

## CORRIGENDUM

---

John Justeson

2017 A Cyclic-Time Model for Eclipse Prediction in Mesoamerica and the Structure of the Eclipse Table in the Dresden Codex. *Ancient Mesoamerica* 28:507–541. doi: 10.1017/S0956536117000177

In the original article, [Table 3](#) had several data errors present. Below is a list of the corrected entries, as well as a reproduction of the corrected [Table 3](#).

Entry 28: 4,843, not 3,809  
Entry 40: 6,940, not 6,950  
Entry 43: 7,442, not 6,409  
Entry 51: 8,829, not 8,830  
Entry 58: 10,040, not 9,007  
Entry 66: 11,428, not 11,429

The author apologizes for these errors.

**Table 3.** Identifying eclipse families in progress during the 405 lunations covered by the Dresden Codex eclipse table. Bold numerals refer to the relative positions of the recorded stations, numbered one through 69, in the Dresden eclipse table; these are followed by the distance of each station from the base date and by the divinatory calendar (DC) date of that station in the table. (a) Eclipse families in progress throughout the span of the table. (b) An eclipse family that begins during the span of the table. (c) Six stations (in grey) that cannot be members of any eclipse family. (d) Four resolvable alternative pairs of eclipse family candidates.

Entry	Station	DC	Entry	Station	DC	Entry	Station	DC	Entry	Station	DC
<b>1</b>	177	86	<b>7</b>	1,211	80	<b>10</b>	1,742	91	<b>13</b>	2,244	73
<b>16</b>	2,776	85	<b>22</b>	3,809	78	<b>25</b>	4,341	90	<b>28</b>	4,843	72
<b>31</b>	5,375	84	<b>37</b>	6,409	78	<b>40</b>	6,940	89	<b>43</b>	7,442	71
<b>46</b>	7,973	82	<b>52</b>	9,007	76	<b>55</b>	9,538	87	<b>58</b>	10,040	69
<b>61</b>	10,572	81	<b>67</b>	11,605	74						

  

<b>6</b>	1,033	162	<b>9</b>	1,565	174	<b>15</b>	2,599	168	<b>2</b>	354	3	<b>8</b>	1,388	257	<b>14</b>	2,422	251
<b>21</b>	3,632	161	<b>24</b>	4,164	173	<b>30</b>	5,198	167	<b>17</b>	2,953	2	<b>23</b>	3,987	256	<b>29</b>	5,021	250
<b>36</b>	6,231	160	<b>39</b>	6,763	172	<b>45</b>	7,796	165	<b>32</b>	5,552	1	<b>38</b>	6,586	255	<b>44</b>	7,619	248
<b>51</b>	8,829	158	<b>54</b>	9,361	170	<b>60</b>	10,395	164	<b>47</b>	8,150	259	<b>53</b>	9,185	253	<b>59</b>	10,217	246
<b>66</b>	11,428	157	<b>69</b>	11,959	168				<b>62</b>	10,749	258	<b>68</b>	11,784	251			

(a)

		<b>3</b>	502	151			<b>5</b>	856	245		<b>11</b>	1,919	8		<b>12</b>	2,096	185		<b>4</b>	679	68
+ 87 months	→	<b>18</b>	3,130	179	<b>18</b>	3,130	179		<b>20</b>	3,455	244								<b>19</b>	3,278	67
+ 88 months	→	<b>33</b>	5,729	178	<b>33</b>	5,729	178						<b>41</b>	7,117	6						
+ 88 months	→	<b>48</b>	8,327	176	<b>48</b>	8,327	176		<b>50</b>	8,652	241		<b>56</b>	9,715	4		<b>57</b>	9,892	181		
+ 88 months	→	<b>63</b>	10,926	175	<b>63</b>	10,926	175		<b>65</b>	11,251	240								<b>64</b>	11,103	92

(b)

(d)

		<b>5</b>	856	245			<b>11</b>	1,919	8		<b>12</b>	2,096	185		<b>4</b>	679	68		
+ 88 months	→	<b>20</b>	3,455	244	+ 87 months	→	<b>26</b>	4,489	238	+ 87 months	→	<b>27</b>	4,666	155	+ 87 months	→	<b>19</b>	3,278	67
+ 89 months	→	<b>35</b>	6,083	12	+ 89 months	→	<b>41</b>	7,117	6	+ 88 months	→	<b>42</b>	7,265	154	+ 89 months	→	<b>34</b>	5,906	95
+ 87 months	→	<b>50</b>	8,652	241	+ 88 months	→	<b>56</b>	9,715	4	+ 89 months	→	<b>57</b>	9,892	181	+ 88 months	→	<b>49</b>	8,475	64
+ 88 months	→	<b>65</b>	11,251	240												<b>64</b>	11,103	92	

(c)