



## the columns

### correspondence

#### The first memory clinic in the UK

One of us was recently congratulated by their local primary care trust commissioner on having set up the first memory clinic in the UK. This was incorrect but that was the possible impression given in the first sentence of Dr Foy's interesting survey (*Psychiatr Bull* 2008; **32**: 467–9). The paper that she cites<sup>1</sup> described the Maudsley Memory Clinic that we think was the second in the UK but the first based within a psychiatric service. We ought to have cited the excellent paper by van der Cammen *et al*<sup>2</sup> that actually describes the first clinic at St Pancras Hospital but which had not been published at the time. Now that there is to be 'a memory clinic in every town' we thought we had better set the record straight.

- 1 Philpot M, Levy R. A memory clinic for the early diagnosis of dementia. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 1987; **2**: 195–200.
- 2 Van der Cammen TJ, Simpson JM, Fraser RM, Preker AS, Exton-Smith AN. The Memory Clinic. A new approach to the detection of dementia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1987; **150**: 359–64.

\***Michael P. Philpot** Consultant in Old Age Psychiatry, Department of Mental Health of Older Adults, Maudsley Hospital, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AZ, email: mike.philpot@slam.nhs.uk, **Raymond Levy** Emeritus Professor of Old Age Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.5.196

#### Out-patients: a necessary evil?

Recently, Magnes (*Psychiatr Bull* 2008; **32**: 458–60) conducted a survey of patient attendance posing the question 'Are out-patient appointments a necessary evil?' The need for out-patient appointments was both queried<sup>1</sup> and robustly defended.<sup>2</sup> Frequently, when discussing the role of such appointments the focus is on attendance.<sup>3,4</sup> However, research addressing other aspects would greatly benefit psychiatry.

We believe that the following issues could be considered: what is the purpose

of out-patient appointments? (possible responses: (a) review mental state, adherence, risk, etc.; (b) opportunity for the patients to ask questions; (c) update the GP and/or other services involved; (d) consider referral to other members of the multidisciplinary team or other services); how often should we see patients and for how long? Furthermore, guidance on appropriate discharge procedures would be very helpful.

Finally, we would like to echo Holloway's<sup>2</sup> suggestion that 'a more nuanced discussion' on that 'necessary evil' is urgently required.

- 1 Killaspy H. Why do psychiatrists have difficulty disengaging with the out-patient clinic? Invited commentary on... Why don't patients attend their appointments? *Advan Psychiatr Treat* 2007; **13**: 435–7.
- 2 Holloway F. Engaging with the out-patient clinic: don't throw the baby out with the bath water. *Advan Psychiatr Treat* 2008; **14**: 159–60.
- 3 Mitchell AJ, Selmes T. Why don't patients attend their appointments? Maintaining engagement with psychiatric services. *Advan Psychiatr Treat* 2007; **13**: 423–34.
- 4 Kelly BD. Internal audit of attendances at a psychiatry outpatient clinic. *Irish J Psychol Med* 2008; **25**: 136–40.

**Curtis Obadan MacDara McCauley** Consultant Psychiatrist, \***MacDara H. McCauley** Consultant Psychiatrist, St Brigid's Hospital, Ardee Co Louth, Ireland, email: macdara.mccauley@hse.ie, **Curtis Obadan** Senior House Officer in Psychiatry, UCD Mater Rotational Training Scheme

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.5.196a

#### Ambivalence in eating disorders

Ambivalence towards recovery is a common feature among individuals with eating disorders,<sup>1,2</sup> particularly those with anorexia.<sup>3,4</sup>

The often valued and perversely positive role that an eating disorder (notably, anorexia) plays within a person's life<sup>2</sup> results in a fluctuating level of motivation to engage in therapy. This powerful degree of ambivalence plays a significant role in the high drop-out rates along the care pathway,<sup>4</sup> along with other

factors identified in Waller *et al*'s recent study.<sup>5</sup>

It is surprising, therefore, that as yet there has been little research evaluating the impact of the different stages within the motivation cycle for change on treatment outcomes in individuals diagnosed with eating disorders.<sup>2</sup>

A standardised assessment of a person's level of ambivalence and drive for recovery, such as the Readiness and Motivation Interview<sup>1</sup> or similar, would not only provide guidance to the therapist as to an individual's likely initial level of engagement, but also facilitate a picture of their fluctuating level of motivation as they pass along the care pathway, allowing the therapist to tailor motivational techniques towards this. It would also enhance the quality of further outcome data relating to patient engagement with eating disorder services.

In Waller *et al*'s study, 13% of individuals offered out-patient therapy following initial assessment failed to engage with treatment. The waiting period between acceptance into the service and commencement of out-patient treatment is a critical stage in the care pathway, as a loss of 'momentum' through the service at this stage carries a significant risk of disengagement. In an attempt to counter this effect, the Birmingham Eating Disorder Service has recently introduced an 'awareness group', designed specifically for newly assessed and diagnosed individuals. The aim of the course of five weekly evening sessions is to consolidate initial engagement and bridge the gap between assessment and treatment, by providing information on eating disorders, treatment options and the structure of the service.

Although in its early stages, initial outcome for the group has proved positive, with 97% of those who attended for the initial session subsequently remaining engaged throughout the full 5-week course. On completion of the course, participants provided feedback on each topic covered on a ten-point Likert scale questionnaire (1, not at all useful; 10, very useful). Most of the ratings (89%) were 7 or above, with the physical consequences of eating disorders, comorbid psychological disorders and the effects of laxatives/vomiting



rated as the three most highly relevant topics covered. Additionally, several individuals expressed a need for guidance and support in informing relatives and friends of their eating disorder, and one suggestion was that the final session be opened to such significant others for education. (A separate carers' group is already available within our service.) A common response from the majority of service users was that the group made them feel supported and less alone with their illness while awaiting treatment. We hope that this positive experience will help to perpetuate therapeutic engagement while they remain under the care of our service.

Improving the overall quality of a service user's experience when passing through the care pathway (as identified by Waller *et al*), with their direct involvement at all stages, from the booking of an initial appointment to a collaborative approach towards therapy, is essential in ensuring active engagement of service users with all psychiatric conditions. However, it is particularly important when attempting to support those whose illness has such strong egosyntonic qualities as anorexia in making a decision to pursue recovery.

- 1 Geller J, Zaitsoff S, Srikameswaran S. Tracking readiness and motivation for change in individuals with eating disorders over the course of treatment. *Cognit Ther Res* 2005; **29**: 611–25.
- 2 Beato-Fernandez L, Rodriguez-Cano T. Eating disorders and stages of change: prognostic influence on eating psychopathology. In *Eating*

*Disorders: New Research* (ed. PI Swain): 245–63. Nova Science Publishing, 2006.

- 3 Zeeck A, Hartmann A, Buchholz C. Drop outs from in-patient treatment of anorexia nervosa. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2005; **111**: 29–37.
- 4 Guarda AS. Treatment of anorexia nervosa: insights and obstacles. *Physiol Behav* 2008; **94**: 113–20.
- 5 Waller G, Schmidt U, Treasure J, Murray K, Aleyana J, Emanuelli F, *et al*. Problems across care pathways in specialist adult eating disorder services. *Psychiatr Bull* 2009; **33**: 26–9.

**Sara L. Adshead** Specialist Registrar, General Adult Psychiatry, Eating Disorder Service, Barberry Centre, 25 Vincent Drive, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2FG, email: Sara.adshead@bsmhft.nhs.uk

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.5.196b

## Doctors' human rights and the smoking ban

Mental health trusts across the UK have implemented a smoke-free agenda that the National Health Service in England and Wales will be smoke-free by December 2006.<sup>1</sup> 'Smoke-free' means that smoking will not be permitted anywhere within hospital grounds, with no exceptions for staff or visitors and limited exemptions for certain patients, providing no one is subject to passive smoking as a result.<sup>2</sup> Although this is acceptable in order to maintain government legislation on

smoking in public places, one has to ask whether these rules should also apply to domiciliary visits?

If smoking by a service user, in their home, interferes with the doctor–patient consultation, then should the clinician be able to insist that the patient stop smoking, or is this a breach of their human rights? We have experience of domiciliary visits where the patient has insisted on smoking cigarettes during the consultation, despite requests to stop. As such visits may arise in the context of Mental Health Act assessments or urgent reviews, they may be perceived to be stressful by the patient, hence providing justification for smoking to relieve tension.

However, passive smoking is not only detrimental to the clinician's health. It may also have a negative impact on the therapeutic alliance, if the issue is confronted. And surely doctors' human rights are just as important as patients'?

- 1 Department of Health. *Annual Report of the Chief Medical Officer 2003*. Department of Health, 2004.
- 2 O'Gara C, McIvor R. Smoke-free psychiatric services. *Psychiatr Bull* 2006; **30**: 241–2.

**\*Asad Raffi** Specialty Registrar, Mersey Care NHS Trust, Broadoak Unit, Thomas Drive, Liverpool, Merseyside L14 3PJ, email: asad.raffi@merseycare.nhs.uk, **Jahangir Mahmood** Specialty Registrar, Mersey Care NHS Trust

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.5.197

## corrections

E-learning for psychiatrists. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, **33**, 81–83.

The middle initial for Elizabeth Hare is 'H' not 'E'.

p. 83, col. 2, para. 1: Declaration of interest, the first sentence should read:

E.H.H. is the editor of CPD online and has spent more time on the internet than she would care to know.

p. 83, col. 2, para. 2: Acknowledgement, the sentence should read: With thanks to Dr Tom Conlon, former Senior

Lecturer. School of Education, University of Edinburgh, for his help with the planning of this article.

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.5.197a