

# WEAK COMPACTNESS IN LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

by I. TWEDDLE

(Received 1 August, 1967)

**1. Introduction.** In [2], R. C. James proved that a weakly closed subset  $X$  of a real Banach space is weakly compact if and only if each continuous linear form attains its supremum on  $X$ . He also extended the result to the locally convex case, and, in [5], J. D. Pryce gave a simplified proof of the general result that is recorded below for reference in the sequel.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $E$  be a real separated locally convex topological vector space with dual  $E'$ . Let  $X$  be a subset of  $E$  such that*

(i)  $X$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -closed,

(ii) the closed convex envelope of  $X$  is  $\tau(E, E')$ -complete.

*Then  $X$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact if and only if, for each  $x' \in E'$ , there exists an  $x \in X$  such that*

$$\langle x, x' \rangle = \sup_{y \in X} \langle y, x' \rangle.$$

In this note, Theorem A is applied to give concise proofs of some results on weak compactness. In §3, a short proof of the theorem of Krein giving conditions for the weak compactness of the closed convex envelope of a weakly compact set is derived. In §4, a result on absolutely convex weakly compact sets is established, which yields, as a corollary, a generalisation of Rainwater's theorem on weak convergence of sequences. Finally, in §5, a short proof of the weak relative compactness of the range of a vector-valued measure in a quasi-complete space is given.

Throughout, the term "locally convex topological vector space" is contracted to "locally convex space".

**2. Complex spaces.** It is well-known that, if  $E$  is a complex locally convex space,  $E$  may also be considered as a real space  $E_R$ , and, in the separated case, if  $E'_R$  is the real dual, the topologies  $\sigma(E, E')$ ,  $\tau(E, E')$  coincide with  $\sigma(E_R, E'_R)$ ,  $\tau(E_R, E'_R)$  respectively [4, p. 276]. This allows the proofs of Theorems 1–3 below to be given only in the real case, where Theorem A may be applied.

**3. Krein's Theorem.** Grothendieck has given an elegant proof of this result using Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence, while Pták and Namioka have given combinatorial proofs (for the first two proofs, see [4], pp. 327–333, for the third, see [3], pp. 157–160).

Here Theorem A is employed to give a short proof.

**THEOREM 1.** (Krein) *Let  $E$  be a separated locally convex space and let  $X$  be a  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact subset of  $E$ . Then the closed convex envelope  $Y$  of  $X$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact if and only if  $Y$  is  $\tau(E, E')$ -complete (a condition which is automatically satisfied if  $E$  is quasi-complete).*

*Proof.* If  $Y$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact, it is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -complete, and so  $\tau(E, E')$ -complete [7, p. 105, Corollary].

Conversely, suppose that  $Y$  is  $\tau(E, E')$ -complete. Let  $x' \in E'$ , and let

$$\alpha = \inf_{x \in X} \langle x, x' \rangle, \quad \beta = \sup_{x \in X} \langle x, x' \rangle.$$

There exists  $\bar{x} \in X$ , such that  $\langle \bar{x}, x' \rangle = \beta$ , since  $X$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact. Now the image of  $Y$  by  $x'$  is  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , and  $\bar{x} \in Y$ . Hence

$$\langle \bar{x}, x' \rangle = \sup_{x \in Y} \langle x, x' \rangle.$$

The result now follows from Theorem A.

**4. A result on absolutely convex compact sets.** Let  $E$  be a separated locally convex space with dual  $E'$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of subsets of  $E'$  such that

- (i) every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  is  $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact and absolutely convex,
- (ii)  $\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{A}} X$  spans  $E'$ .

Let  $F'$  be the vector subspace of  $E'$  generated by the extremal points of the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . It follows, by the Krein–Milman Theorem [7, p. 138, Theorem 1], that  $(E, F')$  is a dual pair.

**THEOREM 2.** *The same absolutely convex subsets of  $E$  are compact for the topologies  $\sigma(E, E')$  and  $\sigma(E, F')$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G'$  be the  $\tau(F', E)$ -completion of  $F'$ .  $G'$  may be considered as a vector subspace of the algebraic dual  $E^*$  of  $E$  [7, p. 101, Theorem 1]. Let  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , and let  $Y$  be the  $\sigma(G', E)$ -closed absolutely convex envelope of the set  $Z$  of extremal points of  $X$ . Then  $Y \subseteq X$ , since  $X$ , being  $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact, is  $\sigma(E^*, E)$ -closed.

Now, on a compact convex set, a continuous linear form attains its supremum at an extremal point of the set [4, p. 336, (9)], so that, for each  $x \in E$ ,

$$\sup_{x' \in Y} \langle x, x' \rangle \leq \sup_{x' \in X} \langle x, x' \rangle = \sup_{x' \in Z} \langle x, x' \rangle \leq \sup_{x' \in Y} \langle x, x' \rangle.$$

Thus

$$\sup_{x' \in Y} \langle x, x' \rangle = \sup_{x' \in X} \langle x, x' \rangle = \langle x, y' \rangle \quad \text{for some } y' \in Z \subseteq Y.$$

It now follows, by Theorem A, that  $Y$  is  $\sigma(G', E)$ -compact, and so, by the Krein–Milman Theorem,  $Y = X$ . Thus, since  $\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{A}} X$  spans  $E'$ , we have  $F' \subseteq E' \subseteq G'$ .

Now the same absolutely convex sets of  $E$  are compact for  $\sigma(E, F')$  and  $\sigma(E, G')$  [7, p. 104, Corollary 3]. Hence, by the above, these sets (and only these absolutely convex sets) are compact for  $\sigma(E, E')$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose that  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  under  $\sigma(E, F')$ , and that  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -bounded. Then if  $E$  is  $\tau(E, E')$ -sequentially complete, there is an absolutely convex  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact set containing  $\{x_n\}$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $\tau(E, E')$ -continuous seminorm  $p$ , there exists  $m > 0$ , such that  $p(x_n) \leq m$  for all  $n$ . Then, if  $(\lambda_n) \in l_1$ , the space of sequences  $(\xi_n)$  of scalars such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi_n| < \infty,$$

we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(\lambda_n x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| p(x_n) \leq m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| < \infty,$$

so that, by the sequential completeness of  $E$ , the mapping

$$t: (\lambda_n) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n$$

maps  $l_1$  into  $E$ . Its transpose  $t'$  is defined by

$$\langle (\xi_n), t'(x') \rangle = \langle t((\xi_n)), x' \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n \langle x_n, x' \rangle$$

for all  $(\xi_n) \in l_1$ ,  $x' \in E'$ . Thus  $t'(x') = (\langle x_n, x' \rangle)$ , and so, by the conditions on  $(x_n)$ ,  $t'$  maps  $F'$  into  $c_0$ , the space of sequences of scalars that converge to zero. Thus  $t$  is continuous for the topologies  $\sigma(l_1, c_0)$  and  $\sigma(E, F')$ .

Now, the unit ball  $B$  of  $l_1$  is  $\sigma(l_1, c_0)$ -compact. Hence  $t(B)$  is an absolutely convex  $\sigma(E, F')$ -compact set containing  $\{x_n\}$ . But, by the theorem,  $t(B)$  is also  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact.

**COROLLARY 2.** (Rainwater's theorem [6])  *$(x_n)$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -convergent to  $x_0$  in  $E$  if (and only if) it is  $\sigma(E, F')$ -convergent to  $x_0$ , and  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -bounded.*

*Proof.* It may be assumed, without loss of generality, that  $E$  is  $\tau(E, E')$ -complete, for, if  $\hat{E}$  is the  $\tau(E, E')$ -completion of  $E$ , the same absolutely convex subsets of  $E'$  are compact for the topologies  $\sigma(E', E)$  and  $\sigma(E', \hat{E})$  [7, p. 104, Corollary 3], so that the family  $\mathcal{A}$  also satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) in the dual pair  $(\hat{E}, E')$ .

Then  $x_n - x_0 \rightarrow 0$  under  $\sigma(E, F')$ , and  $\{x_n - x_0\}$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -bounded, so that, by Corollary 1,  $\{x_n - x_0\}$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -relatively compact. Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is not  $\sigma(E, E')$ -convergent to  $x_0$ . Then there is a  $\sigma(E, E')$ -neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin, and a subsequence  $(x_{n(k)})$ , such that, for all  $k$ ,  $x_{n(k)} - x_0 \notin U$ . But  $x_{n(k)} - x_0 \rightarrow 0$  under  $\sigma(E, F')$ , and  $F'$  separates the points of  $E$ . Thus the origin can be the only  $\sigma(E, E')$ -cluster point of  $(x_{n(k)} - x_0)$ , which gives a contradiction.

**5. The range of a vector-valued measure.** Let  $E$  be a separated locally convex space with topology  $\eta$  and dual  $E'$ . Let  $S$  be a set, and  $\mathcal{M}$  a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of  $S$ . A function  $\mathbf{m}$  defined on  $\mathcal{M}$  and taking values in  $E$ , is called a vector-valued measure if, for every sequence  $(X_n)$  of mutually disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\mathbf{m}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{m}(X_n),$$

the series being unconditionally convergent (i.e. summable) in  $E$  under  $\eta$ . It can be shown, by the Pettis–Orlicz Theorem, that  $\mathbf{m}$  is then a vector-valued measure for all topologies of the dual pair  $(E, E')$ .

Let  $R = \{\mathbf{m}(X) : X \in \mathcal{M}\}$ , the range of  $\mathbf{m}$ , and, for every  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ , let

$$R(X) = \{\mathbf{m}(Y) : Y \in \mathcal{M}, Y \subseteq X\}.$$

In [1], R. G. Bartle, N. Dunford and J. Schwartz showed that if  $E$  is a Banach space, and  $\mathcal{M}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $R$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -relatively compact. This is generalised in Theorem 3. First is required the following

**PROPOSITION.** *Suppose that the closed convex envelope of  $R$  is  $\tau(E, E')$ -complete, and let  $\xi$  be some topology of the dual pair  $(E, E')$ . Then in order that  $R$  be  $\xi$ -relatively compact, it is (necessary and) sufficient that  $R(X)$  be  $\xi$ -relatively compact for all  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathbf{m}(X_n))$  be any sequence in  $R$ . Then, since

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \in \mathcal{M},$$

$R(X)$  is a  $\xi$ -relatively compact set containing  $\{\mathbf{m}(X_n)\}$ . Hence  $(\mathbf{m}(X_n))$  has a  $\xi$ -cluster point in  $E$ . The result now follows by Eberlein's theorem [7, p. 110, Corollary, and 4, p. 316, (1')].

**THEOREM 3.** *If the closed convex envelope of  $R$  is  $\tau(E, E')$ -complete,  $R$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -relatively compact.*

*Proof.* By the Proposition, it is sufficient to show that  $R(X)$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -relatively compact for all  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $x' \in E'$ . Then  $x' \circ \mathbf{m}$  is a finite signed measure on  $(S, \mathcal{M})$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{M}$  and let  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  be a Hahn decomposition of  $X$  with respect to  $x' \circ \mathbf{m}$ , so that  $x' \circ \mathbf{m}$  is non-negative on  $X_1$ ,  $(-x') \circ \mathbf{m}$  is non-negative on  $X_2$ , and  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$  [8, p. 32, (14.1)].

Let  $\bar{R}(X)$  denote the  $\sigma(E, E')$ -closure of  $R(X)$ ; then

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{R}(X)} \langle x, x' \rangle = \sup_{x \in R(X)} \langle x, x' \rangle = \sup_{\substack{Y \subseteq X \\ Y \in \mathcal{M}}} x' \circ \mathbf{m}(Y) = x' \circ \mathbf{m}(X_1) = \langle \mathbf{m}(X_1), x' \rangle.$$

Thus by Theorem A,  $\bar{R}(X)$  is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact, from which the result follows.

*Remark.* It is well-known that  $R$  is always bounded; in fact the proof is implicit in the above. Thus the completeness requirements of the Proposition and of Theorem 3 are automatically satisfied if  $E$  is quasi-complete.

I wish to thank both Professor A. P. Robertson and Dr. Wendy Robertson for many useful discussions leading to the presentation of this note.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. G. Bartle, N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, Weak compactness and vector measures, *Can. J. Math.* **7** (1955), 289–305.
2. R. C. James, Weakly compact sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **113** (1964), 129–140.
3. J. L. Kelley, I. Namioka et al., *Linear Topological Spaces* (Princeton, 1963).

4. G. Köthe, *Topologische Lineare Räume I* (Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1960).
5. J. D. Pryce, Weak compactness in locally convex spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1) (1966), 148–155.
6. J. Rainwater, Weak convergence of bounded sequences, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (1963), 999.
7. A. P. Robertson and W. J. Robertson, *Topological Vector Spaces* (Cambridge, 1963).
8. S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral*, 2nd revised edition (New York).

UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW  
GLASGOW, W.2.

H