

## AMPLE VECTOR BUNDLES ON A RATIONAL SURFACE

TOSHIO HOSOH

### Introduction.

On a complete non-singular curve defined over the complex number field  $\mathbf{C}$ , a stable vector bundle is ample if and only if its degree is positive [3]. On a surface, the notion of the  $H$ -stability was introduced by F. Takemoto [8] (see §1). We have a simple numerical sufficient condition for an  $H$ -stable vector bundle on a surface  $S$  defined over  $\mathbf{C}$  to be ample; let  $E$  be an  $H$ -stable vector bundle of rank 2 on  $S$  with  $\Delta(E) = c_1(E)^2 - 4c_2(E) \geq 0$ , then  $E$  is ample if and only if  $c_1(E) > 0$  and  $c_2(E) > 0$ , provided  $S$  is an abelian surface, a ruled surface or a hyper-elliptic surface [9]. But the assumption above concerning  $\Delta(E)$  evidently seems too strong. In this paper, we restrict ourselves to the projective plane  $\mathbf{P}^2$  and a rational ruled surface  $\Sigma_n$  defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of arbitrary characteristic. We shall prove a finer assertion than that of [9] for an  $H$ -stable vector bundle of rank 2 to be ample (Theorem 1 and Theorem 3). Examples show that our result is best possible though it is not a necessary condition (see Remark (1) §2).

In §1, we shall recall the definition of  $H$ -stable vector bundles and their elementary properties proved by F. Takemoto [8].

In §2, we shall prove the following;

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $E$  is an  $H$ -stable vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with  $c_1(E) \geq (-1/2)\Delta(E)$ , then  $E$  is ample.*

In §3, we shall prove a similar sufficient condition for an  $H$ -stable vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\Sigma_n$  to be ample (Theorem 3).

The author wishes to thank H. Umemura who called his attention to this problem and gave him many suggestions.

### § 1. Preliminaries

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic.

---

Received August 30, 1974.

Throughout this paper, the ground field  $k$  will be fixed. Let  $E$  be a vector bundle (i.e. a locally free sheaf) on a non-singular irreducible projective algebraic variety  $X$  defined over  $k$ . We shall use the following notation;

$$\begin{aligned} h^i(X, E) &:= \dim_k H^i(X, E); \text{ the dimension of } H^i(X, E). \\ E^* &:= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E, \mathcal{O}_X); \text{ the dual vector bundle of } E. \\ \chi(E) &:= \sum_i (-1)^i h^i(X, E); \text{ the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of } E. \\ c_i(E) &; \text{ the } i\text{-th Chern class of } E. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $H$  be an ample line bundle (i.e. invertible sheaf) on  $X$  and  $s = \dim X$ . We recall the definition of  $H$ -stable vector bundles [8].

**DEFINITION.** A vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$  is  $H$ -stable if for every non-trivial, non-torsion, quotient sheaf  $F$  of  $E$ ,  $d(E, H)/r(E) < d(F, H)/r(F)$ , where  $d(F, H) = (c_1(F), H^{s-1})$  with the intersection pairing  $(, )$  and where  $r(F)$  is the rank of  $F$ .

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the definition.

**LEMMA (1.1).** (1) *A vector bundle is  $H$ -stable if and only if it is  $H^{\otimes n}$ -stable for any natural number  $n$ .*

(2) *If  $L$  is a line bundle, then  $E$  is  $H$ -stable if and only if  $E \otimes L$  is  $H$ -stable.*

(3) *If  $E$  is  $H$ -stable and  $d(E, H) \leq 0$ , then  $H^0(X, E) = (0)$ .*

We say that a vector bundle  $E$  is simple if any global endomorphism of  $E$  is constant, i.e.  $H^0(X, \text{End}(E)) = k$ . We know that an  $H$ -stable vector bundle is simple ([8] Corollary (1.8)). In the case of rank 2 vector bundles on  $P^2$ , also the converse is true ([8] Proposition (4.1)), i.e.;

**LEMMA (1.2).** *Let  $E$  be a vector bundle of rank 2 on  $P^2$ , then the following conditions are equivalent*

(1).  *$E$  is simple.* (2).  *$E$  is  $O^{P^2}(1)$ -stable.*

There is a very useful criterion for a rank 2 vector bundle to be not simple ([7] Theorem 1.);

**LEMMA (1.3).** *Let  $E$  be a vector bundle of rank 2 on  $X$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1).  *$E$  is not simple.*

(2). *There exists a line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  such that for  $E' = E \otimes L$ ,*

$h^0(X, E') \neq 0$  and  $h^0(X, E'^*) \neq 0$ .

Let  $E$  be a vector bundle on  $X$ ,  $P(E)$  the projective bundle on  $X$  associated to  $E$  and  $O_{P(E)}(1)$  the tautological line bundle on  $P(E)$  i.e.  $\pi_*(O_{P(E)}(1)) \cong E$ ,  $\pi$  being the natural projection of  $P(E)$  onto  $X$ . If  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X$ , then the line bundle  $O_{P(E)}(1) \otimes \pi^*(L)$  is also the tautological line bundle on  $P(E \otimes L) \cong P(E)$ . If  $M$  is a line bundle on  $P(E)$ ,  $M$  is isomorphic to a line bundle  $O_{P(E)}(1)^{\otimes n} \otimes \pi^*(N)$  for some integer  $n$  and some line bundle  $N$  on  $X$  (see EGA II. 4.1). A rational ruled surface is isomorphic to  $\Sigma_n = P(O_{P^1}(-n) \oplus O_{P^1})$  for some non-negative integer  $n$ . We denote the projection from  $\Sigma_n$  to  $P^1$  by  $\pi_n$ .

The following lemma plays an important role in the sequel.

LEMMA (1.4) *Let  $s$  be a section of the projection  $\pi_n: \Sigma_n \rightarrow P^1$ , then;*

(1) *If the self-intersection number  $(s, s)$  is non-positive, then  $(s, s) = -n$  and the direct image  $\pi_{n*}(O_{\Sigma_n}(s))$  is isomorphic to the vector bundle  $O_{P^1}(-n) \oplus O_{P^1}$ .*

(2) *If the self-intersection number  $(s, s)$  is non-negative, then  $(s, s) \geq n$  and the direct image  $\pi_{n*}(O_{\Sigma_n}(s))$  is generated by its global sections.*

*Proof.* We have an exact sequence on  $\Sigma_n$ ;

$$0 \longrightarrow O_{\Sigma_n} \longrightarrow O_{\Sigma_n}(s) \longrightarrow O_{\Sigma_n}(s)|_s \longrightarrow 0$$

Since  $R^1\pi_{n*}(O_{\Sigma_n}) = (0)$ ,  $\pi_{n*}(O_{\Sigma_n}) \cong O_{P^1}$ ,  $\pi_{n*}(O_{\Sigma_n}(s)|_s) \cong P^1((s, s))$  and  $\pi_{n*}(O_{\Sigma_n}(s)) \cong (O_{P^1}(-n) \oplus O_{P^1}) \otimes O_{P^1}(a)$  for some integer  $a$ , we have the following exact sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow O_{P^1} \longrightarrow (O_{P^1}(-n) \oplus O_{P^1}) \otimes O_{P^1}(a) \longrightarrow O_{P^1}((s, s)) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

(1) If  $(s, s) \leq 0$ , then the exact sequence  $(*)$  is split because  $h^1(P^1, O_{P^1}(t)) = 0$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Hence we have;

$$(O_{P^1}(-n) \oplus O_{P^1}) \otimes O_{P^1}(a) \cong O_{P^1}((s, s)) \oplus O_{P^1}.$$

This is possible if and only if  $a = 0$  and  $O_{P^1}((s, s)) \cong O_{P^1}(-n)$ , hence  $(s, s) = -n$  and  $\pi_{n*}(O_{\Sigma_n}(s)) \cong O_{P^1}(-n) \oplus O_{P^1}$ .

(2) If  $(s, s) \geq 0$ , then  $O_{P^1}((s, s))$  is generated by its global sections. Hence we have that  $\pi_{n*}(O_{\Sigma_n}(s))$  is generated by its global sections by virtue of the exact sequence  $(*)$ . This is possible if and only if  $a - n \geq 0$ . On the other hand,  $O_{P^1}((s, s))$  is isomorphic to  $O_{P^1}(2a - n)$  by  $(*)$ , which

implies  $(s, s) = 2a - n = 2(a - n) + n \geq n$ .

The section on  $\Sigma_n$  corresponding to the exact sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow O_{P^1} \longrightarrow O_{P^1}(-n) \oplus O_{P^1} \longrightarrow O_{P^1}(-n) \longrightarrow 0$$

is called a minimal section of  $\Sigma_n$  and denoted by  $M$ . Let  $N$  be a fibre of  $\pi_n$ , then every divisor  $D$  on  $\Sigma_n$  is linearly equivalent to  $aM + bN$  where  $a = (D, N)$  and  $b = (D, M) + an$ . A canonical divisor on  $\Sigma_n$  is linearly equivalent to  $-2M - (n + 2)N$ .

**§ 2. Simple vector bundles on  $P^2$**

Let  $E$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $P^2$  and  $\ell$  be a line on  $P^2$ , then the restriction  $E|_\ell$  of  $E$  to  $\ell$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles  $L_i$ 's ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) [2]; we set;

$$\alpha_E(\ell) = \min \{ \deg(L_i); 1 \leq i \leq r \}$$

Evidently the number  $\alpha_E(\ell)$  is bounded above and below when  $\ell$  runs through lines on  $P^2$ . Hence we set;

$$M(E) := \max \{ \alpha_E(\ell); \ell \text{ is a line on } P^2 \}$$

$$m(E) := \min \{ \alpha_E(\ell); \ell \text{ is a line on } P^2 \}$$

If  $E$  is a vector bundle on  $P^2$ , we put  $E(n) = E \otimes O_{P^2}(1)^{\otimes n}$ .

LEMMA (2.1) *Let  $E$  be a vector bundle on  $P^2$ , then;*

- (1) *If  $M(E) \geq -1$ , then  $h^1(P^2, E(1)) \leq h^1(P^2, E)$ .*
- (2) *If  $M(E) \geq -1 > m(E)$ , then  $h^1(P^2, E(1)) < h^1(P^2, E)$ .*
- (3) *If  $M(E) \geq -1$  and  $h^1(P^2, E(1)) = h^1(P^2, E)$ , then  $E(1)$  is generated by its global sections.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\ell$  be a line with  $\alpha_E(\ell) = M(E)$ , then there is the following short exact sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow O_{P^2}(-1) \longrightarrow O_{P^2} \longrightarrow O_\ell \longrightarrow 0 \tag{*}$$

Tensoring  $E(1)$  with  $(*)$ , we get the short exact sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E(1) \longrightarrow E(1)|_\ell \longrightarrow 0$$

and the long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^1(P^2, E) \longrightarrow H^1(P^2, E(1)) \longrightarrow H^1(\ell, E(1)|_\ell) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Since  $\alpha_{E(1)}(\ell) = \alpha_E(\ell) + 1 \geq 0$ , we have  $h^1(\ell, E(1)|_\ell) = 0$ , whence  $h^1(P^2, E(1))$

$\leq h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E)$ .

(2) By (1), we have  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) \leq h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E)$ . Let  $\ell$  be a line on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with  $\alpha_E(\ell) = M(E)$ , then as above we obtain the following long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) \longrightarrow H^0(\ell, E(1)|_\ell) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) \longrightarrow H^1(\ell, E(1)|_\ell) = (0) . \end{aligned}$$

If  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) = h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E)$ , then  $H^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E) \cong H^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1))$ . Thus  $\varphi: H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) \rightarrow H^0(\ell, E(1)|_\ell)$  is surjective. By the way, let  $\ell'$  be a line on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with  $\alpha_E(\ell') = m(E)$  and  $x$  be the closed point of the intersection of  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$ , then  $\psi: H^0(\ell, E(1)|_\ell) \rightarrow E(1) \otimes k(x)$  is surjective since  $\alpha_{E(1)}(\ell) = \alpha_E(\ell) + 1 \geq 0$ . On the other hand  $\psi': H^0(\ell', E(1)|_{\ell'}) \rightarrow E(1) \otimes k(x)$  is not surjective because  $\alpha_{E(1)}(\ell') = \alpha_E(\ell') + 1 \leq -1$ . Furthermore we have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H^0(\ell, E(1)|_\ell) \\ \varphi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ H^0(\ell', E(1)|_{\ell'}) & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & E(1) \otimes k(x) \end{array}$$

On the one hand,  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is surjective because so are  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ . On the other hand,  $\psi' \circ \varphi'$  is not surjective because not so is  $\psi'$ . This is a contradiction.

(3) Let  $x$  be any closed point of  $\mathbf{P}^2$  and  $\ell$  be a line passing through  $x$ . The assumptions  $\alpha_E(\ell) \geq m(E) \geq -1$  and  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) = h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E)$  imply that  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) \rightarrow H^0(\ell, E(1)|_\ell)$  is surjective and  $H^1(\ell, E(1)|_\ell) \rightarrow E(1) \otimes k(x)$  is surjective for any closed point  $x$ . By this and Nakayama's lemma  $E(1)$  is generated by its global sections.

Let  $X$  be a scheme defined over  $k$  and  $E_1, E_2$  vector bundles on  $X$ . If  $E_1$  is ample and  $E_2$  is generated by its global sections, then  $E_1 \otimes E_2$  is ample ([4] Corollary 1.9.). We get therefore the following proposition as a corollary to the above lemma.

**PROPOSITION (2.2)** *Let  $E$  be a vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with  $M(E) \geq -1$ , then  $E(a)$  is ample for any integer  $a \geq h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E) + 2$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $b = h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E)$ , then by Lemma (2.1) we have;

$$b = h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E) \geq h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) \geq \dots \geq h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(b)) \geq 0 .$$

Hence there must be an integer  $c$  ( $0 \leq c \leq b$ ) such that  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^1, E(c)) = h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(c + 1))$ . By Lemma (2.1),  $E(c + 1)$  is generated by its global sections. Hence  $E(a)$  is ample for any integer  $a \geq b + 2$  because  $O_{\mathbf{P}^2}(n)$  is ample for any integer  $n \geq 1$ .

For a vector bundle  $E$  of rank 2 on a scheme we know that  $E^* \cong E \otimes (\det E)^*$  ([6] Lemma 3.7). We shall use this fact in the next lemma.

If  $E$  is a vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , we identify the Chern class  $c_i(E)$  of  $E$  with an integer by its degree.

LEMMA (2.3) *Let  $E$  be a simple vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , then;*

- (1) *If  $c_1(E) \leq 0$ , then  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E) = (0)$ .*
- (2) *If  $c_1(E) \geq -6$ , then  $H^2(\mathbf{P}^2, E) = (0)$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $E^* \cong E \otimes (\det E)^* \cong E(c)$ , where  $c = -c_1(E)$ . If  $c_1(E) \leq 0$ , then  $E$  can be regarded as a subsheaf of  $E^*$ . Hence  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E) \subset H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E^*)$ . If  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E) \neq (0)$ , then  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E^*) \neq (0)$ . This contradicts to Lemma (1.3) and proves (1). The second assertion follows from (1) by the Serre duality.

Let  $E$  be a vector bundle of rank 2 on a non-singular projective surface  $S$ . Define an integer  $\Delta(E)$  to be  $c_1(E)^2 - 4c_2(E)$ . It is easy to see that  $-\Delta(E)$  is the second Chern class of  $\text{End}(E)$ . Hence, if  $L$  is a line bundle on  $S$ , then  $\Delta(E \otimes L) = \Delta(E)$ . For given two integers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , let  $F(c_1, c_2)$  be the set of all simple vector bundles of rank 2 on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with  $i$ -th Chern class  $c_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then  $F(c_1, c_2)$  is not empty if and only if  $c = c_1^2 - 4c_2$  is negative and is not equal to  $-4$  ([6] Theorem 4.6). For a line bundle  $L$  on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , we put  $F(c_1, c_2)(L) = \{E \otimes L; E \in F(c_1, c_2)\}$ . If  $c_1$  is odd (resp. even), then for  $L = O_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-(c_1 + 1)/2)$  (resp.  $O_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-c_1/2)$ ),  $F(c_1, c_2)(L) = F(-1, n)$  (resp.  $F(0, m)$ ) where  $1 - 4n = c_1^2 - 4c_2$  (resp.  $-4m = c_1^2 - 4c_2$ ).  $F(-1, n)$  (resp.  $F(0, m)$ ) is not empty if and only if  $n \geq 1$  (resp.  $m \geq 2$ ).

Now we can compute a lower bound of  $m(\ )$  for simple vector bundles of rank 2 on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with fixed Chern classes.

PROPOSITION (2.4) *If  $E$  is in  $F(-1, n)$  (resp.  $F(0, m)$ ), then;*

$$-n \leq m(E) \leq M(E) \leq -1 \quad (\text{resp. } -m + 1 \leq m(E) \leq M(E) \leq 0).$$

*Proof.*  $M(E) \leq -1$  (resp.  $M(E) \leq 0$ ) is obvious, because  $c_1(E) = -1$  (resp.  $c_1(E) = 0$ ). The Riemann-Roch theorem asserts that for a vector bundle  $E'$  of rank 2 on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ ,

$$\chi(E') = 2 + \frac{3c_1(E')}{2} + \frac{c_2(E')^2 - 2c_2(E')}{2}.$$

Applying this to  $E$  we have  $\chi(E) = 1 - n$  (resp.  $2 - m$ ). On the other hand, by Lemma (2.3)  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E) = H^2(\mathbf{P}^2, E) = (0)$ . Thus we obtain  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E) = n - 1$  (resp.  $m - 2$ ). Let  $\ell$  be any line on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , then we have the following short exact sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow E(-1) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E|_\ell \longrightarrow 0$$

and the long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E) \longrightarrow H^1(\ell, E|_\ell) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathbf{P}^2, E(-1)) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Since  $H^2(\mathbf{P}^2, E(-1)) = (0)$  by Lemma (2.3), we obtain  $h^1(\ell, E|_\ell) \leq n - 1$  (resp.  $m - 2$ ). Hence  $\alpha_E(\ell) \geq -n$  (resp.  $-m + 1$ ) for any line  $\ell$ .

**LEMMA (2.5)** *Let  $E$  be in  $F(-1, n)$  (resp.  $F(0, m)$ ). We put  $b = \min \{x; H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E(x)) \neq (0)\}$  ( $b$  is positive because  $c_1(E(b))$  must be positive by Lemma (2.3)). Then  $E(a)$  is ample for any integer  $a \geq n - b^2 + b + 1$  (resp.  $m - b^2 + 1$ ).*

*Proof.* First we shall prove that  $M(E(b)) \geq 0$ . Let  $L$  be the tautological line bundle on  $\mathbf{P}(E(b))$ , then  $H^0(\mathbf{P}(E(b)), L) \cong H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E(b)) \neq (0)$ . Take a member  $D$  of the linear system  $|L|$ , then  $\text{Supp}(D)$  contains only a finite number of fibres of the projection  $\pi: \mathbf{P}(E(b)) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ . For if otherwise, there is an effective divisor  $C$  on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  such that  $D - \pi^{-1}(C) > 0$ , i.e.  $H^0(\mathbf{P}(E(b)), L \otimes \pi^*(O_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-C))) \neq (0)$ . Meanwhile this is isomorphic to  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E(b) \otimes O_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-C))$ . Thus by the definition of  $b, C$  must be linearly equivalent to zero, which is not the case. Hence for a generic line  $\ell$  on  $\mathbf{P}^2, D|_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)}$  is a section of the rational ruled surface  $\pi^{-1}(\ell) \cong \mathbf{P}(E(b)|_\ell)$ . On the otherhand, the self-intersection number  $(D|_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)}, D|_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)})_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)} = c_1(E(b)) > 0$ . Hence by Lemma (1.4),  $(\pi|_\ell)_*(O_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)}(D|_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)})) \cong E(b)|_\ell$  is generated by its global sections. This shows that  $M(E(b)) \geq 0$ .

The Chern classes of  $E(b - 1)$  are;

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(E(b - 1)) &= 2b - 3 && \text{(resp. } 2b - 2) \\ c_2(E(b - 1)) &= b^2 - 3b + 2 + n && \text{(resp. } b^2 - 2b + 1 + m) \end{aligned}$$

By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we obtain;

$$\chi(E(b - 1)) = b^2 - n \quad \text{(resp. } b^2 + b - m)$$

On the other hand  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, E(b-1)) = H^2(\mathbf{P}^2, E(b-1)) = (0)$ . Hence we have  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(b-1)) = n - b^2$  (resp.  $m - b^2 - b$ ).

Combining these results, by Proposition (2.2)  $E(b-1)(a')$  is ample for any integer  $a' \geq n - b^2 + 2$  (resp.  $m - b^2 - b + 2$ ), i.e.  $E(a)$  is ample for any integer  $a \geq n - b^2 + b + 1$  (resp.  $m - b^2 + 1$ ).

**COROLLARY (2.6)** *If  $m(E) = -n$  (resp.  $-m + 1$ ), then;*

- (1)  $M(E) \geq -1$ .
- (2)  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(a)) = n - 1 - a$  (resp.  $m - 2 - a$ ) for  $0 \leq a \leq n - 1$  (resp.  $0 \leq a \leq m - 2$ ).
- (3) *For an integer  $a$  the following conditions are equivalent to each other;*
  - i)  $E(a)$  is ample.
  - ii)  $a \geq n + 1$  (resp.  $m$ ).
  - iii)  $c_1(E(a)) \geq -(1/2)\Delta(E(a))$ .

*Proof.* (3) ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  iii).  $c_1(E(a)) = 2a - 1$  (resp.  $2a$ ) and  $\Delta(E(a)) = 1 - 4n$  (resp.  $-4m$ ). Hence  $c_1(E(a)) \geq -(1/2)\Delta(E(a))$  if and only if  $a \geq n + 1$  (resp.  $m$ ).

ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i).  $n + 1 \geq n - b^2 + b + 1$  (resp.  $m \geq m - b^2 + 1$ ) for any  $b \geq 1$ . Hence  $E(a)$  is ample by Lemma (2.5).

i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii). If  $E(a)$  is ample, then  $m(E(a)) = m(E) + a \geq 1$ . Hence  $a \geq -m(E) + 1 \geq n + 1$  (resp.  $m$ ).

(1) In the proof of (3),  $b$  must be equal to 1. Hence  $M(E(1)) \geq 0$  as we have shown in the proof of Lemma (2.5), i.e.  $M(E) \geq -1$ .

(2) By the assumption  $m(E) = -n$  (resp.  $-m + 1$ ) and (1), we have  $M(E(a)) \geq -1 > m(E(a))$  for  $0 \leq a \leq n - 2$  (resp.  $0 \leq a \leq m - 3$ ). Hence by Lemma (2.1), we obtain;

$$h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E) > h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) > \dots > h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(n-1)) \\ (\text{resp. } h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E) > h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(1)) > \dots > h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E(m-2))).$$

Since  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, E) = n - 1$  (resp.  $m - 2$ ), this shows the assertion.

In the proof of Corollary (2.6) (3), we did not use the assumption  $m(E) = -n$  (resp.  $m(E) = -m + 1$ ) to show iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i). Thus, we have proved the following;

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $E$  is a simple vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with  $c_1(E) \geq -(1/2)\Delta(E)$ , then  $E$  is ample.*

*Remark (1)* Theorem 1. is best possible in the following senses;



i) For any integer  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a simple vector bundle  $E$  in  $F(-1, n)$  such that  $m(E) = -n$ , i.e.  $E(a)$  is ample if and only if  $c_1(E(a)) \geq -(1/2)\Delta(E(a))$  (see Corollary (2.6) (3)).

ii) For any integers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , let  $F'(c_1, c_2)$  be the set of all vector bundles of rank 2 on  $P^2$  with its  $i$ -th Chern class being  $c_i$ , then  $\inf \{m(E); E \text{ in } F'(c_1, c_2)\} = -\infty$  i.e. for any integer  $a$ , there exists a vector bundle  $E$  in  $F'(c_1, c_2)$  such that  $m(E) < a$ . Hence we can not drop the hypothesis "simple".

For the construction of examples satisfying i) or ii), see [6] Theorem 4.6, Theorem 3.13.

*Remark (2)* If  $E$  is a simple vector bundle of rank 2 on  $P^2$  with  $c_1(E) \geq -(1/2)\Delta(E)$ , then  $E$  can be written in the form  $E' \otimes L$  where  $E'$  is generated by its global sections and  $L$  is a very ample line bundle, hence if  $k$  is the complex number field  $C$ ,  $E$  is positive in the sense of Griffiths [1].

**§ 3.  $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ -stable vector bundles on a rational ruled surface.**

For a non-negative integer  $n$ , let  $\Sigma_n$  be the rational ruled surface  $P(O_{P^1}(-n) \oplus O_{P^1})$ ,  $M$  a minimal section on  $\Sigma_n$  and  $N$  a fibre of the projection  $\pi_n: \Sigma_n \rightarrow P^1$ . Then every line bundle on  $\Sigma_n$  is isomorphic to  $O_{\Sigma_n}(aM + bN)$  for some integers  $a$  and  $b$ . We denote the line bundle  $O_{\Sigma_n}(aM + bN)$  by  $L_{a,b}$ .

LEMMA (3.1) (1)  $L_{a,b}$  is ample if and only if  $a$  is positive and  $b - na$  is positive.

(2)  $L_{a,b}$  is generated by its global sections if and only if  $a$  is non-negative and  $b - na$  is non-negative.

*Proof.* If  $L_{a,b}$  is ample, then the intersection numbers  $(L_{a,b}, N) = a$  and  $(L_{a,b}, M) = b - na$  are positive by the Nakai criterion. Conversely if  $a$  is positive and  $b - na$  is positive, then the self-intersection number  $(L_{a,b}, L_{a,b}) = -a^2n + 2ab > -a^2n + 2a^2n = a^2n \geq 0$ . Any curve  $C$  on  $\Sigma_n$  is linearly equivalent to  $a'M + b'N$  for some non-negative integers  $a'$  and  $b'$  such that  $(a', b') \neq (0, 0)$ . Hence the intersection number  $(L_{a,b}, C) = a'(L_{a,b}, M) + b'(L_{a,b}, N) = a'(-na + b) + b'a$  is positive. Therefore  $L_{a,b}$  is ample by the Nakai criterion.

(2) If  $L_{a,b}$  is generated by its global sections then the tensor product  $L_{a,b} \otimes L_{1, n+1} = L_{a+1, b+n+1}$  is ample since  $L_{1, n+1}$  is ample by (1). Hence

$a + 1$  is positive and  $-n(a + 1) + b + n + 1$  is positive i.e.  $a$  and  $b - na$  are non-negative. Conversely if  $a$  and  $b - na$  are non-negative, then  $L_{a,b}$  is generated by its global sections. In fact,  $L_{1,n}$  is generated by its global sections and  $L_{0,1}$  is so. Hence  $L_{a,b} = L_{1,n}^{\otimes a} \otimes L_{0,1}^{\otimes (b-na)}$  is generated by its global sections.

We denote the divisor  $\alpha(M + nN) + \beta N$  by  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ . Then the intersection numbers  $(H_{\alpha,\beta}, N)$  and  $(H_{\alpha,\beta}, M)$  are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively and Lemma (3.1) (1) is restated as follows;  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$  is ample if and only if  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ . We also denote  $H_{1,1} = M + (n + 1)N$  by  $H$ , then  $H$  is very ample and any irreducible member of the linear system  $|H|$  is isomorphic to the projective line  $P^1$ . Let  $E$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $\Sigma_n$  and  $\ell$  be an irreducible member of the linear system  $|H|$ , then the restriction  $E|_\ell$  of  $E$  to  $\ell$  is isomorphic to direct sum  $L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_r$  of line bundles  $L_i$ 's on  $\ell$ . We set;

$$\alpha_E(\ell) := \min \{ \deg L_i; 1 \leq i \leq r \}$$

and

$$M(E) = \max \{ \alpha_E(\ell); \ell \text{ is an irreducible member of } |H| \}$$

$$m(E) = \min \{ \alpha_E(\ell); \ell \text{ is an irreducible member of } |H| \}$$

If  $E$  is a vector bundle on  $\Sigma_n$  and  $D$  is a divisor on  $\Sigma_n$ , we put  $E(D) = E \otimes O_{\Sigma_n}(D)$ .

LEMMA (3.2) *Let  $E$  be a vector bundle on  $\Sigma_n$  then;*

- (1) *If  $M(E) \geq -n - 2$ , then  $h^1(\Sigma_n, E) \geq h^1(\Sigma_n, E(H))$ .*
- (2) *If  $M(E) \geq -n - 2 > m(E)$ , then  $h^1(\Sigma_n, E) > h^1(\Sigma_n, E(H))$ .*
- (3) *If  $m(E) \geq -n - 2$  and  $h^1(\Sigma_n, E) = h^1(\Sigma_n, E(H))$ , then  $E(H)$  is generated by its global sections.*

*Proof.* The self-intersection number  $(H, H)$  is  $n + 2$ , so the proof is similar to that of Lemma (2.1). Hence we omit it.

The following proposition can be proved as a corollary to Lemma (3.2) and the proof is similar to that of Proposition (2.2).

PROPOSITION (3.3) *If  $E$  is a vector bundle on  $\Sigma_n$  with  $M(E) \geq -n - 2$ , then  $E(aH)$  is ample for any integer  $a \geq h^1(\Sigma_n, E) + 2$ .*

For any integers  $a, b$  and  $c$ , we set;

$$F_n(a, b; c) := \{E; E \text{ is a simple vector bundle of rank 2 on } \Sigma_n \text{ with } c_1(E) = aM + bN \text{ and } c_2(E) = c\}$$

If  $L$  is a line bundle on  $\Sigma_n$ , we also set;

$$F_n(a, b; c)(L) := \{E \otimes L; E \text{ is in } F_n(a, b; c)\}$$

Then for any integers  $a, b$  and  $c$  there exists a line bundle  $L$  on  $\Sigma_n$  such that;

- (1) If  $a$  is even and  $b$  is even  
 $F_n(a, b; c)(L) = F_n(0, 0; r)$  where  $-4r = -a^2n + 2ab - 4c$ .
- (2) If  $a$  is even and  $b$  is odd  
 $F_n(a, b; c)(L) = F_n(0, -1; r)$  where  $-4r = -a^2n + 2ab - 4c$ .
- (3) If  $a$  is odd and  $b$  is even  
 $F_n(a, b; c)(L) = F_n(-1, 0; r)$  where  $-n - 4r = -a^2n + 2ab - 4c$ .
- (4) If  $a$  is odd and  $b$  is odd  
 $F_n(a, b; c)(L) = F_n(-1, -1; r)$  where  $-n + 2 - 4r = -a^2n + 2ab - 4c$ .

M. Maruyama ([6] Theorem 4.15) proved that;

- (1)  $F_n(0, 0; r)$  is not empty if and only if  $r \geq 2$ .
- (2)  $F_n(0, -1; r)$  is not empty if and only if  $r \geq 1$ .
- (3)  $F_n(-1, 0; r)$  is not empty if and only if  $r \geq 1$ .
- (4)  $F_n(-1, -1; r)$  is not empty if and only if  $r \geq 1$  when  $n \neq 0$ ,  $r \geq 2$  when  $n = 0$ .

LEMMA (3.4) *Let  $E$  be a simple vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\Sigma_n$  with  $c_1(E) = aM + bN$ , then*

- (1) *If  $a \leq 0$  and  $b \leq 0$ , then  $H^0(\Sigma_n, E) = (0)$ .*
- (2) *If  $a \geq -4$  and  $b \geq -2(n + 2)$ , then  $H^2(\Sigma_n, E) = (0)$ .*

*Proof.* The canonical line bundle on  $\Sigma_n$  is isomorphic to the line bundle  $L_{-2, -n-2}$ , so the proof is similar to that of Lemma (2.3).

We say that a set  $S$  of vector bundles on a  $k$ -scheme  $X$  is bounded if there exists an algebraic  $k$ -scheme  $T$  and a vector bundle  $V$  on  $T \times X$  such that each  $E$  in  $S$  is isomorphic to  $V_t = V|_{t \times X}$  for some closed point  $t$  in  $T$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *For any integers  $a, b$  and  $c, F_n(a, b; c)$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the theorem for  $-1 \leq a, b \leq 0$ .

We shall prove the theorem for  $F_n(0, 0; r)$  only, since the other cases are similar. By a theorem of Kleiman ([5] Theorem 1.13), it is sufficient to show that there are integers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that for any  $E$  in  $F_n(0, 0; r)$ , i)  $h^0(\Sigma_n, E) \leq m_1$  and ii)  $h^0(\ell, E|_\ell) \leq m_2$  for a generic member  $\ell$  of the linear system  $|H|$ . By Lemma (3.4),  $h^0(\Sigma_n, E) = 0$  for any  $E$  in  $F_n(0, 0; r)$ . We now show ii). The Riemann-Roch theorem asserts that for a vector bundle  $E'$  of rank 2 on  $\Sigma_n$ ,

$$\chi(E') = 2 + \frac{(2M + (n + 2)N, c_1(E'))}{2} + \frac{c_1(E')^2 - 2c_2(E')}{2}.$$

Applying this to  $E$  in  $F_n(0, 0; r)$ , we have  $\chi(E) = 2 - r$ . On the other hand, by Lemma (3.4),  $h^0(\Sigma_n, E) = h^2(\Sigma_n, E) = 0$ . Thus we obtain  $h^1(\Sigma_n, E) = r - 2$ . Let  $\ell$  be a generic member of the linear system  $|H|$ , then we have the following short exact sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow E(-H) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E|_\ell \longrightarrow 0$$

and the long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^1(\Sigma_n, E) \longrightarrow H^1(\ell, E|_\ell) \longrightarrow H^2(\Sigma_n, E(-H)) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Since  $c_1(E(-H)) = -2M - 2(n + 1)N$ ,  $h^2(\Sigma_n, E(-H)) = 0$  by Lemma (3.4). Hence we obtain;

$$h^1(\ell, E|_\ell) \leq r - 2.$$

On the other hand, by the Riemann-Roch theorem for a vector bundle of rank 2 on the projective line, we have;

$$h^0(\ell, E|_\ell) - h^1(\ell, E|_\ell) = 2 + \text{deg}(c_1(E|_\ell)) = 2.$$

Hence we obtain  $h^0(\ell, E|_\ell) \leq r$ .

**LEMMA (3.5)** *Let  $E$  be a simple vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\Sigma_n$  with  $c_1(E) = aM + bN$  such that  $-1 \leq a, b \leq 0$ . Put  $d = \min \{x; h^0(\Sigma_n, E(xH)) \neq 0\}$  ( $d$  is positive by Lemma (3.4)). If there exist integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $\alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq 1$  and  $1/2 \leq \beta/\alpha \leq n + 3$  if  $n \neq 0$ ,  $1/3 \leq \beta/\alpha \leq 3$  if  $n = 0$  such that  $E$  is  $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ -stable, then  $M(E(dH)) \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We shall prove the theorem for  $a = 0$  and  $b = 0$  only since the other cases are similar. Let  $X$  be the projective bundle  $P(E(dH))$  on  $\Sigma_n$ ,  $\pi: X \rightarrow \Sigma_n$  the projection and  $L$  the tautological line bundle on  $X$ . Let  $D'$  be a member of the linear system  $|L|$  on  $X$ , then  $D'$  can be

written in the form  $D' = D + \pi^{-1}(C)$  where  $D$  is an irreducible divisor on  $X$  and  $C$  is an effective divisor on  $\Sigma_n$  i.e.  $C$  is linearly equivalent to  $xM + yN$  ( $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ ). Put  $E' = \pi_*(O_X(D)) \cong E(dH - xM - yN)$ . Let  $\ell$  be a generic member of the linear system  $|H|$  on  $\Sigma_n$ , then  $D|_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)}$  is a section of the rational ruled surface  $\pi^{-1}(\ell)$  and the self-intersection number  $(D|_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)}, D|_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)})_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)} = (c_1(E(dH - xM - yN)), H) = 2d(n + 2) - 2(x + y)$ . If  $2d(n + 2) - 2(x + y) \geq 0$ , then  $\alpha_{E'}(\ell) \geq 0$  by Lemma (1.4). Hence  $\alpha_{E(dH)}(\ell) = \alpha_{E'}(\ell) + x + y \geq 0$ , therefore  $M(E(dH)) \geq 0$ . If  $2d(n + 2) - 2(x + y) < 0$ , then  $\alpha_{E'}(\ell) = 2d(n + 2) - 2(x + y)$  by Lemma (1.4). Hence  $\alpha_{E(dH)}(\ell) = 2d(n + 2) - (x + y)$ . We shall show that  $2d(n + 2) \geq x + y$ . Now assume that  $2d(n + 2) < x + y$ , then we shall show a contradiction. Since  $h^0(\Sigma_n, E') \neq 0$  and  $E'$  is  $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ -stable,  $(c_1(E'), H_{\alpha, \beta}) = 2\beta(d - x) + 2\alpha(d(n + 1) - y) > 0$  by Lemma (1.1), hence  $\beta d + \alpha d(n + 1) > \beta x + \alpha y$ . We shall consider two cases i)  $\beta \leq \alpha$  and ii)  $\beta \geq \alpha$  separately.

i) Assume that  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . If  $n \neq 0$ , then  $\beta d + \alpha d(n + 1) \leq \alpha d(n + 2)$  and  $\beta x + \alpha y \geq \beta(x + y)$ , hence  $\alpha d(n + 2) > \beta(x + y) > 2\beta d(n + 2)$ . This contradicts to  $1/2 \leq \beta/\alpha$ . If  $n = 0$ , then  $3\beta \geq \alpha$ . Hence  $\beta d + \alpha d \leq 4\beta d$  and  $\beta x + \alpha y \geq \beta(x + y) > 4\beta d$ , therefore  $4\beta d > 4\beta d$ . This is a contradiction.

ii) Assume that  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . Then  $\beta d + \alpha d(n + 1) \leq \alpha d(n + 3) + \alpha d(n + 1) = 2\alpha d(n + 2)$ , and  $\beta x + \alpha y \geq \alpha(x + y) > 2\alpha d(n + 2)$ . Hence  $2\alpha d(n + 2) > 2\alpha d(n + 2)$ , this is a contradiction.

For any integers  $a, b$  and  $c$ , we set;

$$F_n^0(a, b; c) := \{E \text{ in } F_n(a, b; c); E \text{ is } H_{\alpha, \beta}\text{-stable for some } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ with } 1/2 \leq \beta/\alpha \leq n + 3 \text{ if } n \neq 0, 1/3 \leq \beta/\alpha \leq 3 \text{ if } n = 0\}.$$

COROLLARY (3.6) (1) If  $E$  is in  $F_n^0(0, 0; r)$  then  $E(rH)$  is ample.

(2) If  $E$  is in  $F_n^0(0, -1; r)$  then  $E((r + 1)H)$  is ample.

(3) If  $E$  is in  $F_n^0(-1, 0; r)$  then  $E((r + 1)H)$  is ample.

(4) If  $E$  is in  $F_n^0(-1, -1; r)$  then  $E((r + 1)H)$  is ample.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Corollary (2.6), so we omit it.

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $E$  be a simple vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\Sigma_n$  with  $c_1(E) = aM + bN$ . Assume that  $E$  is  $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ -stable for some  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\beta \geq 1$  such that  $1/2 \leq \beta/\alpha \leq n + 3$  if  $n \neq 0, 1/3 \leq \beta/\alpha \leq 3$  if  $n = 0$ , then the intersection numbers  $(c_1(E), N) = a, (c_2(E), M) = b - na$  and;

(1) If  $a$  is even,  $b$  is even and  $a \geq 2r, b - na \geq 2r$  where  $-4r =$

$\Delta(E)$ , then  $E$  is ample.

(2) If  $a$  is even,  $b$  is odd and  $a \geq 2(r+1)$ ,  $b - na \geq 2(r+1) - 1$  where  $-4r = \Delta(E)$ , then  $E$  is ample.

(3) If  $a$  is odd,  $b$  is even and  $a \geq 2(r+1) - 1$ ,  $b - na \geq 2(r+1) + n$  where  $-n - 4r = \Delta(E)$ , then  $E$  is ample.

(4) If  $a$  is odd,  $b$  is odd and  $a \geq 2(r+1) - 1$ ,  $b - na \geq 2(r+1) + n - 1$  where  $-n + 2 - 4r = \Delta(E)$ , then  $E$  is ample.

*Proof.* We shall prove the case (1) only since the other cases are similar. Let  $E$  be an  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ -stable vector bundle of rank 2 which satisfies the conditions of (1), then  $E$  is written in the form  $E'(rH) \otimes L_{a',b'}$  where  $E'$  is in  $F_n^0(0,0;r)$  and  $a' = a/2 - r$ ,  $b' = b/2 - r(n+1)$ .  $E'(rH)$  is ample by Corollary (3.6) and  $L_{a',b'}$  is generated by its global sections by Lemma (3.1) because  $a' = a/2 - r \geq 0$  and  $b' - na' = b/2 - r(n+1) - n(a/2 - r) = 1/2(b - na - 2r) \geq 0$ , therefore  $E = E'(rH) \otimes L_{a',b'}$  is ample.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] Griffiths, P., Hermitian differential geometry, Chern classes, and positive vector bundles, Global Analysis, papers in honor of K. Kodaira, Univ. of Tokyo press (1969) 185–251.
- [ 2 ] Grothendieck, A., Sur la classification des fibrés holomorphes sur la sphère de Riemann, Amer. J. Math., **79** (1957) 121–138.
- [ 3 ] Hartshorne, R., Ample vector bundles on curves, Nagoya Math. J., **43** (1971) 73–89.
- [ 4 ] ———, Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties, Lecture notes in Math., Springer, **156** (1970).
- [ 5 ] Kleiman, S., Les theoremes de finitude pour le foncteur de Picard, SGA 6, exposé 13.
- [ 6 ] Maruyama, M., On a family of algebraic vector bundles, Number Theory, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra, in honor of Y. Akizuki, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, (1973) 95–146.
- [ 7 ] Schwarzenberger, R. E. L., Vector bundles on algebraic surfaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **11** (1961) 601–622.
- [ 8 ] Takemoto, F., Stable vector bundles on algebraic surfaces, Nagoya Math. J., **47** (1972) 29–48.
- [ 9 ] Umemura, H., Some results in the theory of vector bundles, Nagoya Math. J., **52** (1973) 97–128.

*Nagoya University*