

## UNIFORM AND TANGENTIAL APPROXIMATIONS BY MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON CLOSED SETS

ALICE ROTH

1. Let  $G$  be an (open) domain in the finite complex plane and  $F$  a relatively closed proper subset of  $G$ . We denote by  $M(G)$  the set of functions meromorphic on  $G$  and as usual by  $R(K)$  (for a compact set  $K$ ) the set of uniform limits of rational functions without poles on  $K$ .

The problem of approximating uniformly a complex valued function on  $F$  by functions in  $M(G)$  is reduced by the following Theorem I to the problem of uniform approximation by rational functions on a compact set.

**THEOREM I.** *A function  $f$  can be approximated uniformly on  $F$  by functions in  $M(G)$  without poles on  $F$  if and only if*

$$(*) \quad f|_K \in R(K)$$

for every compact subset  $K$  of  $F$ .

The necessity of condition (\*) is obvious: if  $m$  is a meromorphic function which approximates  $f$  on  $F$ , the restriction  $m|_K$  can be approximated uniformly on  $K$  by rational functions (using Runge's Theorem).

To prove that the condition (\*) is sufficient we shall use the following Lemma 1.

**LEMMA 1.** (Fusion of rational functions). *Let  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ , and  $K$  be compact subsets of the extended plane with  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  disjoint. If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are any two rational functions satisfying, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$(1) \quad |r_1(z) - r_2(z)| < \epsilon, \text{ for } z \in K,$$

then there is a positive number  $a$ , depending only on  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  and a rational function  $r$  such that for  $j = 1, 2$ ,

$$(2) \quad |r(z) - r_j(z)| < a\epsilon, \text{ for } z \in K_j \cup K.$$

We remark that in Lemma 1,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are allowed to have poles on the sets in question.

*Proof.* We may assume  $K_2 \setminus K \neq \emptyset$  and  $\infty \in K_2$ . Thus, we can construct open neighbourhoods  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  respectively such that  $\bar{U}_1 \cap \bar{U}_2 = \emptyset$  and  $\infty \in U_2$ . Moreover, we may assume that the boundaries of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  consist of finitely many disjoint smooth Jordan curves. Let  $E$  be the

---

Received January 20, 1975.

complement of  $U_1 \cup U_2$  in the extended plane. Then  $E$  is compact in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and thus

$$(3) \quad I(z) = \int_E \int \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\zeta - z|}, \text{ where } \zeta = \xi + i \eta,$$

is uniformly bounded for  $z$  in the extended plane. Indeed, for  $z_0 \neq \infty$ , set

$$\zeta - z_0 = \rho e^{i\varphi}.$$

Then

$$I(z_0) = \int_E \int d\rho d\varphi,$$

and so  $I(z_0)$  is bounded, for instance, by  $2\pi d$ , where  $d$  is the diameter of  $E$ . For  $z_0 = \infty$ ,  $I(z_0) = 0$ .

We introduce now an auxiliary function  $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$  with values in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\Phi$  is 1 on  $U_1$  and  $\Phi$  is 0 on  $U_2$ . Then

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right)$$

is uniformly bounded. Hence since (3) is also uniformly bounded, there is a constant  $a > 2$  such that

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_E \int \left| \frac{\partial \Phi(\zeta)}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \right| \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} d\xi d\eta < a - 2,$$

for  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ .

We return now to our rational functions  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  and we put

$$q = r_1 - r_2.$$

By (1) we can find a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $K$  such that

$$|q(z)| < \epsilon, \quad z \in \bar{U}.$$

We replace  $q$  by a function  $q_1$  constructed as follows. First set

$$(5) \quad q_1 = q \text{ on } U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U.$$

Now extend  $q_1$  to  $E$  so as to satisfy:  $q_1$  is continuous on  $E$  and

$$(6) \quad |q_1(z)| < \epsilon, \quad z \in E.$$

Set

$$(7) \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_E \int \frac{q_1(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} d\xi d\eta.$$

From (4) and (6) we have

$$(8) \quad |g(z)| < (a - 2)(a - 2)\epsilon, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Since  $g$  is a Cauchy integral,  $g$  is holomorphic outside of  $E$ . Consequently

$$(9) \quad f(z) = \Phi(z)q_1(z) + g(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{C},$$

is holomorphic in  $U_2$  (for  $q_1(z) = \infty$ , set  $\Phi(z)q_1(z) = 0$ ). For  $z \in U_1$ ,

$$f(z) = q_1(z) + g(z)$$

is meromorphic and has the same poles as  $q_1$ . To see that  $f$  is also holomorphic on  $U$ , we invoke the Pompeiu formula

$$\Phi(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_E \int \frac{\partial \Phi(\zeta)}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \frac{1}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Hence,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_E \int \frac{\partial \Phi(\zeta)}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \frac{q_1(\zeta) - q_1(z)}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}, \quad q_1(z) \neq \infty.$$

For  $z \in U$ ,  $q_1 = q$  and

$$\frac{q_1(\zeta) - q_1(z)}{\zeta - z}$$

is holomorphic. Thus  $f$  is holomorphic in  $U$ , and hence  $f$  is meromorphic on  $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U$  with the same poles as  $q$ . By Runge's theorem there is a rational function  $r_3$  for which

$$|r_3(z) - f(z)| < \epsilon, \quad z \in K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K.$$

Finally we put  $r = r_2 + r_3$ , and we have the following estimates: on  $K_1 \cup K$

$$\begin{aligned} |r - r_1| &\leq |f - (r_1 - r_2)| + |r_3 - f| \\ &\leq |\Phi - 1| |q| + |g| + |r_3 - f| \\ &< \epsilon + (a - 2)\epsilon + \epsilon = a\epsilon; \end{aligned}$$

on  $K_2 \cup K$

$$\begin{aligned} |r - r_2| &\leq |f| + |r_3 - f| \leq |\Phi| |q| + |g| + |r_3 - f| \\ &< \epsilon + (a - 2)\epsilon + \epsilon = a\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Construction of the approximating function in Theorem I: Let  $\{G_n\}$  be an exhaustion of  $G$  by domains with

$$\bar{G}_n \subset G_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \cup G_n = G.$$

For each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  we choose a positive number  $a_n$  associated with  $\bar{G}_n$  and  $(\mathbf{C} \cup \infty) \setminus G_{n+1}$  in Lemma 1 (these sets replacing  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ ), so that

$$1 < a_n < a_{n+1}.$$

If  $\epsilon$  is a given positive number we select the positive numbers  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \dots$  so that

$$(10) \quad \epsilon_{n+1} < \epsilon_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

If condition (\*) is fulfilled, there exist rational functions  $\{q_n\}$  thus

$$(11) \quad |q_n(z) - f(z)| < \frac{\epsilon_n}{2a_n}, \quad z \in F_n = F \cap \bar{G}_{n+1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and therefore

$$(12) \quad |q_{n+1}(z) - q_n(z)| < \frac{\epsilon_n}{a_n}, \quad z \in F_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The functions  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots$  converge to  $f$  on every  $F_n$ , but generally they don't converge on the domains  $G_n$ ; we need a second sequence  $\{r_n\}$  of rational functions. We use Lemma 1, applying it to the functions  $q_n, q_{n+1}$  and to the sets  $\bar{G}_n, (\mathbf{C} \cup \infty) \setminus G_{n+1}$  and  $F_n$ . For  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  there exists a rational function  $r_n$  such that

$$(13) \quad |r_n(z) - q_n(z)| < \epsilon_n, \quad z \in \bar{G}_n \cup F_n,$$

$$(14) \quad |r_n(z) - q_{n+1}(z)| < \epsilon_n, \quad z \in (\mathbf{C} \cup \infty) \setminus G_{n+1}.$$

The inequalities (13) yield

$$\sum_n^{\infty} |r_n(z) - q_n(z)| < \sum_n^{\infty} \epsilon_n, \quad z \in \bar{G}_n.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty, \sum_n^{\infty} \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ ; thus  $\sum_n^{\infty} (r_n(z) - q_n(z))$  converges uniformly to a holomorphic function on  $\bar{G}_n$ . Therefore

$$m(z) = q_1(z) + \sum_1^{\infty} (r_n(z) - q_n(z))$$

is holomorphic on  $G_n$  with the possible exception of a finite number of poles. Hence  $m(z)$  is meromorphic on  $G = \cup G_n$ .

From (11), (13) and (10) follows for  $z \in F_1$

$$|m(z) - f(z)| \leq |q_1(z) - f(z)| + \sum_1^{\infty} |r_n(z) - q_n(z)| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2a_1} + \sum_1^{\infty} \epsilon_n < \epsilon.$$

From (11), (13), (14) and (10) and because

$$F_n \setminus F_{n-1} \subset (\mathbf{C} \cup \infty) \setminus G_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |m(z) - f(z)| &\leq \sum_1^{n-1} |r_n(z) - q_{n+1}(z)| + |q_n - f| + \sum_n^{\infty} |r_n(z) - q_n(z)| \\ &< \sum_1^{n-1} \epsilon_n + \frac{\epsilon_n}{2a_n} + \sum_n^{\infty} \epsilon_n < \epsilon \quad \text{for } z \in F_n \setminus F_{n-1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $|m(z) - f(z)| < \epsilon$  for  $z \in F$ ; i.e.  $f$  can be approximated uniformly on  $F$  by meromorphic functions.

*Remark.* Condition (\*) in Theorem I can be replaced by a simpler condition, namely that for each  $z \in F$  there exists a closed disc  $D_z$  with center  $z$  such that

$$f|_{F \cap D_z} \in R(F \cap D_z).$$

This is an immediate consequence of the Localization Theorem of Bishop [7, p. 97], which can be proved by applying Lemma 1.

**2.** We denote by  $A(F)$  the set of continuous functions from  $F$  to  $\mathbf{C}$  whose restrictions to the interior  $F^0$  are holomorphic. We seek to characterize those sets  $F$  having the property that every function  $f, f \in A(F)$ , can be uniformly approximated by functions in  $M(G)$ .

**THEOREM II.** *A necessary and sufficient condition in order that every function in  $A(F)$  can be approximated uniformly on  $F$  by functions in  $M(G)$  is that*

$$(**) \quad R(F \cap \bar{G}_1) = A(F \cap \bar{G}_1)$$

for every domain  $G_1, \bar{G}_1 \subset G$ .

By the Localization-Theorem of Bishop we may replace the closed domains  $G_1$  by closed discs.

Theorem II was stated by Nersesian [4] and proved for the special case  $G = \mathbf{C}$ .

The sufficiency of condition (\*\*) follows immediately from the proof of Theorem I. The construction we employed (and which we found before learning of [4]) to prove Theorem I is different from Nersesian's method. Perhaps his method (especially with the modifications necessary for applying it to general domains) is more complicated than our method. This may serve as a small justification for publishing the present work.

The proof that condition (\*\*) is necessary is very simple in case  $F$  is nowhere dense ( $F^0 = \emptyset$ ) and hence  $A(F) = C(F)$ : indeed any continuous function on  $F \cap \bar{G}_1$  may be extended to a continuous function on all of  $F$ .

It seems that at the current state of the subject, the necessity of (\*\*) in the case  $F^0 \neq \emptyset$  can only be shown using the results of Vitushkin on continuous analytic capacity [7, p. 104].

**3.** The problem of characterizing a set  $F$  having the property, that every function in  $A(F)$  can be uniformly approximated by functions *holomorphic* on  $G$  was treated in a special case by [3] and [5] and solved completely by Arakeljan [1]: a necessary and sufficient condition on  $F$  is that  $G^* \setminus F$  is connected and locally connected ( $G^*$  is the one-point compactification of  $G$ ). In [6] we pointed out that Arakeljan's Theorem can be proved using Theorem II (at that time only a conjecture).

4. In order to treat *tangential approximations* the following lemma is useful.

LEMMA 2. *If condition (\*\*) is satisfied and  $f, h \in A(F)$ , with*

$$0 < |h(z)| < 1, z \in F,$$

*then there is an  $m \in M(G)$ , for which*

$$|m(z) - f(z)| < |h(z)|, z \in F.$$

*Proof.* Since  $2h^{-1} \in A(F)$ , there is by Theorem II a function  $m_1, m_1 \in M(G)$ :

$$\left| m_1(z) - \frac{2}{h(z)} \right| < 1, z \in F.$$

Thus

$$|m_1(z)| > \frac{2}{|h(z)|} - 1 > \frac{1}{|h(z)|}, z \in F.$$

A further application of Theorem II yields the existence of a second function  $m_2 \in M(G)$ :

$$|m_2(z) - m_1(z)f(z)| < 1, z \in F.$$

Set

$$m = m_2/m_1;$$

then  $m \in M(G)$  and

$$|m(z) - f(z)| < \frac{1}{|m_1(z)|} < |h(z)|, z \in F.$$

The following Theorems III, IV and V are consequences of Theorem II and Lemma 2.

THEOREM III. *If  $F$  is a proper closed subset of  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfying condition (\*\*) for every disc and  $f \in A(F)$ , then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $m$  meromorphic on  $\mathbf{C}$  for which*

$$|m(z) - f(z)| < \epsilon, z \in F,$$

*and moreover*

$$\lim (m(z) - f(z)) = 0$$

*uniformly as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  on  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $z_1, z_1 \in \mathbf{C} \setminus F, n \in \mathbf{N}$  and then  $\eta$  so that

$$0 < \eta < |z - z_1|^n \text{ for } z \in F.$$

In Lemma 2 set

$$h(z) = \epsilon\eta(z - z_1)^{-n}.$$

The approximation of Theorem III is “best-possible” in some sense, [6, p. 164].

If  $F^0 = \emptyset$ , then  $A(F) = C(F)$  and so from Theorem II and Lemma 2 follows

**THEOREM IV.** *Let  $N$  be a relatively closed nowhere dense subset of the domain  $G$ . Then the condition that*

$$R(N_1) = C(N_1)$$

*for every compact subset  $N_1$  of  $N$  is necessary and sufficient in order that for every  $f \in C(N)$ , and for every  $\epsilon(z) \in C(N)$ ,  $\epsilon(z) > 0$ , there is a function  $m$  meromorphic on  $G$  for which*

$$|m(z) - f(z)| < \epsilon(z), \quad z \in N.$$

Since the function  $\epsilon(z)$  can tend arbitrarily fast to 0 as  $z$  approaches the boundary of  $G$ , we have a so called “Carleman-approximation”. Theorem IV was proved in [6] by a different method.

A particularly useful auxiliary function  $h$  was introduced by Brown and Gauthier [2] for approximations by holomorphic functions. Namely  $h$  is a continuous function on  $F$  which is constant on every component of  $F^0$  (and hence  $h \in A(F)$ ). Such a function  $h$  allows the possibility of *simultaneous uniform approximation on all of  $F$  and a Carleman-approximation on a certain subset of  $F$* . The following Theorem V contains both Theorem II as well as Theorem IV.

**THEOREM V.** *Let  $F$  be a closed subset of the domain  $G$  and  $\hat{N}$  a closed subset of the nowhere dense set  $N = F \setminus F^0$  (where “closed” means closed in  $G$ ). Then condition (\*\*) is necessary and sufficient in order that for every  $f \in A(F)$ , for every  $\eta > 0$  and for every  $\epsilon(z) \in C(\hat{N})$ ,  $\epsilon(z) > 0$ , there is a function  $m \in M(G)$ , for which*

$$\begin{aligned} |m(z) - f(z)| &< \eta, \quad z \in F, \\ |m(z) - f(z)| &< \epsilon(z), \quad z \in \hat{N}. \end{aligned}$$

The necessity of condition (\*\*) follows from Theorem II. The proof that (\*\*) is sufficient follows from Theorem II and Lemma 2. We can suppose  $\eta < 1$  and  $\epsilon(z) < \eta$ . Then we choose the auxiliary function  $h$  by setting  $h|_{F^0} = \eta$ ,  $h|_{\hat{N}} = \epsilon(z)$  and extend this function (by Tietze’s theorem) to a function  $h$  continuous and positive on  $F$  and for which  $h(z) \leq \eta$  for  $z \in F$ .

**5.** The function  $f$  of Theorems I–V is in  $A(F)$ . Instead of  $A(F)$  we may consider a larger set of functions if we admit as approximating functions all functions in  $M(G)$  with or without poles on  $F$ . Then a necessary condition for  $f$  is that for every compact subset  $K$  of  $F$  the restriction  $f|_K$  is the sum of a function in  $A(K)$  and a rational function. Let us denote by  $M(F)$  (generalizing the notation  $M(G)$ ) a function with that property.

Theorem I is valid if we admit all functions of  $M(G)$  as approximating functions and replace the condition (\*) by the condition that for every compact subset  $K$  of  $F$  the restriction  $f|_K$  can be approximated uniformly by rational functions (with or without poles on  $K$ ). The proof needs no modifications.

An immediate consequence is that in Theorems II–V we can suppose  $f \in M(F)$ .

*Remark.* The theorem of Mittag–Leffler (concerning the existence of a meromorphic function with given principal parts) follows easily from the modified Theorem II. Vice-versa: to see that in Theorems II–V we may suppose  $f \in M(F)$ , we can prove with Mittag–Leffler's theorem that such a function  $f$  is the sum of a function in  $A(F)$  and a function in  $M(G)$ .

I am most grateful to Professor P. M. Gauthier for drawing my attention to Nersesian's paper [4] and for his very kind help with the English version of my paper.

## REFERENCES

1. N. U. Arakeljan, *Approximations complexe et propriétés des fonctions analytiques*, Actes Congrès Intern. Math., 2 (1970), 595–600.
2. L. Brown and P. M. Gauthier, *The local range set of a meromorphic function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1973), 518–524.
3. S. N. Mergeljan, *Uniform approximations to functions of a complex variable*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, 3, p. 294–391.
4. A. H. Nersesian, *On uniform and tangential approximation by meromorphic functions* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk. Arm. SSR, Ser. Math. VII, No. 6 (1972), 405–412.
5. Alice Roth, *Approximationseigenschaften und Strahlengrenzwerte meromorpher und ganzer Funktionen*, Comment. Math. Helv., 11 (1938), 77–125.
6. ——— *Meromorphe Approximationen*, Comment. Math. Helv. 48 (1973), 151–176.
7. L. Zalcman, *Analytic capacity and rational approximation* (Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1968).

Willadingweg 34, CH-3006 Berne,  
Switzerland