

Guidelines for contributors

Archaeological dialogues is a broad, peer-reviewed journal for debating archaeology. Articles should be accessible to an audience which may be unfamiliar with the specific period and region discussed. Transparent writing is encouraged above abstruse reasoning. Jargon should be avoided and succinct writing is appreciated. We value good illustrations. These can include photographs, line-drawings and maps.

Procedure

The *Archaeological dialogues* publishing process includes the following steps: submission, evaluation, decision (acceptance, modification or rejection), copy-editing, proof-reading, publication, and distribution. In order to guarantee efficient and quality publication contributors are requested to adhere closely to the following guidelines:

- All manuscripts should be written in English. Manuscripts that seriously fail to conform to the guidelines will be returned to authors for revision before they will be considered.
- When submitting a contribution to *Archaeological dialogues*, it will be acknowledged on receipt. One of the editors will be the contact person for a paper throughout the entire process. If scope, quality and language are appropriate it will be sent to two anonymous referees for expert opinion. Usually within two or three months, the editors will inform you of their final decision. In the case of rejection, an editorial justification will be provided, including the original referees' reports. In the case of acceptance – which may be conditional on revisions – one of the editors will guide you through the rest of the publishing process. Before an article goes into print, authors will receive a copy of the proofs to check. The entire procedure between submission and appearance should take less than a year.

Types of contributions

Archaeological dialogues publishes two main types of article: 'discussion articles' and 'articles.' The former are accompanied by published comments and a reply. Discussion articles are usually longer, up to 8–9000 words, whereas articles are typically around 4–7000 words. Discussion papers usually take longer to appear in print because of the time required for comments and reply. In addition, the journal publishes 'provocations' and 'reactions'. These are short pieces normally less than 2000 words which take a novel or provocative stance on a particular topic, likely to initiate a lively dialogue, or which respond to an article previously published in *Archaeological dialogues*. Provocations and Reactions can be more essay-like in style. They are not subject to full peer review but are reviewed by the editorial board. Review essays and interviews are usually solicited by the editorial board, but scholars with suggestions in this direction are encouraged to contact us.

Submission of contributions

Please send manuscripts via email to <dialogues@cambridge.org>.

Authors are solely responsible not only for the contents of their manuscripts, but also for securing any legal rights or permissions to publish submitted material, including copyright-protected materials. The appropriate acknowledgements should be given in captions, endnotes, or elsewhere. Manuscripts should not be submitted to (nor should they have been published in) any other journal or publication.

See <http://uk.cambridge.org/journals/ard> for an extended version of the guidelines for contributors.

This journal issue has been printed on FSC-certified paper and cover board. FSC is an independent, non-governmental, not-for-profit organization established to promote the responsible management of the world's forests. Please see www.fsc.org for information.

Archaeological Dialogues is indexed in the Thomson Reuters Arts and Humanities Citation Index.

Printed in Great Britain by Bell & Bain Ltd, Glasgow.



Archaeological dialogues

discussion articles

- 113 Archaeological heritage in the age of digital colonialism
Monika Stobiecka
- 125 The second coming of Palmyra. A technological prison *Trinidad Rico*
- 126 Hijacking ISIS. Digital imperialism and salvage politics
Lynn Meskell
- 128 'Violent care'? A response to Lynn Meskell and Trinidad Rico
Monika Stobiecka

articles

- 135 Spectrums of depositional practice in later prehistoric Britain and beyond. Grave goods, hoards and deposits 'in between'
Anwen Cooper, Duncan Garrow and Catriona Gibson
- 159 Degrowth and a sustainable future for archaeology
James L. Flexner

reaction

- 173 Power and all its guises. Environmental determinism and locating 'the crux of the matter' *Eloise Govier*
- 177 List of contributors

Cambridge Core

For further information about this journal
please go to the journal website at:
cambridge.org/ard



MIX
Paper from
responsible sources
FSC® C007785

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS