

ON A GLOBAL UPPER BOUND FOR JESSEN'S INEQUALITY

B. GAVREA¹, J. JAKŠETIĆ² and J. PEČARIĆ³

(Received 9 October, 2008)

Abstract

In two recent papers a global upper bound is derived for Jensen's inequality for weighted finite sums. In this paper we generalize this result on positive normalized functionals.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 26D15.

Keywords and phrases: Jensen's inequality, convex functions, positive functionals.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\tilde{x} = \{x_i\}$ be a finite sequence of real numbers from the fixed closed interval $I = [a, b]$, $a < b$, and $\tilde{p} = \{p_i\}$, with $\sum p_i = 1$ a sequence of positive weights associated with \tilde{x} . If we have a convex function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, from Jensen's inequality we have

$$0 \leq \sum p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum p_i x_i\right).$$

The following was proved in [6].

THEOREM 1.1. *Let \tilde{x} , \tilde{p} be as above. Then, if f is convex on $I = [a, b]$, we have that*

$$\sum p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum p_i x_i\right) \leq S_f(a, b), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$S_f(a, b) := f(a) + f(b) - 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right).$$

However, this fact can be derived from the following two theorems published earlier in [4, Page 50].

¹Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Department of Mathematics, Romania;
e-mail: bogdan.gavrea@math.utcluj.ro.

²University of Zagreb, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Croatia;
e-mail: julije@math.hr.

³University Of Zagreb, Faculty Of Textile Technology, Zagreb, Croatia;
e-mail: pecaric@mahazu.hazu.hr.

© Australian Mathematical Society 2009, Serial-fee code 1446-1811/2009 \$16.00

THEOREM 1.2. Let \tilde{x}, \tilde{p} be as above. Then, if f is convex on $I = [a, b]$, we have that

$$f\left(a + b - \sum p_i x_i\right) \leq f(a) + f(b) - \sum p_i f(x_i). \quad (1.2)$$

THEOREM 1.3. Let \tilde{x}, \tilde{p} be as above. Then, if f is convex on $I = [a, b]$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(a + b - \sum p_i x_i\right) &\geq 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - f\left(\sum p_i x_i\right) \\ &\geq 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \sum p_i f(x_i). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Combining (1.2) and (1.3) it is clear that we also have (1.1).

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the above results for normalized positive functionals.

Let E be a nonempty set and L be a linear class of real-valued functions $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having the properties

$$(af + bg) \in L \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R} \quad (L_1)$$

$$\text{if } 1 \in L, \text{ that is, } f(t) = 1L \quad \forall t \in E, \quad \text{then } f \in L. \quad (L_2)$$

We also consider positive linear functionals $A : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. That is, we assume that

$$A(af + bg) = aA(f) + bA(g) \in L \quad \forall f, g \in L, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (A_1)$$

$$\text{if } f \in L, \quad f(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } E \quad \text{then } A(f) \geq 0 \quad (A \text{ is positive}). \quad (A_2)$$

If $A(1) = 1$, we say that A is a normalized functional. The following generalization of the Jensen's inequality for convex functions is known (see [5, Page 47]).

THEOREM 1.4. Let L satisfy L_1 and L_2 on a nonempty set E , and assume that Φ is continuous convex function on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. If A is a normalized linear positive functional, then for all $g \in L$ such that $\Phi(g) \in I$ we have $A(g) \in I$ and

$$\Phi(A(g)) \leq A(\Phi(g)). \quad (1.4)$$

Also, the proof of the following theorem can be found in [5, Page 98].

THEOREM 1.5. Let Φ be convex on $I = [a, b]$, $(-\infty < a < b < \infty)$; let L satisfy conditions L_1 and L_2 and let A be a positive normalized functional on L . Then for every $g \in L$ such that $\Phi(g) \in I$ (so that $a \leq g(t) \leq b$), we have

$$A(\Phi(g)) \leq \frac{b - A(g)}{b - a} \Phi(a) + \frac{A(g) - a}{b - a} \Phi(b). \quad (1.5)$$

2. Main results

THEOREM 2.1. *Let L satisfy L_1 and L_2 and let Φ be a convex function on $I = [a, b]$. Then for any positive normalized linear functional A on L and for any $g \in L$ such that $\Phi(g) \in L$ we have*

$$A(\Phi(g)) - \Phi(A(g)) \leq \Phi(a) + \Phi(b) - 2\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

If Φ is concave, the inequality in (2.1) is reversed.

PROOF. From inequality (1.5) we have

$$A(\Phi(g)) - \Phi(A(g)) \leq \frac{b - A(g)}{b - a}\Phi(a) + \frac{A(g) - a}{b - a}\Phi(b) - \Phi(A(g)). \tag{2.2}$$

Now, using (2.2) we deduce (2.1) showing that

$$\frac{b - A(g)}{b - a}\Phi(a) + \frac{A(g) - a}{b - a}\Phi(b) - \Phi(A(g)) \leq \Phi(a) + \Phi(b) - 2\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

It is easy to see that (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\Phi(a)\left(1 - \frac{b - A(g)}{b - a}\right) + \Phi(b)\left(1 - \frac{A(g) - a}{b - a}\right) + \Phi(A(g)) \geq 2\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Applying Jensen’s inequality to the left-hand side of (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi(a)\left(1 - \frac{b - A(g)}{b - a}\right) + \Phi(b)\left(1 - \frac{A(g) - a}{b - a}\right) + \Phi(A(g))\right] \\ & \geq \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left[A(g) - \frac{b - A(g)}{b - a}a - \frac{A(g) - a}{b - a}b\right]\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality proves inequality (2.4) which is equivalent to (2.1).

The concave case can be proved by the same arguments using the fact that $-\Phi$ is a convex function. □

The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 1.2.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let Φ be convex on $I = [a, b]$, $(-\infty < a < b < \infty)$; let L satisfy conditions L_1 and L_2 and let A be a positive normalized functional on L . Then for every $g \in L$ such that $\Phi(g) \in L$ (so that $a \leq g(t) \leq b$), we have*

$$\Phi(a + b - A(g)) \leq \Phi(a) + \Phi(b) - A(\Phi(g)).$$

PROOF. For a proof of this result see [1, Page 2]. □

The next theorem is an extension of Theorem 1.3.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let Φ be convex on $I = [a, b]$, $(-\infty < a < b < \infty)$; let L satisfy conditions L_1 and L_2 and let A be a positive normalized functional on L . Then for every $g \in L$ such that $\Phi(g) \in L$ (so that $a \leq g(t) \leq b$), we have*

$$\Phi(a + b - A(g)) \geq 2\Phi\left(\frac{a + b}{2}\right) - \Phi(A(g)).$$

PROOF. From the reversed Jensen’s inequality [5, Page 83] we have

$$\Phi\left(\frac{px + qy}{p + q}\right) \geq \frac{p\Phi(x) + q\Phi(y)}{p + q} \quad \text{for } q < 0, p > 0, p + q > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Putting $p = 2, q = -1, x = (a + b)/2$ and $y = A(g)$ in (2.5) we obtain the desired result. □

Let us observe that with the combination of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 we can obtain an alternative proof of Theorem 2.1, just by eliminating the expression $\Phi(a + b - A(g))$.

Now we show that we can improve the upper bound for Jensen’s inequality.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let L satisfy L_1 and L_2 and let Φ be a convex function on $I = [a, b]$. Then for any positive normalized linear functional A on L and for any $g \in L$ such that $\Phi(g) \in L$ we have*

$$A(\Phi(g)) - \Phi(A(g)) \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b - a} \left| \frac{a + b}{2} - A(g) \right| \right\} \cdot S_\Phi(a, b). \tag{2.6}$$

If Φ is concave, the inequality in (2.6) is reversed.

For the proof of this theorem we need following lemma.

LEMMA 2.5. *For a convex function $f : D_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x, y \in D_f, 0 \leq p, q \leq 1, p + q = 1$, we have that*

$$\min\{p, q\}S_f(x, y) \leq pf(x) + qf(y) - f(px + qy) \leq \max\{p, q\}S_f(x, y).$$

PROOF. For a proof of this result see [6]. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4. Using Theorem 1.5 we have

$$A(\Phi(g)) \leq \frac{b - A(g)}{b - a} \Phi(a) + \frac{A(g) - a}{b - a} \Phi(b).$$

Denote

$$p = \frac{b - A(g)}{b - a},$$

so $p \in [0, 1]$ and $A(g) = p \cdot a + (1 - p) \cdot b$.

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A(\Phi(g)) - \Phi(A(g)) \\ & \leq \frac{b - A(g)}{b - a} \Phi(a) + \frac{A(g) - a}{b - a} \Phi(b) - \Phi(A(g)) \\ & = p\Phi(a) + (1 - p)\Phi(b) - \Phi(p \cdot a + (1 - p) \cdot b) \\ & \leq \max\{p, 1 - p\} S_{\Phi}(a, b) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b - a} \left| \frac{a + b}{2} - A(g) \right| \right\} S_{\Phi}(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

The third line follows from Lemma 2.5. At the end, if Φ is concave, then $-\Phi$ is convex, so that the conclusion follows. \square

We can also restate Theorem 2.4 in the following form.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let L satisfy L_1 and L_2 , let Φ be a convex function on $I = [a, b]$, and let A be a positive linear functional on L . Suppose that $k \in L, k \geq 0$ on E and $A(k) > 0$. Then for any $g_1 \in L$ such that $kg_1 \in L$ and $k\Phi(g_1) \in L$ we have*

$$\frac{A(k\Phi(g_1))}{A(k)} - \Phi\left(\frac{A(kg_1)}{A(k)}\right) \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b - a} \left| \frac{a + b}{2} - \frac{A(kg_1)}{A(k)} \right| \right\} \cdot S_{\Phi}(a, b). \tag{2.7}$$

If Φ is concave, the inequality in (2.7) is reversed.

In [6] we can find a refinement of the inequality given in (1.1) introducing the characteristic $c(f)$:

$$c(f) := \sup \frac{\sum p_i f(x_i) - f(\sum p_i x_i)}{S_f(a, b)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\tilde{p}, \tilde{x} \in [a, b], a, b \in D_f$. Hence, we have

$$\sum p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum p_i x_i\right) \leq c(f) S_f(a, b).$$

The refinement of the bound is described by the next theorem (see [4]).

THEOREM 2.7. *For any convex function f ,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq c(f) \leq 1.$$

In our new terms the characteristic for a convex function Φ is described by

$$C(\Phi) = \sup_{A, g} \frac{A(\Phi(g)) - \Phi(A(g))}{S_{\Phi}(a, b)}, \tag{2.8}$$

where the supremum is taken over all positive normalized linear functionals A on L and over all $g \in L$.

Here, we give a proof of Theorem 2.7 in our new terms.

First, it is obvious that $C(\Phi) \leq 1$.

To show $C(\Phi) \geq 1/2$, we first define the positive, normalized functional A_1 by

$$A_1(g) = p_0g(x) + (1 - p_0)g(y),$$

where x, y are some points in the starting set E and $0 < p_0 < 1$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} C(\Phi) &= \sup_{A, g} \frac{A(\Phi(g)) - \Phi(A(g))}{S_\Phi(a, b)} \\ &\geq \sup_{p_0, x, y} \frac{A_1(\Phi(g)) - \Phi(A_1(g))}{S_\Phi(a, b)} \\ &= \sup_{p_0, x, y} \frac{p_0\Phi(g(x)) + (1 - p_0)\Phi(g(y)) - \Phi(p_0g(x) + (1 - p_0)g(y))}{S_\Phi(x, y)} \\ &\geq \sup_{p_0} [\min\{p_0, 1 - p_0\}] = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.5.

3. The Hadamard inequality

Let us note that from (2.6) we have in the case $A(g) = (a + b)/2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leq A(\Phi(g)) \leq \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}S_\Phi(a, b), \\ \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leq A(\Phi(g)) \leq \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

which is a generalization of the well-known Hadamard inequality (see [5, Page 146]).

In what follows we denote by e_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) the function $e_i : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$e_i(x) = x^i, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Let $A : C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear positive functional and let a_i be defined by

$$a_i := A(e_i), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In what follows we assume that $a_0 = 1$. For such a functional, Jessen's inequality is well known and it states that for any convex function Φ we have

$$A(\Phi) \geq \Phi(a_1) \quad \text{and} \quad A(\Phi) \leq \frac{b - a_1}{b - a} \Phi(a) + \frac{a_1 - a}{b - a} \Phi(b).$$

The following result was obtained by Lupaş in [3].

THEOREM 3.1 (Lupaş [3]). *Let $A : C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive linear functional with $A(e_0) = 1$. Then, for any convex function $\Phi \in C[a, b]$, there exist distinct points $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in [a, b]$ such that*

$$A(\Phi) - \Phi(a_1) = (a_2 - a_1^2) \left[\xi_1, \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2}, \xi_2; \Phi \right],$$

where the divided difference of a function Φ on the nodes x_1, \dots, x_k is denoted by $[x_1, \dots, x_k; \Phi]$.

THEOREM 3.2. *For any convex function $\Phi \in C[a, b]$ the following inequality holds:*

$$A(\Phi) - \Phi(a_1) \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - a_1 \right| \right] S_\Phi(a, b).$$

PROOF. Set $g = e_1$ in Theorem 2.4. □

REMARK 3.3. In fact, Theorems 2.4 and 3.2 are equivalent. Indeed, let $B : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$B(\Phi) = A(\Phi \circ g),$$

where A is a positive normalized linear functional and $g \in L$ such that $\Phi \circ g \in L$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that for any convex function $\Phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$B(\Phi) - B(e_1) \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - a_1 \right| \right] S_\Phi(a, b).$$

Since $B(e_1) = A(g)$ we obtain Theorem 2.4.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let A be a normalized linear positive functional. If $A(\Phi) = A(\Phi(a + b - \cdot))$ for every $\Phi \in C[a, b]$, then for any convex function $\Phi \in C[a, b]$ we have*

$$\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq A(\Phi) \leq \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2}. \tag{3.2}$$

PROOF. We have $A(a + b - e_1) = A(e_1)$, which implies that $A(e_1) = (a + b)/2$. Therefore, from (3.1) we obtain (3.2). □

REMARK 3.5. Let $\Phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $p : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative integrable function which is symmetric with respect to the point $(a + b)/2$, that is, $p(x) = p(a + b - x)$. If we consider the normalized linear positive functional

$$A(\Phi) = \frac{\int_a^b p(x)\Phi(x) dx}{\int_a^b p(x) dx}$$

in (3.2), we obtain

$$\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b p(x) dx \leq \int_a^b p(x)\Phi(x) dx \leq \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} \int_a^b p(x) dx$$

which is a well-known inequality due to Fejér [2].

3.1. A functional specific characteristic number In what follows the characteristic number defined in (2.8) is specialized to a particular normalized linear positive functional. Let $\Phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function which is not an affine function and let A be a positive linear functional defined on a linear set of functions \mathcal{F} , with domain D . We assume $[a, b] \subset D$ and denote by $\chi_{[a,b]}$ the characteristic function of the interval $[a, b]$. We further assume that for any $a < b$, the condition

$$A(\chi_{[a,b]}) > 0$$

is satisfied.

If Φ is not an affine function and Φ is continuous and convex, we define the number $C_A(\Phi)$ by

$$C_A(\Phi) := \sup \frac{A^{[a,b]}(\Phi) - \Phi(a_1^{[a,b]})}{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b) - 2\Phi((a+b)/2)},$$

where

$$A^{[a,b]}(\Phi) := \frac{A(\chi_{[a,b]}\Phi)}{A(\chi_{[a,b]})}, \quad a_1^{[a,b]} := A^{[a,b]}(e_1)$$

and the supremum is taken over all values $a, b, a < b, [a, b] \subset D$. From the definition of $C_A(\Phi)$ we have

$$A^{[a,b]}(\Phi) - \Phi(a_1^{[a,b]}) \leq C_A(\Phi) \left(\Phi(a) + \Phi(b) - 2\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right).$$

From Theorem 3.2 it follows that

$$C_A(\Phi) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \sup_{a < b} \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - a_1^{[a,b]} \right|.$$

Now let us consider the functional $A : C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$A(\Phi) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx.$$

From Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$A(\Phi) - \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12} \left[\xi_1, \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2}, \xi_2; \Phi \right],$$

which gives

$$\frac{A(\Phi) - \Phi((a+b)/2)}{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b) - 2\Phi((a+b)/2)} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{[\xi_1, (\xi_1 + \xi_2)/2, \xi_2; \Phi]}{[a, (a+b)/2, b; \Phi]}.$$

Let $b = a + h$. Then

$$C_A(\Phi) \geq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(\Phi) - \Phi((a+b)/2)}{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b) - 2\Phi((a+b)/2)}.$$

If $\Phi \in C^2(D)$, then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(\Phi) - \Phi((a+b)/2)}{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b) - 2\Phi((a+b)/2)} = \frac{1}{6} = C_A(e_2).$$

We summarize the result obtained as follows.

For the functional A defined by

$$A(\Phi) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx$$

and any function $\Phi \in C^2(D)$ we have

$$C_A(e_2) \leq C_A(\Phi) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We conclude the discussion on the characteristic $c_A(\cot)$ by stating the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 3.6. *Let A be a normalized linear positive functional on a linear class of functions L , $A : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $\Phi \in L$ be a convex, nonaffine function. Then the following inequality holds*

$$C_A(e_2) \leq C_A(\Phi) \leq 1.$$

4. Applications to means

In this section we give some applications of Theorem 2.4 to some well-known means.

We start with the generalized mean with respect to the operator A and Ψ :

$$M_\Psi(g, A) := \Psi^{-1}\{A(\Psi(g))\}, \quad g \in L.$$

THEOREM 4.1. *Let L satisfy conditions L_1 and L_2 and let A be a positive normalized functional on L . Let $\chi, \psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and strictly monotonic functions, such that the function $\Phi = \chi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is convex. If, for every $g \in L$, the functions $\psi(g), \phi(g) \in L$, then $\Phi(A(\psi(g)))$ is well defined and the inequality*

$$\chi(M_\chi(g, A)) - \chi(M_\psi(g, A)) \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - \psi(M_\psi(g, A)) \right| \right\} \cdot S_\Phi(a, b) \tag{4.1}$$

holds. The inequality in (4.1) is reversed if the function Φ is concave.

PROOF. For $g \in L$, we have both $\psi(g), \chi(g) \in L$ by assumption. Hence, $\Phi(\psi(g)) = \chi(g) \in L$. Thus, if Φ is convex, then (4.1) follows from Theorem 2.4 with g replaced by $\psi(g)$. □

The next step is the application to generalized classical means:

$$M^{[r]}(g, A) := \begin{cases} A(g^r)^{1/r}, & r \neq 0; \\ \exp(A(\ln g)), & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 4.2. *Let L satisfy conditions L_1 and L_2 and let A be a positive normalized functional on L . If, for every $g \in L$, the functions $g^r, \ln g \in L, r \neq 0$, then $\Phi(A(\psi(g)))$ is well defined and the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{M^{[s]}(g, A)\}^s - \{M^{[r]}(g, A)\}^s \\ & \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - \{M^{[r]}(g, A)\}^r \right| \right\} \cdot \left(a^{s/r} + b^{s/r} - 2 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{s/r} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

holds for $s > 0, s > r$ or $s < 0, s < r$. In the case $s > 0, s < r$ or $s < 0, s > r$ the inequality (4.2) is reversed. Also

$$\begin{aligned} & A(\ln g) - \ln(M^{[r]}(g, A)) \\ & \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - \{M^{[r]}(g, A)\}^r \right| \right\} \cdot \ln \left(\frac{4ab}{(a+b)^2} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

for $s = 0, r < 0$. In the case $s = 0, r > 0$ the inequality (4.3) is reversed. Finally

$$\{M^{[s]}(g, A)\}^s - (M^{[0]}(g, A))^s \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - 1 \right| \right\} \cdot (e^{sa/2} - e^{sb/2})^2, \tag{4.4}$$

for $r = 0, s > 0$. In the case $r = 0, s < 0$ the inequality (4.4) is reversed.

PROOF. The proof of the theorem follows from application of Theorem 4.1 and the cases given in the lines that follow. Let $\psi(x) = x^r, r \neq 0, \chi(x) = x^s, s \neq 0, \psi(x) = \ln x, r = 0, \chi(x) = \ln x$ and $s = 0$. For $s, r \neq 0$ a function $\Phi = (\chi \circ \psi^{-1})(x) = x^{s/r}$ is convex if $s > 0, s > r$ or $s < 0, s < r$.

For $s = 0, r \neq 0$ a function $\Phi(x) = (\chi \circ \psi^{-1})(x) = (1/r) \ln x$ is convex if $r < 0$.

For $r = 0, s \neq 0$ a function $\Phi(x) = (\chi \circ \psi^{-1})(x) = e^{sx}$ is convex if $s > 0$.

Now, using Theorem 4.2 we have proved (4.2). The last part of the theorem follows from concavity of the function. □

The next application is Hölder’s inequality of the first type.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let L satisfy L_1 and L_2 and let A be a positive linear functional on L . Let $p > 1$ and q such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $f, g > 0$ on $E, f^p, g^q, fg \in L$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & A(fg) - (A(f^p))^{1/p} (A(g^q))^{1/q} \\ & \geq \left\{ \frac{A(g^q)}{2} + \frac{A(g^q)}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - A \left(\frac{f^p}{g^q} \right) \right| \right\} \left(a^{1/p} + b^{1/p} - 2 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{1/p} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

For $0 < p < 1$ the inequality (4.5) is reversed.

PROOF. Let us note that the function

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{x^s}{s(s-1)}, \quad s \neq 0, 1$$

is a convex function. Now, from (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s(s-1)} \left[\frac{A(k(g_1)^s)}{A(k)} - \left(\frac{A(kg_1)}{A(k)} \right)^s \right] \\ & \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - \frac{A(kg_1)}{A(k)} \right| \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{s(s-1)} \left(a^s + b^s - 2 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right)^s \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

After we make substitutions, $s = 1/p$, $k = g^q/A(g^q)$ and $g_1 = f^p/g^q$, we obtain the desired inequality. The reverse of inequality in (4.5) for $0 < p < 1$ follows from the inequality given in (4.6). \square

The second type of Hölder’s inequality is as follows.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let L satisfy L_1 and L_2 and let A be a positive linear functional on L . Let $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (0, 1)$ and q such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $f, g > 0$ on E , $f^p, g^q, fg \in L$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & ((A(f^p))^{1/p}(A(g^q))^{1/q})^p - (A(fg))^p \\ & \leq \left\{ \frac{A(g^q)^p}{2} + \frac{A(g^q)^p}{b-a} \left| \frac{a+b}{2} - A(fg^{1-q}) \right| \right\} \left(a^p + b^p - 2 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right)^p \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

For $0 < p < 1$ the inequality (4.7) is reversed.

PROOF. Again, we consider the convex function

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{x^s}{s(s-1)}, \quad s \neq 0, 1$$

for $s = p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (0, 1)$, and Theorem 2.6. Using (2.7) with the substitutions $k = g^q$, $g_1 = fg^{1-q}$ we obtain the desired result.

The reverse of this inequality follows by the same argument as in the previous theorem. \square

References

- [1] W.-S. Cheung, A. Matković and J. Pečarić, “A variant of Jessen’s inequality and generalized means”, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **7** (2006) Article 10.
- [2] L. Fejér, “Über die Fourierreihen II”, *Math. Naturwiss. Anz. Ungar. Akd. Wiss.* **24** (1906) 369–390.
- [3] A. Lupaş, “Mean value theorems for positive linear transformations” (Romanian with English summary), *Rev. Anal. Numer. Teoria Aprox.* **3** (1974) 121–140.

- [4] A. Matković and J. Pečarić, "A variant of Jensen's inequality for convex functions of several variables", *J. Math. Inequal.* **1** (2007) 45–51.
- [5] J. E. Pečarić, F. Proschan and Y. C. Tong, *Convex functions, partial orderings and statistical applications* (Academic Press, New York, 1992).
- [6] S. Simić, "On a global upper bound for Jensen's inequality", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343** (2008) 414–419.