# Standard Paper

# Taxonomic innovations in Megasporaceae (lichenized Ascomycota, Pertusariales): Antidea, a new genus for Aspicilia brucei; two new species of Aspicilia, and new combinations in Aspilidea and Lobothallia

## Tim Wheeler<sup>1</sup> **D**, John McCarthy<sup>2</sup> **D**, Björn Owe-Larsson<sup>3</sup> and Alan Fryday<sup>4</sup> **D**

<sup>1</sup>Division of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59801, USA; <sup>2</sup>Herbarium, Department of Biology, Laurentian University, Sudbury, ON, P3E 2C6, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Museum of Evolution, Uppsala University, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden and <sup>4</sup>Herbarium, Department of Plant Biology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA.

## Abstract

We present a robust, five-locus phylogeny of the Megasporaceae and, based on this, propose several taxonomic innovations. The new genus Antidea is erected for Aspicilia brucei, which occupies a position near the base of the phylogeny, and the new species Aspicilia indeterminata and A. suavis are described from Montana. We also show that all North American (and some European) records of Aspilidea myrinii are misidentifications with many representing a second species in the genus, differing from A. myrinii by having elevated apothecia and narrower ascospores and for which we make the new combination Aspilidea subadunans. Finally, we make the new combinations Lobothallia determinata and L. peltastictoides, and report the lichenicolous fungus Sagediopsis aspiciliae (on A. subadunans) as new to North America.

Keywords: generic delineation; lichenicolous fungus; Montana; North America; phylogeny; Sagedia mastrucata; Sagediopsis

(Accepted 17 July 2024)

### Introduction

Megasporaceae was introduced by Lumbsch et al. ([1994](#page-13-0)) for the single genus Megaspora (Clauzade & Cl. Roux) Hafellner & V. Wirth. Previously, Hafellner [\(1984](#page-12-0)) had included Megaspora in Hymeneliaceae Körb. (Lecanorales Nannf.), which at that time included Aspicilia s. lat., but Lumbsch et al. [\(1994\)](#page-13-0) transferred the family to Pertusariales M. Choisy ex D. Hawksw. & O. E. Erikss. More recently, in a five-locus study of Lecanoromycetes, Miadlikowska et al. [\(2006\)](#page-13-0) uncovered a strongly supported sister relationship between Aspicilia A. Massal. and Ochrolechia A. Massal. and included Aspicilia in Pertusariaceae Körb. Schmitt et al. ([2006](#page-13-0)) further divided Pertusariaceae and grouped Aspicilia and Lobothallia (Clauzade & Cl. Roux) Hafellner with Megaspora in an expanded Megasporaceae.

Nordin et al. [\(2010\)](#page-13-0) performed a two-locus (nuLSU, mtSSU) analysis focused on Megasporaceae and, although this had weak support for Aspicilia and was poorly resolved, they resurrected the genera Sagedia Ach. and Circinaria Link for two groups of species formerly included in Aspicilia while also excluding

Corresponding author: Alan Fryday; Email: [fryday@msu.edu](mailto:fryday@msu.edu)

Aspilidea Hafellner from the family. In a revision of the vagrant 'manna group' members of Circinaria, Sohrabi et al. [\(2013](#page-13-0)a) recovered a topology that supported the generic delimitations in Nordin et al. ([2010\)](#page-13-0). Sohrabi et al. [\(2013](#page-13-0)b) later introduced the genus Teuvoa Sohrabi & S. D. Leav. for three species previously included in Lobothallia. Miadlikowska et al. ([2014](#page-13-0)) suggested that Sagedia should be included within Aspicilia, and the expanded genus (Aspicilia + Sagedia) along with Circinaria, Lobothallia and Aspilidea (with low support), included in a highly supported Megasporaceae sister to Ochrolechiaceae R. C. Harris ex Lumbsch & I. Schmitt within Pertusariales. Subsequently, Oxneriaria S. Y. Kondr. & Lőkös was introduced for a large group of mostly Arctic species previously included in Aspicilia as sister to the Aspicilia s. str./Sagedia clade (Moniri et al. [2017](#page-13-0)). This permitted Sagedia to be recognized as a distinct genus. Zakeri et al. [\(2017\)](#page-13-0) resurrected the genus Aspiciliella M. Choisy for a group of species sibling to Circinaria/ Megaspora. A different approach was taken by McCune & Di Meglio [\(2021\)](#page-13-0) who investigated the Aspicilia reptans group in NW North America using a two-locus phylogeny (ITS, nuLSU) and revealed a topology in which most of these recently recognized genera, although monophyletic, were either unsupported or nested within other genera. Consequently, they recognized only Aspiciliella and Megaspora among the recently segregated genera, leaving all other species in Aspicilia s. lat. Finally, Paukov et al. (unpublished data) have proposed the new genus

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the British Lichen Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike licence ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the same Creative Commons licence is used to distribute the re-used or adapted article and the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.



Cite this article: Wheeler T, McCarthy J, Owe-Larsson B and Fryday A (2024) Taxonomic innovations in Megasporaceae (lichenized Ascomycota, Pertusariales): Antidea, a new genus for Aspicilia brucei; two new species of Aspicilia, and new combinations in Aspilidea and Lobothallia. Lichenologist 56, 273–286. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0024282924000239) [S0024282924000239](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0024282924000239)

Atrostelia Paukov et al. (ined.) for a single species A. magnifica Davydov & Yakovchenko from the Republic of Tyva, Russia, which resolved as sister to Aspiciliella in their phylogenetic tree.

In recent years, Aspicilia s. lat. has been the subject of several regional studies. Thomson [\(1997\)](#page-13-0) broadly surveyed the Arctic North American species, Owe-Larsson et al. ([2007](#page-13-0)) described 19 new species as part of their treatment of the genus in SW North America, and Øvstedal et al. ([2009\)](#page-13-0) included 38 Aspicilia species in their account of the lichens of Svalbard. However, no comprehensive study of the group has been undertaken since Magnusson [\(1939](#page-13-0)). Here we present a robust five-locus phylogeny of Megasporaceae, erect a new genus for an early diverging species in the family, describe two new species of Aspicilia and make new combinations at the species level in Aspilidea and Lobothallia. We also report the lichenicolous fungus Sagediopsis aspiciliae (Zopf ex Sacc. & D. Sacc.) Nik. Hoffm. & Hafellner as new to North America.

### Materials and Methods

### Taxon sampling

The majority of the specimens used in this study were collected by the first author between 2007–2017, but further specimens were obtained from herbarium loans and the collecting efforts of other researchers. Analysis focused on specimens from the Northern Hemisphere, with efforts concentrated on the group in western North America and the northern Rocky Mountains, but specimens from eastern Canada and Scandinavia are also included (Supplementary Material S1 & S2, available online). Additional sequences were downloaded from GenBank (Supplementary Material S1).

### Morphological study

Hand-cut sections of apothecia and pycnidia were mounted in water and tested by the addition of 10% KOH (K) and 50%  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  (N). The presence of norstictic and stictic acids was inferred from positive reactions under the microscope with 10% KOH and para-phenylenediamine (Pd), respectively, and confirmed with thin-layer chromatography in solvent systems A and C (Orange et al. [2001\)](#page-13-0). Ascospore measurements and ratios are given as: (lowest recorded–)normal range(–highest recorded), except for Aspilidea spp. and Sagediopsis aspiciliae, which are given as (lowest recorded–)  $\bar{x} \pm$  standard deviation (–highest recorded);  $n =$  number of measurements.

### DNA isolation and sequencing

Tissue samples for total DNA were extracted from 10–15 healthy apothecia and surrounding tissue. Two 3 mm steel beads were added to the sample tubes and frozen at −80 °C for 1 h. Samples were then mounted on the TissueLyser II (Qiagen, Germany) and ground in 30 s intervals for 1–2 min at 30/hz. DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions, except for the following modifications: in the first step, samples were incubated in lysis buffer for 1 h and vortexed every 10 min; in the final step, the samples were eluted in 50 μl AE buffer twice. DNA quantity was tested on an Implen Nanodrop (Implen, München, Germany). Standard PCR amplifications were conducted in 25 μl reaction volumes using Ready-To-Go

PCR Beads (GE Healthcare, UK) or Gotaq Green Master Mix (Promega) following manufacturers' recommendations. All primers used in this study appear in Table 1. Amplifications were carried out in an Eppendorf Mastercycler Pro thermal cycler (Eppendorf North America, New York, USA) and performed using the protocols in [Table 2.](#page-2-0) PCR products were cleaned using the Qiagen PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Germany) or Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, California, USA), following manufacturers' instructions, and were visualized on 1% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. Sequencing reactions were performed by Eurofins Genomics (Louisville, Kentucky, USA).

Sequence alignment. Sequences were quality checked and sequence ends were manually trimmed in Aliview (Larsson [2014\)](#page-13-0). Each sequence was checked against the NCBI nucleotide database [\(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi\)](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to verify that the desired organism had been sequenced. Alignments were visually checked in AliView and minor misalignments were manually adjusted.

Phylogenetic analyses. Maximum likelihood trees for each locus (not shown) were constructed in raxmlGUI 2.0 (Stamatakis [2014;](#page-13-0) Edler et al. [2021](#page-12-0)), and the bootstrap support values compared for each clade. Using a 70% bootstrap value threshold, clades were compared and conflict was assumed to be significant where a monophyletic group was supported with bootstrap values  $\geq 70\%$ within one locus and the same group of taxa was supported  $\geq$ 70% as non-monophyletic within another locus (Mason-Gamer & Kellogg [1996\)](#page-13-0). Since no strongly supported conflicts were uncovered among the five loci, downstream relationships and analyses were performed on the concatenated dataset. Analyses were run using raxmlGUI 2.0 to reconstruct a maximum likelihood (ML) concatenated five-locus tree. We used Lepra albescens as the root and ran 1000 thorough maximum likelihood bootstraps with the substitution model set to GTRGAMMA.

Table 1. Primers used in this study



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 2. PCR protocols used in this study

Marker	Initial denaturation	35 cycles of	Final extension
nulTS	2 min at 94 $^{\circ}$ C	94 °C for 1 min, 54 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 45 s	72 °C for 7 min
nuLSU	4 min at 95 $\degree$ C	94 °C for 1 min, 54 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 45 s	72 °C for 5 min
mtSSU	4 min at 95 $\degree$ C	94 °C for 1 min, 54 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 45 s	72 °C for 5 min
Mcm7	4 min at 95 $\degree$ C	95 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 40 s, 72 °C for 1 min	72 °C for 5 min
RPB <sub>2</sub>	4 min at 95 $°C$	95 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 40 s, 72 °C for 1 min	72 °C for 5 min

### Results

We obtained sequence data from 98 specimens, representing c. 52 taxa, for a total of 337 new sequences (Supplementary Material S1, available online). In total, 77 nuITS, 73 nuLSU, 75 mtSSU, 76 Mcm7 and 36 RPB2 sequences were recovered. The final concatenated alignment contained 172 sequences and 5676 positions.

Several conclusions can be drawn from the resulting phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1):

- 1) Aspilidea is basal to the Megasporaceae, with high support, and consists of two distinct taxa.
- 2) Aspicilia brucei Owe-Larss. & A. Nordin forms a well-supported clade between Aspilidea and the rest of the Megasporaceae.
- 3) The relationships among the genera (including Sagedia) are well supported except for Megaspora and Oxneriaria, the positions of which as siblings to Circinaria and Aspicilia respectively have poor support.
- 4) The infrageneric groups in Aspicilia and Oxneriaria have poor support.
- 5) Two undescribed species of Aspicilia are indicated.
- 6) As previously shown by Nordin et al. [\(2010\)](#page-13-0), the presence of marginal lobes is confirmed not to be a genus-level character in Megasporaceae, and two species of Aspicilia without marginal lobes should be transferred to Lobothallia.
- 7) The sequenced collection of Sagedia mastrucata (Wahlenb.) A. Nordin et al. is not conspecific with previous sequenced collections of this species.

We deal with many of these below.

### Taxonomy

### A new genus for Aspicilia brucei

The species Aspicilia brucei, described from California (Owe-Larsson et al. [2007\)](#page-13-0) and subsequently reported from France (Roux et al. [2011](#page-13-0)) and the Czech Republic (Vondrák et al. [2022](#page-13-0)), was known to be morphologically and chemically similar to A. cinerea (L.) Körb. (Owe-Larsson et al. [2007](#page-13-0)).



Figure 1. Five-locus (nuITS, nuLSU, mtSSU, Mcm7 and RPB2) concatenated maximum likelihood tree. Bootstrap support values  $\geq 60\%$  are indicated above branches. New species, new combinations and sequenced types are in bold. Coloured polygons correspond to the 11 currently accepted genera. Roman numerals correspond to the seven supported monophyletic clades. In colour online.



Figure 1. Continued.

However, it differed from that species by having smaller ascospores and shorter, bacilliform conidia. Molecular data from two specimens of A. brucei, both from California and including an isotype (Owe-Larsson 9161), were sequenced and analyzed along with sequences from a Czech Republic specimen downloaded from GenBank. Aspicilia brucei was recovered as a highly supported group near the base of Megasporaceae, and the new genus Antidea is described to accommodate this monotypic clade.

### Antidea T. B. Wheeler gen. nov.

MycoBank No.: MB 822149

Similar to Aspicilia in general morphology and in producing norstictic acid but differing in the smaller ascospores and shorter, bacilliform to filiform conidia. Also differing in its molecular sequence data.

Typus generis: Antidea brucei (Owe-Larss. & A. Nordin) T. B. Wheeler.

Etymology. Antidea, an early Latin meaning of 'to come before' and 'in the past', is a reference to the early diverging position of the genus in the Megasporaceae.

Notes. This monotypic genus is morphologically very similar to some forms of A. cinerea. However, the single species, A. brucei, differs in having smaller ascospores  $(9-13 \times 5-8 \,\mu m$  vs (10–)12–18(–22) × 7–11(–14) μm in A. cinerea), shorter conidia (6–10 μm vs (10–)12–19(–22) μm in A. cinerea), and nonmoniliform to submoniliform paraphyses (moniliform in A. cinerea).

Antidea brucei (Owe-Larss. & A. Nordin) T. B. Wheeler comb. nov.

### MycoBank No.: MB 822154

Aspicilia brucei Owe-Larss. & A. Nordin, Lichen Flora of the Greater Sonoran Desert Region (Tempe) 3, 73 (2007).

### ([Fig. 2](#page-5-0))

A full description of this species is given by Owe-Larsson et al. ([2007](#page-13-0)) and is not repeated here. See [Fig. 2](#page-5-0) for a visual comparison of Antidea brucei and Aspicilia cinerea.

### Two new species of Aspicilia

Massalongo ([1852](#page-13-0)) introduced the name Aspicilia for a disparate group of lichens with innate apothecia, including species currently placed in Bellemerea Hafellner & Cl. Roux, Ionaspis Th. Fr. and Rhizocarpon Ramond ex DC. Massalongo ([1852\)](#page-13-0) also introduced the new name Aspicilia polygonia (Vill.) A. Massal. based on Lichen polygonius Vill., which Choisy [\(1929\)](#page-12-0) implied to be the lectotype of the genus. Since Clauzade & Roux [\(1984](#page-12-0)) could not locate any material of this species in Villars' herbarium, Laundon & Hawksworth [\(1988](#page-13-0)), in their proposal to conserve Aspicilia, selected the illustration of L. polygonius (Villars [1789\)](#page-13-0) as the lectotype. Unfortunately, it is impossible to determine to which species this illustration refers, and so the Committee for Fungi amended Laundon & Hawksworth's proposal to include as the conserved type for Aspicilia a Schaerer exsiccate specimen (Exc. Lich. Helv. #127) in Verona (VER) that had been cited by Massalongo [\(1852](#page-13-0)) in the protologue of his new genus (Gams [1993\)](#page-12-0). This specimen is referable to Aspicilia cinerea (L.) Körb. (basionym Lichen cinereus L.), which is the conserved type of the genus. Later, Jørgensen et al. [\(1994\)](#page-13-0) selected the same exsiccate number as the neotype for L. cinereus, but selected the specimen in Uppsala (UPS), rather than the one in Verona, as the type of the species.

Although Aspicilia was initially accepted by contemporary authors (Koerber [1855;](#page-13-0) Mudd [1861](#page-13-0)), later authors almost always treated it as a section in Lecanora Ach. (Zahlbruckner [1928;](#page-13-0) Magnusson [1939,](#page-13-0) [1951\)](#page-13-0), and it was not until the 1970s that Aspicilia was again widely accepted as a separate genus (Poelt [1974;](#page-13-0) Roux [1977](#page-13-0); Clauzade & Roux [1984;](#page-12-0) Hafellner [1984\)](#page-12-0).

Aspicilia s. str. was highly supported in our analysis, but the relationships within the genus were poorly resolved. We also identified two additional species in the genus that are described below.

### Aspicilia indeterminata T. B. Wheeler sp. nov.

### MycoBank No.: MB 853846

Similar to the A. cinerea group in its immersed apothecia, greyish thallus, olive green epihymenium, and production of norstictic acid, but differing in the larger ascospores, submoniliform paraphyses, pruinose apothecia, and lack of additional substances.

Type: USA, Montana, Beaverhead Co., Pioneer Mountains, Vipond Park, 45.730623°N, 112.863999°W, 2015 m, on quartzite talus, 23 June 2016, Wheeler 7241 (ASU).

### [\(Fig. 3](#page-5-0))

Hypothallus lacking. Thallus contiguous, areolate, dense, separated by deep cracks, non-determinate, 4–10 cm diam. Areoles greyish white to grey, epruinose to slightly white pruinose, angular, 0.2–1 mm diam., 0.1–0.5 mm thick. Upper cortex pseudoparenchymatous, 15–30 μm thick, cells to 4–6 μm diam. Algal layer continuous, undulating, 40–50 μm thick, algal cells chlorococcoid, mostly c. 10 μm diam. Medulla obscure, c. 200 μm tall.

Apothecia usually one per areole, lecanorine/aspicilioid, round, with a thin to thick margin the same colour as the thallus or slightly darker; disc sunken, concave, proper margin thin and slightly pruinose, mature disc black, weakly to densely white pruinose, 0.25–1.25 mm diam., eventually raised above the thallus. Exciple pseudoparenchymatous, cells 4–5 μm, dark grey to hyaline, up to 100 μm thick. Epihymenium 10–20 μm tall, olive green, K+ brown. Paraphyses submoniliform, top 2–3 cells rounded and expanded to 4 μm, occasionally branching and anastomosing, 2–3 μm thick. Hymenium 100–130 μm tall, I+ blue. Asci 8-spored, c. 90 × 25 μm, Aspicilia-type. Ascospores simple, hyaline,  $(15-)17-21(-22) \times 10.5-13 \text{ µm}$ , broadly ellipsoid. Hypothecium hyaline, 40–60 μm tall, with small oil droplets, algal layer not continuous below hypothecium.

Pycnidia c.  $150 \times 100$  µm, rare, inconspicuous. Conidia straight,  $14-15 \times 1-1.5$  μm.

Spot tests and chemistry. Spot tests: K+ red crystals in the type specimen, but K− in the additional specimens; however, norstictic acid was present in all specimens analyzed with TLC.

Etymology. Named for the indeterminate thalli, and confusion it created when initially discovered.

Ecology and distribution. Currently known only from Montana, where it has been collected from granitic boulders and quartzite talus in dry, forested montane sites, from 1500–2015 m altitude.

Notes. Aspicilia indeterminata is an indistinctive, thin to thick, greyish Aspicilia with sunken discs. In the type specimen the discs are weakly pruinose, in contrast to the densely pruinose discs of other specimens. It is close to A. verrucigera Hue in general morphology, conidia and spore size, but differs in lacking stictic acid and having an epihymenium that is distinctively green to olive green, as opposed to olive-brown. Aspicilia cinerea differs in having smaller ascospores, moniliform paraphyses, an olive-brown epihymenium and non-pruinose apothecial discs.

Additional specimens examined. USA: Montana: Lewis and Clark Co., Orofino Gulch Road, 46.542127°N, 112.097662°W,

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 2. New genus: Antidea brucei and Aspicilia cinerea. A & B, Antidea brucei (A, Knudsen 15069; B, Owe-Larsson 9161-isotype). C & D, Aspicilia cinerea (A, Wheeler 6277; B, Wheeler 7214). Scales = 1 mm. In colour online.



Figure 3. Newly described species. A & B, Aspicilia indeterminata (A, Wheeler 5460; B, Wheeler 7241-holotype). C & D, Aspicilia suavis (C, Wheeler 7226; D, Wheeler 7345—holotype). Scales = 1 mm. In colour online.

1525 m, on Tertiary granite boulders, 2012, Wheeler 5460, 5464, 5470, 5471, 5472 (hb. Wheeler).

### Aspicilia suavis T. B. Wheeler sp. nov.

MycoBank No.: MB 853847

Similar to Aspicilia laevata (Ach.) Arnold in its thin, greenish grey, smooth, weakly rimose to uncracked thallus, immersed apothecia, habitat near mountain streams in shaded locales, olive green epihymenium and production of stictic and norstictic acids, but differing in the slightly larger ascospores, submoniliform paraphyses, and multilocus sequence data.

Type: USA, Montana, Ravalli Co., Sapphire Mountains, Skalkaho-Rye Road near the summit, 48.985375°N, 113.859990° W, 2145 m, on granodiorite boulders in small stream, 16 September 2016, Wheeler 7345 (ASU).

### ([Fig. 3](#page-5-0))

Hypothallus lacking, to thin and greyish. Thallus continuous, thin, smooth and uncracked, or becoming sub-rimose when older and thicker, non-determinate, 4–18 cm diam. Surface greenish grey to dark olive green, epruinose. Areoles continuous, 0.5–3 mm diam., 0.1–0.25 mm thick. Upper cortex pseudoparenchymatous, 20–35 μm thick. Algal layer continuous, 20–40 μm thick, algal cells chlorococcoid, mostly c. 10 μm diam. Medulla obscure, whitish.

Apothecia 1–3 per areole, aspicilioid, round, sunken but with a 100–130 μm thick raised thalline margin the same colour as the thallus or slightly darker, proper margin thick and darker than the thallus tissue, disc dark green to black, epruinose, 0.25–1.0 mm diam., eventually raised above the thallus. Exciple pseudoparenchymatous, cells 4–5 μm, dark grey to hyaline, up to 130 μm thick. Epihymenium 15–20 μm tall, olive blue-green. Paraphyses non-moniliform to submoniliform, 2–3 μm thick, top 1–2 cells rounded and expanded up to 3 μm, coherent even in KOH. Hymenium 100–130 μm tall, I+ blue. Asci 8-spored, c.  $100 \times 30 \mu m$ , Aspicilia-type. Ascospores simple, hyaline, (19–)21–24(–26)  $\times$  12–15 µm, broadly ellipsoid. Hypothecium hyaline, olive green in upper part, 80–125 μm tall, algal layer not continuous below hypothecium.

Pycnidia c.  $175 \times 100 \mu$ m, common, inconspicuous black ostiole. Conidia long, straight,  $(19-)21-23(-25) \times 1-1.25 \text{ µm}$ .

Spot tests and chemistry. Spot tests: K+ yellow diffusion, Pd+ red; stictic acid (major) and norstictic acid (trace) present in both specimens when analyzed by TLC.

Etymology. Named for the beautiful smooth greenish thalli and calm, idyllic streamside mountain settings where it is often found.

Ecology and distribution. Currently known only from Montana, where it has been collected from granodiorite and argillite boulders in the splash zone of mountain lakes and streams from 1700–2200 m altitude.

Notes. Aspicilia suavis is an indistinctive, thin, greenish grey Aspicilia with sunken discs that is found on splash zone siliceous boulders in montane and alpine habitats. In the type specimen the thallus is distinctly green, while in other specimens it is paler greenish grey. The discs are epruinose, and raised in smooth, steep-sided mounds. It is very close to A. laevata in general morphology, conidia shape and size, chemistry, and preference for shady streamside sites, but it has larger ascospores (19–26 μm vs 13–20 μm). However, molecular data place A. laevata in a poorly supported clade with A. epiglypta (Norrl. ex Nyl.) Hue, A. subradians (Nyl.) Hue, A. indissimilis (H. Magn.) Räsänen and others, and A. suavis in an unsupported 'pacifica group' clade. Aspicilia aquatica (Fr.) Körb. s. lat. can be similar and occurs in similar habitats, but it has moniliform paraphyses, smaller conidia and a paler yellowish thallus that lacks a thalline chemistry. Much of what has been identified in western North America as A. aquatica and A. laevata will most likely be referable to A. suavis.

Additional specimens examined. USA: Montana: Lake Co., Mud Lake, Mission Mountains, 47.615719°N, 113.994752°W, 1768 m, on argillite boulders along southern shore, 2016, Wheeler 7225 and 7226 (hb. Wheeler).

### A second species of Aspilidea

The genus Aspilidea was introduced for the single species A. myrinii (Fr.) Hafellner (Hafellner & Türk [2001\)](#page-12-0). However, the anomalous position of the species in Aspicilia was previously noted by Roux ([1977\)](#page-13-0), who included it with Bellemerea but did not make a formal new combination. Later, Clauzade & Roux [\(1984\)](#page-12-0) acknowledged that A. myrinii was not congeneric with Bellemerea but did not suggest an alternative placement. Aspilidea myrinii has a superficial resemblance to the type species of Bellemerea, B. alpina (Sommerf.) Clauzade & Cl. Roux, in that both species have immersed apothecia with a pseudothalline margin and a thallus containing norstictic acid with an amyloid (I+ violet) medulla. However, they differ in important anatomical characteristics, most notably that the ascus of Bellemerea has a distinctive amyloid tube-like structure (Porpidia-type) and belongs in Lecideaceae, whereas the ascus of Aspilidea lacks the tube-like structure and belongs in Megasporaceae.

Hafellner & Türk [\(2001\)](#page-12-0) separated Aspilidea from Aspicilia by the I+ pale purple medulla of the thallus, the euamyloid reaction of the hymenial jelly (hemiamyloid in Aspicilia), fine features of the ascus structure, conspicuous pycnidia with conidiophores of type II–III according to Vobis [\(1980\)](#page-13-0), and different lichenicolous fungi.

In our analysis, Aspilidea is early diverging in the Megasporaceae and, as in Miadlikowska et al. [\(2014\)](#page-13-0) but here with high support, is included in the family. The clade included previously sequenced collections from Europe as well as new specimens from Newfoundland, Quebec and Alaska that represent an additional species, for which the epithet 'subadunans' is available.

### Aspilidea subadunans (Vain.) T. B. Wheeler, J. W. McCarthy & Fryday comb. nov.

### MycoBank No.: MB 853844

Lecanora myrinii var. subadunans Vain. [as 'myrini'], Meddeland. Soc. Fauna Fl. Fenn. 6, 169 (1881).—Aspicilia subadunans (Vain.) Räsänen, Ann. Bot. Soc. Zool.-Bot. Fenn. 'Vanamo' 12(1), 78 (1939) nomen non funga.—Aspicilia myrinii var. subadunans (Vain.) Oxner [as 'myrini'], in Kopaczevskaja et al., Opredelitel' Lishaĭnikov SSSR Vypusk (Handbook of the Lichens of the USSR) (Leningrad) 1, 191 (1971); nom. inval. (ICNafp Art. 41.5); type:

'In rupe syenitica loco aprico ventoso in regione subalpina montis Päänuorunen in Lapponia Rossica.' (TUR!—holotype).

### ([Figs 4](#page-8-0) & [5\)](#page-9-0)

Hypothallus thin to thick, usually lacking, but in some specimens grey-black, occasionally visible between areoles and as a thin zone at the thallus margin. Thallus areolate, contiguous, separated by thin cracks, nondeterminate, up to 20 cm diam.; sometimes bimorphic with a thin primary thallus and a secondary thallus of ±dispersed convex areoles. Areoles cream-white to bluish grey, epruinose to faintly white pruinose, often shiny with a thin epinecral layer, irregular, even to irregularly ridged, 0.5–1.0 mm diam., 0.1–0.75 mm thick, 1–2 apothecia per areole. Upper cortex pseudoparenchymatous, 30–40 μm thick, epinecral layer thin, 3–7 μm thick, indistinct. Algal layer thin, discontinuous, irregular, 40–60 μm thick, algal cells chlorococcoid, 8–10 μm diam.

Apothecia 1–2 per areole, lecanorine, round, with thick outer thallus-coloured margin and a thinner inner darker ring coloured like the disc; immature disc initially sunken, soon becoming elevated and prominent with a narrowing base, margin smooth; mature disc smooth to slightly roughened, black, epruinose to blue-grey pruinose, 0.25–1.25 mm diam. Exciple pseudoparenchymatous, cells 4–6 μm, outer layer brownish, inner layer hyaline, 80–130 μm thick. Hymenium 80–120 μm, I+ blue; epihymenium 20–25 μm tall, dark brown to black, K+ brownish, N+ greenish. Paraphyses not branching, non-moniliform, thin, 1 μm thick, apices unexpanded, with pale brown tips. Asci 8-spored,  $50-60 \times$ 15–25 μm, Aspicilia type. Ascospores simple, hyaline, narrowly ellipsoid,  $(11.3-)16.77 \pm 2.50(-22.0) \times (4.9-)7.14 \pm 1.19(-11.0) \text{ µm}$ , l/w ratio  $(1.65 - )2.39 \pm 0.42(-3.33); n = 103$ . Hypothecium hyaline, 80–100 μm, hyaline above, grading into greyish medulla below, algal layer not continuous below hypothecium.

Pycnidia c.  $150 \times 100$  µm, rare to common, with a black, slightly elevated ostiole; conidia short bacilliform, (5–)5.5–6.5(–7) ×  $1-1.5 \mu m$ .

Spot tests and chemistry. Medulla K+ yellow to red crystals in section, I± pale brownish violet. TLC: norstictic acid.

Ecology and distribution. A northern species known from 100–1250 m altitude. In North America, it is known from Alaska in the west to Newfoundland in the east ([Fig. 6\)](#page-10-0). It has been collected from argillite, schist, phyllite, and quartzite.

To assess the distribution of this species and A. myrinii in North America, we examined all known collections of the latter species in North American herbaria available to us  $(c. 50)$ . All were misidentified. They were revised as A. subadunans, Aspicilia cinerea s. lat. and Aspicilia spp., as well as Bellemerea alpina, Lecidea lactea Flöke ex. Schaer., L. swartzioidea Nyl. and, in one case, a heavily grazed Rhizocarpon species (Supplementary Material S2, available online). Thus, A. myrinii has not been correctly reported from North America. We also examined A. myrinii collections from Norway, Sweden and Finland, only one of which proved to be A. subadunans (UPS L-520804; Supplementary Material S2).

Notes. Aspilidea subadunans is a distinctive species owing to its thick, white to cream-coloured thallus and prominent, thickmargined apothecia often with pruinose discs. It is similar to A. myrinii in morphology and chemistry, having a yellowish to greyish white thallus, small bacilliform conidia and producing norstictic acid, but it differs in the elevated apothecia with prominent, often pruinose discs with double margins and narrower ascospores ([Figs 4](#page-8-0) & [5,](#page-9-0) [Table 3](#page-11-0)).

North American specimens have mostly been labelled as Aspicilia arctica Lynge ex Oxner, A. cinerea or Aspilidea myrinii. Aspicilia arctica and A. cinerea have long filiform conidia (15–23 μm) in contrast to the short bacilliform conidia  $(5-7 \mu m)$  of A. *subadunans*, as well as a hemiamyloid hymenium; Aspilidea myrinii has non-pruinose, black, angular or irregular, immersed to slightly sessile apothecia in contrast to the raised, thick-margined apothecia of A. subadunans. All three species differ from A. subadunans in having broadly ellipsoid ascospores.

When Räsänen ([1939\)](#page-13-0) raised Vainio's name to the rank of species, the exsiccatae specimen he cited was Aspilidea myrinii not A. subadunans but this does not invalidate the combination (ICNafp Art. 7.3). Räsänen [\(1939\)](#page-13-0) also considered Aspicilia cinerea var. sallensis Räsänen to be a synonym of Lecanora myrinii var. subadunans Vain. However, the syntypes in H (H9503235, H9503236, H9503237) have been annotated as A. myrinii and our examination of these collections confirmed that they are referable to A. myrinii not A. subadunans. We designate here one of these syntypes (H5903235) as the lectotype, with the other two collections being isolectotypes (MycoBank No. MBT 10020079).

Selected additional specimens examined. See Supplementary Material S2 and map showing the distribution of A. subadunans in North America [\(Fig. 6\)](#page-10-0).

### New combinations in Lobothallia

Lobothallia was originally described as a subgenus of Aspicilia by Clauzade & Roux ([1984\)](#page-12-0) but was elevated to genus by Hafellner [\(1991](#page-12-0)). Although initially proposed for a small group of species with elongate marginal lobes, as has been shown with other recent additions to Lobothallia (Nordin et al. [2010;](#page-13-0) Kou et al. [2013](#page-13-0); Roux et al. [2016](#page-13-0)), the extent of lobation is not an informative phylogenetic character for the genus. Based on our phylogeny, we here transfer two more species without extended marginal lobes to the genus.

Lobothallia determinata (H. Magn.) T. B. Wheeler comb. nov.

MycoBank No.: MB 822174

Lecanora determinata H. Magn., Lichens from Central Asia 1, 96 (1940).—Aspicilia determinata (H. Magn.) N. S. Golubk., Nov. Syst. Niz. Rast. 9, 236 (1972); type: China, Kansu [Gansu], Chia-yii-kuan, ad campum, Huang-tsao-ying, alt. 1580 m, 'on coarse-grained sandstone, HCl+ bubbling', 27.9.1930, Birger Bohlin Lich. No. 7 (S—holotype).

### ([Fig. 7A\)](#page-10-0)

### Lobothallia peltastictoides (Hasse) T. B. Wheeler comb. nov.

MycoBank No.: MB 822175

Lecanora peltastictoides Hasse., Bryologist 17, 63 (1914).— Aspicilia peltastictoides (Hasse) K. Knudsen & Kocourk., Mycotaxon 124, 354 (2013); type: USA, California, Palm Springs, on granite, 1901, Hasse 861 (FH—holotype).

([Fig. 7B\)](#page-10-0)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 4. Comparison of the thalli of Aspilidea species. A, C & E, Aspilidea subadunans (A, TUR-V-05894; C, McCarthy 4153; E, McCarthy 4352). B, D & F, Aspilidea myrinii (B, O–L-20820; D, Tønsberg 42336; F, O–L-175683). Scales = 1 mm. In colour online.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 5. Comparison of the ascospores of Aspilidea species. A, C & E, Aspilidea subadunans (A, McCarthy 3195; C, McCarthy 4161; E, TUR-V-05894). B, D & F, Aspilidea myrinii (B, O–L-175683; D, O–L-190124; F, O–L-207353) Scales = 10 μm. In colour online.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 6. Known distribution of Aspilidea subadunans in North America. In colour online.

### Sagedia mastrucata (Wahlenb.) A. Nordin, Savić & Tibell

The collection of Sagedia mastrucata that we sequenced did not cluster with sequences from other collections of this species that we downloaded from GenBank. Clearly either our collections (Wheeler 6430, 6434; hb. Wheeler) or those sequenced previously are incorrectly identified. The lectotype of Lichen mastrucatus Wahlenb. (Nordin et al. [2007\)](#page-13-0) and the description given by Wahlenberg ([1812](#page-13-0)) match our specimen collected from Varangerfjord in northern Norway, near the type locality of Lichen mastrucatus. It is probable, therefore, that previously sequenced specimens from Scandinavia and elsewhere identified as S. mastrucata are a different, presumably undescribed species. These are included in our phylogenetic tree ([Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0) as S. aff. mastrucata.

### Lichenicolous fungus on Aspilidea subadunans

A lichenicolous fungus with large perithecia (c. 0.2–0.4 mm diam.) and simple ellipsoid ascospores  $((8.7-)12.0 \pm$  $1.40(-15.1) \times (4.9-)6.6 \pm 0.73(-9.1)$  µm, l/w ratio  $(1.24-)1.84 \pm$ 0.31(-2.94),  $n = 68$ ) growing on several collections of A. subadunans was identified as Sagediopsis aspiciliae Nik-Hoffm. & Hafellner (Hoffmann & Hafellner [2000\)](#page-13-0) [\(Fig. 8](#page-11-0)). This has been reported previously on A. myrinii in Europe but is reported here for the first time from North America.

Two species of Sagediopsis have been reported from Aspilidea myrinii: S. aspiciliae and S. fissurisedens Hafellner (Triebel [1993\)](#page-13-0). Sagediopsis fissurisedens has larger perithecia (0.4–0.7 mm diam.) than S. aspiciliae and fusiform, 3-septate ascospores,  $12-17 \times 5-8$  µm. We have not observed *S. fissurise*dens on any specimen of A. subadunans, or S. aspiciliae on any specimen of A. *myrinii* s. str. Given these observations and Hafellner's statement in Hafellner & Türk [\(2001](#page-12-0)) that the type specimen of Lecanora myrinii var. subadunans Vain. supported a different species of Sagediopsis to that reported from A. myrinii (Hafellner & Türk [2001\)](#page-12-0), we suspect that previous reports of the occurrence of S. aspiciliae on A. myrinii are misidentifications of the host species and that the two species of Sagediopsis occur only on the two different species of Aspilidea. However, we note that the Sagediopsis sp. that occurs on the type species of L. myrinii var. subadunans has larger ascospores  $(14-18 \times 8-11 \text{ µm}, \bar{x}$  14.8 × 9.7  $\mu$ m,  $1/w = 1.5$ ,  $n =$ 23) than are reported in the literature for S. aspiciliae and observed by us on other collections of this species.

Selected specimens examined. See Supplementary Material S2 (available online).

### **Discussion**

This study is the largest comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of the family Megasporaceae so far performed. The family formed a well-supported monophyletic group composed of seven highly supported clades, corresponding to the genera Aspilidea, Antidea, Lobothallia/Teuvoa, Circinaria/Megaspora/Aspiciliella/ Atrostelia, Sagedia, Oxneriaria and Aspicilia ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0): Roman



Figure 7. New combinations in Lobothallia. A, L. determinata (Wheeler 6017). B, L. peltastictoides (Knudsen 14420). Scales = 1 mm. In colour online.

Length (μm)  $Width (µm)$   $l/w$  ratio n A. subadunans (11.3–)16.8 ± 2.5(-22.0) (4.9–)7.1 ± 1.2(-11.0) (1.6–)2.39 ± 0.4(-3.3) 103 **A. myrinii** (11.3–)**14.9** ± 1.6(-18.9) (7.9–)**11.0** ± 1.5(-14.4) (1.0–)**1.36** ± 0.2(-1.8) 103

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Table 3. Comparison of ascospore dimensions of Aspilidea species. Measurements are given as (lowest recorded–) $\bar{x}$  ± standard deviation(–highest recorded);  $n =$ number of spores measured

numerals I–VII). All clades were recovered as monophyletic and highly supported, with their relationships to each other also strongly supported, with the exception of the position of Megaspora and Oxneriaria as siblings to Circinaria and Aspicilia s. str., respectively. This analysis confirms the monophyly of the family, placing it with high support as sister to Ochrolechiaceae.

### Systematic position of Aspilidea and Antidea

Our phylogeny shows that Megasporaceae is closely related to Ochrolechiaceae and that two genera, Aspilidea and Antidea, occupy a basal position in Megasporaceae close to Ochrolechiaceae. Although the two families have some similarities, they also differ in several respects.

# B

Figure 8. Sagediopsis aspiciliae (McCarthy 4423). A, thallus of Aspilidea subadunans with perithecia of S. aspiciliae. B, ascospores of S. aspiciliae. Scales:  $A = 1$  mm;  $B =$ 10 μm. In colour online.

# 1) Morphology

The thalli of Ochrolechiaceae are pale creamy white in colour whereas those of Megasporaceae are predominantly pale to dark grey. Antidea has a grey thallus and resembles a species of Megasporaceae whereas Aspilidea has a pale cream-coloured thallus and more closely resembles Ochrolechiaceae.

Apothecia in Ochrolechiaceae are sessile to substipitate, discoid, lecanorine with a distinct, well-developed proper exciple, whereas those in Megasporaceae are immersed, sunken to sessile with a poorly developed exciple. The apothecia of Antidea and Aspilidea resemble those of Megasporaceae.

Ascospores in Ochrolechiaceae have thick walls and are large to very large  $(35-65(-300) \times (18-19-32(-100))$  µm in Ochrolechia) whereas those of Megasporaceae are thin-walled and smaller  $((8-)15-25(-35) \times 5-15(-26) \text{ um})$ . The ascospores of Aspilidea and Antidea are thin-walled and within the range of Megasporaceae, and are much smaller than those of Ochrolechiaceae.

The epihymenium in Ochrolechiaceae is either hyaline or pale brown, whereas in Megasporaceae it is usually olivaceous (K+ brown, N+ green; Caesiocinerea-green). Consequently, the apothecial discs of Ochrolechiaceae are pale-coloured (yellow to pink to orange) whereas those of Megasporaceae are darkly pigmented (dark grey to black). Both Antidea and Aspilidea have an olivaceous epihymenium and dark-coloured apothecia.

Paraphyses in Ochrolechiaceae are thin, densely branching and anastomosing whereas in Megasporaceae they are thick, moniliform to submoniliform, unbranched to weakly branched, and not densely anastomosing. Both Antidea and Aspilidea have relatively narrow paraphyses but in Antidea they are submoniliform, suggesting a closer relationship to Megasporaceae. Aspilidea has non-moniliform paraphyses but in Aspilidea myrinii they are branched and anastomosing whereas those of A. subadunans are unbranched and not anastomosing.

Asci of Ochrolechiaceae have thick, multilayered, strongly amyloid walls, whereas Megasporaceae has thin-walled asci with a thin outer coat K/I+ blue, and K/I− wall and apical dome. The asci of Aspilidea and Antidea resemble those of Megasporaceae.

Aspilidea resembles Ochrolechiaceae in having a euamyloid (I+ blue) hymenium, whereas it is hemiamyloid (I+ orange-brown) in Megasporaceae and Antidea.

Many Ochrolechia species can have sterile vertical tissues dividing the hymenium. These are unknown in Megasporaceae, Aspilidea and Antidea.

Conidia in Ochrolechiaceae are elongate cylindrical to bacilliform (4–6 μm), whereas those in Megasporaceae are bacilliform to filiform long (5–40 μm). The conidia in both Aspilidea and Antidea are bacilliform (5–8 μm). Although there is some overlap in conidia size between Ochrolechia and Antidea and Aspilidea, other genera placed in Megasporaceae also have shorter conidia (e.g. Lobothallia and Circinaria).



### <span id="page-12-0"></span>2) Secondary metabolite chemistry

Ochrolechiaceae usually produces orcinol depsides, depsidones, tridepsides or xanthones (gyrophoric, lecanoric, olivetoric, 5-Omethylhiascic, 4,5-di-O-methylhiascic, 4-O-demethylmicrophyllinic and hiascic acids, and lichexanthone), whereas Megasporaceae produce aspicilin and norstictic, connorstictic, hyposalazinic, hypostictic, stictic and substictic acids, or no substances. Aspilidea and Antidea both produce norstictic acid indicating a closer affinity with Megasporaceae.

### 3) Ecology

Megasporaceae is predominantly saxicolous whereas Ochrolechiaceae is also corticolous, lignicolous and terricolous. Both Antidea and Aspilidea are only saxicolous.

Given its grey thallus, euamyloid hymenium and submoniliform paraphyses, we consider the systematic position of Antidea to be firmly within Megasporaceae, but the position of Aspilidea is more ambivalent. The genus, apparently, occupies an intermediate position between the two families but we are reluctant to erect a new family for a single genus containing only two species without further evidence. Although some characters of Aspilidea suggest an affinity with Ochrolechiaceae, most point towards it being closer to Megasporaceae and so we include it in that family. However, we acknowledge that this may change when the systematics of Pertusariales are more fully explored.

### Status of the genera

Two of the clades mentioned above are composed of more than one genus and it is worth considering the status of these genera. The two genera in the Lobothallia clade, Lobothallia and Teuvoa, share several morphological characters including short conidia and small ascospores. However, the recently described genus Teuvoa differs from Lobothallia by growing on lignin, and lacking thalline chemistry and a subhymenial algal layer. If Teuvoa is accepted at the genus level, then a case could be made for erecting additional genera to accommodate L. determinata and, perhaps, the L. peltastictoides group, resulting in the Lobothallia clade being split up into four genera. Alternatively, Lobothallia could be expanded to include Teuvoa, but here we take the conservative approach and retain Lobothallia and Teuvoa as distinct genera.

Similarly, the Circinaria clade also includes the genera Megaspora, Aspiciliella and Atrostelia, which could either be subdivided into separate genera or subsumed into Circinaria, but we again take the conservative approach and retain them as separate genera.

Given the arbitrary level at which genera are recognized, another approach would be to recognize the smaller clades inside Circinaria and Lobothallia as subgenera, or even to return them all to Aspicilia and recognize the individual clades I–VII as subgenera.

### Conclusions

The phylogeny presented here represents a solid foundation for future research on Megasporaceae. The inter-family groups are well defined and supported, and the relationships among them, with the exception of Oxneriaria and Megaspora, are also well supported. However, worldwide, Megasporaceae comprises c. 300 species (Outline of Fungi [2024](#page-13-0); Wijayawardene et al. [2022](#page-13-0)) but our phylogeny includes only 88 (i.e. c. 30%).

The Aspicilia/Oxneriaria clade is particularly poorly represented, with only 50 out of an estimated global total of c. 200 being included and it is probable that the generic divisions proposed here will need adjustment as sampling improves. In addition, the intergeneric groups within Aspicilia and Oxneriaria are still poorly supported and unsettled, and further work involving different species and additional loci are required to elucidate these relationships.

Acknowledgements. Funding for this project was provided from various sources. TBW was funded by a University of Montana Institute on Ecosystems Graduate Enhancement Fellowship. Funding for JMc was generously provided by the Jesuits of Canada, Jesuit Conference of Canada and the United States, Bishop Douglas Crosby, OMI (Diocese of Hamilton, Ontario), Sisters of St Joseph of Toronto, Kenneth Yeung, Frank Morneau, Jerry McCullough and Robert Wong, SJ. We are grateful to the custodians of the many herbaria who have loaned us collections in their care for this study: ALA, ASU, BG, CANL, FH, H, MIN, O, QFA, SBBG, TUR, UC, UCR, UPS, WIS, WTU, and the private herbaria of Curtis Björk, Trevor Goward, Kerry Knudsen and Peter Nelsen. TBW would like to thank Dan Vanderpool, John McCutcheon and Toby Spribille, who were instrumental in the early stages of this study. JMc thanks Tor Tønsberg for the gift of a specimen of Aspilidea myrinii from Norway.

It gives us great pleasure to dedicate this paper to Teuvo Ahti, who has been a constant source of advice and information throughout our lichenological journeys. In particular, JMc expresses his sincere gratitude to Teuvo (Ted) for his generous mentorship, support and friendship over the years, and for his unwavering dedication to lichen research in Newfoundland and Labrador for more than 68 years. At the invitation of the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Teuvo first arrived in Newfoundland in the summer of 1956 as an undergraduate student studying the quality of Newfoundland caribou habitat, particularly the availability of reindeer lichens. His nine visits to Newfoundland reflect Teuvo's deep attachment to the people and land of the province. He has named two Cladonia species after the province, Cladonia terrae-novae Ahti (1960) and Cladonia labradorica Ahti & Brodo (1981).

Author ORCIDs. **ID** Tim Wheeler, [0000-0003-0668-8662;](https://0000-0003-0668-8662) John McCarthy, [0009-0003-1531-300X](https://0009-0003-1531-300X); Alan Fryday, [0000-0002-5310-9232](https://0000-0002-5310-9232).

Competing Interests. The authors declare none.

Supplementary Material. The Supplementary Material for this article can be found at [https://doi.org/10.1017/S0024282924000239.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0024282924000239)

### References

- Choisy M (1929) Genres nouveaux pour la lichénologie dans le groupe des Lecanoracées. Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France 67, 521–527.
- Clauzade G and Roux C (1984) Les genres Aspicilia Massal. et Bellemerea Hafellner et Roux. Bulletin de la Société Botanique de Centre-Ouest, Nouvelle Série 15, 127–141.
- Edler D, Klein J, Antonelli A and Silvestro D (2021) raxmlGUI 2.0: a graphical interface and toolkit for phylogenetic analysis using RAxML. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 12, 373–377.
- Gams W (1993) Report of the committee for fungi and lichens: 3. Taxon 42, 112–118.
- Gardes M and Bruns TD (1993) ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes – application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. Molecular Ecology 2, 113–118.
- Hafellner J (1984) Studien in Richtung einer natürlicheren Gliederung der Sammelfamilien Lecanoraceae und Lecideaceae. Beihefte zur Nova Hedwigia 79, 241–371.
- Hafellner J (1991) Die Gattung Aspicilia, ihre Ableitungen nebst Bemerkungen über cryptolecanorine Ascocarporganisation bei anderen Genera der Lecanorales (Ascomycetes lichenisati). Acta Botánica Malacitana 16, 133–140.
- Hafellner J and Türk R (2001) Die lichenisierten Pilze Österreichs eine Checkliste der bisher nachgewiesenen Arten mit Verbreitungsangaben. Stapfia 76, 1–167.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Hoffmann N and Hafellner J (2000) Eine Revision der lichenicolen Arten der Sammelgattungen Guignardia und Physalospora (Ascomycotina). Bibliotheca Lichenologica 77, 1–190.
- Jørgensen PM, James PW and Jarvis CE (1994) Linnaean lichen names and their typification. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 115, 261–405.
- Koerber GW (1855) Systema Lichenum Germaniae. Die Flechten Deutschlands: Mikroscopisch geprüft, kritisch gesichtet, charakteristisch beschrieben und systematisch geordnet. Breslau: Verlag von Trewendt & Granier.
- Kou X-R, Li S-X and Ren Q (2013) Three new species and one new record of Lobothallia from China. Mycotaxon 123, 241–249.
- Larsson A (2014) AliView: a fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large datasets. Bioinformatics 30, 3276–3278.
- Laundon JR and Hawksworth DL (1988) Proposal to conserve Aspicilia Massal. against several names (Lichenized Ascomycotina: Hymeneliaceae). Taxon 37, 478–489.
- Liu YJ, Whelen S and Hall BD (1999) Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16, 1799–1808.
- Lumbsch HT, Feige GB and Schmitz KE (1994) Systematic studies in the Pertusariales I. Megasporaceae, a new family of lichenized Ascomycetes. Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory 75, 295–304.
- Magnusson AH (1939) Studies in species of Lecanora, mainly in the Aspicilia gibbosa group. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar, Ser. III 17, 1–182.
- Magnusson AH (1951) New or otherwise interesting Swedish lichens XIV. Botaniska Notiser 1, 64–82.
- Mason-Gamer RJ and Kellogg EA (1996) Testing for phylogenetic conflict among molecular data sets in the tribe Triticeae (Gramineae). Systematic Biology 45, 524–545.
- Massalongo AB (1852) Ricerche sull'autonomia dei licheni crostosi e materiali pella loro naturale ordinazione del d.'A. prof. Massalongo. Verona: Dalla tipografia di A. Frizierio.
- McCune B and Di Meglio J (2021) Revision of the Aspicilia reptans group in western North America, an important component of soil biocrusts. Monographs in North American Lichenology 5, 1–94.
- Miadlikowska J, Kauff F, Hofstetter V, Fraker E, Grube M, Hafellner J, Reeb V, Hodkinson BP, Kukwa M, Lücking R, et al. (2006) New insights into classification and evolution of the Lecanoromycetes (Pezizomycotina, Ascomycota) from phylogenetic analyses of three ribosomal RNA-and two protein-coding genes. Mycologia 98, 1088–1103.
- Miadlikowska J, Kauff F, Högnabba F, Oliver JC, Molnár K, Fraker E, Gaya E, Hafellner J, Hofstetter V, Gueidan C, et al. (2014) A multigene phylogenetic synthesis for the class Lecanoromycetes (Ascomycota): 1307 fungi representing 1139 infrageneric taxa, 317 genera and 66 families. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 79, 132–168.
- Moniri MH, Gromakova AB, Lőkös L and Kondratyuk SY (2017) New members of the Megasporaceae (Pertusariales, lichen-forming Ascomycota): Megaspora iranica spec. nova and Oxneriaria gen. nova. Acta Botanica Hungarica 59, 343–370.
- Mudd W (1861) A Manual of British Lichens. Darlington: Harrison Penney.
- Nordin A, Tibell L and Owe-Larsson B (2007) A preliminary phylogeny of Aspicilia in relation to morphological and secondary product variation. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 96, 247–266.
- Nordin A, Savić S and Tibell L (2010) Phylogeny and taxonomy of Aspicilia and Megasporaceae. Mycologia 102, 1339–1349.
- Orange A, James PW and White FJ (2001) Microchemical Methods for the Identification of Lichens. London: British Lichen Society.
- Øvstedal DO, Tønsberg T and Elvebakk A (2009) The lichen flora of Svalbard. Sommerfeltia 33, 3–393.
- Owe-Larsson B, Nordin A and Tibell L (2007) Aspicilia. In Nash TH, III, Gries C and Bungartz F (eds), Lichen Flora of the Greater Sonoran Desert Region, Vol. III. Tempe, Arizona: Lichens Unlimited, Arizona State University, pp. 61–108.
- Outline of Fungi (2024) [https://outlineoffungi.org/outline.php.](https://outlineoffungi.org/outline.php) Accessed 28 April 2024.
- Poelt J (1974) Systematik der Flechten. Bericht über die Jahre 1972 und 1973 mit einigen Nachtrågen. Fortschritte der Botanik 36, 263–276.
- Räsänen V (1939) Die Flechtenflora der nördlichen Küstengegend am Laatokka-See. Annales Botanici Societatis Zoologicae-Botanicae Fennicae 'Vanamo' 12, 1–240.
- Roux C (1977) Aspicilia chadefaudiana C. Roux sp. nov. et remarques sur le genre Aspicilia. Revue Bryologique et Lichénologique 43, 159–172.
- Roux C, Nordin A, Tibell L and Sohrabi M (2011) Quelques espèces d'Aspicilia peu connues ou nouvelles des Pyrénées-Orientales (France). Bulletin de la Société Linnéenne de Provence, Numéro Spécial 14, 177–227.
- Roux C, Nordin A and Bertrand M (2016) Lobothallia controversa Cl. Roux & A. Nordin sp. nov., correspondant au Lecanora farinosa sensu Nyl. non (Flörke) Nyl. Herzogia 29, 586–595.
- Schmitt I, Yamamoto Y and Lumbsch HT (2006) Phylogeny of Pertusariales (Ascomycotina): resurrection of Ochrolechiaceae and new circumscription of Megasporaceae. Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory 100, 753–764.
- Schneider K, Resl P, Westberg M and Spribille T (2015) A new, highly effective primer pair to exclude algae when amplifying nuclear large ribosomal subunit (LSU) DNA from lichens. Lichenologist 47, 269–275.
- Sohrabi M, Stenroos S, Myllys L, Søchting U, Ahti T and Hyvönen J (2013a) Phylogeny and taxonomy of the 'manna lichens'. Mycological Progress 12, 231–269.
- Sohrabi M, Leavitt SD, Rico VJ, Halıcı MG, Shrestha G and Stenroos S (2013b) Teuvoa, a new lichen genus in Megasporaceae (Ascomycota: Pertusariales), including Teuvoa junipericola sp. nov. Lichenologist 45, 347–360.
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312–1313.
- Thomson JW (1997) American Arctic Lichens. 2. The Microlichens. Madison, Wisconsin: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Triebel D (1993) Notes on the genus Sagediopsis (Verrucariales, Adelococcaceae). Sendtnera 1, 273–280.
- Villars D (1789) Histoire des plantes de Dauphiné. Vol. 3 pt.2. Grenoble, Lyon, Paris: Société Royale de Médecine.
- Vobis G (1980) Bau und Entwicklung der Flechten-Pycnidien und ihrer Conidien. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 14, 1–141.
- Vondrák J, Svoboda S, Malíček J, Palice Z, Kocourková J, Knudsen K, Mayrhofer H, Thüs H, Schultz M, Košnar J, et al. (2022) From Cinderella to Princess: an exceptional hotspot of lichen diversity in a longinhabited central-European landscape. Preslia 94, 143–181.
- Wahlenberg G (1812) Flora lapponica exhibens plantas geographice et botanice consideratas, in Lapponiis svecicis scilicet Umensi, Pitensi, Lulensi, Tornensi, et Kemensi nec non Lapponiis norvegicis scilicet Norlandia et Finmarkia utraque indigenas, et itineribus annorum 1800, 1802, 1807 et 1810 denuo investigatas. Berolini: In Taberna Libraria Scholae Realis.
- White TJ, Bruns TD, Lee SB and Taylor JW (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ and White TJ (eds), PCR Protocols: a Guide to Methods and Applications. New York: Academic Press, pp. 315–322.
- Wijayawardene NN, Hyde KD, Dai DQ, Sánchez-García M, Goto BT, Saxena RK, Erdoğdu M, Selçuk F, Rajeshkumar KC, Aptroot A, et al. (2022) Outline of Fungi and fungus-like taxa – 2021. Mycosphere 13, 53–453.
- Zahlbruckner A (1928) Catalogus Lichenum Universalis 5. Berlin: Gebrüder Borntraeger.
- Zakeri Z, Divakar PK and Otte V (2017) Taxonomy and phylogeny of Aspiciliella, a resurrected genus of Megasporaceae, including the new species A. portosantana. Herzogia 30, 166–176.
- Zoller S, Scheidegger C and Sperisen C (1999) PCR primers for the amplification of mitochondrial small subunit ribosomal DNA of lichen-forming ascomycetes. Lichenologist 31, 511–516.