Short Report



Longitudinal changes in cardiac mIBG scintigraphy in mild cognitive impairment with Lewy bodies

Gemma Greenfinch, Calum A. Hamilton, Paul C. Donaghy, Michael Firbank, Nicola A. Barnett, Louise Allan, George S. Petrides, John-Paul Taylor, John T. O'Brien and Alan J. Thomas

The aim of this study was to determine whether there was a significant change in cardiac [123I]-metaiodobenzylguanidine uptake between baseline and follow-up in individuals with mild cognitive impairment with Lewy bodies (MCI-LB) who had normal baseline scans. Eight participants with a diagnosis of probable MCI-LB and a normal baseline scan consented to a follow-up scan between 2 and 4 years after baseline. All eight repeat scans remained normal; however, in three cases uptake decreased by more than 10%. The mean change in uptake between baseline and repeat was -5.2% (range: -23.8% to +7.0%). The interpolated mean annual change in uptake was -1.6%.

Keywords

Cardiac mIBG; sympathetic innervation; DLB; MCI-LB; Lewy body disease.

Copyright and usage

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Molecular imaging using [123I]-metaiodobenzylguanidine cardiac scintigraphy (cardiac mIBG) can demonstrate the integrity of myocardial post-ganglionic sympathetic nerve terminals. Uptake of the mIBG tracer in the myocardium has been shown to be reduced in patients with Lewy body diseases, including dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB)^{1,2} and mild cognitive impairment with Lewy bodies (MCI-LB),³ and is a diagnostic biomarker in consensus criteria for both disease stages.

We previously reported that 22 of 37 participants with probable MCI-LB in the Newcastle SUPErB study had reduced cardiac mIBG uptake.⁴ The remaining 15 participants meeting criteria for probable MCI-LB had baseline cardiac mIBG uptake within two standard deviations of that of healthy controls. Nine of these participants had baseline dopaminergic brain imaging within normal limits; six had abnormal results.

In this study, we rescanned participants with probable MCI-LB from the Newcastle SUPErB study who had normal cardiac mIBG uptake at baseline, after an interval of at least 2 years. We aimed to determine whether there was a significant change in cardiac mIBG uptake at follow-up and to identify the proportion of scans that changed from normal at baseline to abnormal at follow-up.

Method

Participants

The methods used for recruitment and assessment of participants have been detailed previously.⁴ Participants with a diagnosis of probable MCI-LB who had normal baseline cardiac mIBG and who still met criteria for probable MCI-LB at follow-up were approached and asked to consent to a repeat scan. One participant was on a different serotonin–norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor at repeat scan compared with baseline; all other participants had no changes in medications known to interfere with mIBG uptake.⁵

Ethics statement

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. All participants gave written informed consent to participate. The study received ethical approval from the National Research Ethics Service Committee North East Newcastle & North Tyneside 2 (ID 15/NE/ 0420).

The lead author, G.G., affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

Diagnosis

Consensus diagnoses of probable MCI-LB, possible MCI-LB or MCI due to Alzheimer's disease (MCI-AD) were made blinded to cardiac mIBG results, as described in our previous publications.^{4,6} Briefly, probable MCI-LB was diagnosed in participants with either two or more core features of Lewy body disease or at least one core feature with abnormal dopaminergic imaging. Participants were grouped as possible MCI-LB if they presented with only one core feature or abnormal dopaminergic imaging. Participants were grouped as MCI-AD if they had no core features, normal dopaminergic imaging and a decline characteristic of Alzheimer's disease.

Imaging

Cardiac mIBG scans were acquired using the same procedure and scanner as at baseline.^{4,6} Briefly, this consisted of a 111 MBq [123I]-mIBG injection and planar imaging at 3–4 h following injection using medium energy collimators. The heart to mediastinum ratio (HMR) at baseline and follow-up was calculated using the processing method developed in previous work.⁷ The baseline and follow-up images were processed at the same time, by the same operator (G.G.).

Analysis

HMR values were compared between baseline and repeat using a paired *t*-test, and the mean difference was recorded, with *P*-value and 95% confidence interval. The proportion of participants with repeat HMRs below the normal threshold of 1.85 was also recorded. The mean annual change in uptake was calculated using linear interpolation.

Results

Of the 15 participants with probable MCI-LB and normal scans, four could not be approached for a repeat scan as they had progressed to DLB (an endpoint within the main study criteria). One participant had died, one had withdrawn from the study and one participant was unwilling to attend owing to concerns about contracting COVID-19. The remaining eight participants were scanned between November 2020 and June 2021 (Table 1).

The mean change in HMR between baseline and repeat was -5.2% (range: -23.8% to +7.0%; Table 1). The interpolated mean change over a 12-month period was -1.6%. No significant change was found between baseline and repeat HMR (P = 0.22), and none of the participants had abnormal follow-up mIBG scans. Three of the eight participants had an HMR change greater than 10% (all lower at follow-up).

Discussion

In our previous publication, we reported that 41% of probable MCI-LB participants had normal cardiac mIBG scans at baseline; that is, they had an HMR within two standard deviations of the mean of local normal controls.³ Eight of these participants consented to be rescanned between 2 and 4 years later, and all eight scans remained within normal limits. The participant with the greatest change in uptake (-24%) had had a pacemaker fitted between baseline and follow-up. However, this is not expected to reduce sympathetic innervation or attenuate tracer signal.

The annual mean change in uptake in our participants was -1.6%, a lower rate of change than that seen in patients with Parkinson's disease. Watanabe et al⁸ conducted baseline and repeat cardiac mIBG imaging in 44 patients with Parkinson's disease, with a mean interval of 9 months. The mean change in cardiac uptake was -2.9%. However, as expected for a Parkinson's disease cohort, most scans showed low or absent uptake at baseline. Lamotte et al⁹ repeated [18F]-dopamine positron emission tomography in 31 participants with Parkinson's disease with a median follow-up of 3.5 years. They reported a mean annual change in cardiac uptake of -3% in patients with Parkinson's disease with orthostatic hypotension and -4% in Parkinson's disease patients without. We found no previous studies assessing change in cardiac sympathetic imaging in patients with either MCI-LB or DLB, so this study provides pilot data to inform the direction of future repeatability studies.

To estimate the longitudinal variability, we assumed that any increases in cardiac uptake in our study were random and reflected the repeatability. Two participants had increases in HMR, both of 7%. One participant, whose HMR dropped by 13%, has recently been assessed as not having a neurodegenerative disease after all. Excluding the two participants with large decreases in uptake of 19 and 24%, the mean change was 6%. This suggests that, in practice, there could be more variation in follow-up cardiac mIBG scanning than the 4% found by Bateman et al¹⁰ with repeat scanning after 1–2 weeks. In our recent publication on serial dopaminergic imaging,¹¹ we also demonstrated higher test–retest repeatability than previously reported. This may have been because in our study repeat scans were done years after baseline and were designed to mimic typical clinical scans rather than following an unrealistic tightly controlled research protocol.

For five participants, there was no evidence of any decrease in cardiac mIBG uptake. These individuals may have no involvement of the cardiac sympathetic nervous system, or perhaps mild denervation that cannot yet be detected. The sensitivity of cardiac mIBG is

Table 1 Participant diagnostic	features and imaging bi	omarker results						
	Pt 1	Pt 2	Pt 3	Pt 4	Pt 5	Pt 6	Pt 7	Pt 8
Age at baseline, years	68	69	60	69	82	72	78	76
Sex, male/female	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male
Diagnosis at baseline	Prob MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB	MCI-AD	Prob MCI-LB	Possible MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB
Diagnosis at most recent annual clinical follow-up	Non-degenerative MCI	Prob MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB	Prob MCI-LB
Time between baseline and repeat mIBG. months	49	27	38	36	37	57	52	27
Baseline core features	Cognitive fluctuations; RBD	Visual hallucinations; RBD	Cognitive fluctuations; RBD	None	Parkinsonism	RBD	Cognitive fluctuations; RBD	Parkinsonism; RBD
Core features at follow-up	n/a	Cognitive fluctuations; RBD	Cognitive fluctuations; RBD	Visual hallucinations; parkinsonism	Cognitive fluctuations; parkinsonism	Cognitive fluctuations; RBD	Cognitive fluctuations; RBD	Parkinsonism; RBD
New features since baseline? Potentially interacting medication	n/a ¹³	No change	No change	Two new features	One new feature	One new feature	No change	No change
Baseline	Amlodipine	Venlafaxine	Nil	Mirtazapine, amlodipine	Venlafaxine	Citalopram	Tramadol	Nil
Repeat	No change	Duloxetine	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change
Baseline mIBG HMR	3.25	2.53	3.04	2.13	2.58	2.79	2.52	3.69
Follow-up mIBG HMR	2.82	2.05	3.25	2.28	2.64	2.82	1.92	3.57
Percentage change	-13%	-19%	+7%	+7%	+2%	+1%	-24%	-3%
Baseline FP-CIT rating	Normal	Abnormal	Normal	Normal	Abnormal	Normal	Normal	Abnormal
Follow-up FP-CIT rating	Normal	Normal	Normal	Abnormal	Abnormal	Normal	Normal	Not done
FP-CIT, [123]]N-ω-fluoropropyI-2β-carbor Pt, participant.	methoxy-3β-(4-iodophenyl)nor	rtropane ([1231]Ioflupane); HMF	R, heart to mediastinum ratio;	MCI-LB, mild cognitive impairmen	t with Lewy bodies; mIBG, [1231]-me	etaiodobenzylguanidine; RBD	, rapid eye movement sleep l	behaviour disorder;

known to be higher at the dementia stage.² Raffel et al postulated that the molecular structure of the tracer makes cardiac mIBG imaging insensitive to mild to moderate changes in innervation.^{12,13} This suggests that planar [123I]-mIBG imaging may not be suitable for detecting subtle early changes in cardiac sympathetic function.

The key limitation of this extension to our main study was that we had no definitive reference standard for Lewy body disease, as no participants have yet donated brain tissue. Three participants had core clinical features of MCI-LB but normal scans at both baseline and follow-up. Their continued follow-up is of particular interest. Further limitations include the small all-male sample and that participants who had progressed to dementia could not be included. One participant was on a different antidepressant medication at repeat imaging compared with baseline; however, these were both the same serotonin–noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor class.

In conclusion, a decline in cardiac mIBG uptake compared with normal baseline imaging is seen in some individuals with MCI-LB, but the rate of change appears to be small. In our study, all follow-up scans remained within normal limits.

Gemma Greenfinch (), Newcastle University Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle, UK; The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; and University College London Hospital, London, UK; Calum A. Hamilton (), Newcastle University Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle, UK; Paul C. Donaghy, Newcastle University Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle, UK; Michael Firbank, Newcastle University Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle, UK; Nicola A. Barnett, Newcastle University Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle, UK; George S. Petrides, Nuclear Medicine Department, The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; John-Paul Taylor, Newcastle University Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle, UK; John T. O'Brien (), University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge, UK; Alan J. Thomas, Newcastle University Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle, UK

Correspondence: Gemma Greenfinch (née Roberts). Email: Gemma.roberts@newcastle.ac.uk

First received 16 Sep 2023, final revision 8 Mar 2024, accepted 5 Jun 2024

Data availability

The data and materials that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, G.G., upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

We thank the people living with mild cognitive impairment who participated in this study and their family members for giving up so much of their time. We thank Helen Kain, research support secretary, for her orgoing support with patient liaison and data entry for the study; the Nuclear Medicine department at the Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust for scanning the participants and reporting scans; and the staff of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Clinical Research Network North East and Cumbria for their invaluable support with participant recruitment for this study.

Author contributions

G.G.: conception, organisation and execution of the research project; design and execution of the statistical analysis; and writing of the first draft of the manuscript. C.A.H.: organisation and execution of the research project; review and critique of the manuscript. P.C.D.: execution of the research project; review and critique of the statistical analysis; and review and critique of the manuscript. M.F.: conception of the research project; design, review and critique of the manuscript. M.F.: conception of the research project; design, review and critique of the manuscript. M.F.: conception and review and critique of the manuscript. N.A.B.: organisation and execution of the research project; design and review and critique of the statistical analysis; and review and critique of the manuscript. G.S.P.: conception and execution of the research project; design and review and critique of the manuscript. J.-P.T.: conception and execution of the manuscript. J.T.O.: conception and execution of the research project; design and review and critique of the manuscript. J.T.O.: conception and execution of the manuscript. A.J.T.: conception and execution of the manuscript. A.J.T.: conception and execution of the manuscript. A.J.T.: conception and execution of the manuscript.

Funding

The SUPErB study was funded by a major project research grant from Alzheimer's Research UK (ARUK-PG2015-13, principal investigator: A.J.T.) and the amendment for repeat scans by an ARUK local network grant (principal investigator: G.G.). Infrastructure support was provided to authors based at Newcastle University by the NIHR Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre, a partnership between Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Newcastle University. J.T.O. is supported by the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre and the Cambridge Centre for Parkinson's Plus. P.C.D. is supported by the Medical Research Council (grant number MR/W000229/1).

Declaration of interest

G.G. has received honoraria from GE Healthcare for educational presentations; G.S.P. has received honoraria from GE Healthcare for educational presentations and fees for reporting educational presentations on Lewy body disease and has consulted for Sosei-Heptares and Kyowa-Kirin. J.T.O. has no conflicts related to this work. Outside this work, he has acted as a consultant for TauRx, Novo Nordisk, Biogen, Roche, Lilly and GE Healthcare and has received grant or academic support from Avd/Lilly, Merck and Alliance Medical. A.J.T. has received support for investigator-led studies and honoraria from GE Healthcare.

References

- 1 McKeith IG, Boeve BF, Dickson DW, Halliday G, Taylor J-P, Weintraub D, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: fourth consensus report of the DLB consortium. *Neurology* 2017; 89(1): 88–100.
- 2 Komatsu J, Samuraki M, Nakajima K, Arai H, Arai H, Arai T, et al. ¹²³I-mIBG myocardial scintigraphy for the diagnosis of DLB: a multicentre 3-year follow-up study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2018; 89(11): 1167–73.
- 3 McKeith IG, Ferman TJ, Thomas AJ, Blanc F, Boeve BF, Fujishiro H, et al. Research criteria for the diagnosis of prodromal dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology* 2020; 94(17): 743–55.
- 4 Roberts G, Durcan R, Donaghy PC, Lawley S, Ciafone J, Hamilton CA, et al. Accuracy of cardiac innervation scintigraphy for mild cognitive impairment with Lewy bodies. *Neurology* 2021; 96(23): e2801–11.
- 5 Jacobson AF, Travin MI. Impact of medications on mIBG uptake, with specific attention to the heart: comprehensive review of the literature. *Nucl Cardiol* 2015; 22(5): 980–93.
- 6 Roberts G, Lloyd JJ, Kane JPM, Durcan R, Lawley S, Howe K, et al. Cardiac ¹²³ImIBG normal uptake values are population-specific: results from a cohort of controls over 60 years of age. J Nucl Cardiol 2021; 28(4): 1692–701.
- 7 Roberts G, Kane JPM, Lloyd JJ, Petrides GS, Howe K, O'Brien JT, et al. A comparison of visual and semiquantitative analysis methods for planar cardiac ¹²³I-mIBG scintigraphy in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Nucl Med Commun* 2019; 40(7): 734–43.
- 8 Watanabe M, Takeda T, Nakamagoe K, Tamaoka A. Sequential imaging analysis using mIBG scintigraphy revealed progressive degeneration of cardiac sympathetic nerve in Parkinson's disease. *Eur J Neurol* 2011; 18(7): 1010–3.
- 9 Lamotte G, Holmes C, Wu T, Goldstein DS. Long-term trends in myocardial sympathetic innervation and function in synucleinopathies. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2019; 67: 27–33.
- 10 Bateman TM, Ananthasubramaniam K, Berman DS, Gerson M, Gropler R, Henzlova M, et al. Reliability of the 123I-mIBG heart/mediastinum ratio: results of a multicenter test-retest reproducibility study. J Nucl Cardiol 2019; 26(5): 1555–65.
- 11 Durcan R, Roberts G, Hamilton CA, Donaghy PC, Howe K, Colloby SJ, et al. Serial nigrostriatal dopaminergic imaging in mild cognitive impairment with Lewy bodies, Alzheimer disease, and age-matched controls. *Neurology* 2023; 101(12): e1196–205.
- 12 Raffel DM, Jung YW, Gildersleeve DL, Sherman PS, Moskwa JJ, Tluczek LJ, et al. Radiolabeled phenethylguanidines: novel imaging agents for cardiac sympathetic neurons and adrenergic tumors. J Med Chem 2007; 50(9): 2078–88.
- 13 Raffel DM, Koeppe RA, Jung YW, Gu G, Jang KS, Sherman PS, et al. Quantification of cardiac sympathetic nerve density with N-¹¹C-guanyl-*meta*-octopamine and tracer kinetic analysis. J Nucl Med 2013; 54(9): 1645–52.

