



COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Theta bases are atomic

Travis Mandel

Compositio Math. **153** (2017), 1217–1219.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X17007060](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X17007060)



FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY
EST. 1865



Theta bases are atomic

Travis Mandel

ABSTRACT

Fock and Goncharov conjectured that the indecomposable universally positive (i.e. atomic) elements of a cluster algebra should form a basis for the algebra. This was shown to be false by Lee, Li and Zelevinsky. However, we find that the theta bases of Gross, Hacking, Keel and Kontsevich do satisfy this conjecture for a slightly modified definition of universal positivity in which one replaces the positive atlas consisting of the clusters by an enlargement we call the scattering atlas. In particular, this uniquely characterizes the theta functions.

A cluster variety U , as defined in [FG09], is a scheme constructed by gluing together a collection of algebraic tori $\text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[M]$, called *clusters*, via certain birational automorphisms called mutations. A non-zero $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ is called *universally positive* if its restriction to each cluster is a Laurent polynomial $\sum_{m \in M} a_m z^m$ with non-negative integer coefficients, and *indecomposable* or *atomic* if it cannot be written as a sum of two other universally positive functions.

Fock and Goncharov predicted [FG09, Conjecture 4.1] that the atomic functions on U form an additive basis for $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$. However, [LLZ14] showed this to be false by showing that the atomic functions are often linearly dependent, even in rank 2. Nevertheless, [GHKK14] constructed a canonical topological basis of ‘theta functions’ $\{\vartheta_m\}_{m \in M}$ for a topological algebra A that should be viewed as a formal version of (a base extension of) $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$; e.g. $A = \widehat{\text{up}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{prin}}^s)} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[N_s^+]} \mathbb{k}[N]$ as in [GHKK14, § 6] when U is the \mathcal{A} -variety, or $A = \widehat{B}$ as in [Man15, § 2.4]. These *theta bases* satisfy many of the properties predicted by [FG09] (e.g. universal positivity, being parametrized by M), and in many cases (when ‘the full Fock–Goncharov conjecture holds’), they extend to bases of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$.

The construction of $\{\vartheta_m\}_{m \in M}$ (cf. [GHKK14] for the details) involves a ‘scattering diagram’ \mathfrak{D} in $M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes \mathbb{R}$. This \mathfrak{D} consists of a set of codimension 1 ‘walls’ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ (with attached functions), the union of which forms the support of \mathfrak{D} , denoted $\text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D})$. Each $Q \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D})$ determines an inclusion ι_Q of A into a certain Laurent series ring $\widehat{R[M]}$; that is a localization of a completion of a base extension of $\mathbb{k}[M]$, or, more precisely, $\widehat{R[M]} = \widehat{\mathbb{k}[\sigma]} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[\sigma]} \mathbb{k}[M']$, where M' is some lattice containing M (e.g. $M \oplus M^*$ for the cluster algebra with principal coefficients), σ is some cone in M' , and $\widehat{\mathbb{k}[\sigma]}$ denotes the completion of $\mathbb{k}[\sigma]$ with respect to its maximal ideal. We say a non-zero $f \in A$ is *universally positive with respect to the scattering atlas* if for every $Q \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D})$, $\iota_Q(f) \in \widehat{R[M]}$ is a formal Laurent series with non-negative integer coefficients. Such an f is called *atomic with respect to the scattering atlas* if it cannot be written as a sum of two other elements which are universally positive with respect to the scattering atlas.

Received 11 July 2016, accepted in final form 12 December 2016, published online 26 April 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 13F60 (primary).

Keywords: cluster algebra, theta function, scattering diagram, positivity, basis.


The result was discovered while the author was supported by the Center of Excellence Grant ‘Centre for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces’ from the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF95).

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2017.

THEOREM 1. *The theta functions are exactly the atomic elements of A with respect to the scattering atlas.*

To justify the terminology, recall that for $Q_1, Q_2 \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathcal{D})$, the ι_{Q_i} are related by a ‘path-ordered product’ (cf. [GHKK14, § 1.1]). These generalizations of mutations are automorphisms of $\widehat{R[M]}$ that take a monomial z^m to a formal positive rational function, by which we mean a quotient f_1/f_2 for two non-zero Laurent series $f_1, f_2 \in \widehat{R[M]}$ with non-negative integer coefficients. Hence, the charts $\text{Spf } \widehat{R[M]} \hookrightarrow \text{Spf } A$ induced by the ι_Q form a *formal positive atlas* on $\text{Spf } A$, i.e. a positive atlas in the sense of [FG09, Definition 1.1], except that the split algebraic tori there are replaced with the copies of the formal algebraic torus $\text{Spf } \widehat{R[M]}$, and the transition maps now preserve *formal* positive rational functions. This formal positive atlas is what we call the *scattering atlas*.

We note that when U is a cluster \mathcal{A} -variety, there is a subset of the chambers of $M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathcal{D})$, called the cluster complex, such that the corresponding charts of the scattering atlas are just the clusters (restricted to $\text{Spf } A$). The scattering atlas may therefore be viewed as an enlargement of the positive atlas consisting of the clusters, which we call the *cluster atlas*. Note that the cluster atlas is the one considered by [FG09]. It is not clear in general whether the theta functions are indecomposable with respect to the cluster atlas, but [CGM+17] has shown this for rank 2.

Examples 2. For cluster algebras of finite or affine type, the cluster complex is dense in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$, so the scattering atlas and cluster atlas agree in these cases. Similarly, it is known that the cluster \mathcal{A} -variety associated to the Markov quiver  admits two cluster structures (to be described in detail in future work by Y. Zhou), and the union of the corresponding cluster complexes is dense in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ (these are the cones over the hemispheres S^+ and S^- described in [FG16, § 2.2]). Hence, being universally positive with respect to the scattering atlas here is equivalent to being universally positive with respect to both cluster atlases.

Proof of Theorem 1. The fact that the theta functions are universally positive with respect to the scattering atlas was already observed in [GHKK14]. It is an easy consequence of the positivity of the scattering diagram in their Theorem 1.28. To show indecomposability, it thus suffices to show that for any $f \in A$ universally positive with respect to the scattering atlas, the expansion $f = \sum_{m \in M} a_m \vartheta_m$ has non-negative integer coefficients. For Q sufficiently close to $p \in M$ and $\iota_Q(f) = \sum_{m \in M} c_m z^m \in \widehat{R[M]}$, the proof of [GHKK14, Proposition 6.4] shows that $a_p = c_p$. This is indeed a non-negative integer by the positivity assumption on f . \square

The same argument proves that the *quantum* theta bases (as constructed in [Man15]) are exactly the atomic elements of the corresponding quantum algebras with respect to the scattering atlas, assuming universal positivity of these bases. This positivity fails in general but is expected for skew-symmetric cases, where positivity of the cluster variables in all clusters was recently proved in [Dav16].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Li Li for encouraging me to investigate the indecomposability of the theta functions, as well as Sean Keel for his advice on writing this paper.

REFERENCES

- CGM+17 M. W. Cheung, M. Gross, G. Muller, G. Musiker, D. Rupel, S. Stella and H. Williams, *The greedy basis equals the theta basis: a rank two haiku*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **145** (2017), 150–171; [MR 3551649](#).
- Dav16 B. Davison, *Positivity for quantum cluster algebras*, Preprint (2016), [arXiv:1601.07918](#).
- FG09 V. Fock and A. Goncharov, *Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **42** (2009), 865–930.
- FG16 V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Cluster Poisson varieties at infinity*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **22** (2016), 2569–2589.
- GHKK14 M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel and M. Kontsevich, *Canonical bases for cluster algebras*, Preprint (2014), [arXiv:1411.1394](#).
- LLZ14 K. Lee, L. Li and A. Zelevinsky, *Positivity and tameness in rank 2 cluster algebras*, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **40** (2014), 823–840.
- Man15 T. Mandel, *Refined tropical curve counts and canonical bases for quantum cluster algebras*, Preprint (2015), [arXiv:1503.06183](#).

Travis Mandel mandel@math.utah.edu

University of Utah, Department of Mathematics, 155 S 1400 E RM 233,
Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0090, USA