Panama* 358, 361
- Lagos del Campo v. Peru* 352
- Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua* 357
- OAS Charter derived rights, Court interpretation of 354
- Pacheco Tineo family v. Bolivia* 361
- Punta Piedra Garifuna People and its members v. Honduras* 358
- right to communal property, interpretation challenges (IACHR 21) 356-60
- rights of indigenous peoples and rights of third parties, need for balance 355-6
- rights of indigenous peoples, direct legal effectiveness 360-2
- rights of indigenous peoples, progressive nature of 359-60
- State obligations, direct legal effectiveness of rights 360-2
- Triunfo de la Cruz Garifuna Community and its members v. Honduras* 358
- Xucuru Indigenous People and its members v. Brazil* 358
- Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* 358

overview 147-8

Vio Grossi J (partially dissenting)

- conclusions 347-50
- distinction between general human rights and those justiciable before international jurisdiction 317, 343-5
- interpretation of IACHR 26
  - Advisory Opinion OC-23/17 329-30
  - American Declaration 329
  - Commission and Court responsibilities 325-7
  - consideration on own merits 320-1
  - Conventions and OAS Charter, differing obligations under 326-33
  - Cuscul Pivaral v. Guatemala* 319, 334
  - derived rights, references to 338-42
  - draft articles, intention of 336-7
  - functional or teleological method 333-6
  - generally 318-34
  - good faith 319-21
  - intention of drafters of OAS Charter 339-40
  - judicial competence, limitations on 346-7
  - Lagos del Campo v. Peru* 332
  - OAS Charter, derived rights under 338-40
  - OAS Resolutions 2349/07 and 2760/12 328
  - progressive development of rights 342-3
  - San Salvador Protocol, influences of 340-7
  - subjective method 324-33
  - supplementary means 318, 336-7
  - textual or literal rule 321-4
- introduction 315-18
- overview 147-8

***Local Authority B v. X, V and T***

- background 444-5
- Court conclusions 445-6, 472-4
- applicability of Regulation 2201/2003 to TRNC 470-1

- habitual residence 463-5
- referral to CJEU, whether necessary for 472
- Court judgment
  - background facts 450-5
  - care proceedings
    - abuse of process challenge 453-5
    - initiation of 446, 453
    - whether UK has jurisdiction 446-7
  - deportation
    - circumstances of 451-2
    - habitual residence, effects on 460-1, 463-5
    - interim emergency orders 453
    - whether breach of Directive 2004/38/EC 461-2
    - whether breach of ECHR 8 462
  - habitual residence
    - applicability of Regulation 2201/2003 456-8, 465-71
    - asylum seekers, of 461
    - child-focused approach 458-9
    - degree of integration 459-60, 463
    - deportation, effects of 460-1, 463-5
    - findings and conclusion 463-5
    - lack of, effects of 457, 462
    - legal basis for 456-61
    - Lewisham London Borough Council v. D (Criteria for Territorial Jurisdiction in Public Law Proceedings)* 456
    - London Borough of Barking & Dagenham v. SS* 461
    - loss of 459-60
    - Re B* 460-1
    - Re B (A child)(Custody Rights, Habitual Residence)* 458
    - Re J (Child Refugees)* 461, 464
    - Re LC (Reunite, International Child Abduction Centre Intervening)* 459-60, 464
    - Re R (Care Orders, Jurisdiction)* 456
    - residence in TRNC, whether applicable for purposes of Regulation 2201/2003 465-71
    - retention of 445
    - stability and permanence, relevance of 459-60
    - test for 458-60
    - trafficked persons 461
- introduction 446-7
- jurisdiction
  - based on child's presence 445
  - best interests of child 457, 473
  - habitual residence, impact of deportation on 463-5
  - habitual residence, retention of 445
  - Leicester City Council v. Chhatbar* 469
  - provisions of Council Regulation 2201/2003 456-8
  - proximity criterion 471, 473
  - Re H (Jurisdiction)* 469
  - Re K and D (Wardship, Without Notice Return Order)* 446, 468, 472
  - transfer to a court better placed to hear case 472
  - UK jurisdiction, assumption of 444-65
  - urgent cases 458
  - where habitual residence cannot be established 457

**Local Authority B v. X, V and T** (cont.)

overview 449-50

Protocol 10, Act of Accession to European Union (2003)

*Orams v. Apostilides (British Residents' Association Intervening)* 448, 466-8, 471

suspension of *acquis communautaire* under 445, 447-8, 466-8, 470

referral to CJEU

basis for 455-6, 471-2

whether necessity for 472

residence in TRNC, whether applicable for purposes of Regulation 2201/2003 465-71

suspension of *acquis communautaire* 445, 447-8, 466-8, 470

TRNC, recognition of

international law status 447

whether state for purposes of Council Regulation 2201/2003 465-71

Protocol 10, Act of Accession to European Union (2003)

suspension of *acquis communautaire* under 445, 447-8, 466-8, 470

Regulation No 2201/2003 on Matrimonial and Parental Judgments

Article 8, general jurisdiction 445-56

Article 13, jurisdiction based on child's presence 445

Article 15, transfer to a court better placed to hear case 472

jurisdiction

applicability, comparison with Brussels I Regulation 466-8

applicability, generally 465

habitual residence in TRNC, and 465-71

impact of deportation on 445

*JKN v. JCN* 468

*Mittal v. Mittal* 468

purpose of 445, 466

territorial scope 466

**London Borough of Barnet v. AG and Foreign Secretary of State for Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Affairs**

background and overview

facts 476

findings and conclusions 477-8

Court conclusions

overview 477-8

whether declaration of incompatibility should be issued 523-4

Court judgment

background and facts 478-86

emergency protection order application 480-1

interim care order application 481-3

local authority evidence 486-8

local authority investigations 479-82

police emergency powers 480, 486-7

Children Act 1989

compliance with ECHR 3 obligations 509-10

consent of child to participate in enquiries 523

diplomatic immunity, incompatibility with 478-9

diplomatic immunity, investigative restrictions 522-3

interim care orders, grounds for 481

significant harm, interpretation 479

declaration of incompatibility between ECHR/HRA and DPA/VCDR

approach 504-5

- background to claim 478
- best interest of the child, relevance 505
- considerations 479
- DPA restrictions 478-9
- grounds for claim 498, 504-5
- jurisprudence 504-13
- permission for application 486
- similarity of subject matter 515-16
- statutory intention 517-19
- diplomatic immunity
  - degrees of immunities and privileges 488-9
  - Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium (Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000)* 492
  - historical development 491-2
  - immunities and privileges affecting child protection proceedings 486-7, 522-3
  - immunities and privileges, general scope 488-90
  - investigative restrictions 522-3
  - inviolability of premises and persons 479, 489, 522-3
  - lack of explicit exception to immunity or inviolability for child protection 499-500
  - limitations of 492
  - purpose of 484, 492
  - reciprocity principle, and 484, 494-5
  - waiver in emergency situations, availability of 485
  - waiver, application for 485
  - whether incompatible with ECHR 6 519-22
- DPA 1964
  - declaration of incompatibility with ECHR, grounds for 498, 504-5
  - incompatibility with ECHR/HRA, considerations 479
  - incompatibility with ECHR/HRA, jurisprudence 506-13
  - reciprocity provisions 488
- ECHR
  - declaration of incompatibility with DPA, grounds for 498, 504-5
  - incompatibility with DPA/VCDR, considerations 479
  - incompatibility with DPA/VCDR, jurisprudence 506-13
  - overview of provisions relevant to the case 497-8
  - significant harm, interpretation of 479
  - UNCRC, relationship between 500-4
- ECHR 3, prohibition on torture and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment
  - operational duties 507-8, 511-13
  - positive obligation, as 505-13
  - reasonable chastisement defence 507
  - textual interpretation 505-6
  - UNCRC role in interpretation of 513-17
- ECHR 3, prohibition on torture and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment
  - jurisprudence 506-13
  - A Local Authority v. X* 517
  - A v. United Kingdom* 507
  - Asenov v. Bulgaria* 506
  - Chahal v. UK* 509
  - D v. Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis* 506, 508
  - E v. Chief Constable of the RUC* 511
  - In re Officer L* 512
  - MAGB v. GQC* 505, 513

***London Borough of Barnet v. AG and Foreign Secretary of State for Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Affairs*** (cont.)

- Osman v. United Kingdom* 507
- Poplar Housing Community Association Ltd v. Donoghue* 504
- Rabone v. Pennine Care NHS Trust* 512
- Soering v. UK* 509
- Van Colle v. Chief Constable of the Hertfordshire Police* 508

ECHR 6, right to fair trial

- Al-Adsani v. UK* 520
- Ashingdane v. United Kingdom* 520
- Benkharbouche v. Embassy of Sudan* 519, 521
- diplomatic immunity, incompatibility with 519-22
- Estrada v. Al-Juffali* 521-2
- margin of appreciation and proportionality 520-1

UNCRC

- applicability under UK law 500-1
- best interest of the child, interpretation of 503
- Demir v. Turkey* 500-1
- ECHR, relationship between 500-4
- immunity of diplomatic children, reference to 503-4
- In re B* 516
- R (C) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* 500
- R (DA) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* 503
- role in interpretation of ECHR 513-17
- whether UNCRC represents customary law 500-1

VCDR

- applicable provisions 513-16
- degrees of immunities and privileges 488-9
- exceptions, interpretation of 498-9, 502
- incorporation into domestic law 478
- interpretation leading to prevention of or impediments to local authority intervention 476-88
- interpretation within light of HRA, suitability of 483
- inviolability of premises and persons 479, 489, 522-3
- possible consequences of proceedings to national safety and security 476-97
- reciprocity principle, and 484, 494-5
- remedies for misconduct in receiving state 496
- UNCRC influences on 513-17
- unilateral amendments, implications of 476-97

HRA 1998

- interpretation of compatibility with other legislative provisions 483
- D v. Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis* 524
- Ghaidan v. Godin-Mendoza* 517
- Re S (Care Order Implementation of Care Plan)* 483, 517
- Reyes v. Al-Malki* 483, 490, 521-2
- statutory intention, relevance of 517-18

treaty interpretation

VCDR

- broad principles of general acceptance 494-5
- inviolability of premises and persons 479
- lack of explicit exception to immunity or inviolability for child protection 499-500
- lex posteriori* principle 494-5, 499, 514



principles applicable to 492-4  
*R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, Ex p Adan* 493  
 reciprocity principle, and 484, 494-5  
*Stag Line Ltd v. Foscolo, Mango and Co, Ltd* 494  
 within light of HRA 483  
 within light of VCLT 493-4, 498-500, 514

**New Zealand, state immunity, diplomatic service of process** 606  
**non-recognized states:** *see* Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus

**Organization of American States, Charter (1948), Article 29, interpretation** 232

**Organization of American States, General Assembly Resolutions**

2349/07, Water, health and human rights (2007) 245, 328

2760/12, The human right to safe drinking water and sanitation (2012) 245, 328

**prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading or inhuman treatment, prison conditions in violation of:** *see* *R (Akarcay) v. Chief Constable of the West Yorkshire Police*

***R (Akarcay) v. Chief Constable of the West Yorkshire Police***

background and overview

Court conclusions 424-5, 443

facts 424

grounds for judicial review 424

Court judgment

human rights violations, risk of

*Al Skeini v. UK* 441

*Bankovic v. Belgium* 441

prison conditions 442-3

prohibition of torture, and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment 442-3

*R (Ullah) v. Special Adjudicator* 442

right to fair trial 442

whether jurisdiction for purposes of ECHR 441-3

jurisdiction

for cooperation with TRNC law enforcement agencies 427-8

for purposes of ECHR, whether within 441-3

for purposes of mutual legal assistance 439

*Local Authority B v. X, V and T* 448

non-recognition of TRNC

CIL requirements for 436

general position 428

interpretation of international law in light of 432-5

*JH Rayner (Mincing Lane) Ltd v. Department of Trade and Industry* 435

*Keyu v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs* 436

*R (Kibris Turk Hava Yolari and CTA Holidays Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Transport* 432-6

UN Security Council Resolutions 541 and 550 432

unincorporated treaties, obligations under 435-6

- R (Akarcay) v. Chief Constable of the West Yorkshire Police*** (cont.)
- whether domestic duty to refrain from recognition 431-7
  - whether jurisdiction for purposes of ECHR 441-3
  - whether police cooperation amounted to act of recognition 426, 437
- Overseas Security and Justice Assistance Risk Assessment 429-30
- overview of facts 427-31
- police cooperation with TRNC
- lack of prohibition on mutual legal assistance 439
  - lawful mechanisms for 426
  - likelihood of leading to human rights violations 430
  - non-availability of extradition, mechanisms to circumvent 431-5
  - Operation Zygos and related operations 429-30
  - public interest grounds for 438-41
- R (Al Fawwaz) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* 441
- reputational or political risk associated with 430
  - statutory provisions and Home Office Guidance, whether conflicts with 437-41
  - territorial authority for mutual legal assistance 439
  - unofficial cooperation, status of 428
  - whether breach of duty of confidence 437-40
  - whether provision of material aid amounted to act of recognition of Northern Cyprus 426, 437
  - whether violation of ECHR 3 426-7
  - whether violation of ECHR 6 424-6
- Woolgar* 439-40
- Treaty of Guarantee between UK, Turkey, Greece and Cyprus (1960)
- background to 432
  - prohibition of acts directly or indirectly promoting recognition 431
  - whether duties incorporated into domestic law 435-6
- Refugee Convention (1951), 1F(c), non-application on grounds of acts contrary to the purposes of principles of the UN:** *see Commissaire Général aux Réfugiés et aux Apatrides v. Mostafa Lounani*
- right to fair trial, jurisdiction over individuals from non-recognized states:** *see R (Akarcay) v. Chief Constable of the West Yorkshire Police*
- rights of EU citizens and their family members to move and reside freely within the territory, applicability to TRNC** 461-2
- rights of the child, diplomatic immunity and child protection proceedings:** *see London Borough of Barnet v. AG and Foreign Secretary*
- San Salvador Protocol (1998) (ACHR Additional Protocol on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights) by article**
- 11, right to healthy environment 236
  - 12(1), right to adequate nutrition 239
  - 14, right to take part in cultural life 249
  - 18, signature, ratification or amendment 345
  - 19, protection of rights 342-3
- state immunity**
- central banks or monetary authorities, of: *see Certain Iranian Assets (Islamic Republic of Iran) v. United States of America*
  - JISP 19(c), 21(c), 53
  - VCLT 31(1) 54-6
  - classification of acts as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis* 8-9, 29-30, 54-9

- diplomatic service of process, legality and validity of: *see* *General Dynamics UK Ltd v. Libya*
- international law: *see* *European Convention on State Immunity* (1972); UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property (2004)
- whether procedure for service on military base necessary through diplomatic channels: *see* *Houghton v. USA*
- state sovereignty**
- human rights impacts on 113
  - international obligations, respect for 113-14
  - State withdrawal from treaty
    - consent, role of 90, 103
    - human rights regression, as 94, 115
    - whether unilateral act 92, 104
- Switzerland, state immunity, diplomatic service of process** 607

**terrorism and terrorist acts**

- definitions
  - punishable offences 126-7
  - recruitment for terrorism 127
  - structured group 126
  - terrorist groups 126
- participation in
  - acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN 132-3, 136-9
  - interpretation of 120-1
  - membership or participation in terrorist group 136-9
  - punishable offences 126-7
  - recruitment for terrorism 127
  - refugee status, grounds for refusal of 136-9
- prevention of
  - grant of refugee status, necessary and appropriate measures 123-4
  - State obligations under international law 123-4

**treaty interpretation**

- Act of Accession of Cyprus to EU, Protocol 10, effect of suspension of *acquis communautaire* to TRNC 466-8
- amendments, status of 344-5
- context and purpose of treaties, relevance of 513
- declarations as unilateral acts, non-applicability to 92, 104
- domestic law cannot be used to justify failure to perform treaty obligations 87
- evolutive interpretation 312
- functional or teleological method 333-6
- good faith interpretation 54-6, 319-21, 419
- relationship between unincorporated treaties and domestic law 500-1
- rights of States, consent 90, 103
- state immunity, classification of acts as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis* 8-9, 29-30, 54-9
- State withdrawal, whether unilateral act 92, 104
- subjective method 324-33
- supplementary means 318, 336-7
- textual or literal rule 321-4
- unincorporated treaties, obligations under 435-6
- VCLT by article
  - 26, *pacta sunt servanda* 319-21

**treaty interpretation** (cont.)

- 27, internal law cannot be used to justify failure to perform treaty obligations 87
- 30, *lex posteriori* principle 499, 514
- 31, general rules of interpretation 318, 493, 498-9
- 31(1), good faith interpretation 54-6, 419
- 31(3)(c), applicability of international law 8-9, 29-30, 54-9
- 32, supplementary means of interpretation 318
- 39, amendment of multilateral treaties 345
- VCLT, good faith interpretation 493, 498-9
- VCLT, *lex posteriori* principle 514

**Treaty of Guarantee between UK, Turkey, Greece and Cyprus (1960)**

- background 432
- prohibition of acts directly or indirectly promoting recognition 431
- whether duties incorporated into domestic law 435-6

**Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus**

- applicability of Act of Accession to European Union (2003) Protocol 10 445, 466-8
- international status of 448
- jurisdiction
  - habitual residence for care proceedings: see *Local Authority B v. X, V and T*
  - mutual legal assistance 448-9: see also *R (Akarcay) v. Chief Constable of West Yorkshire Police*
- non-recognition of, jurisdiction over individuals from non-recognized states in criminal investigations: see *R (Akarcay) v. Chief Constable of the West Yorkshire Police*
- real property rights 467
- recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments
  - Orams v. Apostilides (British Residents' Association Intervening)* 466-8
- rights of EU citizens and their family members to move and reside freely, applicability to TRNC 461-2

**UN Charter (1945), purposes and principles of the UN 122****UN Charter (1945), by section**

- 1(1) 122
- 1(3) 122

**UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property (2004)**

- overview 609
- whether provisions represent CIL binding on States 537, 560-5, 610

**UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property (2004),****Article 22, service of process 560-1****UN Convention on Rights of the Child (1989)**

- diplomatic immunity and child protection proceedings, relevance to: see *London Borough of Barnet v. AG and Foreign Secretary*
- relationship between international and domestic law 500-1
- travaux préparatoires*, diplomatic children, position of 503-4

**UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2007), Article 25, rights to lands, territories, waters and coastal seas and other resources 370****UN Declaration on the Rights of the Child (1959), Principle 2, special protection and opportunities 501****United Nations Security Council Resolutions**

- 541 (1983), Regarding non-recognition of Northern Cyprus 432
- 550 (1984), Regarding non-recognition of Northern Cyprus 432

- 1373 (2001), Threats to international peace and security caused by terrorist acts, State obligations regarding refugees 123-32
- 1377 (2001), Threats to international peace and security caused by terrorist acts, prevention of financing of terrorist acts 123-32
- 1624 (2005), Threats to international peace and security caused by terrorist acts, State obligations regarding terrorism prevention 123-4, 132-3
- 2178 (2014), Threats to international peace and security caused by terrorist acts, State obligations regarding prevention of terrorism 124, 136
- United States, diplomatic service of process rules and practices** 605-6
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948), Article 25(1), right to food** 239

**Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961)**

declaration of incompatibility with ECHR/HRA: see *London Borough of Barnet v. AG and Foreign Secretary*

whether taking action to protect diplomats' children breach of: see *London Borough of Barnet v. AG and Foreign Secretary*

**Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961), by article**

- 22, inviolability of mission premises 489
- 29, inviolability of diplomatic agents 489
- 30, inviolability of diplomatic residences 489
- 31, immunity from civil and criminal proceedings 489
- 32, waiver of immunity 490
- 37, immunity of family members and household staff 490
- 39, duration of entitlement 490

