



# The Sizes of Rearrangements of Cantor Sets

Kathryn E. Hare, Franklin Mendivil, and Leandro Zuberan

*Abstract.* A linear Cantor set  $C$  with zero Lebesgue measure is associated with the countable collection of the bounded complementary open intervals. A *rearrangement* of  $C$  has the same lengths of its complementary intervals, but with different locations. We study the Hausdorff and packing  $h$ -measures and dimensional properties of the set of all rearrangements of some given  $C$  for general dimension functions  $h$ . For each set of complementary lengths, we construct a Cantor set rearrangement which has the maximal Hausdorff and the minimal packing  $h$ -premeasure, up to a constant. We also show that if the packing measure of this Cantor set is positive, then there is a rearrangement which has infinite packing measure.

## 1 Introduction

Given  $E$ , a compact subset of the real line contained in the interval  $I$ , its complement  $I \setminus E$  is the union of a countable collection of open intervals, say

$$I \setminus E = \bigcup_j A_j.$$

Clearly the intervals  $A_j$  determine  $E$  but, surprisingly, some geometric information is obtainable from knowing only the lengths (for example, the pre-packing (upper-box) dimension, see [6]) and not the positioning of the  $A_j$ 's.

In this paper we are interested in singular sets, so we assume that the Lebesgue measure of  $E$  is zero. Furthermore, for simplicity, we assume that the endpoints of  $I$  are contained in  $E$  so that  $|I| = |E|$  (where by  $|S|$  we mean the diameter of  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ ). These two assumptions imply that  $\sum_n a_n = |I|$ , where  $a_n = |A_n|$ .

For a given positive, summable and non-increasing sequence  $a = (a_n)$  there are many possible linear closed sets  $E$  such that the complementary intervals have lengths given by the terms of the sequence. Such a rearrangement  $E$  will be said to *belong to the sequence*  $(a_j)$  or  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a(I)$  (or shortly,  $\mathcal{C}_a$ ). Our main interest lies in the properties of the collection  $\mathcal{C}_a$  for a fixed sequence  $a$ , particularly in the dimensional behaviour as we range over  $\mathcal{C}_a$ .

These sets were first studied by Borel [1] and Besicovitch and Taylor [2]. In their seminal paper, Besicovitch and Taylor studied the  $s$ -Hausdorff dimension and measures of these cut-out sets. In particular, they proved that

$$(1.1) \quad \{\dim_H(E) : E \in \mathcal{C}_a\} \text{ is a closed interval}$$

Received by the editors July 19, 2010; revised March 11, 2011.

Published electronically August 31, 2011.

The first two authors were partially supported by NSERC. The third author was partially supported by CONICET (PIP112-200801-00398).

AMS subject classification: 28A78, 28A80.

Keywords: Hausdorff dimension, packing dimension, dimension functions, Cantor sets, cut-out set.

and constructed a Cantor set  $C_a \in \mathcal{C}_a$ , as described below, with maximal Hausdorff dimension and measure. Cabrelli et al. [4] and Garcia et al. [9] continued this study and, among other things, constructed a concave dimension function  $h$  so that  $C_a$  is an  $h$ -set (that is,  $0 < \mathcal{H}^h(C_a) \leq \mathcal{P}^h(C_a) < \infty$ ). Xiong and Wu [19] showed that  $\mathcal{C}_a$  is a compact metric space under the Hausdorff distance  $\rho$  and studied density-type properties in  $(\mathcal{C}_a(I), \rho)$ . Lapidus and co-workers (see [10, 13] and the references therein) studied these sets under the name “fractal strings” and were especially interested in inverse spectral problems and a surprising relationship with the Riemann zeta function and the Riemann Hypothesis.

We prove a generalization of (1.1) for arbitrary dimension functions  $h$  for both Hausdorff and packing measures. In contrast to the Besicovitch and Taylor result for Hausdorff measure and despite the fact that the (pre)packing dimension of the Cantor set  $C_a$  is maximal over all  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$ , we show that  $C_a$  has the minimal packing  $h$ -premeasure of the sets in  $\mathcal{C}_a$  (up to a constant). Furthermore, if the packing  $h$ -measure of  $C_a$  is positive (such as if  $h(x) = x^s$  when  $C_a$  is an  $s$ -set), then there is some rearrangement  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  with infinite packing  $h$ -measure. In fact,  $\{\mathcal{P}^h(E) : E \in \mathcal{C}_a\}$  is either equal to  $\{0\}$  or is equal to  $[0, \infty]$ . Finally, we also generalize a density result from [19] to arbitrary dimension functions.

## 2 Notation

### 2.1 The Sets $C_a$ and $D_a$

There are two sets belonging to a given sequence  $a = (a_n)$  to which we will often refer.

One is built using a Cantor construction and will be denoted by  $C_a$ . We begin with a closed interval  $I$  of length  $\sum a_n$  and remove from it an open interval with length  $a_1$ . This leaves two closed intervals,  $I_1^1$  and  $I_2^1$ , called the *intervals of step one*. If we have constructed  $\{I_j^k\}_{1 \leq j \leq 2^k}$ , the intervals of step  $k$ , we remove from each interval  $I_j^k$  an open interval of length  $a_{2^k+j-1}$ , obtaining two closed intervals of step  $k + 1$ , namely  $I_{2j-1}^{k+1}$  and  $I_{2j}^{k+1}$ . We define

$$C_a := \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 2^k} I_j^k.$$

This process uniquely determines the set  $C_a$ . For instance, the position of the first interval to be removed (of length  $a_1$ ) is uniquely determined by the property that the length of the remaining interval on the left is  $a_2 + a_4 + a_8 + \dots$ . The classical middle-third Cantor set is the set  $C_a$  associated with the sequence  $a = (a_n)$ , where  $a_j = 3^{-n}$  if  $2^{n-1} \leq j < 2^n$ .

The set  $C_a$  is compact, perfect and totally disconnected. The average length of a step  $k$  interval is  $r_{2^k}/2^k$ , where  $r_n = \sum_{i \geq n} a_i$ . Since the sequence  $(a_n)$  is decreasing, any interval of step  $k - 1$  has length at least the average length at step  $k$ , and this, in turn, is at least the length of any interval of step  $k + 1$ .

The other important set in the class  $\mathcal{C}_a(I)$  is a countable set that will be denoted by  $D_a$ . If  $I = [\alpha, \beta]$ , where  $\beta = \alpha + \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j$ , and  $x_n = \sum_{j \leq n} a_j$ , then

$$D_a := \{\alpha\} \cup \{\alpha + x_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\beta\}.$$

### 2.2 Dimension Functions

We will say that  $h: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *dimension function* if  $h$  is increasing, continuous, doubling, i.e.,  $h(2x) \leq c h(x)$ , and satisfies  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} h(x) = 0$ . The class of dimension functions will be denoted  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Given two dimension functions  $g, h$ , we say  $g \prec h$  if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t)/g(t) = 0$  and  $g \sim h$  (and say  $g$  is comparable to  $h$ ) if there are positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that  $c_1 h(t) \leq g(t) \leq c_2 h(t)$  for  $t$  small. We will write  $g \preceq h$  if either  $g \prec h$  or  $g \sim h$ .

### 2.3 Hausdorff and Packing $h$ -Measures

For any dimension function  $h$ , the *Hausdorff  $h$ -measure*  $\mathcal{H}^h$  can be defined in a similar fashion to the familiar Hausdorff measure (see [15]). Given  $E$ , a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $|E|$  its diameter. A  $\delta$ -covering of  $E$  is a countable family of subsets with diameters at most  $\delta$ , whose union contains  $E$ . Define

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^h(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} h(|E_i|) : (E_i) \text{ is a } \delta\text{-covering of } E \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}^h(E) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\delta^h(E).$$

The  $h$ -packing measure and premeasure can be defined similarly (see [17]). A  $\delta$ -packing of a set  $E$  is a disjoint family of open intervals, centred at points in  $E$ , and with diameters at most  $\delta$ . Define

$$\mathcal{P}_\delta^h(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} h(|E_i|) : (E_i) \text{ is a } \delta\text{-packing of } E \right\}.$$

The  $h$ -packing premeasure  $\mathcal{P}_0^h$  is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_0^h(E) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{P}_\delta^h(E).$$

As  $\mathcal{P}_0^h$  is not a measure, we also define the  $h$ -packing measure of  $E$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^h(E)$ , as

$$\mathcal{P}^h(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_i \mathcal{P}_0^h(E_i) : E = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{P}^h(E) \leq \mathcal{P}_0^h(E)$  for any set  $E$  and since  $h$  is doubling,  $\mathcal{H}^h(E) \leq \mathcal{P}^h(E)$  ([16]).

In the special case when  $h_s(x) = x^s$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^{h_s}$  is the usual  $s$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure and similarly for the  $s$ -packing (pre)measure.

For a given set  $E$  put

$$N(E, \varepsilon) = \min \left\{ k : E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k B(x_i, \varepsilon) \right\},$$

$$P(E, \varepsilon) = \max \left\{ k : \exists \text{ disjoint } (B(x_i, \varepsilon))_{i=1}^k \text{ with } x_i \in E \right\}.$$

Elementary geometric reasoning shows that for any set  $E$

$$(2.1) \quad N(E, 2\varepsilon) \leq P(E, \varepsilon) \leq N(E, \varepsilon/2).$$

Furthermore, it is obvious that

$$\mathcal{H}^h(E) \leq \liminf_r N(E, r)h(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_0^h(E) \geq \limsup_r P(E, r)h(r).$$

Also, if  $f \preceq h$ , then for any set  $E$  there is a constant  $c$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^h(E) \leq c\mathcal{H}^f(E)$ , and similarly for packing (pre)measures.

The upper box dimension of  $E$  is given by

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(N(E, r))}{-\log r} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(P(E, r))}{-\log r}$$

and is known to coincide with the pre-packing dimension of  $E$ , i.e., the index given by the formula  $\inf\{s : \mathcal{P}_0^s(E) = 0\}$  ([17]).

### 3 Hausdorff Measures of Rearrangements

In [2], Besicovitch and Taylor gave bounds for the Hausdorff  $s$ -measures of Cantor sets  $C_a$  in terms of the asymptotic rate of decay of the tail sums,

$$r_n = \sum_{i \geq n} a_i,$$

of the sequence. In [9], those estimates were extended to  $h$ -Hausdorff and packing premeasures.

**Theorem 3.1** ([9]) *Suppose  $h \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then*

- (i)  $1/4 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nh(r_n/n) \leq \mathcal{H}^h(C_a) \leq 4 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nh(r_n/n)$ ,
- (ii)  $1/8 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} nh(r_n/n) \leq \mathcal{P}_0^h(C_a) \leq 8 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} nh(r_n/n)$ .

A set  $E$  is called an  $s$ -set if  $0 < \mathcal{H}^s(E) \leq \mathcal{P}^s(E) < \infty$ . Although not all Cantor sets  $C_a$  are  $s$ -sets, Cabrelli et al. [4] proved that for any non-increasing sequence  $(a_n)$  there is a concave function  $h_a \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $h_a(r_n/n) \sim 1/n$ . Thus  $C_a$  is an  $h_a$ -set. Any function with the property  $h(r_n/n) \sim 1/n$  is called an *associated dimension function* and all associated dimension functions for a given sequence  $a$  are comparable. The set  $C_a$  has Hausdorff and packing  $h$ -premeasure finite and positive if and only if  $h$  is an associated function [3].

Given  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $E(\varepsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - y| < \varepsilon \text{ for some } y \in E\}$ . Falconer [6, 3.17] observed that if  $E, E' \in \mathcal{C}_a$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(E(\varepsilon)) = \mathcal{L}(E'(\varepsilon))$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the Lebesgue measure. Observe that any union of  $\varepsilon$ -balls with centres in  $E$  is contained in  $E(\varepsilon)$  and any union of  $2\varepsilon$ -balls covers  $E(\varepsilon)$  if the union of the  $\varepsilon$ -balls with the same centres covers  $E$ . Thus we have

$$(3.1) \quad P(E, r)2r \leq \mathcal{L}(E(r)) \leq N(E, r)4r.$$

Combining (2.1) and (3.1) gives the following useful geometric fact.

**Lemma 3.2** For any  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P(C_a, \varepsilon) \leq 2N(E, \varepsilon) \leq 2P(E, \varepsilon/2) \leq 4N(C_a, \varepsilon/2).$$

Besicovitch and Taylor [2] showed that  $C_a$  has maximal  $\mathcal{H}^s$  measure in  $\mathcal{C}_a$ . Our first result extends this (up to a constant) for arbitrary  $h$ . We remark that if  $h$  is assumed to be concave, the same arguments as given in [2] show that  $\mathcal{H}^h(E) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nh(r_n/n)$  for any  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$ .

**Proposition 3.3** If  $h \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^h(E) \leq c\mathcal{H}^h(C_a)$ , where  $c$  depends only on the doubling constant of  $h$ .

**Proof** Since  $h$  is a doubling function, the lemma above together with the definitions of  $\mathcal{H}^h$  and  $N(E, r)$  imply

$$\mathcal{H}^h(E) \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} N(E, r)h(r) \leq c \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} N(C_a, r)h(r).$$

Temporarily fix  $r > 0$  and choose  $n$  such that

$$\frac{r_{2^{n-1}}}{2^{n-1}} \geq r \geq \frac{r_{2^n}}{2^n}.$$

Since the length of any Cantor interval at step  $n + 1$  is at most the average of the lengths of the step  $n$  intervals, the  $2^{n+1}$  intervals centred at the right end points of the Cantor intervals of step  $n + 1$  and radii  $r_{2^n}/2^n$  cover  $C_a$ . Thus  $N(C_a, r) \leq 2^{n+1}$  and hence

$$N(C_a, r)h(r) \leq 2^{n+1}h\left(\frac{r_{2^{n-1}}}{2^{n-1}}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 2^{n-1}h\left(\frac{r_{2^{n-1}}}{2^{n-1}}\right).$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 implies

$$\mathcal{H}^h(C_a) \geq \frac{1}{4} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n h\left(\frac{r_{2^n}}{2^n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{16} \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} N(C_a, r)h(r) \geq \frac{1}{16c} \mathcal{H}^h(E). \quad \blacksquare$$

**Remark 3.4** If  $C_a$  corresponds to a middle- $\tau$  Cantor set, then  $\mathcal{H}^s(C_a) = 1 = \liminf_n n(r_n/n)^s$ , where  $s = -\log 2 / \log(\tau)$ . Thus the comment immediately before the proposition shows we may take  $c = 1$  in the proposition and  $C_a$  has the maximal  $\mathcal{H}^s$  measure amongst  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  in this case. For the general case, it is unknown what the minimal constant  $c$  is and which set  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  (if any) has the maximum Hausdorff measure.

Besicovitch and Taylor [2] also show that if  $s < \dim_H C_a$ , then for any  $\gamma \geq 0$  there is a rearrangement  $E$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \gamma$ . We extend this result to dimension functions and also prove that, in addition,  $E$  can be chosen to be perfect.

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $I$  be an interval with  $|I| = \sum a_i$ . If  $h \prec h_a$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$ , then there is a perfect set  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a(I)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^h(E) = \gamma$ .

**Proof** As shown in [3], the assumption  $h \prec h_a$  implies that  $\mathcal{H}^h(C_a) = \infty$ , thus by [12] there exists a closed subset  $E \subset C_a$  with  $\mathcal{H}^h(E) = \gamma$ . The set  $E$  might not be perfect or belong to the sequence  $(a_n)$ , so we will modify it in order to obtain the desired properties.

Since both  $E$  and  $C_a$  are closed, there are collections of open intervals  $A_j$  and  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$  such that

$$I \setminus C_a = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i \quad I \setminus E = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} (\alpha_j, \beta_j).$$

Fix  $j \geq 1$  and define  $\Lambda_j = \{i : (\alpha_j, \beta_j) \supset A_i\}$ . Of course,  $\sum_{i \in \Lambda_j} |A_i| = \sum_{i \in \Lambda_j} a_i = \beta_j - \alpha_j$ . Since  $C_a$  is perfect,  $\Lambda_j$  is either a singleton or infinite. In the first case the length of the gap  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$  is a term of the sequence  $(a_n)$ .

If, instead,  $\Lambda_j$  is infinite, consider the terms  $\{a_i : i \in \Lambda_j\}$  in decreasing order and call this subsequence  $a^{(j)}$ . For each fixed  $j$ , we will decompose the subsequence  $a^{(j)}$  into countably many subsubsequences  $a^{(j,k)}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

First, fix a sequence  $d_n$  such that  $h(d_n) \leq n^{-2}$ . We start by defining  $a^{(j,1)}$  and begin by putting  $a_1^{(j,1)} = a_1^{(j)}$ . Assume  $a_i^{(j,1)}$  are defined for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$  and  $a_{m-1}^{(j,1)} = a_{N'}^{(j)}$ . Pick the first integer  $N > N'$  satisfying  $a_N^{(j)} \leq d_m - d_{m+1}$  and define  $a_m^{(j,1)} = a_{N+m}^{(j)}$ . (We do not just take  $a_N^{(j)}$  in order to have enough terms to build  $a^{(j,k)}$  for  $k \geq 1$ .)

Now inductively assume  $a^{(j,k)}$  have been defined for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . We let  $a_1^{(j,m)}$  be the first term of  $a^{(j)}$  that was not picked in  $a^{(j,k)}$  for  $k < m$ . If also the terms  $a_i^{(j,m)}$  are defined for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l-1$ , pick  $N$  to be the first integer satisfying:

- (i)  $a_N^{(j)}$  is not an element of one of the sequences  $a^{(j,k)}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ , that have already been defined;
- (ii)  $N > N'$ , where  $N'$  is defined by  $a_{l-1}^{(j,m)} = a_{N'}^{(j)}$ ;
- (iii)  $a_N^{(j)} \leq d_l - d_{l+1}$ .

Then put  $a_l^{(j,m)} = a_k^{(j)}$ , where  $k \geq N+l$  is the minimal index not already chosen. Note that the union of  $a^{(j,k)}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  is  $a^{(j)}$  and by (iii),

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nh \left( \sum_{i \geq n} a_i^{(j,k)} / n \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j, k.$$

Inside each interval  $[\alpha_j, \beta_j]$  consider the subintervals  $I_m^{(j)}$  with length equal to  $\sum_i a_i^{(j,m)}$ . Construct within each such subinterval the Cantor set  $C^{(j,m)}$  associated with the sequence  $a^{(j,m)}$ . By Theorem 3.1 we have  $\mathcal{H}^h(C^{(j,k)}) = 0$  for any pair  $(j, k)$ . The set  $E \cup (\bigcup_{j,m} C^{(j,m)})$  is perfect, belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_a(I)$  and has the same Hausdorff  $h$ -measure as  $E$ . ■

A direct consequence of this theorem is the following extension of Theorem 2 in [19]. Let  $\rho$  be the Hausdorff metric defined for compact subsets of the real line by

$$\rho(A, B) = \max \{ \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} d(x, y), \sup_{x \in B} \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y) \}.$$

In [19] it was shown that  $(\mathcal{C}_a(I), \rho)$  is compact.

**Corollary 3.6** *Let  $(a_n)$  be a decreasing, positive and summable sequence and let  $I$  be an interval with  $|I| = \sum a_k$ . If  $h \prec h_a$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$ , the set  $\Gamma = \{E \in \mathcal{C}_a(I) : \mathcal{H}^h(E) = \gamma\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}_a(I)$  with the Hausdorff metric.*

**Proof** Fix  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a(I)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As usual, assume  $I \setminus E = \bigcup_j A_j$  where the lengths of  $A_j$  are decreasing. There is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  (determined by  $n$  and  $E$ ) such that  $A_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, A_{\sigma(n)}$  are placed from left to right, meaning that if  $x_i \in A_{\sigma(i)}$ , then  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ . We define a subfamily of  $\mathcal{C}_a(I)$  by

$$\mathcal{C}_a^n(I) = \{F \in \mathcal{C}_a(I) : \text{if } x_i \in A_{\sigma(i)}^F, \text{ then } x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n\},$$

where  $\{A_i^F\}$  are the intervals (in order of decreasing lengths) whose union is the complement of  $F$ .

In [19] the authors proved that  $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}_a^n(I)) \leq 3r_{n+1}$ , thus it is enough to prove that  $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{C}_a^n(I) \neq \emptyset$ .

Put  $I = [\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\tilde{I} = [\alpha + \sum_{k=0}^n a_k, \beta]$ , and  $\tilde{a}_k = a_{n+k}$ . By Theorem 3.5, there is a set  $\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{a}}(\tilde{I})$  with  $\mathcal{H}^h(\tilde{E}) = \gamma$ . The set  $F = \{\alpha + \sum_{k=0}^j a_k : 0 \leq j \leq n\} \cup \tilde{E}$  belongs to  $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{C}_a^n(I)$ . ■

#### 4 Packing Measures and Packing Premeasures of Rearrangements

In contrast to the case for Hausdorff measure, it was shown in [6] that the pre-packing dimension is the same for any set  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$ . Furthermore, as we show next, the packing premeasure of the Cantor set is (up to a constant) the *least* premeasure of any set with the same gap lengths. This result is dual to Proposition 3.3.

**Proposition 4.1** *There is a constant  $c$  such that if  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(C_a) \leq c\mathcal{P}_0^h(E)$ .*

**Proof** Similar arguments to Proposition 3.3 show that

$$\mathcal{P}_0^h(C_a) \leq c \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} P(C_a, r)h(r).$$

But  $P(C_a, r) \leq 2P(E, r/2)$  for any  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  and for any set  $E$ ,  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} P(E, r)h(r)$  is a lower bound for  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(E)$ . Combine these observations. ■

As is the case with Hausdorff measures, the sharp value of  $c$  and the exact set from  $\mathcal{C}_a$  which minimizes  $\mathcal{P}_0^h$  is unknown, even in the case of the middle-third Cantor set  $C_a$ . It is known that  $4^s = \mathcal{P}^s(C_a) \neq \limsup n(r_n/n)^s = 1$ , where  $s = \log 2 / \log 3$  (see [7, 8]).

**Corollary 4.2** *If  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(C_a) > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(D_a) = \infty$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{P}_0^{h_a}(D_a) = \infty$ .*

**Proof** Since  $D_a$  is countable,  $\mathcal{P}^h(D_a) = 0$  (for any  $h$ ). By virtue of the previous proposition, for this particular  $h$  we have  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(D_a) > 0$ . It was proved in [18] that if  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(D_a) < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(D_a) \leq c\mathcal{P}^h(D_a)$  for a suitable constant  $c$ . But this is not the case. ■

From here on we will be more restrictive with the dimension functions and require, in addition, that they are subadditive, *i.e.*, there is a constant  $C$  such that  $h(x + y) \leq C(h(x) + h(y))$  for all  $x, y$ . Peetre showed that any function equivalent to a concave function is subadditive [14]. Since every sequence admits an associated dimension function that is concave [4], any function  $h$  which makes  $C_a$  an  $h$ -set will be subadditive.

**Lemma 4.3** *If  $h \in \mathcal{D}$  is subadditive, then  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(E) \leq 2\mathcal{P}_0^h(D_a)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$ .*

**Proof** Without loss of generality  $0 \in I$  and  $E = I \setminus \bigcup_{j \geq 1} A_j$  where  $A_j$  are open intervals with decreasing lengths,  $|A_j| = a_j$ . Consider any  $\delta$ -packing of  $E$ , say  $\{B_j\}$ . For each  $j$ , let  $\Delta_j = \{i : A_i \cap B_j \text{ is not empty}\}$ .

Let  $B'_i$  denote the interval centered at  $x_i = \sum_{n \leq i} a_n$  (where  $x_0 = 0$ ) and diameter equal to  $\min(a_{i+1}, \delta)$ . The balls  $\{B'_i\}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  form a  $\delta$ -packing of  $D_a$ , thus  $\sum h(|B'_i|) \leq \mathcal{P}_\delta^h(D_a)$ . By subadditivity,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum h(|B_j|) &\leq \sum_j \sum_{i \in \Delta_j} h(|A_i \cap B_j|) \leq \sum_j \sum_{i \in \Delta_j} h(\min(a_i, \delta)) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i \geq 1} h(|B'_{i-1}|) \leq 2\mathcal{P}_\delta^h(D_a), \end{aligned}$$

where the penultimate inequality holds because each  $i$  belongs to  $\Delta_j$  for at most two choices of  $j$ . Since  $\{B_j\}$  was an arbitrary  $\delta$ -packing of  $E$ , the result follows. ■

It is known that for Cantor sets  $C_a$  the packing dimension coincides with the pre-packing dimension [3]. Since the pre-packing dimension of all sets in  $\mathcal{C}_a$  coincide and the pre-packing dimension is an upper bound for the packing dimension of a set, it follows that  $\dim_p C_a \geq \dim_p E$  for any  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$ . Despite this, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4** *If  $h \in \mathcal{D}$  is subadditive, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *There exists a set  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  with  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(E) > 0$ .*
- (ii)  *$\mathcal{P}_0^h(D_a) = \infty$ .*
- (iii)  *$\sum h(a_i) = \infty$ .*
- (iv) *There exists a perfect set  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  with  $\mathcal{P}^h(E) = \infty$ .*

**Proof** (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). is trivial as  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(E) \geq \mathcal{P}^h(E)$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). By Lemma 4.3,  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(D_a) > 0$  and this forces  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(D_a) = \infty$  as in Corollary 4.2.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Since  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(D_a) = \infty$ , given  $\delta > 0$  and  $M$ , there is a  $\delta$ -packing of  $D_a$ , say  $\{B_i\}$ , such that  $\sum h(|B_i|) \geq M$ . Put  $\Delta_j = \{i : (x_i, x_{i+1}) \cap B_j \neq \emptyset\}$ . Since a gap of  $D_a$  can intersect at most two of these intervals  $B_j$ , we have

$$\sum_j h(|B_j|) \leq \sum_j h\left(\sum_{i \in \Delta_j} (x_i, x_{i+1})\right) \leq \sum_j \sum_{i \in \Delta_j} h(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq 2 \sum_i h(a_i)$$

and therefore the series  $\sum h(a_j)$  is divergent.



(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Take the interval  $I_0 = [0, \sum a_i]$ . Choose  $N_0$  such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_0 - 1} h(a_i) \geq 1$$

and remove from  $I_0$  a total of  $N_0 - 1$  open intervals with lengths  $a_1, \dots, a_{N_0 - 1}$ , respectively, where we remove these intervals in order from left to right. This produces  $N_0$  closed intervals, denoted by  $I_j^1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N_0$ , which we will call the intervals of step one.

Put  $N_0^1 = N_0$  and for  $1 \leq j \leq N_0$ , choose  $N_j^1$  such that

$$\sum_{N_{j-1}^1 \leq i \leq N_j^1 - 1} h(a_i) \geq 2.$$

From each  $I_j^1$  we remove  $N_j^1 - N_{j-1}^1 - 1$  open intervals with lengths  $a_i$  for  $i = N_{j-1}^1, \dots, N_j^1 - 1$ , again removing them in order from left to right. This produces a total of  $S_1 := N_{N_0}^1 - N_0$  closed intervals of step 2 that will be labeled  $(I_j^2)_{1 \leq j \leq S_1}$ .

We proceed inductively and assume we have constructed  $S_{k-1}$  intervals of step  $k$ ,  $I_1^k, \dots, I_{S_{k-1}}^k$ . Put  $N_0^k = N_{S_{k-1}}^{k-1}$  and for  $j = 1, \dots, S_{k-1}$  pick  $N_j^k$  such that

$$\sum_{i=N_{j-1}^k}^{N_j^k - 1} h(a_i) \geq 2^k.$$

From  $I_j^k$  remove, from left to right,  $N_j^k - N_{j-1}^k - 1$  intervals of lengths  $a_i$  for  $i = N_{j-1}^k, \dots, N_j^k - 1$  obtaining  $S_k := N_{S_k}^k - N_0^k$  closed intervals of step  $k + 1$ , denoted  $(I_j^{k+1})_{1 \leq j \leq S_k}$ .

Put  $E = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq S_k} I_j^{k+1} \in \mathcal{C}_a$ . As with the construction of  $C_a$ , the fact that  $|I| = \sum a_j$  ensures that this construction uniquely determines  $E$ . Clearly,  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  and is perfect.

We claim that  $\mathcal{P}^h(E) = \infty$ . To see this, suppose that  $E \subset \bigcup_i E_i$  with  $E_i$  closed. By Baire's Theorem there is (at least) one  $E_i$  with non-empty interior and therefore one of the sets  $E_i$  contains an interval from some step in the construction. It follows that in order to prove  $\mathcal{P}^h(E) = \infty$ , it is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{P}_0^h(E \cap I_j^k) = \infty$  for any interval  $I_j^k$ .

Fix such an interval  $I_j^k$ . It will be enough to show that for any  $\delta > 0$  and  $M$  there is a  $\delta$ -packing  $\{B_i\}$  of  $E \cap I_j^k$  with  $\sum h(|B_i|) \geq M$ . Pick  $K$  such that  $a_j < \delta$  if  $j \geq K$ ,  $2^K \geq M$  and  $K \geq k$ . Inside  $I_j^k$  take an interval of step  $K$ , say  $I_{j'}^K$ . Denote by  $A_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$  the gap with length  $a_i$ . For  $i = N_{j'-1}^K, \dots, N_{j'}^K - 1$  the gaps  $A_i$  are inside the interval  $I_{j'}^K$ . Now take the  $\delta$ -packing  $B_i = (\alpha_i - a_i/2, \alpha_i + a_i/2)$  for  $N_{j'-1}^K \leq i < N_{j'}^K$ . These sets satisfy

$$\sum_{i=N_{j'-1}^K}^{N_{j'}^K - 1} h(|B_i|) = \sum_{i=N_{j'-1}^K}^{N_{j'}^K - 1} h(a_i) \geq 2^K \geq M. \quad \blacksquare$$

Since the associated dimension function  $h_a$  is subadditive and  $\mathcal{P}_0^{h_a}(C_a) > 0$ , we immediately obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.5** *There exists  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  such that  $\mathcal{P}^{h_a}(E) = \infty$ .*

For example, if  $C_a$  is the classical middle-third Cantor set, then there exists  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  such that  $\mathcal{P}^s(E) = \infty$  for  $s = \log 2 / \log 3$ .

One can even find functions  $f \succ h_a$  for which this is true.

**Example 4.6** Take  $\{a_n\} = \{n^{-1/p}\}$  for  $p < 1$ ; the associated dimension function is  $h_a(x) = x^p$ . If we put  $f(x) = x^p / |\log x|$ , then  $f/h \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ ,  $f$  is concave, and  $\sum f(a_n) = \infty$ . Hence  $\mathcal{P}_0^f(D_a) = \infty$  and  $\mathcal{P}^f(E) = \infty$  for some  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$ .

However, since all sets with the same gap lengths have the same pre-packing dimension there is a severe restriction on the functions  $f$  with the property above.

**Proposition 4.7** *Suppose  $\mathcal{P}_0^f(E) > 0$  for some  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$ . Then  $\liminf \frac{\log f}{\log h_a} \leq 1$ .*

**Proof** Our proof is a modification of Lemma 3.7 in [5].

If the conclusion is not true, then for some  $s > 1$  and suitably small  $x$  we have  $f(x) \leq h_a^s(x)$ .

Assume  $\mathcal{P}_0^f(E) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $\delta > 0$  there are disjoint balls  $\{B_i\}$ , with diameter at most  $\delta$  and centred in  $E$ , such that  $\sum f(|B_i|) \geq \varepsilon$ . For each  $k$ , let  $n_k$  denote the number of balls  $B_i$  with  $r_{2^{k+1}}/2^{k+1} \leq |B_i| < r_{2^k}/2^k$ . In terms of this notation we have

$$\varepsilon \leq \sum_i f(|B_i|) \leq \sum_i h_a^s(|B_i|) \leq \sum_k n_k h_a^s(r_{2^k}/2^k) \leq \sum_k n_k 2^{-ks}$$

and  $P(E, r_{2^{k+1}}/2^{k+1}) \geq n_k$ .

Fix  $t \in (1, s)$ . The previous inequality implies that  $n_k \geq \varepsilon 2^{kt} (1 - 2^{t-s})$  for infinitely many  $k$ . For such  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_k \varepsilon 2^{kt} (1 - 2^{t-s}) 2^{-(k+1)} &\leq \limsup_k n_k 2^{-(k+1)} \\ &\leq \limsup_k P\left(E, \frac{r_{2^{k+1}}}{2^{k+1}}\right) h_a\left(\frac{r_{2^{k+1}}}{2^{k+1}}\right) \\ &\leq \mathcal{P}_0^{h_a}(C_a) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

But since  $t > 1$ , the left-hand side of this inequality is  $\infty$ , and this is a contradiction. ■

We finish with analogues of Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 for packing measure.

**Theorem 4.8** *Suppose  $h \preceq h_a$  and  $\gamma > 0$ . There is a perfect set  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  with  $\mathcal{P}^h(E) = \gamma$ .*

**Proof** Corollary 4.5 implies that there is a perfect set  $E \in \mathcal{C}_a$  with  $\mathcal{P}^h(E) = \infty$ . Analogous reasoning to that used in the proof of Theorem 3.5 shows that it will be enough to establish that for any fixed  $\gamma$  there is a closed subset of  $E$  of  $h$ -packing measure  $\gamma$ . In [11], Joyce and Preiss proved that if a set has infinite  $h$ -packing measure (for any  $h \in \mathcal{D}$ ), then the set contains a compact subset with finite  $h$ -packing measure. With a simple modification of their proof, in particular Lemma 6, we obtain a set of finite packing measure greater than  $\gamma$ . Then, using standard properties of regular, continuous measures, we get the desired closed set. ■

**Corollary 4.9** *Let  $a$  be a decreasing, positive, and summable sequence and let  $I$  be an interval with  $|I| = \sum a_k$ . If  $h \preceq h_a$ , the set  $\{E \in \mathcal{C}_a(I) : \mathcal{P}^h(E) = \gamma\}$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{C}_a(I), \rho)$ .*

**Proof** The proof is analogous to the one of Corollary 3.6. ■

## References

- [1] É. Borel, *Éléments de la Théorie des Ensembles*. Éditions Albin Michel, Paris, 1949.
- [2] A. S. Besicovitch and S. J. Taylor, *On the complementary intervals of a linear closed set of zero Lebesgue measure*. J. London Math. Soc. **29**(1954), 449–459. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-29.4.449>
- [3] C. Cabrelli, K. Hare, and U. Molter, *Classifying Cantor sets by their fractal dimension*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138**(2010), no. 11, 3965–3974. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2010-10396-9>
- [4] C. Cabrelli, F. Mendivil, U. Molter, and R. Shonkwiler, *On the  $h$ -Hausdorff measure of Cantor sets*. Pacific J. Math. **217**(2004), no. 1, 45–59. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2004.217.45>
- [5] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1990.
- [6] ———, *Techniques in Fractal Geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1997.
- [7] D.-J. Feng, *Exact packing measure of linear Cantor sets*. Math. Nachr. **248/249**(2003), 102–109. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mana.200310006>
- [8] D.-J. Feng, S. Hua, and Z. Wen, *The pointwise densities of the Cantor measure*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **250**(2000), no. 2, 692–705. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2000.7137>
- [9] I. Garcia, U. Molter, and R. Scotto, *Dimension functions of Cantor sets*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135**(2007), no. 10, 3151–3161 (electronic). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-07-09019-3>
- [10] C. Q. He and M. L. Lapidus, *Generalized Minkowski content, spectrum of fractal drums, fractal strings and the Riemann zeta-function*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**(1997) no. 608.
- [11] H. Joyce and D. Preiss, *On the existence of subsets of finite positive packing measure*. Mathematika **42**(1995), no. 1, 15–24. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S002557930001130X>
- [12] D. G. Larman, *On Hausdorff measure in finite-dimensional compact metric spaces*. Proc. London Math. Soc. **17**(1967), 193–206. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-17.2.193>
- [13] L. Lapidus and M. van Frankenhuysen, *Fractal Geometry, Complex Dimensions and Zeta Functions. Geometry and Spectra of Fractal Strings*. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [14] J. Peetre, *Concave majorants of positive functions*. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **21**(1970), 327–333. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01894779>
- [15] C. A. Rogers, *Hausdorff Measures*. Reprint of the 1970 original. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [16] J. Taylor and C. Tricot, *Packing measure and its evaluation for a Brownian path*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **288**(1985), no. 2, 679–699. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1985-0776398-8>
- [17] C. Tricot, *Two definitions of fractional dimension*. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **91**(1982), no. 1, 57–74. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100059119>

- [18] S. Wen and Z. Wen, *Some properties of packing measure with doubling gauge*. *Studia Math.* **165**(2004), no. 2, 125–134. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/sm165-2-3>
- [19] Y. Xiong and M. Wu, *Category and dimensions for cut-out sets*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **358**(2009), no. 1, 125–135. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2009.04.057>

*Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON*  
*e-mail:* kehare@uwaterloo.ca

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Acadia University, Wolfville, NS*  
*e-mail:* franklin.mendivil@acadiau.ca

*Departamento de Matemática, FCEN-UBA, Buenos Aires, Argentina*  
*e-mail:* lzuberma@dm.uba.ar