

# LATERITE AS A POTENTIAL SEEPAGE BARRIER FROM A KARST-DEPRESSION TAILINGS IMPOUNDMENT

Hai-Yan Gao<sup>1</sup>, Ze-Min Xu<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Zhe Ren<sup>1</sup>, Kun Wang<sup>1</sup>, Kui Yang<sup>1</sup>, Yong-Jun Tang<sup>1</sup>, and Jun-Yao Luo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Civil Engineering and Mechanics, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming 650500, China <sup>2</sup>Room 528 of Civil Engineering Building in Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, Yunnan, China

**Abstract**—In the absence of the necessary valley topography, karst depressions are sometimes used to construct conventional impoundments in order to contain tailings. Leakage is a primary concern for such impoundments. The purpose of the current study was to determine the characteristics and barrier performance of laterite mantling karst depressions, using, as an example, the Wujiwatang (WJWT) tailings impoundment, located in the Gejiu mining area, southwestern China. The geotechnical-hydrogeological properties, geochemistry, mineral compositions, and particle shapes of the laterite were investigated by geotechnical techniques, chemical analysis, X-ray diffraction (XRD), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The results showed that the laterite contained poorly sorted particles that covered a wide spectrum of grain sizes (<5 mm to <50 nm), and was unexpectedly categorized as silty clay or silt with a high liquid limit. The continuous gradation and small  $D_{90}$  value helped the laterite achieve saturated hydraulic conductivities in the range of <10<sup>-6</sup> cm/s required for impoundment liners. The laterite beneath the tailings impoundment was finer-grained and had a lower permeability than that of the laterite on the depression walls within the same depression. Geochemically and mineralogically, the laterite was classified as true laterite and its major mineralogical constituents were gibbsite and goethite with chlorite occurring in trace amounts. The laterite was dominated by subspherolitic–spherolitic cohesionless grains (concretions) made up of Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn oxides and hydroxides. The laterite did not have plasticity indices in the clay range. Fortunately, slopewash prior to tailings containment selectively transported the finer oxide concretions to the depression floor, creating a natural low-permeability barrier for the WJWT tailings impoundment. This is undoubtedly important for the planning and design of future karst depression-type tailings impoundments around the world.

Keywords-Karst depression · Laterite · Liner · Tailings impoundment · Weathering

#### INTRODUCTION

Often found in the tropic and subtropic regions (Indraratna and Nutalaya 1991; Mahalinger-Iyer and Williams 1997; Ng et al. 2019), the distinctly red-brown lateritic soil (lateritic clay, laterite) is the residual product of intense chemical weathering processes (Ko et al. 2006) and laterization of a wide variety of rocks. Through weathering and laterization processes, components such as CaO, MgO, K2O, and Na2O are partially or completely removed, while laterite constituents (Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn oxides and hydroxides) tend to accumulate (Gidigasu 1976; Berger et al. 2014; Engon et al. 2017). Laterite is characterized by the presence of sesquioxides (i.e. Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) which can cement the soil particles to form a weakly bonded particulate material (Ola 1983), and clay-size particles also form large aggregates resulting in a more granular microstructure (Ng et al. 2019). Kaolinite, gibbsite, goethite, hematite, and quartz are common in laterite (Wei et al. 2014; Morandini and Leite 2015; Oluremi et al. 2019), but laterite is montmorillonite-poor (Kamtchueng et al. 2015). Laterite, in its various forms, is found on about a third of the world's continents (Stoops and Marcelino 2018), and can be up to tens of meters thick.

Laterization may result in a very microporous structure (Morandini and Leite 2015). If well compacted, laterite can reach a maximum dry density of 1.82 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (Morandini and

Leite 2015), with hydraulic conductivities of  $\sim 10^{-7}$  cm/s or less (Anderson and Hee 1995). Additionally, due to the significant presence of sesquioxides and clay minerals with large specific surface areas, lateritic soils commonly have a notable capacity for immobilizing heavy metals or organic contaminants (Axe and Trivedi 2002; Wang et al. 2008; Syafalni et al. 2012). Because of its relative abundance, low cost, and beneficial geotechnical and geochemical properties, residual lateritic clay can serve as lining material for waste-containment facilities.

Many previous works have examined the feasibility of employing lateritic soils as liners in waste disposal facilities, especially with respect to their physical and chemical characteristics (Frempong and Yanful 2008; Miguel et al. 2017), hydraulic conductivity (Anderson and Hee 1995; Leton and Omotosho 2004; Osinubi and Nwaiwu 2006), heavy metalsorption capacity (Udoeyo et al. 2010; Chotpantarat et al. 2011; Miguel et al. 2015; Ojuri et al. 2017), and compatibility with metal solutions (Frempong and Yanful 2006; Chalermyanont et al. 2009). The permeability, desiccationinduced volumetric shrinkage, and unconfined compressive strength of lateritic soils sourced from acidic igneous and metamorphic rocks were investigated by Osinubi and Nwaiwu (2006). The effects of municipal solid-waste landfill leachate on the geotechnical, mineralogical, sorptive, and diffusive properties, and on the permeability of tropical soils which represent the residual weathered products of Middle Precambrian phyllites were examined by Frempong and Yanful (2008). The physical-chemical properties of lateritic soil residues from Carboniferous rocks (sandstone, shale, siltstone,

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail address of corresponding author: xzm768@kust.edu.cn DOI: 10.1007/s42860-020-00103-8

<sup>©</sup> The Clay Minerals Society 2020

chert, and mudstone) were studied by Chalermyanont et al. (2009). The geotechnical parameters (Atterberg limits, compaction characteristics, hydraulic conductivity, unconfined compressive strength, and volumetric shrinkage strain) of a lateritic soil as a liner in waste-containment structures were measured by Amadi and Eberemu (2013). Many studies have focused on the use of lateritic soils in municipal, solid-waste landfills and hazardous-waste dumps; few studies have assessed naturally occurring lateritic clay overlying carbonate rocks as potential tailings-impoundment liner materials. Mining operations produce over five billion tons of mine tailings per year (Schoenberger 2016; Wang et al. 2017); these tailings are contained in various types of impoundments. Clay (both artificially purified and naturally occurring) has been used widely as an impoundment liner (Vick 1990; USEPA 1994; Akayuli et al. 2013; Agbenyeku et al. 2016); the performance of laterite liners, especially in karst mining areas, is not well known, however.

The Gejiu Tin Mine, located in southern China, is the world's largest Sn-polymetallic mine. Because this region lacks the topography required for conventional impoundments, mining activities have filled more than 30 natural karst depression (polje) impoundments with tailings since the 1950s. While the rocks underlying the depressions are highly karstified, the karst aquifers are not polluted. The puzzling absence from the aquifers of heavy metals found in the impoundments should be partly attributed to the presence of the laterite lining the karst depressions (Gao et al. 2019).

Karstic carbonate rocks are common hosts of metal mines (Li and Zhou 1999; Zhang et al. 2015; Johnson et al. 2016; Yan et al. 2019), and are commonly clad in laterite (Durn et al. 1999; Ji et al. 2004; Feng and Zhu 2009; Liu et al. 2013; Wei et al. 2014; Engon et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2019). In order to test the inference about the barrier effects of naturally occurring laterite in karst depressions, and to evaluate further the feasibility of the construction of karst depression impoundments, the present study was undertaken to examine the laterite layers located beneath the active WJWT tailings impoundment in the Gejiu Tin Mine (Fig. 1 in Gao et al. 2019) and the laterite on basin walls.

#### STUDY AREA

The WJWT tailings impoundment (23°18′06″ N, 103°13′ 25″ E) lies ~300 km SSE of Kunming, Yunnan, SW China (Fig. 1a). The regional climate is temperate (tropical–subtropical monsoon climate) with a short, mild winter and a warm summer. The average annual temperature is 11.8°C with minimum and maximum temperatures of –4.4 and 35.6°C, respectively. The monthly average air temperature ranges from 9.9 to 20.1°C. Mean annual precipitation is approximately 1610 mm and almost 85% of the total annual precipitation occurs in the rainy season (May–October). Annual potential evaporation is ~1203 mm.

Extensive karstic features and an alternating pattern of enclosed poljes and haystack hills (dolines) (Huggett 2007) characterize the landscape surrounding the impoundment (Fig. ranean channels through sinkholes or fissures (Li 2011; Gao et al. 2019). The nearly ubiquitous Quaternary cover consists almost exclusively of laterite in the study area. The layer of laterite is dotted by rock outcrops which are 10s-100s of  $m^2$  in area, and not continuous, therefore. As a result, the thickness of the laterite layer varies from meters to decameters from location to location. This thickness variation, of course, is smaller in the bottoms of larger depressions, where more laterite is deposited compared to depression slopes. Significant differences in color, structure, and mineralogy mark the abrupt contact between

the laterite layer and the underlying carbonate rocks.

The carbonate bedrock is composed of thick-bedded limestone and dolostone from the Middle Triassic Gejiu Group, with the outcrop (planimetric area of  $\sim 100 \text{ km}^2$ ) taking the form of a gently dipping monoclinal structure striking NNE and a dip of 5–20°. The bedrock is highly fractured, and faults of all sizes trending N–S, SW–NE, ESE–WNW, and NW– SE are heavily developed. The Beiyinshan Fault Zone ( $\sim 14 \text{ km}$ long and 100–500 m wide) is one of the largest tectonic discontinuities in the study area, and it runs in a WNW–ESE direction from the northeastern part of the Niubahuang (NBH) impoundment through the Huangmaoshan (HMS), Beiyinshan (BYS), and WJWT impoundments before terminating in the Yangbadi (YBD) impoundment (Fig. 1a). Like most of the discontinuities in this area, the Beiyinshan Fault Zone has a sub-vertical orientation.

Eight karst depression tailings impoundments including NBH, Mudengdong (MDD), HMS, BYS, Bailongjing (BLJ), Axizhai (AXZ), Ladachong (LDC), and YBD, in addition to the WJWT targeted in the present study, are hosted within the rectangular area with a length of 15.6 km and a width of 5.6 km (Fig. 1a).

The WJWT depression, with elevations of 2188 m a.s.l. at the floor to 2243 m a.s.l. at the outlet and a total catchment area of ~4.49 km<sup>2</sup>, is a structural polje caused mainly by the Beiyinshan Fault Zone (Fig. 1b). The polje is roughly oliveshaped in plan view, with a length of ~1.6 km in the NW–SE direction and a width of ~0.4 km. The bedrock of the depression is almost entirely covered by laterite at the bottom of the depression; the average thickness of the laterite is ~12.8 m, with a maximum thickness of 45.2 m. Over the course of 10 years, the depression has received nearly 6 million metric tons of mill tailings and reached an elevation of ~2242 m (Fig. 1b and c). At present, a retention dam is being constructed near the mouth of this natural polje, which will increase the storage volume by ~4 million m<sup>3</sup>.

# METHODS

The laterite studied was collected during geotechnical survey campaigns related to the potential expansion of the WJWT impoundment in 2013 and 2016.

The laterite beneath the tailings impoundment (disturbed laterite) was gathered from six cores drilled (boreholes B2, B5-



Fig. 1. Map of the karst depression tailings impoundment. a Overview map of the WJWT impoundment and surrounding tailings impoundments (NBH, MDD, HMS, BYS, AXZ, BLJ, LDC, and YBD); b the WJWT depression prior to the deposition of tailings; and c the present-day karst depression impoundment and the sampling sites for the laterite. Boreholes and test pits are labeled as B and TP, respectively

B7, B11, and B12) at spacings of 29 to 468 m apart (Figs 1c and 2); sample depths ranged from 14.2 to 84.2 m (Fig. 2).

The laterite from the basin slope was sampled at depths of 0.5–1.8 m in test pits 1 and 2 (TP1 and TP2), which are located on the southeastern and northern slopes of the WJWT depression, respectively (Fig. 1c). Because the samples from TP1 and TP2 are only a few hundred meters from the depression occupied by the impoundment, they should have experienced climatic and tectonic conditions identical to those from the laterite beneath the impoundment, and are similarly underlain by a uniform carbonate bedrock unit. Each sample was placed in a clean polyethylene bag and sealed prior to transport to the laboratory.

Using previous studies relating to the barrier effects of native soils (Humayun Kabir and Taha 2004; Ige 2011; Seun et al. 2016; Emmanuel et al. 2019) as guidelines, a sequence of relevant indices was selected as the variables to characterize the geotechnical-hydraulic-mechanical behaviors of the tested soils. Geotechnical parameters included the grain-size distribution ( $D_{90}$ ,  $D_{60}$ ,  $D_{50}$ ,  $D_{30}$ ,  $D_{10}$ , coefficient of uniformity ( $C_u$ ), and coefficient of gradation ( $C_c$ )), the specific gravity of soil solids ( $G_s$ ), the specific surface area (SSA), and the Atterberg limits (the liquid limit ( $\omega_L$ ), the plastic limit ( $\omega_P$ ), and plasticity index (PI)) for the <0.5 mm fraction following GB/T 50123 (1999). Further, the free swelling rate (FSR) for the <0.5 mm fraction and the *P*-wave velocities of the saturated bulk samples ( $V_{psat}$ ) were also measured. Compression (compression index,  $C_i$ ; Terzaghi et al. 1996; Das 2008) was regarded as a key parameter here, reflective of mechanical properties with particular significance for impoundment seepage.

The particle-size distribution of the selected bulk samples was obtained using a wet-sieving method (Johnson and Rodine 1984; ASTM D422-63 2007) with 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, and 0.075 mm mesh sized sieves (for the >0.075 mm fraction) combined with laser granulometry (Beckman Coulter®LS 13320, Brea, California, USA) (for the <0.075 mm fraction).



Fig. 2. The sampled boreholes and the sampling strategy for the laterite beneath the tailings impoundment. The locations of the boreholes are shown in Fig. 1c

The SSA was measured using the Beckman Coulter laser particle size analyzer. Standard tests for Atterberg limits of the laterite were performed using the methods described in ASTM D4318-10 (2014). The FSR, or the ratio of the volumetric increment in water to the initial volume of 10 cm<sup>3</sup> of dry soil solids, was obtained using the methodology outlined in GB/T 50123 (1999).

Samples of 5 cm in diameter and 5 cm in height embedded in rigid cutting rings in situ were soaked in water in the laboratory to achieve saturation for comparison, and then both ends of the saturated samples were trimmed using a sharp knife, thus obtaining the specimens for  $V_{psat}$  tests, which were done using a wave-propagation test device (CTCO®QYCS-A6, Wuhan Jianke Technology Co., Ltd, Wuhan, Hubei, China). Conventional tests for some of the index properties, including  $G_s$ , the Atterberg limits, and  $C_i$ , were implemented in accordance with GB/T 50123 (1999).

Moisture content ( $\omega$ ), porosity (n), and permeability (saturated hydraulic conductivity,  $K_{sat}$ ) are the main hydrogeological parameters affecting the migration of contaminants through laterite.  $K_{sat}$  tests following the procedure proposed in GB/T 50123 (1999) were conducted in rigid-wall permeameters

(diameter 61.8 mm and height 40 mm) with the falling-head method.

Geochemically, the bulk chemical composition and the heavy-metal contents in both the laterite from underneath the impoundment and from the basin walls were determined, including loss on ignition (LOI), pH, major elements (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3(T)</sub>, FeO, CaO, MgO, Na<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O, MnO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), and minor elements (As, Hg, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, and Zn). K<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>O, and Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer Optima 5300DV, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA, with a lower detection limit of 0.1-1 ppm). SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3(T)</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, CaO, MgO, MnO, and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> were determined with a wavelength dispersive XRF spectrometer (Philips PW2400, Almelo, the Netherlands), equipped with a 2.4 kW Rh X-ray tube. The detection limit was 0.01 wt.% for the major elements and 0.001 wt.% for Ti, P, and Mn.

The concentrations of minor elements (heavy metals and metalloid) were measured using an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS, X Series II, Thermo Fisher Scientific®, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) with detection limits of 0.27 mg/kg for As, 0.0004 mg/kg for Hg, 0.02 mg/kg for Cd, 0.07 mg/kg for Co, 0.82 mg/kg for Cr, 0.89 mg/kg for Cu, 2.91 mg/kg for Mn, 0.04 mg/kg for Mo, 0.44 mg/kg for Ni, 0.96 mg/kg for Pb, 0.2 mg/kg for Sn, and 2.15 mg/kg for Zn.

To confirm the geochemical results, the <0.075 mm fraction of the laterite was separated using a precipitation method (separation and analysis of clay minerals in Quaternary sediments, DD2014-16, 2014). The mineral composition of the fines obtained was analyzed by XRD (Rigaku D/MAX-IIIA, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with CuK $\alpha$  X-radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406$ Å). The step-scan mode was  $5-40^{\circ}2\theta$ ,  $0.02^{\circ}2\theta$  step size, with a 2 s count for each step. Mineral abundances were estimated by means of semi-quantitative analysis. In addition, the shapes of the particles making up the laterite were examined through a combination of a high-resolution digital, single-lens reflex camera (Canon®EOS 5D Mark III) equipped with macro lenses (Canon®MP-E 65 mm f/2.8 1-5× Macro Photo and EF 100 mm f/2.8 L Macro IS USM, Tokyo, Japan), a scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI®QUANTA 200, FEI company, Hillsboro, Oregon, USA) and a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, FEI®NovaNanoSEM 450, Hillsboro, Oregon, USA) coupled with Energy Dispersive Xray Spectroscopy (EDX).

### RESULTS

#### Geotechnical Properties

According to the results of the grain-size analysis (Fig. 3, Table 1), all of the laterite in this study fell in the silty clay domain, rather than the clay domain, of the USDA textural triangle (USDA 2017) (Fig. 4) following particle-gradation scales for the fine-earth fraction proposed by AASHTO

(1997). This was somewhat surprising because the study area lies on the well known 'red-soil' plateau in China and the soil involved has traditionally been viewed as laterite (Li 2000; Xiao et al. 2011; Qiao et al. 2014; Ran et al. 2019). Furthermore, the data points for the laterite underneath the impoundment were concentrated close to the boundary between the silty clay and the clay domains in the USDA textural triangle, whereas the basin-slope laterite was closer to the boundary line between silty clay and silty clay loam (Fig. 4). This indicated that the laterite under the impoundment was finer-grained than that on the polie wall. The PI measurements supported the grain-size data. Using the criteria of GB50021 (2001), the impoundment laterite, which had an average PI value of 27.9 (Table 1), can be classified as clay, while the basin-slope laterite, with PI values ranging from 14.3 to 15.2 (Table 1), belongs to silty clay.

The  $C_u$  (9.11-11.48; Table 1) and  $C_c$  (1.11-2.73; Table 1) measurements indicated that the laterite from the two locations was well graded (Das 2008), albeit very fine-grained. In addition, for both the <1  $\mu$ m and the <75  $\mu$ m fractions, the grain sizes of the impoundment laterite particles were much finer than those of the slope laterite (Fig. 3).

While the  $G_s$  values of the two different sets of laterite varied only slightly (2.5-2.6; Table 1), the difference between the average specific surface areas of the two sites was pronounced. The average SSA of the impoundment laterite obtained from 23 core samples was 1.33 m<sup>2</sup>/g (standard deviation, SD = 0.08 m<sup>2</sup>/g), which was much larger than the SSA value of 0.92 m<sup>2</sup>/g determined by analysis of 16 basin-slope laterite samples.

According to the USDA-NRCS (2012) Unified Soil Classification for fine-grained soils (i.e. capable of passing through a number 200 mesh sieve), which takes into account both PI



Fig. 3. Particle-size distributions for representative samples of the laterite from underneath the impoundment (B2, B5, B7, and B11) collected from boreholes, and those from the test pits excavated on the depression basin slopes (TP1 and TP2). Sampling localities are indicated in Figs 1c and 2

Parameters	Laterite un	derneath the	e impoundn	nent			Basin-slop	e laterite	
	B2	B5	B6	B7	B11	Average (SD)	TP1	TP2	Average (SD)
D <sub>90</sub> (μm)	16.77	30.01	N/A	34.01	25.04	26.46 (6.43)	66.01	53.72	59.87 (6.15)
D <sub>60</sub> (μm)	5.74	5.34	N/A	5.74	5.34	5.54 (0.20)	10.75	9.24	10.00 (0.76)
D <sub>50</sub> (µm)	4.63	4.47	N/A	4.47	4.01	4.40 (0.23)	6.67	6.50	6.59 (0.09)
D <sub>30</sub> (µm)	2.20	2.78	N/A	2.41	1.95	2.34 (0.30)	3.46	3.42	3.44 (0.02)
D <sub>10</sub> (μm)	0.50	0.53	N/A	0.63	0.56	0.56 (0.05)	1.00	0.89	0.95 (0.06)
Coefficient of uniformity $(C_u)$	11.48	10.08	N/A	9.11	9.54	10.05 (0.89)	10.75	10.38	10.57 (0.19)
Coefficient of gradation $(C_c)$	1.69	2.73	N/A	1.61	1.27	1.83 (0.55)	1.11	1.42	1.27 (0.16)
Specific gravity $(G_s)$	2.61 ( <i>n</i> =3)	2.57 ( <i>n</i> =3)	2.60 ( <i>n</i> =3)	2.55 ( <i>n</i> =3)	2.56 ( <i>n</i> =3)	2.58 (0.05)	2.53 (n=3)	2.53 ( <i>n</i> =3)	2.53 (0.08)
Specific surface area (SSA) (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	1.39 ( <i>n</i> =3)	1.33 ( <i>n</i> =5)	1.22 ( <i>n</i> =4)	1.36 ( <i>n</i> =6)	1.34 ( <i>n</i> =5)	1.33 (0.08)	0.91 ( <i>n</i> =9)	0.95 ( <i>n</i> =7)	0.92 (0.08)
Liquid limit $(\omega_L)$ (%)	67.5 ( <i>n</i> =4)	63.5 ( <i>n</i> =2)	67.0 ( <i>n</i> =2)	60.8 ( <i>n</i> =6)	60.5 ( <i>n</i> =2)	63.9 (5.12)	54.4 ( <i>n</i> =4)	56.1 ( <i>n</i> =4)	55.2 (2.09)
Plastic limit $(\omega_P)$ (%)	37.8 ( <i>n</i> =4)	35.5 ( <i>n</i> =2)	37.5 ( <i>n</i> =2)	35.0 ( <i>n</i> =6)	34.0 ( <i>n</i> =2)	36.0 (2.43)	40.1 ( <i>n</i> =4)	40.9 ( <i>n</i> =4)	40.5 (1.00)
Plasticity index (PI)	29.8 ( <i>n</i> =4)	28.0 ( <i>n</i> =2)	29.5 ( <i>n</i> =2)	25.8 ( <i>n</i> =6)	26.5 ( <i>n</i> =2)	27.9 (2.82)	14.3 ( <i>n</i> =4)	15.2 ( <i>n</i> =4)	14.7 (2.77)
Classification (USCS)	MH	MH	MH	MH	MH		MH	MH	
Free swelling rate, FSR (%)	7.8 (n=4)	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.5 ( <i>n</i> =4)	9.1 (4.11)	12.0 ( <i>n</i> =4)	12.5 ( <i>n</i> =4)	12.3 (1.98)
<i>P</i> -wave velocity $(V_{psat})$ (km/s)	1.36 ( <i>n</i> =18)	1.18 ( <i>n</i> =24)	N/A	1.46 ( <i>n</i> =12)	1.25 ( <i>n</i> =6)	1.31 (0.16)	0.69 ( <i>n</i> =6)	0.47 ( <i>n</i> =12)	0.58 (0.19)
Compression index $(C_i)$	0.13 ( <i>n</i> =5)	0.14 ( <i>n</i> =1)	0.13 ( <i>n</i> =3)	N/A	0.10 ( <i>n</i> =1)	0.13 (0.02)	0.27 ( <i>n</i> =8)	0.49 ( <i>n</i> =12)	0.40 (0.18)
Moisture content ( $\omega$ ) (%)	47.29 ( <i>n</i> =4)	44.65 ( <i>n</i> =4)	46.93 ( <i>n</i> =8)	40.33 ( <i>n</i> =6)	41.00 ( <i>n</i> =2)	44.04 (5.60)	48.77 ( <i>n</i> =8)	55.32 ( <i>n</i> =11)	52.05 (29.92)
Porosity (n)	0.53 ( <i>n</i> =4)	0.51 ( <i>n</i> =2)	0.53 ( <i>n</i> =4)	0.53 ( <i>n</i> =6)	N/A	0.53 (0.02)	0.62 ( <i>n</i> =8)	0.66 ( <i>n</i> =11)	0.64 (0.04)
Saturated hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{sat}$ ) (cm/s)	7.30×10 <sup>-7</sup> ( <i>n</i> =17)	$7.93 \times 10^{-7}$ ( <i>n</i> =10)	1.21×10 <sup>-7</sup> ( <i>n</i> =7)	1.65×10 <sup>-7</sup> ( <i>n</i> =10)	1.20×10 <sup>-7</sup> ( <i>n</i> =4)	4.86×10 <sup>-7</sup> (1.50×10 <sup>-6</sup> )	3.04×10 <sup>-5</sup> ( <i>n</i> =6)	7.24×10 <sup>-6</sup> ( <i>n</i> =10)	1.59×10 <sup>-5</sup> (2.39×10 <sup>-5</sup> )

Table 1. Physico-mechanical parameters of the laterite studied

*n*: number of measurements; N/A: not measured; SD: standard deviation; *MH*: silts of high liquid limit (USDA-NRCS 2012); SSA was measured for the <0.075 mm fraction.

and  $\omega_L$ , all the tested laterite fell into the *MH* domain (silts of high liquid limit). These data, taken in conjunction with the aforementioned textural classification, indicated that the materials involved were not strictly lateritic clay (Anifowose 2000). For the purposes of the present study, however, the terms "lateritic clay" and "laterite" (McCarthy and Venter 2006) were used interchangeably.

Note that the laterite used as the liner in the WJWT impoundment, with an average PI of 27.9 in a spectrum from 25.8 to 29.8 (Table 1), can be classified as clay (in the PI range of >17), and the basin-slope laterite, with a PI of 14.3-15.2 (Table 1), is classified as a silty clay (in the PI range of 10-17) according to the criteria of GB50021 (2001).

The laterite from underneath the impoundment had an average FSR value of 9.1% (standard deviation of 4.11%) and an average  $V_{\rm psat}$  of 1.31 km/s (standard deviation of 0.16 km/s), while the basin-slope laterite samples had a mean FSR of 12.3% (standard deviation of 1.98%) and a  $V_{\rm psat}$  average value of 0.58 km/s (standard deviation of 0.19 km/s) (Table 1). Both types of laterite samples were categorized as non-swelling soil (with a <40% FSR, GB50021 2001). While the two sets of samples exhibited largely similar swelling behavior (Figs 1b, c, 2), they differed significantly in terms of their wave-propagation characteristics. To understand better the mechanical behavior of the laterite, 10 compression test runs were performed for core samples collected from B2, B5, B6, and B11 (impoundment); and 20 test runs were performed for the basin-slope samples (Table 1, Fig. 2). Typical log effective stress ( $\sigma'$ ) values with respect to the void ratio (*e*) were obtained (Fig. 5). The *C*<sub>i</sub> values of the laterite under the tailings varied from 0.10 to 0.16 with an average of 0.13, whereas those of the basin-slope laterite spanned a range between 0.14 and 0.69 with a mean of 0.40. While the locations for both the core and the basin-slope samples varied systematically with depth, the *C*<sub>i</sub> trend did not exhibit the expected linear decrease as a function of depth.

### Hydrogeological Parameters

The basin-slope laterite contained more moisture than that under the impoundment, 49–55% as opposed to 40–47% (Table 1). Referring to the number of samples and the date upon which the samples were collected (2016/01/12), this observed variability in  $\omega$  should be minimal during the year. The mean porosity of 16 core samples from four drill holes was 53±2%, compared to 64±4% for the 19 test-pit samples.

The  $K_{\text{sat}}$  values obtained for the impoundment laterite varied between  $1.20 \times 10^{-7}$  and  $7.93 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/s, and for the basin-slope laterite varied between  $3.04 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $7.24 \times 10^{-6}$  cm/s. The former had a mean of  $4.86 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/s with a



Fig. 4. USDA textural triangle of the laterite under the impoundment (B2, B5, B7, and B11) and slope laterite (TP1 and TP2). Sampling localities are indicated in Figs 1c and 2

standard deviation of  $1.50 \times 10^{-6}$  cm/s, compared to the latter, having a mean of  $1.59 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/s with a standard deviation of  $2.39 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/s (Table 1), which was a difference of roughly

two orders of magnitude (Fig. 6). Similar to the  $C_i$  described above, 17, 10, 7, 10, and 4  $K_{sat}$  samples were collected at intervals from cores at locations B2, B5, B6, B7, and B11,



Fig. 5. Typical void ratio-log vertical effective stress plots for the studied laterite. Sampling localities are indicated in Figs 1c and 2

1E-4 1E-5 Saturated hydraulic conductivity (cm/s) 1E-6 1E-7 90 percentile 1E-8 5 percentile lean ledian 25 percentile 10 percentile 1E-9  $B_2$  $B_5$ Be B<sub>7</sub> B<sub>11</sub> TP₁ TP<sub>2</sub> Sample source

Fig. 6. Box and whisker plot showing the median (horizontal line) and the upper and lower quartiles (blue boxes) of the saturated hydraulic conductivities ( $K_{sat}$ ) of the laterite. Sampling localities are indicated in Figs 1c and 2

respectively, and tested (Fig. 2). No systematic variations in  $K_{\text{sat}}$  with increasing depth in the laterite beneath the tailings were found, however.

#### Geochemistry and Mineralogy

*Major constituents.* Samples B2, B5, B7, TP1, and TP2 (Fig. 1c) were sampled in the investigation of the bulk chemical composition of the laterite. For every one of the five reconnaissance sites, two samples were taken concurrently at about the same depth (in an attempt to achieve reliability and reproducibility of measurements), producing ten sets of chemical analysis results (Fig. 7, Table 2). The main geochemical properties of the laterite, according to the major-constituent analyses, can be summarized as follows:

- (1) Si, Ca, and Mg were less abundant than in laterites from elsewhere (Zhu and Lin 1996; Sarkar et al. 2006; Hong et al. 2009; Sunil et al. 2009; Biswal et al. 2016; Campodonico et al. 2019). Si, while one of the important constituents of laterite, ranged only between 16.08 and 35.73% (mean value of 23.73%). The Ca contents varied from 0.09% to 0.23%, and Mg made up only 0.45–0.99%.
- (2) Much greater proportions of Al, Fe, and Ti were observed. The abundances of Al were as high as 26.89% to 39.40% (average value of 34.41%), and those of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3(T)</sub> typically fell between 12.66 and 16.04% (average abundance of 14.86%). The average Ti contents were roughly one order of magnitude smaller than those of Al and Fe, and had values between 1.50 and 2.10% (average value of 1.78%).
- (3) The laterite under the impoundment contained less Si, Ca, and Mg (Fig. 7a, g, and h) and more Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn than the basin-slope laterite (Fig. 7b, c, e, f). The average contents of Si, Ca, and Mg of the cores had

values that were 57%, 74%, and 56% of those of testpit samples, respectively, whereas the mean abundances of Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn were 1.2 to 1.3 times greater than those of the basin-slope laterite samples (Table 2). Moreover, smaller FeO concentrations (0.20–0.38%), greater concentrations of  $P_2O_5$  (0.14–0.32%), and higher pH values (6.63–7.31) were observed in the core samples compared to the FeO concentrations (0.76–3.89%),  $P_2O_5$ concentrations (0.12–0.14%), and pH values (5.82–6.43) of the basin slope laterite (Fig. 7d, i, and m).

No obvious differences in the Na<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>2</sub>O contents and LOI values between the two sets of laterite samples (Table 2; Fig. 7j, k, and i) were detected.

*Trace elements.* The trace-element abundances in the laterite samples (Table 3) and the comparisons with the quality guidelines (MacDonald et al. 2000; GB 15618 2008) (Fig. 8) revealed that, in general, the laterite has high concentrations of trace metals, to the point where the values meet or surpass the critical limits for environmental quality standards in soils (GB 15618 2008). Additionally, the laterite contained elevated levels of Hg, and its mean concentration was 0.84 mg/kg, which was greater than the guideline value of 0.7 mg/kg (GB 15618 2008, Table 3, Fig. 8).

Furthermore, the laterite under the tailings had greater trace-metal concentrations than the basin-slope laterite, with the exception of Cd, Ni, and Zn. The concentrations of most of the trace elements (As, Hg, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Mo, Pb, and Sn) were of the order of 1.12-1.80 times the quantities detected in the basin-slope laterite (Fig. 8 and Table 3).

While the As abundance decreased with increasing depths below the floor of the tailings impoundment, the actual depth at



Fig. 7. Major-element concentrations and relevant parameter values of the laterite underneath the impoundment (B2, B5, and B7) and from the basin slope above the impoundment (TP1 and TP2) with respect to the sampling sites shown in Figs 1b, c, and 2

which sharp decreases in the content occurred varied by a few meters from one borehole to another (Figs 1c, 9). The other elements, however, showed no systematic content variations with depth.

Note that slight differences in mineralogy between the laterite from underneath the impoundment and from the basin slopes were observed (Fig. 10), the most noteworthy among which was the greater presence of gibbsite in the former (40–50%) compared to the latter (20–40%).

*Mineralogical constituents.* The semi-quantified mineralogy was determined by XRD (Fig. 10). Gibbsite (20–50%), goethite (5–10%), chlorite (5–20% except for 30–40% in TP1), and quartz (1–20% except the <2% of B2) prevailed in all of the six analyzed samples, the most significant among which was gibbsite. Also identified were trace amounts of kaolinite, illite, and talc in certain of the samples.

Geometry of the Laterite Particles

The morphologic features of the coarse fraction (>0.075 mm) in six sieve classes of the laterite are displayed in Fig. 11. First of all, almost all particles were equidimensional, with minor platy muscovite crystals occurring in the ranges of 0.5-0.25 mm and 0.25-0.1 mm (Fig. 11g–j). The most impressive, of course, was the

Table 2. Selected major-element concentrations (wt.%) and relevant parameters of the laterite studied

Sample (Depth, m)	Major	oxides (v	vt.%)									Relevant pa	arameters
	SiO <sub>2</sub>	$Al_2O_3$	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3(T)</sub>	FeO	TiO <sub>2</sub>	MnO	CaO	MgO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	K <sub>2</sub> O	$P_2O_5$	LOI (%)	pН
B2 (84.2)	17.98	38.31	15.78	0.36	1.93	0.71	0.13	0.56	0.081	0.82	0.22	22.48	6.98
B2 (79.3)	16.08	39.40	15.37	0.36	1.97	0.67	0.09	0.45	0.081	0.66	0.25	25.16	7.02
B5 (20.9)	18.64	37.30	16.04	0.20	2.10	0.70	0.11	0.49	0.076	0.83	0.30	23.04	6.63
B5 (21.4)	17.13	38.61	15.89	0.34	2.02	0.75	0.13	0.49	0.110	0.70	0.21	23.40	6.98
B7 (47.4)	18.50	38.74	15.28	0.28	1.69	0.97	0.14	0.67	0.058	1.13	0.14	22.93	7.11
B7 (45.7)	20.60	35.90	16.02	0.38	1.90	0.59	0.23	0.60	0.073	0.90	0.32	23.09	7.31
TP1 (1.3)	35.73	26.89	12.66	0.76	1.50	0.47	0.19	0.99	0.067	0.97	0.12	21.08	6.43
TP1 (1.3)	35.29	27.39	12.66	1.90	1.50	0.56	0.22	0.99	0.071	0.97	0.13	19.90	6.24
TP2 (1.2)	28.83	30.68	14.38	1.94	1.54	0.57	0.18	0.96	0.066	0.92	0.13	22.03	6.02
TP2 (1.2)	28.54	30.85	14.56	3.89	1.64	0.62	0.16	0.93	0.065	0.91	0.14	21.19	5.82

Table 3. Trace-element contents (mg/kg) of the laterite and the tailings in the WJWT impoundment

Sampling site	Sample No.	As	Hg	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Mn	Мо	Ni	Pb	Zn	Sn
B2	B2-1	99.6	0.58	1.93	43.7	194	103.0	5500	14.3	125	1100	940	14.0
	B2-2	63.0	0.63	1.47	46.4	201	98.3	5400	16.1	144	830	1000	13.4
	B2-3	60.4	0.83	3.36	44.5	196	106.0	7300	16.5	227	990	1300	27.9
	B2-4	79.5	0.60	1.12	46.1	165	113.9	7664	13.1	153	728	968	9.4
	B2-5	80.1	0.59	1.07	40.8	149	119.2	7397	14.0	162	638	1033	10.4
	B2-6	70.2	0.84	1.54	43.6	192	113.1	7674	14.2	182	659	1121	10.3
	B2-7	77.7	0.75	1.34	36.1	155	110.3	6425	13.2	178	544	1113	10.0
	Average	75.8	0.69	1.69	43.0	179	109.1	6766	14.5	167	784	1068	13.6
B5	B5-1	95.9	1.21	3.34	51.4	242	120.0	3400	13.0	106	750	990	11.9
	B5-2	111.0	1.30	3.06	62.0	252	108.0	4800	12.9	145	820	1000	11.3
	B5-3	68.5	1.80	2.71	57.2	236	124.0	9300	12.0	231	1100	1300	7.5
	B5-4	60.8	1.34	2.81	46.9	264	116.3	9179	18.6	322	839	1156	9.3
	B5-5	66.9	1.28	2.66	40.3	209	111.1	7540	17.5	303	730	1120	9.1
	Average	80.6	1.39	2.92	51.6	241	115.9	6844	14.8	221	848	1113	9.8
B6	B6-1	89.2	0.27	1.55	56.2	186	118.0	5000	7.7	91	740	750	8.4
	B6-2	108.0	1.28	2.57	43.8	274	146.0	6000	10.7	165	1100	950	9.3
	B6-3	55.3	2.39	2.94	58.4	237	148.0	14200	19.3	456	1600	1400	7.7
	B6-4	64.3	3.09	3.01	65.1	261	162.0	16800	19.4	600	2000	1500	6.7
	Average	79.2	1.76	2.52	55.9	240	144.0	10500	14.3	328	1360	1150	8.0
B7	B7-1	259.0	0.50	2.92	42.3	213	177.0	5600	16.4	78	1400	910	18.0
	B7-2	84.3	0.95	1.15	46.3	228	90.8	3900	18.8	98	870	620	12.7
	B7-3	65.7	0.90	5.59	51.5	256	121.0	9000	24.2	357	2000	1300	11.6
	B7-4	167.6	0.65	1.29	45.7	204	149.2	6579	17.3	104	744	745	11.5
	B7-5	135.0	0.67	1.09	33.2	155	135.7	4715	15.5	109	543	759	11.5
	Average	142.3	0.73	2.41	43.8	211	134.7	5959	18.4	149	1111	867	13.1
TP1	TP1-1	68.6	0.47	0.90	41.6	200	65.5	4300	9.5	132	960	730	10.1
	TP1-2	52.5	0.53	1.63	46.5	194	73.7	6400	10.3	164	1300	870	10.1
	TP1-3	80.3	0.51	0.71	37.9	174	73.9	4927	9.2	139	665	714	8.0
	TP1-4	72.6	0.52	0.58	31.4	128	73.5	3642	8.0	142	517	723	7.4
	Average	68.5	0.51	0.96	39.4	174	71.7	4817	9.3	144	861	759	8.9
TP2	TP2-1	68.1	0.43	6.18	39.2	192	91.4	4800	6.2	214	850	1700	9.4
	TP2-2	52.4	0.53	3.07	42.4	203	89.2	4600	7.3	208	990	1500	10.2
	TP2-3	60.5	0.63	4.35	39.4	181	105.0	7200	8.6	304	1000	2000	12.4
	TP2-4	57.9	0.62	3.25	47.2	210	103.3	5685	8.4	255	664	1704	7.9
	TP2-5	64.8	0.64	2.39	42.3	163	102.4	4708	7.9	245	581	1684	8.1
	TP2-6	57.1	0.66	5.61	37.3	164	135.5	9686	11.9	592	971	2400	7.5
	TP2-7	58.4	0.70	4.18	31.5	122	118.6	7758	9.3	498	795	2100	6.9
	Average	59.9	0.60	4.15	39.9	176	106.5	6348	8.5	331	836	1870	8.9
Tailings	Tailings-1	2700.0	0.61	88.80	26.3	120	1800.0	32500	35.1	92	11800	5900	138.0
	Tailings-2	3400.0	0.42	102.00	28.8	138	1900.0	25200	44.0	73	12100	6900	105.0
	Tailings-3	5200.0	0.27	137.00	9.5	37	2300.0	14600	24.5	24	5400	5300	115.0
	Average	3766.7	0.43	109.00	21.5	98	2000.0	24100	34.5	63	9767	6033	119.0
Quality Guidelines (	GB 15618 2008)	30.0	0.70	0.45	40.0	200	100.0			90	80	250	
Quality Guidelines (1	MacDonald et al. 2000)	33.0	1.06	4.98		111	149.0			49	128	459	

Quality Guidelines (GB 15618 2008, MacDonald et al. 2000) for the soils in the pH range between 6.5 and 7.5. Data for B5-1, B5-2, B5-3, B6-1, B6-2, B6-3, and B6-4 are cited from Gao et al. (2019)



Fig. 8. Abundance ranges and their average trace-metal contents in the impoundment and basin-slope laterite vs. quality guidelines (GB 15618 2008, MacDonald et al. 2000). Sampling sites are shown in Figs 1b, c, and 2

glomerospheric appearance of the particles, with subglomerospheric–glomerospheric (subrounded–rounded, USDA-NRCS 2012) grains comprising >50% by volume of the granular masses, the remainder of which was irregular, such as silkworm chrysalis- and ginger-shaped (Fig. 11a-j). At greater magnifications, the



Fig. 9. Arsenic abundance as a function of depth below the contact between the tailings and the underlying laterite. Sampling sites are shown in Figs 1b, c, and 2



Fig. 10. XRD patterns of the laterite under the impoundment (B2, B5, B7, and B11) and on the basin slopes (TP1 and TP2). Sampling sites are shown in Figs 1b, c, and 2



Fig. 11. The coarse laterite particles (>0.075 mm) separated by wet sieving in six sieve classes. **a** and **b** 2–5 mm; **c** and **d** 1–2 mm; **e** and **f** 0.5–1 mm; **g** and **h** 0.25–0.5 mm; **i** and **j** 0.1–0.25 mm; **k**–**n** SEM images of the grains of ~0.075–0.1 mm size; **o**–**s** close-up views of the grains ~0.5–1 mm in size (viewed under FESEM)

particles in a relatively narrow size range of 0.1-0.075 mm still showed no sharp edges and corners, but, instead, were closer to glomerospheric or subglomerospheric (Fig. 11k-n). Under FESEM, roughness heights of the order of microns to decamicrons on the surfaces of the particles 0.5-1 mm in size were observed (Fig. 11o-s).

The clay fraction (<0.005 mm, AASHTO 1998) extracted using the precipitation method, was still dominated by microspherolitic particles (Fig. 12), but it differed from the above coarse ones in that a platy clay mineral, principally chlorite (Fig. 10), was found by close inspection as monocrystalline particles or their aggregates (Fig. 12k, 1). In addition, the maximum dimension of the clay grains was <1  $\mu$ m. Most of the microspherolitic particles had a diameter smaller than ~300 nm (Fig. 12a–f) (down to <50 nm, Fig. 12e) and micrometric particles were rare. Similarly, chlorite particles were almost exclusively of the order of nanometers with very rare micron-sized examples (Fig. 12b, c, f–h).

Analysis by EDX (Fig. 13) of the mechanically formed fractures (during the preparation of the scanned samples) and surfaces of the multi-scale spherulites showed that the main elements making up the laterite grains were Al, Fe, Ti, Mn, and Si.

#### DISCUSSION

Field and laboratory measurements revealed a number of features that distinguished the laterite below the tailings impoundment from its basin-slope counterpart. Furthermore, as a group, all of the samples collected within or near the WJWT basin were also distinctly different from other laterite deposits worldwide.

#### The Efficacy of Laterite as an Impoundment Liner

*Geotechnical properties.* The fact that the laterite studied here fell in the silty-clay domain of the USDA textural triangle (Fig. 4) suggests that the main mineral constituents were equidimensional minerals (including their aggregates) rather than phyllosilicates (with the exception of chlorite). This assertion is consistent with the grain-size distribution shown in Fig. 3 when the sizes of typical clay minerals (Rodine and Johnson 1976;



Fig. 12. FESEM images showing the geometry of the laterite particles of clay size extracted following the protocol in DD2014-16 (2014). a–d nm-sized grains with roughly spherulitic shape; e–f irregular aggregate; g–h elongate and microspherulitic particles with finer-gained chlorite

Godt and Coe 2007), such as kaolinite, smectite, and illite, are taken into account; at the same time, the continuous gradation of the laterite with the small  $D_{90}$  is reflective of its being clay mineral-poor, all of which can be thought of as the physical expression of the mineralogy of the laterite described above in the 'Mineralogical constituents' section.

The grain-size gradation indicated by the  $C_u$  and  $C_c$  values shows that this laterite is an appropriate material for lowpermeability liners because small-scale pores develop easily in well graded (poorly sorted) unconsolidated sediments.  $D_{10}$ , the mean effective size value, is diagnostic of soil permeability (Terzaghi et al. 1996). The  $D_{10}$  (0.56 µm) value for the laterite under the impoundment is only about half that of the basinslope laterite (0.95 µm), suggesting that the former is better suited than the latter to serve as an impoundment liner. This conclusion is further supported by the larger specific gravity,  $G_{\rm s}$  (finer secondary minerals are denser), and larger SSA value of the <0.075 mm fraction of the former (2.58; 1.33 m<sup>2</sup>/g) in comparison to those of the latter (2.53; 0.92 m<sup>2</sup>/g) (Table 1).

Similar to textural soil classification, the plasticity index, PI, and liquid limit,  $\omega_L$ , classify the laterite as a silt of high



Fig. 13. Chemical compositions of the artificial fracture surfaces and the surfaces of laterite particles determined using EDX.  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  fracture surfaces of mm-scale particles;  $\mathbf{c}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  surfaces of mm-scale particles;  $\mathbf{c}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  surfaces of mm-scale particles.

liquid limit in the *MH* domain of the Unified Soil Classification System plasticity chart (USDA-NRCS 2012), indicative of the dominance of equidimensional minerals and the scarcity of typical clay minerals, which confirms the identification of the laterite-forming minerals by XRD (Fig. 10). The result of the classification using GB 50021 (2001) also showed that the laterite under the tailings impoundment contains more fines.

The laterite mineralogy and its physical expressions (locations in various classification systems) were supported further by the measurements of the free swelling rate, i.e. indicating the absence of swelling smectite (Moon and Simpson 2002; Moon et al. 2003; Das 2008; Ferber et al. 2009).

In summary, the laterite is dominated by equidimensional minerals; in particular, the discussion above of the geotechnical properties of the laterite shows that: (1) the poorly sorted laterite with a small  $D_{90}$  may, to a certain extent, be a good candidate for impoundment liners; (2) the laterite beneath the impoundment, despite being in the same basin, is finer-grained and has a larger SSA than its natural counterpart on the basin slopes, meaning it has a lower permeability and is more suited to acting as a lining material. The latter, coupled with the deposit thickness of the laterite of the impoundment floor revealed during the field investigations and reconnaissance (Fig. 2), can be considered as a potentially significant finding. The presence of layers of lower permeability, larger specific surface area which is indicative of a significant sorption capacity, and greater thickness is of utmost importance for preventing downward migration of contaminants into underlying karst-water systems from karst-depression impoundment bases, which are the most vulnerable areas to seepage due to potentially highest pore-water pressures in the tailings.

That the laterite under the impoundment had a mean *P*-wave velocity,  $V_{psat}$ , ~2.3 times that of the basin-slope laterite suggests that the size of individual pores (discontinuities) in the former soil mass is smaller than that in the latter. The compression tests on the laterite confirm the results of the *P*-wave non-destructive detection and resulting inference, with the former having a mean compression index  $C_i$  of 0.13 with the mean  $C_i$  of the latter ranging as high as 0.40 (Table 1, Fig. 5).

The poorly developed pore system and low compressibility of the laterite underneath the impoundment are undoubtedly very favorable for retarding migration of contaminants from the tailings. These mechanical properties can be attributed partly to the finer grain sizes, early cementation and the initial compaction prior to the storing of tailings, and the subsequent loading of further tailings are responsible for its greater degree of compaction.

*Physical barrier effect.* The moisture content,  $\omega$ , of the laterite below the tailings porewater table remained less than that of the laterite on the basin-slope profiles measured during the dry season. This comparison apparently reflects the compaction experienced by the former laterite, and suggests that it acts to some extent as a seepage barrier, which is in reasonable agreement with the measurements of porosity, *n*, and saturated hydraulic conductivity, *K*<sub>sat</sub>, discussed elsewhere in this study.

While the preceding sections have shown that the laterite acting as the impoundment liner is finer grained, it achieves a smaller average n value of 53% when compared to the basinslope laterite. This interesting phenomenon (Hiscock 2005) can be understood easily if consideration is given to the long-term compaction it has undergone.

The difference in saturated hydraulic conductivity between the impoundment and basin-slope laterite in the WJWT basin identified during permeability tests matched the geotechnicalhydrogeological property measurements including grain-size distribution, the division of the laterite following the GB 50021 (2001), specific surface area, *P*-wave velocity, compression, moisture content, and porosity. Last but not least, the laterite underneath the impoundment provides permeability of  $<10^{-6}$  cm/s, the hydraulic conductivity guideline for impoundment liners (Vick 1990; USEPA 1994).

In summary, the laterite beneath the WJWT impoundment has functioned as a low-permeability barrier attenuating seepage discharge into the underlying karst aquifer, and this conclusion is strongly supported by the data from both the geotechnical and the hydrogeological tests.

#### Composition Controls of the Laterite Properties

The chemical composition of the laterite (Table 2, Fig. 7) showed that systematic co-variations in the contents of the major constituents occur. The abundances of Fe, Ti, and Mn increased simultaneously with increasing Al (Fig. 14a-c). On the other hand, the concentrations of Ca, Mg, and Na plus K increased uniformly with Si concentrations (Fig. 14d-f). Note that the contents of Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn were inverse functions of that of Si (Fig. 14g-j), while the contents of Ca, Mg, and Na plus K were proportional to that of Si (Fig. 14d-f). In other words, the contents of Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn were inversely proportional to those of Si, Ca, Mg, and Na+K, demonstrating that the laterite under study has undergone typical laterization during which immobile laterite constituents (Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn) were enriched with the partial or complete removal of highly mobile Si, Ca, Mg, and Na+K (Davies et al. 1989; Kisakürek et al. 2004; Widdowson 2007; Berger and Frei 2014).

The laterite in this case also had smaller concentrations of Si and greater concentrations of Al (Fe and Mn) in comparison to most of those reported in the literature (Ogunsanwo 1989; Zhu and Lin 1996; Sarkar et al. 2006; Frempong and Yanful 2008; Hong et al. 2009; Wei et al. 2014), indicating that the laterite studied is fully developed and more mature. The molecular silica-sesquioxide ratios (SiO<sub>2</sub>/(Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>+Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)) (Kr) were between 0.56 and 1.76, average 0.99 with a standard deviation of 0.46. According to the criteria based on Kr (Winterkorn and Chandrasekharan 1951; Gidigasu 1974; Madu 1977; Camapum de Carvalho et al. 2015; Okeke et al. 2016), the laterite is classified as true laterite (Kr < 1.33) except for TP1 which is a lateritic soil, whereas most laterites in the reviewed studies belong to the class of non-lateritic tropically weathered soils (Kr > 2.00) (Alao 1983; Aristizabál et al. 2005; Sarkar et al. 2006; Sunil et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2009; Ehrlich et al. 2019). In only a few cases are lateritic soils found with Kr values of 1.33-2.00 (Chandran et al. 2005; Chalermyanont



**Fig. 14.** Scatterplots of relationships between major-element concentrations of the laterite under the impoundment (B2, B5, and B7) and the basinslope laterite (TP1 and TP2):  $\mathbf{a}$ - $\mathbf{c}$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> vs. the other immobile constituents (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and MnO);  $\mathbf{d}$ - $\mathbf{f}$  SiO<sub>2</sub> vs. the other mobile constituents (CaO, MgO, and Na<sub>2</sub>O+K<sub>2</sub>O);  $\mathbf{g}$ - $\mathbf{j}$  SiO<sub>2</sub> vs. the immobile constituents (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and MnO). Locations of samples are indicated in Figs 1b, c, and 2

et al. 2009; Goure-Doubi et al. 2014); fewer are true laterite (Akoto 1986).

In terms of the laterite itself within the WJWT depression, clear differences between the bulk chemical compositions of the laterite in the two target areas described above are shown in Fig. 14. The laterite beneath the impoundment showed greater levels of Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn (Fig. 14a–c) and smaller levels of Si, Ca, Mg, and Na+K (Fig. 14d–f) than its basin-slope counterpart. This appears not to be diagnostic of the greater degree of laterization of the former and should be associated with its finer grain sizes (Table 1 and Fig. 3). In other words, the difference in element level resulting from the grain-size distribution of the laterite in different locations within the WJWT depression is shown in Fig. 4. The finer-grained laterite delivered to the depression floor from the basin slopes by slopewash (Ford and Williams 1989) should be dominated by purer

products of laterization, e.g. gibbsite [Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>] and goethite [ $\alpha$ -FeOOH], which cause the laterite under the impoundment to be rich in immobile constituents. This interpretation is supported by greater concentrations of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3(T)</sub> and lower concentrations of FeO in the lining laterite.

The LOI, varying over a narrow range (19.90–25.16%, Table 2 and Fig. 7i), indicates that the organic matter content of the laterite from both sets of locations is similar.

The chemical analysis results discussed above match the laterite mineralogy determined by XRD. The development and evolution (typical laterization), location in the classification scheme (true laterite), and the principal-component differences between the laterite in the two sites can be seen as the intrinsic controls (at the level of elements) of its geotechnical properties and physical barrier effect. These properties include grain-size distribution, textural and plastic categorization, SSA, FSR,  $\omega$ ,

1 1000	1 IO HOEImdu				e indrammin ta ciami			201 M			
Reference	This study (B)	This study (TP)	Boscov et al. (2000)	Frempong and Yanful (2008)	Chalermyanont et al. (2009)	Ige (2011)	Eberemu et al. (2012)	Amadi and Eberemu (2013)	Morandini and Leite (2015)	Miguel et al. (2015)	Oluremi et al. (2019)
Property											
Gravel (%)	0.2	0.6	I	1	1	0.8	3.0	1.0	1.0	1	1.0
Sand (%)	3.1	5.9	23.0	33.0	1	32.0	40.0	42.0	54.0	14.1	52.0
Silt (%)	41.4	52.4	17.0	28.0	-	19.0	33.0	32.0	16.0	38.3	31.0
Clay (%)	55.3	41.1	60.0	39.0	-	48.3	24.0	25.0	29.0	47.6	16.0
Specific	2.58	2.53	I	2.78	2.76	2.64	2.61	2.60	1	2.66	2.61
gravity Liquid limit	63.9	55.2	59.0	56.0	43.0	38.7	42.0	58.0	23.0	55.0	39.6
Plastic limit	36.0	40.5	35.0	26.0	21.2	20.0	18.0	26.0	12.0	39.0	28.0
Plasticity	27.9	14.7	24.0	30.0	21.8	18.7	24.0	32.0	11.0	16.0	11.6
USCS	НW	HM	HW	CH	CT	CT	CT	CL	CT	HM	CT
classifica-											
Mineral	Gibbsite	Gibbsite	Quartz	Kaolinite	1	ł	1	1	Kaolinite	Gibbsite	1
composi-	Chlorite	Chlorite	Kaolinite	Quartz					Gibbsite	Goethite	
tion	Quartz Goethite	Quartz Goethite	Gibbsite Hematite	Hematite Goethite Gibbsite					Goethite Hematite Quartz	Kaolinite Muscovite Hematite	
Chemical cor	nposition (%	(							,		
$SiO_2$	18.16	32.10	-	55.00	45.37	ł	-	35.60	29.10	29.00	-
$Al_2O_3$	38.04	28.95	1	21.40	28.69	I	I	27.40	28.80	52.00	1
$\mathrm{Fe}_2\mathrm{O}_3$	15.73	13.57	ł	8.10	17.32	ł	I	2.40	29.30	13.00	I
Kr	0.64 (TL)	1.45 (LS)	I	3.52 (NLS)	1.94 (LS)	1	I	2.09 (NLS)	1.04 (TL)	0.82 (TL)	I
B: borehole ( 1951); TL: tri	the laterite b ue laterite; L	eneath the i S: lateritic s	impoundment); ' ioil; NLS: non-li	TP: test pit (the later ateritic, tropically we	rite from the slope al eathered soils	bove the i	mpoundment del	pression). Kr: SiO <sub>2</sub> /(	(Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> +Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ) (Wi	nterkorn and Cl	andrasekharan

n, and  $K_{\text{sats}}$  which, in turn, are the physical expression of its geochemical characteristics.

# Particle Geometry Controls of the Laterite Properties

The shapes of the particles in the laterite were examined to improve understanding of the controls of the mineralogy preconfigured by the chemical composition on the laterite properties. Note that the clay-mineral particles of ~5  $\mu$ m in maximum dimension in fines separated from Quaternary deposits according to DD2014-16 (2014) are common (Ren et al. 2016, 2018), but the maximum dimension of the particles extracted following the procedure proposed by DD2014-16 (2014) was <1  $\mu$ m. Most of these particles were almost exclusively of the order of nanometers with very rare micron-scale occurrences. This points to the absence of typical clay minerals, such as montmorillonite and illite, and the rarity of kaolinite.

The EDX analysis showed that the main elements of the laterite grains were Al, Fe, Ti, Mn, and Si. This coincides approximately with the bulk chemical composition (Table 2 and Fig. 7) and the arguments about the evolution of the laterite, i.e. enrichment of immobile constituents and depletion of mobile ones (Fig. 14). The mineral-composition estimates shown in Fig. 13 of the laterite confirmed those from XRD (Fig. 10), such as gibbsite [Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>] and goethite [ $\alpha$ -FeOOH], if the oxygen was considered. More generally the laterite grains were mainly the mixtures (concretions) of the oxides and hydroxides of Al with those of Fe, Ti, and Mn.

Observations by camera, SEM, and FESEM, together with the elemental analyses of the laterite particles by means of EDX, allow a visual interpretation of the laterite properties. The laterite was dominated primarily by equidimensional particles, or, more correctly, subspherolitic-spherolitic cohesionless concretions over a continuous size spectrum from <5 mm to <50 nm, despite the presence of lesser amounts of flaky chlorite in the <1  $\mu$ m fraction. The smaller SSA of the spherulites compared to that of platy or flaky particles somewhat dampens the capacity of the laterite to trap and to hold porewater (Sterling and Slaymaker 2007), which exerts an overwhelming influence on the bulk behavior of the laterite, such as the locations in the classification systems (silty clay and silt of high liquid limit), smaller free swelling rate (FSR), and greater permeability than residual soils rich in typical clay minerals.

Fortunately, the laterite, especially that under the tailings impoundment, still has sufficiently low permeability to serve as a water-retention layer because of its continuous gradation and small  $D_{90}$  and  $D_{10}$  values.

## Barrier Effect of the Laterite

The metals and metalloids are mainly responsible for the toxicity of mining wastes (Johnson et al. 2016). Comparison of the chemical analysis results of the heavy metals in the tailings, laterite beneath the tailings, and basin-slope laterite (Table 3, Figs 8, and 9) shows that the amounts of As, Cu, Mo, Pb, and Sn in the lining laterite are greater than those in the basin-slope laterite. These elevated levels can be ascribed to low

permeability and larger SSA of the lining laterite. Its low permeability is caused mainly by the finer grains transported to the depression floor by slopewash and long-term compaction of tailings. In addition, heavy-metal accumulation may also have led to the decrease in permeability of the laterite beneath the impoundment, i.e. the laterite under the impoundment has been functioning as a seepage and adsorption barrier.

In addition, the large  $P_2O_5$  content in the lining laterite (Table 1) may have resulted from the process chemicals added during milling operations (Vick 1990) and are carried into the underlying laterite by pore water percolating through the tailings, pointing again to the barrier effect of the laterite.

### Comparison of the Laterite Studied here with Laterites used as Liners in Municipal-waste Landfills Worldwide

In order to understand better the barrier behavior of laterite in karst depressions, the geotechnical, mineralogical, and geochemical properties of the laterite studied here and those used in solid-waste landfills worldwide were compared (Table 4). The laterite studied here contains less gravel plus sand and more silt plus clay than others worldwide, i.e. it is finer-grained. The laterite in this case is classified as silt of high liquid limit (*MH*) whereas most of its counterparts in landfills are grouped as inorganic clays of low plasticity (*CL*) according to the Unified Soil Classification System. In addition, the laterite in this case does not contain kaolinite, and kaolinite is present in all four counterparts for which the mineralogical composition is known (Table 4). The laterite examined here should, therefore, have undergone a greater degree of laterization.

#### CONCLUSIONS

In terms of tailings-impoundment liners, the laterite underneath the Wujiwatang (WJWT) karst depression-type impoundment and the depression-slope laterite within the same polje in the Gejiu tin mine, southwest China, were investigated. The differences between the laterite studied here compared with those from elsewhere, as well as the differences between the laterite below the impoundment and its slope counterpart were established from geotechnical, hydrogeological, and geochemical perspectives.

The widely graded (<5 mm to <50 nm) and poorly sorted (a coefficient of uniformity of ~10) laterite was categorized as silty clay or silt of high liquid limit, rather than as clay. The continuous gradation, together with the small  $D_{90}$  and  $D_{10}$  values, suggests, however, that the laterite is appropriate for use as a lining material. As expected, the laterite under the tailings achieved the saturated hydraulic conductivities of <10<sup>-6</sup> cm/s required for tailings impoundment liners.

The laterite beneath the tailings had finer grain sizes and lower permeability than the basin-slope laterite. The marked differences were supported consistently by almost all measurements for the geotechnical and hydrogeological parameters, including the grain-size distribution, specific gravity ( $G_s$ ), specific surface area (SSA), plasticity index (PI), free swelling ratio (FSR), *P*-wave velocity ( $V_{psal}$ ), compression index ( $C_i$ ), moisture content ( $\omega$ ), porosity (n), and saturated hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{sat}$ ). Obviously, the presence of fine-grained layers of low permeability underneath the impoundment is of the utmost importance in the control of seepage from karst depression-type impoundments.

Geochemically, the laterite was the product of laterization in the final stage which had a smaller concentration of Si and a greater concentration of Al (Fe and Mn) than that elsewhere and was classified as a rare true laterite. The main minerals identified in the laterite were gibbsite and goethite, and minor components were chlorite and quartz. Despite the occurrence of lesser amounts of flaky chlorite in the <1  $\mu$ m fraction, structurally, the laterite was dominated by equidimensional particles, i.e. subspherolitic–spherolitic cohesionless grains. They were mixtures (concretions) of the Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn oxides and hydroxides.

Because of the smaller SSA of spherulites compared to platy/flaky minerals, the laterite behaved as silty clay or silt of high liquid limit. The finer particles were transported selectively to the depression floor by slopewash gave the laterite found underneath the tailings impoundment smaller  $D_{90}$ ,  $D_{10}$ , FSR,  $C_i$ , n, and  $K_{sat}$  values and greater  $G_s$ , SSA, PI, and  $V_{psat}$ values compared to that on the depression slopes, and the laterite under the WJWT tailings impoundment had been acting as a barrier layer retarding most of the heavy metals. This is undoubtedly favorable to the construction of karst depressiontype impoundments elsewhere in the world. The results here provide parameters for assessing and designing the liners of tailings impoundments constructed in karst depressions.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41931294, U1502232, U1033601). The authors are grateful to all of the participants for their assistance in field sampling and laboratory experiments, especially to Master Tai-Qiang Yang, Zhen-Chen Shao, and Yun-Jie Yang.

## **Compliance with Ethical Statements**

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Funding

Funding sources are as stated in the Acknowledgments.

#### REFERENCES

- AASHTO, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officers (1998). Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing, Part 1, Specifications. Washington, DC.
- AASHTO, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (1997). The classification of soils and soil-aggregate mixtures for highway construction purposes. AASHTO designation M145-91. In: Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing, Part 1: Specifications (18<sup>th</sup>- edition).
- Agbenyeku, E. O. E., Muzenda, E., & Msibi, M. I. (2016). Chemical alterations in three clayey soils from percolation and interaction with

acid mine drainage (AMD). South African Journal of Chemical Engineering, 21, 28–36.

- Akayuli, C. F. A., Gidigasu, S. S. R., & Gawu, S. K. Y. (2013). Geotechnical evaluation of a Ghanaian black cotton soil for use as clay liner in tailings dam construction. *Ghana Mining Journal*, 14, 21–26.
- Akoto, B. K. A. (1986). The effect of repeated loading on the ultimate unconfined compressive strength of a lime-stabilized laterite. *Engineering Geology*, 23, 125–135.
- Alao, D. A. (1983). Geology and engineering properties of laterites from Ilorin, Nigeria. *Engineering Geology*, 19, 111–118.
- Amadi, A. A., & Eberemu, A. O. (2013). Potential application of lateritic soil stabilized with Cement Kiln Dust (CKD) as liner in waste containment structures. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 31, 1221–1230.
- Anderson, S. A., & Hee, B. H. (1995). Hydraulic conductivity of compacted lateritic soil with bentonite admixture. *Environmental* & Engineering Geoscience, I, 299–312.
- Anifowose, A. Y. B. (2000). Stabilisation of lateritic soils as a raw material for building blocks. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and* the Environment, 58, 151–157.
- Aristizabál, E., Roser, B., & Yokota, S. (2005). Tropical chemical weathering of hillslope deposits and bedrock source in the Aburrá Valley, northern Colombian Andes. *Engineering Geology*, 81, 389– 406.
- ASTM D422-63. (2007). Standard test method for particle-size analysis of soils. West Conshohocken, PA, USA: ASTM International.
- ASTM D4318-10 (2014). Standard test methods for liquid limit, plastic limit, and plasticity index of soils.
- Axe, L., & Trivedi, P. (2002). Intraparticle surface diffusion of metal contaminants and their attenuation in microporous amorphous Al, Fe, and Mn oxides. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 247, 259–265.
- Berger, A., & Frei, R. (2014). The fate of chromium during tropical weathering: A laterite profile from Central Madagascar. *Geoderma*, 213, 521–532.
- Berger, A., Janots, E., Gnos, E., Frei, R., & Bernier, F. (2014). Rare earth element mineralogy and geochemistry in a laterite profile from Madagascar. *Applied Geochemistry*, 41, 218–228.
- Biswal, D. R., Sahoo, U. C., & Dash, S. R. (2016). Characterization of granular lateritic soils as pavement material. *Transportation Geotechnics*, 6, 108–122.
- Boscov, M. E. G., de Oliveira, E., Ghilardi, M. P., & da Silva, M. M. (2000). *Metal diffusion through lateritic clay liner*. Melbourne, Australia: International Society for Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering International Symposium.
- Camapum de Carvalho, J., Rezende, L. R., Cardoso, F. B. F., Lucena, L. C. F. L., Guimarães, R. C., & Valencia, Y. G. (2015). Tropical soils for highway construction: peculiarities and considerations. *Transportation Geotechnics*, 5, 3–19.
- Campodonico, V. A., Pasquini, A. I., Lecomte, K. L., García, M. G., & Depetris, P. J. (2019). Chemical weathering in subtropical basaltderived laterites: a mass balance interpretation (Misiones, NE Argentina). *Catena*, 173, 352–366.
- Chalermyanont, T., Arrykul, S., & Charoenthaisong, N. (2009). Potential use of lateritic and marine clay soils as landfill liners to retain heavy metals. *Waste Management*, 29, 117–127.
- Chandran, P., Ray, S. K., Bhattacharyya, T., Srivastava, P., Krishnan, P., & Pal, D. K. (2005). Lateritic soils of Kerala, India: their mineralogy, genesis, and taxonomy. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 43, 839–852.
- Chotpantarat, S., Ong, S. K., Sutthirat, C., & Osathaphan, K. (2011). Competitive modeling of sorption and transport of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> under binary and multi-metal systems in lateritic soil columns. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 190, 391–396.
- Das, B. M. (2008). Advanced Soil Mechanics (3rd ed.). New York: Taylor & Frances Group.
- Davies, T. C., Friedrich, G., & Wiechowski, A. (1989). Geochemistry and mineralogy of laterites in the Sula Mountains greenstone belt,

Lake Sonfon gold district, Sierra Leone. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 32, 75–98.

- DD2014-16. (2014). Separation and analysis of clay minerals in *Quaternary sediments*. Beijing: China Geological Survey (in Chinese).
- Durn, G., Ottner, F., & Slovenec, D. (1999). Mineralogical and geochemical indicators of the polygenetic nature of terra rossa in Istria, Croatia. *Geoderma*, 91, 125–150.
- Eberemu, A. O., Amadi, A. A., & Edeh, J. E. (2012). Diffusion of municipal waste contaminants in compacted lateritic soil treated with bagasse ash. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 70, 789–797.
- Ehrlich, M., Almeida, M. S. S., & Curcio, D. (2019). Hydromechanical behavior of a lateritic fiber-soil composite as a waste containment liner. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 47, 42–47.
- Emmanuel, E., Anggraini, V., & Gidigasu, S. S. R. (2019). A critical reappraisal of residual soils as compacted soil liners. SN Applied Sciences, 1, 507. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-0515-3.
- Engon, T. C., Abane, M. A. A., Zame, P. Z., Ekomane, E., Bekoa, E., Mvogo, K., & Bitom, D. (2017). Morphological, physico-chemical and geochemical characterization of two weathering profiles developed on limestone from the Mintom Formation in the tropical humid zone of Cameroon. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 131, 198– 212.
- Feng, J. L., & Zhu, L. P. (2009). Origin of terra rossa on Amdo North Mountain on the Tibetan plateau, China: evidence from quartz. *Soil Science & Plant Nutrition*, 55, 407–420.
- Ferber, V., Auriol, J. C., Cui, Y. J., & Magnan, J. P. (2009). On the swelling potential of compacted high plasticity clays. *Engineering Geology*, 104, 200–210.
- Ford, D., & Williams, P. (1989). Karst Hydrogeology and Geomorphology (p. 340). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Frempong, E. M., & Yanful, E. K. (2006). Chemical and mineralogical transformations in three tropical soils due to permeation with acid mine drainage. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology & the Environment*, 65, 253–271.
- Frempong, E. M., & Yanful, E. K. (2008). Interactions between three tropical soils and municipal solid waste landfill leachate. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 134, 379–396.
- Gao, H. Y., Xu, Z. M., Wang, K., Ren, Z., Yang, K., Tang, Y. J., Tian, L., & Chen, J. P. (2019). Evaluation of the impact of karst depression-type impoundments on the underlying karst water systems in the Gejiu mining district, southern Yunnan, China. *Bulletin* of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 78, 4673–4688.
- GB 15618 (2008). Soil environmental quality standard. General administration of quality supervision, inspection and quarantine of the ministry of environmental protection (in Chinese). http://doc88. com/p-9445761189414.html
- GB 50021 (2001). Code for investigation of geotechnical engineering. Beijing: Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development of the People's Republic of China and The State General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine of the People's Republic of China (in Chinese). http://antpedia. com/standard/5040107-1.html
- GB/T 50123 (1999). Standard for soil test method. Ministry of Construction, P.R. China (in Chinese). http://doc88.com/p-0942547893916.html
- Gidigasu, M. D. (1974). Degree of weathering in the identification of laterite materials for engineering purposes — a review. *Engineering Geology*, 8, 213–266.
- Gidigasu, M. D. (1976). Laterite Soil Engineering Pedogenesis and Engineering Principles. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co.
- Godt, J. W., & Coe, J. A. (2007). Alpine debris flows triggered by a 28 July 1999 thunderstorm in the central Front Range, Colorado. *Geomorphology*, 84, 80–97.
- Goure-Doubi, H., Lecomte-Nana, G., Nait-Abbou, F., Nait-Ali, B., Smith, A., Coudert, V., & Konan, L. (2014). Understanding the

strengthening of a lateritic "geomimetic" material. *Construction and Building Materials*, *55*, 333–340.

- Hiscock, K. M. (2005). *Hydrogeology: Principles and Practice* (p. 19). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing.
- Hong, H., Li, Z., & Xiao, P. (2009). Clay mineralogy along the laterite profile in Hubei, South China: mineral evolution and evidence for eolian origin. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 57, 602–615.
- Huggett, R. J. (2007). *Fundamentals of Geomorphology* (2nd ed.). London: Taylor and Francis Group.
- Humayun Kabir, M. D., & Taha, M. R. (2004). Sedimentary Residual Soil as a Waste Containment Barrier Material. Soil & Sediment Contamination, 13, 407–420.
- Ige, O. O. (2011). Geotechnical assessment of lateritic soils from a dumpsite in Ilorin (southwestern Nigeria) as liners in sanitary landfills. *Global Journal of Geological Sciences*, 9, 27–31.
- Indraratna, B., & Nutalaya, P. (1991). Some engineering characteristics of a compacted lateritic residual soil. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 9, 125–137.
- Ji, H. B., Wang, S. J., Ouyang, Z. Y., Zhang, S., Sun, C. X., Liu, X. M., & Zhou, D. Q. (2004). Geochemistry of red residua underlying dolomites in karst terrains of Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau: I. The formation of the Pingba profile. *Chemical Geology*, 203, 0–27.
- Johnson, A.M. & Rodine, J.R. (1984). Debris flow. Pp. 257–361 in: *Slope Instability* (D. Brunsden and D.B. Prior, editors). Wiley, Chichester, UK, pp. 257–361.
- Johnson, A. W., Gutiérrez, M., Gouzie, D., & McAliley, L. R. (2016). State of remediation and metal toxicity in the Tri-State mining district, USA. *Chemosphere*, 144, 1132–1141.
- Kamtchueng, B. T., Onana, V. L., Fantong, W. Y., Ueda, A., Ntouala, R. F. D., Wongolo, M. H. D., Ndongo, G. B., Ngo'o Ze, A., Kamgang, V. K. B., & Ondoa, J. M. (2015). Geotechnical, chemical and mineralogical evaluation of lateritic soils in humid tropical area (Mfou, central Cameroon): Implications for road construction. *International Journal of Geo-Engineering*, 6, 1–21.
- Kisakürek, B., Widdowson, M., & Jame, R. H. (2004). Behaviour of Li isotopes during continental weathering: the Bidar laterite profile, India. *Chemistry Geology*, 212, 27–44.
- Ko, T. H., Chu, H., Lin, H. P., & Peng, C. Y. (2006). Red soil as a regenerable sorbent for high temperature removal of hydrogen sulfide from coal gas. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 136, 776– 783.
- Leton, T. G., & Omotosho, O. (2004). Landfill operations in the Niger delta region of Nigeria. *Engineering Geology*, 73, 171–177.
- Li, G. Y., & Zhou, W. F. (1999). Sinkholes in karst mining areas in China and some methods of prevention. *Engineering Geology*, 52, 45–50.
- Li, M. (2000). Characteristics of lateritic clay in Gejiu area. *Tin Industry Technology*, 1, 32–34 (in Chinese).
- Li, R. W. (2011). Characteristics and control factors of ground water of Gejiu ore field. *Yunnan Geology*, 1, 64–66 (in Chinese).
- Liu, W. J., Liu, C. Q., Zhao, Z. Q., Xu, Z. F., Liang, C. S., Li, L. B., & Feng, J. F. (2013). Elemental and strontium isotopic geochemistry of the soil profiles developed on limestone and sandstone in karstic terrain on Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau, China: Implications for chemical weathering and parent materials. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 67-68, 138–152.
- MacDonald, D. D., Ingersoll, C. G., & Berger, T. A. (2000). Development and evaluation of Consensus-Based sediment quality guidelines for freshwater ecosystems. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 39, 20–31.
- Madu, R. M. (1977). An investigation into the geotechnical and engineering properties of some laterites of Eastern Nigeria. *Engineering Geology*, 11, 101–125.
- Mahalinger-Iyer, U., & Williams, D. J. (1997). Properties and performance of lateritic soil in road pavements. *Engineering Geology*, 46, 71–80.

- McCarthy, T. S., & Venter, J. (2006). Increasing pollution levels on the Witwatersrand recorded in the peat deposits of the Klip River Wetland. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 102, 27–34.
- Miguel, M. G., Barreto, R. P., & Pereira, S. Y. (2015). Analysis of aluminum, manganese, and iron adsorption for the design of a liner for retention of the acid mining drainage. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, 226*, 67.
- Miguel, M. G., Barreto, R. P., & Pereira, S. Y. (2017). Study of a tropical soil in order to use it to retain aluminum, iron, manganese and fluoride from acid mine drainage. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 204, 563–570.
- Moon, V., Lange, A. D., & Lange, W. D. (2003). Mudslides developed on waitemata group rocks, Tawharanui peninsula, North Auckland. *New Zealand Geographer*, 59, 44–53.
- Moon, V., & Simpson, C. J. (2002). Large-scale mass wasting in ancient volcanic materials. *Engineering Geology*, 64, 41–64.
- Morandini, T. L. C., & Leite, A. D. L. (2015). Characterization and hydraulic conductivity of tropical soils and bentonite mixtures for CCL purposes. *Engineering Geology*, 196, 251–267.
- Ng, C. W. W., Akinniyi, D. B., Zhou, C., & Chiu, C. F. (2019). Comparisons of weathered lateritic, granitic andvolcanic soils: Compressibility and shear strength. *Engineering Geology*, 249, 235–240.
- Ogunsanwo, O. (1989). Some geotechnical properties of two laterite soils compacted at different energies. *Engineering Geology*, 26, 261–269.
- Ojuri, O., Akinwumi, I. I., & Oluwatuyi, O. E. (2017). Nigerian lateritic clay soils as hydraulic barriers to adsorb metals, geotechnical characterization and chemical compatibility. *Environment Protection Engineering*, 43, 209–222.
- Okeke, O. C., Duruojinnaka, I. B., Echetama, H. N., Paschal, C. C., Ezekiel, C. J., Okoroafor, E. J., & Akpunonu, E. O. (2016). Geotechnical and geochemical characterization of lateritic soil deposits in parts of Owerri, Southeastern Nigeria, for road construction. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research*, *Sciences, Technology & Engineering, 2*, 2488–9849.
- Ola, S. A. (1983). Geotechnical properties and behaviour of some Nigerian lateritic soils. In S. Ola (Ed.), *Tropical Soils of Nigeria in Engineering Practice* (pp. 61–84). Rotterdam: A.A. Balkema.
- Oluremi, J. R., Eberemu, A. O., Ijimdiya, S. T., & Osinubi, K. J. (2019). Lateritic soil treated with waste wood ash as liner in landfill construction. *Environmental and Engineering Geoscience*, 25, 127– 139.
- Osinubi, K. J., & Nwaiwu, C. M. O. (2006). Design of compacted lateritic soil liners and covers. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering. ASCE.*, 132, 203–213.
- Qiao, P. W., Zhou, X. Y., Yang, J., Lei, M., & Chen, T. B. (2014). Heavy metal pollution and ecological risk assessment of Datun basin in the Gejiu tin mining area, Yunnan province. *Geological Bulletin of China*, 33, 1253–1259 (in Chinese).
- Ran, J. W., Ning, P., Sun, X., & Liang, D. L. (2019). Heavy metal pollution characteristics and potential risks of soil and crops in Gejiu, Yunnan. *Environmental Monitoring in China*, 35, 62–68 (in Chinese).
- Ren, Z., Wang, K., Yang, K., Zhou, Z. H., Tang, Y. J., Tian, L., & Xu, Z. M. (2018). The grain size distribution and composition of the Touzhai rock avalanche deposit in Yunnan, China. *Engineering Geology*, 234, 97–111.
- Ren, Z., Zhang, L. Y., Xu, Z. M., Zhang, J. M., & Chen, J. P. (2016). Study of physico-mechanical properties of Emeishan basalt saprolites in Yunnan, China. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 76, 617–628.
- Rodine, J. D., & Johnson, A. M. (1976). The ability of debris, heavily freighted with coarse clastic materials, to flow on gentle slopes. *Sedimentology*, 23, 213–234.

- Sarkar, M., Banerjee, A., & Pramanick, P. P. (2006). Kinetics and mechanism of fluoride removal using laterite. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 45, 5920–5927.
- Schoenberger, E. (2016). Environmentally sustainable mining: The case of tailings storage facilities. *Resources Policy*, 49, 119–128.
- Seun, B., Ige, O. O., & Alao, D. A. (2016). Assessment of some lateritic clayey soils from Azara Northcentral Nigeria as liners in sanitary landfill. *Journal of Environment and Earth Science*, 6, 6– 11.
- Sterling, S., & Slaymaker, O. (2007). Lithologic control of debris torrent occurrence. *Geomorphology*, 86, 307–319.
- Stoops, G. & Marcelino, V. (2018). Lateritic and bauxitic materials. Pp. 691–720 in: *Interpretation of Micromorphological Features of Soils* and Regoliths (Second Edition) (G. Stoops, V. Marcelino, and F. Mees, editors). Elsevier Science, The Netherlands.
- Sunil, B. M., Shrihari, S., & Nayak, S. (2009). Shear strength characteristics and chemical characteristics of leachate-contaminated lateritic soil. *Engineering Geology*, 106, 20–25.
- Syafalni, Lim, H. K., Ismail, N., Abustan, I., Murshed, M. F., & Ahmad, A. (2012). Treatment of landfill leachate by using lateritic soil as a natural coagulant. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *112*, 353–359.
- Terzaghi, K., Peck, R. B., & Mesri, G. (1996). Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice (3rd ed.). New York: Wiley.
- Udoeyo, F. F., Brooks, R., Inyang, H., & Bae, S. (2010). Imo lateritic soil as a sorbent for heavy metals. *International Journal of Research* & *Reviews in Applied Sciences*, 4, 1–6.
- USDA. (2017). U.S. Department of Agriculture. Soil Survey Manual. (2017). Chapter 3, Examination and Description of soil profiles. USDA Agricultural Handbook No. 18, U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, DC.
- USDA-NRCS. (2012). U.S. Department of Agriculture -Natural Resources Conservation Service. "National Engineering Handbook, Chapter 3-Engineering Classification of Earth Materials". Washington, DC.
- USEPA. (1994). *Technical report on design and evaluation of tailings dams*. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Solid Waste Special Waste Branch, Washington, DC.
- Vick, S. G. (1990). *Planning, Design and Analysis of Tailings Dams.* Vancouver, Canada: Bi Tech Publishers Ltd..
- Wang, L., Ji, B., Hu, Y. H., Liu, R. Q., & Sun, W. (2017). A review on in situ phytoremediation of mine tailings. *Chemosphere*, 184, 594– 600.
- Wang, T. H., Li, M. H., Yeh, W. C., Wei, Y. Y., & Teng, S. P. (2008). Removal of cesium ions from aqueous solution by adsorption onto local Taiwan laterite. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 160, 638– 642.
- Wei, X., Ji, H. B., Wang, S. J., Chu, H. S., & Song, C. S. (2014). The formation of representative lateritic weathering covers in southcentral Guangxi (southern China). *Catena*, 118, 55–72.
- Widdowson, M. (2007). Laterite and ferricretes. Pp. 46–94 in: Geochemical Sediments and Landscapes (D.J. Nash and S.J. McLaren, editors). RGS-IBG Book Series. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, UK.
- Winterkorn, F. H., & Chandrasekharan, E. C. (1951). Laterite soils and their stabilization. *Highway Research Board, Bulletin, 44*, 10–29.
- Xiao, Q. Q., Wang, H. B., Zhao, B., & Ye, Z. H. (2011). Heavy metal pollution in crops growing in suburb of Gejiu city, Yunnan province, China: present situation and health risk. *Journal of Agro-Environment Science*, 30, 271–281. (in Chinese).
- Xu, Y. S., Sun, D. A., Zeng, Z. T., & Lv, H. B. (2019). Effect of temperature on thermal conductivity of lateritic clays over a wide temperature range. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 138, 562–570.
- Yan, J. Y., Wang, C., Wang, Z. H., Yang, S. C., & Li, P. (2019). Mercury concentration and speciation in mine wastes in Tongren

mercury mining area, southwest China and environmental effects. *Applied Geochemistry*, 106, 112–119.

- Yang, Y., Liu, S., & Jin, Z. (2009). Laterization and its control to gold occurrence in Laowanchang gold deposit, Guizhou Province, Southwest of China. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 100, 67–74.
- Zhang, J. W., Dai, C. G., Huang, Z. L., Luo, T. Y., Qian, Z. K., & Zhang, Y. (2015). Age and petrogenesis of Anisian magnesian alkali basalts and their genetic association with the Kafang stratiform Cu deposit in the Gejiu supergiant tin-polymetallic district, SW China. Ore Geology Reviews, 69, 403–416.
- Zhu, L. J., & Lin, J. Y. (1996). The Geochemical features and evolution of laterite in the Karst areas of Guizhou Province. *Chinese Journal* of Geochemistry, 15, 353–363.

(Received 24 April 2020; revised 29 October 2020; AE: Chun Hui Zhou)