

totally in agreement with that affirmation, we want to point out that we often forget there is proven evidence of the preventative utility of non-pharmacological interventions designed to increase clinical follow-up and adherence to post-attempt outpatient treatment. It is important to indicate that these interventions are not aimed at specific disorders or population groups, but rather they are of a more universal character and are thus more easily generalised. During this presentation, some of these approaches will be addressed and discussed.

**Disclosure of interest** The author has not supplied his declaration of competing interest.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.01.916>

## The effects of neuroleptics on the brain

### S101

#### GROUP 6 year outcome data in relation to antipsychotic medication

W. Cahn\*, for GROUP Investigators

UMC Utrecht, Brain center, Utrecht, Netherlands

\* Corresponding author.

**Objective** Genetic risk and outcome of psychoses (GROUP) is a 6 year longitudinal cohort study that focus on gene–environment vulnerability and resilience in patients with psychotic disorders, their unaffected family members and non-related controls. Its main aim is to elucidate etiological and pathogenetic factors that influence the onset and course of psychotic disorders. In this substudy, we will examine medication use over time, its relation with (the change in) metabolic syndrome status and effects on the brain.

**Methods** A consortium of four university psychiatric centers and their affiliated mental health care institutions, conducted the GROUP study. At baseline, 1120 patients, 1057 siblings, 919 parents and 590 healthy controls were included. After inclusion, participants, except parents, were evaluated again after three and six years of follow-up. Extensive assessment of genetic factors, environmental factors, medication use, metabolic parameters and outcome were performed. Moreover, brain imaging was performed in a subset of participants, using a 1.5 Tesla MRI scanner.

**Results** At baseline 65% of patients used atypical antipsychotics, 16% used conventional antipsychotics and 19% used clozapine. Siblings and controls used no antipsychotics. Forty-three percent of patients, 21.3% of siblings and 9.1% of controls used antidepressants; 43.9% of patients, 2.1% of siblings and none of the controls used a mood stabilizer. We are currently analyzing the medication data over time in relation to (change in) metabolic syndrome status and the effects on the brain.

**Conclusion** GROUP is a longitudinal cohort study in patients with psychotic disorders, their healthy siblings and controls without psychosis. This naturalistic substudy examines medication use, its association with (change of) metabolic status and effects on the brain in subjects with (high risk of) psychosis.

**Disclosure of interest** The authors have not supplied their declaration of competing interest.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.01.917>

### S102

#### Discontinuation vs. continuation treatment with neuroleptics for a better long-term outcome

L. Wunderink<sup>1,\*</sup>, R. Nieboer<sup>2</sup>, F. Nienhuis<sup>3</sup>, S. Sytema<sup>3</sup>, D. Wiersma<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Friesland Mental Health Services, University Medical Center Groningen, Research & Education, Psychiatry, Leeuwarden, Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Friesland Mental Health Services, Research and Education, Leeuwarden, Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> University Medical Center Groningen, Psychiatry, Groningen, Netherlands

\* Corresponding author.

**Background** Long-term functional outcome of dose-reduction/discontinuation strategies in first-episode psychosis (FEP) has not been studied before. The present study compared 7-year outcome of an early antipsychotic dose-reduction/discontinuation (DR) strategy with maintenance treatment (MT). Primary outcome was (symptomatic and functional) recovery; relapse rates, functional and symptomatic remission were secondary outcomes.

**Methods** FEP patients ( $n = 128$ ) symptomatically remitted for 6 m during their first treatment year who completed an 18 months trial comparing MT and DR were followed-up at 7 years. Symptomatic remission criteria were adopted from Andreasen et al., functional remission criteria were based on a functioning scale. Recovery was defined as meeting both criteria sets. MT or DR strategy, and baseline parameters were entered in a logistic regression analysis with symptom and functional remission and recovery at 7-years follow-up as dependent variables.

**Results** One hundred and three patients consented to participate. DR-patients showed twice the recovery-rate of MT-patients (40% against 18%), odds ratio 3.5 ( $P = .014$ ). Symptomatic remission-rates were equal (69% and 67%). Better DR recovery-rates were attributable to higher functional remission-rates (46% vs. 20%) in DR. Predictors of recovery were DR, baseline living together and less severe negative symptoms. During the last 2 years of follow-up the mean daily dose in haloperidol equivalents was 2.20 mg in DR vs. 3.60 mg in MT ( $P = .031$ ).

Relapse rates were initially higher in DR but leveled at 3 years; 61.5% relapsed in DR and 68.6% in MT in 7 years.

**Conclusion** DR of antipsychotics during early stages of remitted FEP significantly improved 7-years outcome in terms of recovery and functional remission compared to maintenance treatment. Though initially relapse rates in GD were higher, these equalled those in MT from 3 years to the end of the study. While the necessity of immediate antipsychotic treatment in FEP and positive symptoms relapse is robustly demonstrated in a great number of studies, this study suggests that we are faced with a dilemma concerning the drawbacks of long-term maintenance antipsychotic treatment on functional capacity. Though antipsychotic discontinuation appears only feasible without relapse in a substantial minority of patients, guided dose-reduction as far as positive symptoms remain subsided and allow it, appears a feasible strategy in view of functional recovery, doing justice to both sides of the dilemma.

**Disclosure of interest** The authors have not supplied their declaration of competing interest.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.01.918>