

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page</i> ix
Introduction	I
I.1 A Puzzle	1
I.2 Underdetermination: From Science to Ethics	3
I.3 Demarcating the Main Idea	6
I.4 The Plan for the Book	8
PART I SCIENTIFIC UNDERDETERMINATION AND THE ANALOGY TO ETHICS	
1 Underdetermination in Science	13
1.1 Introducing the Idea and Its Earliest Forerunners	13
1.2 Three Paths to Underdetermination	23
1.3 Varieties of Underdetermination	29
2 The Analogy to the Moral Realm	35
2.1 The Underlying Picture of Moral Theories	36
2.2 The Evidence of Moral Theories	53
2.3 Complications	61
PART II UNDERDETERMINATION IN NORMATIVE ETHICS	
3 Parfit and the Case Study of Case Studies	73
3.1 Doubting the Dogma	74
3.2 Climbing the Mountain	77
3.3 Failed Conciliation and Moral Underdetermination	80
4 Going Algorithmic	89
4.1 Consequentializing: A Simple Recipe and a Two-Step Procedure	90
4.2 Deontologizing: Leveling the Playing Field	98
4.3 Algorithms and Underdetermination	107

5	The Big Picture in Normative Ethics	120
5.1	The Roads to Moral Underdetermination	120
5.2	Varieties of the Phenomenon	133
5.3	Where to Go from Here	151
PART III SKEPTICISM AND A NEW METAETHICAL POSITION		
6	Skeptical Repercussions	161
6.1	Duhem and Quine Again	161
6.2	From a Semantic to an Epistemic Challenge	165
6.3	Adapting the Skeptical Argument to the Realm of Ethics	169
6.4	In Defense of the Argument	174
7	A New Position in Metaethics	185
7.1	Constructive Empiricism and Constructive Deonticism	186
7.2	Classifying the New View	196
7.3	Two Worries	206
8	Looking Back and Ahead	212
8.1	How We Got Here	212
8.2	The Puzzle Solved?	220
	<i>Bibliography</i>	223
	<i>Index</i>	234