

# ON SMALLEST RADICAL AND SEMI-SIMPLE CLASSES

by W. G. LEAVITT and J. F. WATTERS

(Received 18 September, 1969)

**Introduction.** In a recent paper [5] one of us has given a sufficient condition to be satisfied by a given property of radical classes within a universal class  $\mathcal{W}$  in order that, for any subclass  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{W}$ , there should be a smallest radical class having the given property and containing  $\mathcal{M}$ . The sufficient condition is that the class of all radical classes with the given property can be characterised as the class of all radical classes fixed by an admissible function  $F$  (see Section 1 below). In this paper a necessary and sufficient condition is derived and the corresponding result for semi-simple classes is also presented. These results are given in Section 2.

In Section 3 we apply the semi-simple construction to show that, given any subclass  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{W}$ , there is a largest radical  $\mathcal{P}$  such that both  $\mathcal{P}$  and its semi-simple class  $s\mathcal{P}$  are hereditary and  $s\mathcal{P} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ . An example is given to show that there is, in general, no largest radical  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}$  is hereditary and  $s\mathcal{P} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ . Finally, in Section 4, an example is given to show that there is, in general, no smallest radical class  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $s\mathcal{P}$  is hereditary and  $\mathcal{P} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ .

**1. Definitions.** Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a universal class; that is,  $\mathcal{W}$  is hereditary and homomorphically closed. Denote by  $\mathcal{T}$  the class of all subclasses of  $\mathcal{W}$ , by  $\mathcal{R}$  the class of all radical subclasses of  $\mathcal{W}$ , and by  $\mathcal{Y}$  the class of all semi-simple subclasses of  $\mathcal{W}$ .

If  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , then a class  $\mathcal{M}' \supseteq \mathcal{M}$  is called an *s-completion* of  $\mathcal{M}$  when  $\mathcal{M}'$  has the property:

(a) If  $R \in \mathcal{M}'$ , then every non-zero ideal of  $R$  has a non-zero homomorphic image in  $\mathcal{M}'$ .

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is an *s-completion* of itself, then we shall say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is *s-complete*. We recall that every semi-simple class in  $\mathcal{W}$  is *s-complete* and that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is *s-complete*, then there is a smallest semi-simple class in  $\mathcal{W}$ , containing  $\mathcal{M}$  [1, p. 6]. The corresponding radical class, the upper  $\mathcal{M}$ -radical class, is denoted by  $u\mathcal{M}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{Y}$ ,  $u\mathcal{M}$  is the radical class determined by  $\mathcal{M}$ .

If a function  $F: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is such that

A.1. for all  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq F\mathcal{P}$ ;

A.2. if  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2 \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2$ , then  $F\mathcal{P}_1 \subseteq F\mathcal{P}_2$ ;

A.3. if  $\{\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \mathcal{P}_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is defined for all ordinals  $\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\beta$  for  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , then  $F\mathcal{P} \subseteq \bigcup F\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ , where  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ ;

then, following [5],  $F$  is said to be *admissible*. If a function  $F: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  satisfies A.1 and A.2 above, then we shall say that  $F$  is *non-inductive admissible*, which will be abbreviated to *n-admissible*. Note that in A.3, from all  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$  it follows without difficulty that  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{R}$ . Also note by A.2 that A.3, in fact, implies that  $F\mathcal{P} = \bigcup F\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ .

Here, in Theorem 1, it is shown that, for each  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , *n-admissibility* of  $F: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a smallest radical class  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$  and

such that  $F\bar{M} = \bar{M}$ . This improves Theorem 1 of [5], where it was shown that admissibility of  $F$  is sufficient to imply the existence of  $\bar{M}$ .

If a function  $F: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is such that

- S.A.1. for  $M \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $FM$  is an  $s$ -completion of  $M$ ;
- S.A.2. if  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ , then  $FM_1 \subseteq FM_2$ ;
- S.A.3. if  $\{M_\alpha: M_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}\}$  is defined for all ordinals  $\alpha$  and  $M_\alpha \subseteq M_\beta$  for  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , then  $FM \subseteq \bigcup_\alpha FM_\alpha$ , where  $M = \bigcup_\alpha M_\alpha$ ;

then  $F$  is said to be *s-admissible*. An *ns-admissible* function  $F: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is one which satisfies S.A.1 and S.A.2. Again note that in S.A.3, from all  $M_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$  it follows without difficulty that  $M \in \mathcal{O}$ .

In [5, Theorem 2] it was shown that if  $F$  is an  $s$ -admissible function, then for each  $M \in \mathcal{T}$  there is a smallest semi-simple class  $\bar{M} \supseteq M$  and such that  $F\bar{M} = \bar{M}$ . In fact, as we shall show in Theorem 2, *ns-admissibility* of  $F$  is necessary and sufficient for the existence of  $\bar{M}$ .

We conclude this section with some remarks about our notation. If  $A \in \mathcal{W}$ , then  $B \leq A$  will denote that  $B$  is an ideal of  $A$ ; if  $B \leq A$  but  $B \neq A$ , then this will be denoted by  $B < A$ . Small capitals are used to denote operators on classes even though we are not usually dealing with closure operators. The lower radical class determined by  $M \in \mathcal{T}$  is written  $LM$ . If  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $s\mathcal{P}$  is the semi-simple class determined by  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $P(A)$  is the  $\mathcal{P}$ -radical of  $A$ . Finally, when the elements of a class are listed it is understood that what is meant is the class of all isomorphic copies of the rings listed.

**2. Main theorems.**

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  define a property of radical classes in  $\mathcal{W}$ . There exists, for each  $M \in \mathcal{T}$ , a smallest class  $\bar{M} \in \mathcal{V}$  with  $M \subseteq \bar{M}$  if and only if there is an  $n$ -admissible function  $F$  such that  $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{R}: F\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F$  is an  $n$ -admissible function,  $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{R}: F\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}\}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{T}$ . Since the class  $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W} \supseteq M$ , there is a non-empty class  $\bar{M}$  which is the intersection of all the classes  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\mathcal{N} \supseteq M$ . The proof in [2] that the intersection of a set of radical classes is a radical class applies also for a class of radical classes. Hence  $\bar{M}$  is a radical class. By A.2,  $\bar{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  implies that  $F\bar{M} \subseteq F\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$  and so  $F\bar{M} \subseteq \bar{M}$ . Therefore, from A.1,  $F\bar{M} = \bar{M}$  so  $\bar{M} \in \mathcal{V}$ . Finally, if  $\mathcal{K} \supseteq M$  and  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \bar{M}$  and so  $\bar{M}$  is the smallest class in  $\mathcal{V}$  which contains  $M$ .

Conversely, if, given  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$  is the smallest class in  $\mathcal{V}$  with  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ , define a function  $F: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  by setting  $F\mathcal{P} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ . Then  $F$  is an  $n$ -admissible function and  $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{R}: F\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}\}$ .

**REMARK 1.** If  $F$  is an  $n$ -admissible function, then, setting  $M_1 = LM$ , where  $M \in \mathcal{T}$ , and defining

$$M_\beta = \begin{cases} L(\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} M_\alpha) & \text{if } \beta \text{ is a limit ordinal,} \\ LF M_\alpha & \text{if } \beta = \alpha + 1, \end{cases}$$

we obtain an ascending chain of radical classes whose union  $\mathcal{M}^*$  is a radical class. An easy induction argument shows that  $\mathcal{M}^* \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  and if  $F$  is admissible, then  $\mathcal{M}^* = \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  [5, Theorem 1]. For  $n$ -admissible  $F$  we need not have  $\mathcal{M}^* = \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  as the following example shows.

Let  $\Phi_\alpha$  be the quotient field of the polynomial ring  $\Phi[A_\alpha]$ , where  $A_\alpha$  is a set of commuting indeterminates of cardinality  $\aleph_\alpha$ ,  $\Phi$  is a finite field and  $\alpha$  is any ordinal. The fields  $\Phi_\alpha, \Phi_\beta$  have different cardinalities for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , and so are non-isomorphic.

Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{0, \Phi, \Phi_\alpha : \alpha \text{ any ordinal}\}$ . Every ring in  $\mathcal{W}$  is simple so  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{Y}$ . Define

$$F\{\mathcal{W} - \{\Phi\}\} = F\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}$$

and otherwise

$$F\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \cup \Phi_\gamma,$$

where  $\gamma$  is the least ordinal such that  $\Phi_\gamma \notin \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $F$  is an  $n$ -admissible function which is not admissible. If  $\mathcal{M} = \{0, \Phi_0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}^* = \{0, \Phi_\alpha : \alpha \text{ any ordinal}\}$  but  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{W}$ , so  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \neq \mathcal{M}^*$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$  define a property of semi-simple classes. There exists, for each  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , a smallest class  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$  if and only if there is an  $ns$ -admissible function  $F$  such that  $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Y} : F\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F$  is an  $ns$ -admissible function  $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Y} : F\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}\}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ . Since  $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , there is a non-empty class  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$  which is the intersection of all the classes  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .

By S.A.2,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  implies that  $F\bar{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq F\mathcal{N}$  and so  $F\bar{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ . Hence, from S.A.1,  $F\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$  is  $s$ -complete. Therefore  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$  satisfies condition (a) of Section 1. Furthermore, if  $A \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ , there is a class  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{N} \supseteq \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  but  $A \notin \mathcal{N}$ . By the semi-simplicity of the class  $\mathcal{N}$ , there is  $(0) \neq B \leq A$  such that no non-zero homomorphic image of  $B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$  and so no non-zero homomorphic image of  $B$  belongs to  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ . Thus  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$  satisfies the condition:

(b) If every  $(0) \neq B \leq A \in \mathcal{W}$  can be mapped homomorphically onto a non-zero ring in  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ , then  $A \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ .

Now any class which satisfies both (a) and (b) is semi-simple [1, Theorem 2], so  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ . Finally, if  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\mathcal{Q} \supseteq \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  and so  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$  is the smallest class in  $\mathcal{X}$  which contains  $\mathcal{M}$ .

For the converse, define a function  $F : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  by setting  $F\mathcal{M} = \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then  $F$  is  $ns$ -admissible and  $\{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Y} : F\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}\} = \mathcal{X}$ .

**REMARK 2.** If  $F$  is an  $ns$ -admissible function, then setting  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \text{SUF } \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , and defining

$$\mathcal{M}_\beta = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathcal{M}_\alpha & \text{if } \beta \text{ is a limit ordinal,} \\ \text{SUF } \mathcal{M}_\alpha & \text{if } \beta = \alpha + 1, \end{cases}$$

we obtain an ascending chain of semi-simple classes whose union  $\mathcal{M}^*$  is a semi-simple class. An easy induction argument shows that  $\mathcal{M}^* \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  and, if  $F$  is  $s$ -admissible,  $\mathcal{M}^* = \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  [5, Theorem 2]. For an  $ns$ -admissible function  $F$  we need not have  $\mathcal{M}^* = \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ , as the example of Remark 1 shows.

From these improved forms of Theorems 1 and 2 of [5] it is clear that Theorems 5 and 6 of [5] can also be improved by replacing the conditions of admissibility and  $s$ -admissibility by  $n$ -admissibility and  $ns$ -admissibility respectively.

**3. Applications of the semi-simple construction.** Given  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , the hereditary closure of  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined to be the class of all rings in  $\mathcal{W}$  isomorphic to accessible subrings of  $\mathcal{M}$ -rings. The hereditary closure of  $\mathcal{M}$  is denoted by  $1\mathcal{M}$  and is the smallest hereditary class containing  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since every hereditary class is  $s$ -complete, the class  $1\mathcal{M}$  is  $s$ -complete. A class  $\mathcal{M}$  is hereditary if and only if  $1\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ . It is easily seen that  $1$  is an admissible function defined on  $\mathcal{T}$  and we have from Theorem 2 that, given  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is a smallest hereditary semi-simple class  $\mathcal{S} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ , [4, Theorem 2].

To show that there is a smallest semi-simple class  $\mathcal{S} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$  such that the radical determined by  $\mathcal{S}$  is strongly hereditary, that is both  $\mathcal{S}$  and its radical class are hereditary, we use a procedure which is essentially that used by Rjabuhin in [6] to construct, within the universal class of all associative rings, a largest hereditary radical whose semi-simple class contains a given class of rings.

Given  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , we define  $J\mathcal{M}$  to be the class consisting of the ring  $0$  and all isomorphic copies of rings  $R/J$  obtained as follows:

- ( $\alpha$ )  $B \leq A \leq R \in \mathcal{W}$ ,
- ( $\beta$ )  $(0) \neq A/B \in \mathcal{M}$ ,
- ( $\gamma$ )  $J \leq R$  maximal with respect to the property  $J \cap A \subseteq B$ .

Then  $J\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$  and if  $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$ , then  $J\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq J\mathcal{M}_2$ . In the following lemmas we establish some properties of the function  $J$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $s$ -complete, then  $J\mathcal{M}$  is  $s$ -complete.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(0) \neq I/J \leq R/J \in J\mathcal{M}$ . In the  $\mathcal{M}$ -ring  $A/B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are as in the definition of the class  $J\mathcal{M}$ , there is the non-zero ideal

$$\frac{(I \cap A) + B}{B} \cong \frac{I \cap A}{I \cap B}.$$

Therefore, since  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $s$ -complete, there is  $K < I \cap A$  such that  $I \cap B \subseteq K$  and  $(I \cap A)/K \in \mathcal{M}$ . Also

$$J \cap (I \cap A) = I \cap (J \cap A) \subseteq I \cap B \subseteq K,$$

so there is an ideal  $J^*$  of  $I$  such that  $J^* \supseteq J$  and  $J^*$  is maximal with respect to the property  $J^* \cap (I \cap A) \subseteq K$ . Since  $K \neq I \cap A$ , the ideal  $J^* \neq I$ .

Hence, from the definition of the class  $J\mathcal{M}$ , the ring  $I/J^*$ , which is a non-zero homomorphic image of  $I/J$ , is an element of  $J\mathcal{M}$ . Thus the class  $J\mathcal{M}$  is  $s$ -complete.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $s\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  is strongly hereditary, then  $J\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P/J$  be the  $\mathcal{P}$ -radical of the ring  $R/J \in J\mathcal{M}$ , where  $B \leq A \leq R \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $(0) \neq A/B \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $J$  is as in ( $\gamma$ ) above.

Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is hereditary, the ideal  $((P \cap A) + B)/B$  of  $A/B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$  and so  $(P \cap A)/(P \cap B) \in \mathcal{M}$ . But, because  $\mathcal{P}$  is hereditary, the ideal  $((P \cap A) + J)/J$  of  $P/J$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$  and so  $(P \cap A)/(J \cap A) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Now  $J \cap A \subseteq P \cap B$ , so  $(P \cap A)/(P \cap B)$  is a homomorphic image of the  $\mathcal{P}$ -ring  $(P \cap A)/(J \cap A)$ . Therefore

$$\frac{P \cap A}{P \cap B} \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{M}$$

and  $P \cap A = P \cap B \subseteq B$ . Finally, by the maximality of  $J$ ,  $P = J$  and  $R/J \in \mathcal{M}$ , which completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a hereditary class such that  $J\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P} = \cup \mathcal{M}$  is hereditary.

Proof. Let  $(0) \neq A \leq R \in \mathcal{P}$  and suppose that  $A \notin \mathcal{P}$ . Then there is  $B \leq A$  such that  $(0) \neq A/B \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $J \leq R$  and is maximal with respect to the property  $J \cap A \subseteq B$ , then  $R/J \in J\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}$ . But  $R/J \in \mathcal{P}$ , so  $R = J$ , which implies that  $A = B$ . This is a contradiction, so  $A \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is hereditary.

With the aid of these lemmas and Theorem 2, we are now able to prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 3. Given  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is a smallest semi-simple class  $\mathcal{J} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$  such that the radical determined by  $\mathcal{J}$  is strongly hereditary.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the class of all semi-simple classes whose corresponding radicals are strongly hereditary. Given  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , we define  $F\mathcal{M} = J(\mathcal{M})$ . It is immediate from Lemma 1 that  $F$  is an  $ns$ -admissible function. Put

$$\mathcal{X}' = \{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Y} : F\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

From Lemma 2,  $\mathcal{X}' \supseteq \mathcal{X}$ . On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{X}'$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is its corresponding radical class, then both  $I\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}$  and  $J\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a hereditary class such that  $J\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}$  and then, from Lemma 3,  $\cup \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}$  is also hereditary. Thus  $\mathcal{P}$  is a strongly hereditary radical and  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{X}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X}$  and the result follows from Theorem 2.

It might be conjectured that, given  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is a smallest semi-simple class  $\mathcal{Q} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$  and such that the radical determined by  $\mathcal{Q}$  is hereditary. This is false as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $K$  be the algebra over  $GF(p)$  with generators  $e, x, y, z$  and multiplication determined by the table:

	$e$	$x$	$y$	$z$
$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$x$
$x$	$e$	$0$	$0$	$e$
$y$	$e$	$0$	$y$	$z$
$z$	$x$	$e$	$0$	$y$

Let  $E$  be the subring generated by  $e$  and  $X$  the subring generated by  $x$  and  $e$ . Then  $E \leq X \leq K$  and  $X$  is the only ideal in  $K$  other than  $(0)$  and  $K$  itself. The rings  $X/E, K/X$  and  $E$  are non-isomorphic and simple.

Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{K, X, E, K/X, X/E, 0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M} = \{0, K\}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \{0, K, X, E\}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{0, K, X/E\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_2$  are minimal  $s$ -completions of  $\mathcal{M}$  so, in general, there is not a smallest  $s$ -completion of a class  $\mathcal{M}$ . This confirms a conjecture made in [4]. Furthermore  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_2$  are the semi-simple classes determined respectively by the radical classes  $\{0, K/X, X/E\}$  and  $\{0, E, K/X\}$ , each of these being hereditary.

**4. Smallest radicals.** It is known [3, Corollary] that, given  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is a smallest hereditary radical class  $\mathcal{H} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$  and a smallest strongly hereditary radical class  $\mathcal{D} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ . The existence of these radicals can be established from Theorem 1 using the  $n$ -admissible functions  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_G$ , where  $G$ , as in [5], is given by

$$G\mathcal{P} = \{K \in \mathcal{W} : J \leq I \leq A \in \mathcal{W} \text{ with } J \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } K \text{ the ideal of } A \text{ generated by } J\}$$

and  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{R}$ . The function  $G$  is itself  $n$ -admissible, and it is not difficult to see that  $G\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$  if and only if, given  $I \leq A \in \mathcal{W}$ , we have  $P(I) \leq A$ . We shall denote the smallest such radical class containing  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$  by  $\mathcal{G}$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  and, from [1, Lemmas 68 and 69],  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . All three radicals are, in general, distinct as the next example shows.

**EXAMPLE 2.** By a construction of Rjabuhin [7] there are rings  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$  such that the only proper ideal of  $A_{i+1}$  is  $A_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Also  $A_1$  and  $B_{i+1} = A_{i+1}/A_i$  are non-isomorphic simple rings.

Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{0, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, B_2, B_3, B_4\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M} = \{0, A_2, B_2\}$ ,  $G = \{0, A_2, A_3, B_2, B_3\}$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \{0, A_1, A_2, B_2\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a universal class,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a radical class in  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is the smallest hereditary radical class containing  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  is the smallest radical class containing  $\mathcal{M}$  and such that, given  $I \leq A \in \mathcal{W}$ , we have  $G(I) \leq A$ , and  $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{D}$  is the smallest strongly hereditary radical containing  $\mathcal{M}$ . Each of these assertions is easily checked so we omit the proofs.

The semi-simple classes corresponding to the radical classes  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are always hereditary and again one might conjecture that, given  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is a smallest radical class  $\mathcal{P} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$  with hereditary semi-simple class. Using Example 1, we can show that this is, in general, false. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be as in Example 1,  $\mathcal{M} = \{0, E\}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{0, X, E, X/E\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{0, E, K, K/X\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a radical class in  $\mathcal{W}$  and both  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  are minimal radical classes containing  $\mathcal{M}$  and having hereditary semi-simple class. Since  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  are incomparable, there is no smallest radical containing  $\mathcal{M}$  and having hereditary semi-simple class. Again each of these assertions is easily checked and the proofs are omitted.

REFERENCES

1. N. Divinsky, *Rings and Radicals* (London, 1965).
2. W. G. Leavitt, Sets of radical classes, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **14** (1967), 321–324.
3. W. G. Leavitt, Strongly hereditary radicals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (1969), 703–705.
4. W. G. Leavitt, Hereditary semi-simple classes, *Glasgow Math. J.* **11** (1970), 7–8.

5. W. G. Leavitt, Radical and semi-simple classes with specified properties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24** (1970), 680–687.
6. Ju. M. Rjabuhin, On the imbedding of radicals, *Isv. Akad. Nauk. Mold. SS* **11** (1963), 34–40.
7. Ju. M. Rjabuhin, Lower radicals of rings, *Mat. Zametki* **2** (1967), 239–244.

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA  
LINCOLN, NEBRASKA  
U.S.A.

THE UNIVERSITY, LEICESTER