

# ON SIMPLE ALTERNATIVE RINGS

A. A. ALBERT

**1. Introduction.** The only known simple alternative rings which are not associative are the *Cayley algebras*. Every such algebra has a scalar extension which is isomorphic over its center  $\mathbf{F}$  to the algebra  $\mathbf{C} = e_{11}\mathbf{F} + e_{00}\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{C}_{10} + \mathbf{C}_{01}$ , where  $\mathbf{C}_{ij} = e_{ij}\mathbf{F} + f_{ij}\mathbf{F} + g_{ij}\mathbf{F}$  ( $i, j = 0, 1; i \neq j$ ). The elements  $e_{11}$  and  $e_{00}$  are orthogonal idempotents and  $e_{ii}x_{ij} = x_{ij}e_{jj} = x_{ij}$ ,  $e_{jj}x_{ij} = x_{ij}e_{ii} = 0$ ,  $x_{ij}^2 = 0$  for every  $x_{ij}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_{ij}$ . The multiplication table of  $\mathbf{C}$  is then completed by the relations<sup>1</sup>

- (1)  $f_{10}g_{10} = e_{01}, g_{10}e_{10} = f_{01}, e_{10}f_{10} = g_{01},$
- (2)  $g_{01}f_{01} = e_{10}, e_{01}g_{01} = f_{10}, f_{01}e_{01} = g_{10},$
- (3)  $e_{ij}e_{ji} = f_{ij}f_{ji} = g_{ij}e_{ji} = e_{ii},$
- (4)  $e_{ii}f_{ji} = e_{ii}g_{ji} = f_{ij}e_{ji} = f_{ij}g_{ji} = g_{ij}e_{ij} = g_{ij}f_{ij} = 0.$

R. H. Bruck and E. Kleinfeld have recently shown<sup>2</sup> that *every alternative division ring of characteristic not two is either associative or a Cayley algebra*. Their methods do not seem to be readily applicable to the simple case but we shall use the machinery of idempotents to prove the following result.

**THEOREM.** *Every simple alternative ring which contains an idempotent not its unity quantity is either associative or is the Cayley algebra  $\mathbf{C}$ .*

**2. Elementary properties.** Our results are based on properties which were given by Zorn.<sup>1</sup> He assumed that the characteristic was not 2 or 3 and did not give complete details of his computations. As we shall make no assumption about the characteristic of our rings it will be necessary for us to re-derive the properties of Zorn and so make our exposition quite self-contained.

We first note that an alternative ring  $\mathbf{C}$  is a mathematical system having the usual properties of associative rings except that the associative law for products is replaced by the identities  $x(xy) = (xx)y$ ,  $(yx)x = y(xx)$ . It is easy to see that the *associator*

$$(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$$

is an alternating function of its arguments  $x, y, z$ , a result which implies that

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} z(xy + yx) &= (zx)y + (zy)x, & (xy + yx)z &= x(yz) + y(xz), \\ z(xy) + y(xz) &= (zx)y + (yx)z, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x, y, z$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ . We shall assume henceforth that  $\mathbf{C}$  contains an idempotent  $u$  not the unity quantity of  $\mathbf{C}$ .

Received January 18, 1951.

<sup>1</sup>The multiplication table of a Cayley algebra was given in this form by M. Zorn, *Theorie der alternativen Ringe*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburgischen Univ., vol. 8 (1930), 123-147.

<sup>2</sup>The structure of alternative division rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 2 (1951), 878-890.

The ring  $\mathbf{C}$  may be expressed as the module direct sum  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}_{11} + \mathbf{C}_{10} + \mathbf{C}_{01} + \mathbf{C}_{00}$  of its submodules  $\mathbf{C}_{ij}$  where  $\mathbf{C}_{ij}$  consists of all  $x_{ij}$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $ux_{ij} = ix_{ij}$ ,  $x_{ij}u = jx_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 0, 1$ ). Indeed if  $x = x_{11} + x_{10} + x_{01} + x_{00}$  then  $x_{11} = u(xu)$ ,  $x_{10} = ux - u(xu)$ ,  $x_{01} = xu - u(xu)$ ,  $x_{00} = x - xu - ux - u(xu)$ . This decomposition is precisely that of the associative case and needs no additional argument. However the multiplicative properties of the modules  $\mathbf{C}_{ij}$  need to be derived. We proceed as follows:

Let  $ux = \lambda x$ ,  $xu = \mu x$ ,  $uy = \alpha y$ ,  $yu = \beta y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, u) &= (xy)u - x(yu) = (xy)u - \beta xy = -(y, x, u) = y(xu) - (yx)u \\ &= \mu yx - (yx)u = -(x, u, y) = x(uy) - (xu)y = (\alpha - \mu)xy = (y, u, x) \\ &= (yu)x - y(ux) = (\beta - \lambda)yx = (u, x, y) = (ux)y - u(xy) \\ &= \lambda xy - u(xy) = -(u, y, x) = u(yx) - (uy)x = u(yx) - \alpha yx. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain the identities

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & (xy)u = (\alpha + \beta - \mu)xy, \quad u(xy) = (\lambda + \mu - \alpha)xy, \\ (7) \quad & (yx)u = (\lambda + \mu - \beta)yx, \quad u(yx) = (\alpha + \beta - \lambda)yx, \\ (8) \quad & (\alpha - \mu)xy = (\beta - \lambda)yx, \end{aligned}$$

where (7) is obviously derivable from (6) by the interchange of  $x$  and  $y$  and the consequent interchanges of  $\lambda, \mu$  with  $\alpha, \beta$ . If  $\lambda = \mu = \alpha = \beta = 1$  we have  $(xy)u = u(xy) = xy$  and so  $\mathbf{C}_{11}$  is a subring of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Similarly the values  $\lambda = \mu = \alpha = \beta = 0$  yield  $u(xy) = (xy)u = 0$  and so  $\mathbf{C}_{00}$  is a subring of  $\mathbf{C}$ . We now put  $\lambda = \mu = 1$  and  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  to obtain  $xy = yx$ ,  $(xy)u = -xy$ ,  $(yx)u = 2yx$ , and so  $(xy)u = 2xy$ ,  $3xy = 0$ . But  $[(xy)u]u = -(xy)u = xy = (xy)u^2 = (xy)u = -xy$  and  $2xy = 0$ ,  $xy = 0$ . This proves<sup>3</sup> that  $\mathbf{C}_{11}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{00}$  are orthogonal subrings of  $\mathbf{C}$ .

We next put  $\lambda = \mu = 1 = \alpha$  and  $\beta = 0$ . Then  $(xy)u = 0$  and  $u(xy) = xy$ ,  $yx = 0$ , and so  $\mathbf{C}_{11}\mathbf{C}_{10} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{10}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{10}\mathbf{C}_{11} = 0$ . By symmetry  $\mathbf{C}_{01}\mathbf{C}_{11} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{01}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{11}\mathbf{C}_{01} = 0$ . Similarly, the values  $\lambda = \mu = \beta = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$  yield  $xy = 0$ ,  $u(yx) = yx$ ,  $(yx)u = 0$ , and so  $\mathbf{C}_{00}\mathbf{C}_{10} = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{10}\mathbf{C}_{00} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{10}$ , and  $\mathbf{C}_{01}\mathbf{C}_{00} = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{00}\mathbf{C}_{01} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{01}$  by symmetry. The relations  $\mathbf{C}_{10}\mathbf{C}_{01} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{11}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{01}\mathbf{C}_{10} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{00}$  follow from (6), (7) by taking  $\lambda = \beta = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \mu = 0$ .

The properties derived so far for the component modules  $\mathbf{C}_{ij}$  are properties satisfied by all associative rings. In the associative case  $\mathbf{C}_{10}^2 = \mathbf{C}_{01}^2 = 0$ . However, this last result need not hold in the alternative case, and we now put  $\alpha = \lambda = 1$ ,  $\mu = \beta = 0$  and obtain  $(xy)u = xy$ ,  $u(xy) = 0$ ,  $xy = -yx$ . Thus we have the property

$$(9) \quad x_{10}y_{10} = -y_{10}x_{10} = z_{01},$$

for every  $x_{10}$  and  $y_{10}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_{10}$ , where  $z_{01}$  is in  $\mathbf{C}_{01}$ . Similarly

$$(10) \quad x_{01}y_{01} = -y_{01}x_{01} = z_{10}.$$

<sup>3</sup>This seems to be one of the few places in our development where an assumption about the characteristic would make any difference.

Now  $x_{1_0}{}^2 = (ux_{1_0})x_{1_0} = ux_{1_0}{}^2 = uz_{0_1} = 0$ , and by symmetry we have the relation

$$(11) \quad x_{ij}{}^2 = 0 \quad (i, j = 0, 1; i \neq j).$$

Zorn also gave the following result:

LEMMA 1. *Let  $x, y, z$  be elements of the component modules of  $\mathbf{C}$  not all in the same subring  $\mathbf{C}_{ii}$ . Then  $(x, y, z) = 0$  except possibly when at least two of the elements are in the same module  $\mathbf{C}_{ii}$  ( $i \neq j$ ).*

We also have the identities

$$(12) \quad z_{jj}(x_{ii}y_{ii}) = (x_{ij}z_{ji})y_{ii} = x_{ij}(y_{ij}z_{ji}),$$

$$(13) \quad (x_{ii}y_{ii})z_{ii} = (z_{ii}x_{ii})y_{ii} = x_{ii}(z_{ii}y_{ii}),$$

$$(14) \quad x_{ij}(y_{ij}z_{ji}) = z_{ji}(x_{ij}y_{ii}) = (z_{ji}x_{ii})y_{ii},$$

$$(15) \quad x_{ij}(y_{ij}z_{ji}) = z_{ji}(x_{ij}y_{ii}) = y_{ij}(z_{ii}x_{ii}),$$

$$(16) \quad (x_{ij}y_{ii})z_{ji} = (z_{ij}x_{ii})y_{ii} = (y_{ij}z_{ji})x_{ij}.$$

We use (5) to write

$$z_{ji}(x_{ii}y_{ii}) - x_{ii}(y_{ij}z_{ji}) = z_{ji}(x_{ij}y_{ii}) + x_{ii}(z_{ji}y_{ii}) = (z_{ji}x_{ii} + x_{ij}z_{ji})y_{ii} = (z_{ji}x_{ii})y_{ii}$$

since  $(x_{ij}z_{ji})y_{ii} = 0$ . This proves (14). Also

$$(x_{ij}y_{ii})z_{ii} + (z_{ii}y_{ii})x_{ij} = x_{ij}(y_{ij}z_{ii}) + z_{ii}(y_{ii}x_{ii}) = 0$$

and so  $(x_{ij}y_{ii})z_{ii} = - (z_{ii}y_{ii})x_{ij} = x_{ij}(z_{ii}y_{ii})$ . Interchange  $x$  and  $y$  to obtain  $(y_{ij}x_{ii})z_{ii} = - (z_{ii}x_{ii})y_{ij} = - (x_{ij}y_{ii})z_{ii}$  and we have proved (13). Formula (12) follows by symmetry. Now  $(x_{ii}, y_{ii}, z_{ji}) = 0$  trivially,

$$(x_{ii}, y_{ii}, z_{ji}) = - (x_{ii}, z_{ji}, y_{ii}) = x_{ii}(z_{ij}y_{ii}) - (x_{ii}z_{ji})y_{ii} = 0,$$

$$(x_{ii}, y_{ij}, z_{ji}) = - (y_{ij}, x_{ii}, z_{ji}) = y_{ij}(x_{ii}z_{ji}) - (y_{ij}x_{ii})z_{ji} = 0,$$

$$(x_{ii}, y_{ij}, z_{ji}) = - (y_{ij}, x_{ii}, z_{ji}) = y_{ij}(x_{ii}z_{ji}) - (y_{ij}x_{ii})z_{ji} = 0.$$

The remaining properties of the associator follow by symmetry. Formula (15) states that the factors in  $x_{ij}(y_{ij}z_{ji})$  may be permuted cyclically. To prove this result we use the final relation in (5) to write

$$z_{ii}(x_{ii}y_{ii}) + y_{ii}(x_{ii}z_{ii}) = (z_{ii}x_{ii})y_{ii} + (y_{ii}x_{ii})z_{ii}.$$

The left member is in  $\mathbf{C}_{ii}\mathbf{C}_{ii}{}^2 \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{ii}\mathbf{C}_{ii} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{ii}$  and the right member is in  $\mathbf{C}_{ii}{}^2\mathbf{C}_{ii} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{ii}\mathbf{C}_{ii} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{ii}$ . Since  $i \neq j$  both members vanish and we have

$$- y_{ij}(x_{ii}z_{ii}) = y_{ij}(z_{ii}x_{ii}), \quad (z_{ij}x_{ii})y_{ii} = - (y_{ij}x_{ii})z_{ii} = (x_{ii}y_{ii})z_{ii}$$

from which we have both (15) and (16).

COROLLARY. *The ring  $\mathbf{C}$  is associative if and only if both  $\mathbf{C}_{11}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{00}$  are associative and  $\mathbf{C}_{1_0}{}^2 = \mathbf{C}_{0_1}{}^2 = 0$ .*

**3. Construction of ideals.** We first consider the product  $p_{ii} = x_{ii}(y_{ii}z_{ii})$  which is an element of  $C_{ij}C_{ij}^2 \subseteq C_{ii}$  and let  $a_{ii}$  be any element of  $C_{ii}$ . Then  $a_{ii}p_{ii} = (a_{ii}x_{ii})(y_{ii}z_{ii})$  by Lemma 1. But then (15) and (13) imply that

$$a_{ii}p_{ii} = z_{ii}[(a_{ii}x_{ii})y_{ii}] = z_{ii}[(x_{ii}y_{ii})a_{ii}] = [z_{ii}(x_{ii}y_{ii})]a_{ii} = p_{ii}a_{ii}$$

for every  $a_{ii}$  of  $C_{ii}$  and  $p_{ii}$  of  $C_{ij}C_{ij}^2$ ,  $C_{ii}(C_{ij}C_{ij}^2) = (C_{ij}C_{ij}^2)C_{ii} \subseteq C_{ij}C_{ij}^2$ . If  $b_{ii}$  is in  $C_{ii}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} b_{ii}(a_{ii}p_{ii}) &= b_{ii}[(a_{ii}x_{ii})(y_{ii}z_{ii})] = [b_{ii}(a_{ii}x_{ii})](y_{ii}z_{ii}) \\ &= [(b_{ii}a_{ii})x_{ii}](y_{ii}z_{ii}) = (b_{ii}a_{ii})p_{ii}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $C_{ij}C_{ij}^2$  is contained in the centre of  $C_{ii}$ . By symmetry we have the following result:

LEMMA 2. *The modules  $C_{ij}C_{ij}^2$  and  $C_{ij}^2C_{ii}$  are ideals of  $C_{ii}$  which are contained in the centre of  $C_{ii}$  ( $i, j = 0, 1; i \neq j$ ).*

We next prove the following result:

LEMMA 3. *Let  $B_i$  be an ideal of  $C_{ii}$ . Then*

$$(17) \quad D_i = B_i + B_iC_{ij} + C_{ij}B_i + (C_{ij}B_i)C_{ij} \quad (i, j = 0, 1; i \neq j)$$

is an ideal of  $C$ .

We have  $(C_{ij}B_i)C_{ij} = C_{ij}(B_iC_{ij})$  by Lemma 1. We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ii}D_i &= C_{ii}B_i + (C_{ij}B_i)C_{ij} \subseteq D_i, \quad D_iC_{ii} = B_iC_{ii} + C_{ij}(B_iC_{ii}) \subseteq D_i, \\ C_{ij}D_i &= C_{ij}(C_{ij}B_i) + C_{ij}[(C_{ij}B_i)C_{ij}] \subseteq C_{ij}B_i + [C_{ij}(C_{ij}B_i)]C_{ij} + (C_{ij}B_i)(C_{ij}^2) \end{aligned}$$

by (14). Then  $C_{ij}D_i \subseteq B_i + B_iC_{ij} + (C_{ij}B_i)C_{ij} \subseteq D_i + B_iC_{ij} + B_iC_{ij}^2 \subseteq D_i$  since  $C_{ij}^2 \subseteq C_{ij}$ . Also

$$D_iC_{ij} = B_iC_{ij} + (B_iC_{ij})C_{ij} + (C_{ij}B_i)C_{ij} \subseteq B_iC_{ij} + C_{ij}^2B_i + C_{ij}(B_iC_{ij}) \subseteq D_i.$$

If we pass to a ring anti-isomorphic to  $C$  the module  $D_i$  is unchanged but  $C_{ij}$  is replaced by  $C_{ji}$ . Hence  $C_{ji}D_i \subseteq D_i$ ,  $D_iC_{ji} \subseteq D_i$ . Finally

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ji}D_i &= C_{ji}(C_{ij}B_i) + C_{ji}[(C_{ij}B_i)C_{ij}] \\ &= (C_{ji}C_{ij})B_i + [C_{ji}(C_{ij}B_i)]C_{ij} \subseteq C_{ji}B_i + (C_{ij}B_i)C_{ij} \subseteq D_i, \end{aligned}$$

and  $D_iC_{ji} = B_i(C_{ij}C_{ji}) + (C_{ij}B_i)(C_{ij}C_{ji}) \subseteq D_i$ . This completes our proof.

LEMMA 4. *Let*

$$C_{10}^2C_{10} = C_{10}C_{10}^2 = C_{01}^2C_{01} = C_{01}C_{01}^2 = 0.$$

Then  $G = C_{10}^2 + C_{01}^2$  is a proper ideal of  $C$ .

We have

$$C_{ii}G = C_{ii}C_{ij}^2 = (C_{ij}C_{ii})C_{ij} \subseteq C_{ij}^2 \subseteq G, \quad GC_{ii} = C_{ij}^2C_{ii} = C_{ij}(C_{ii}C_{ij}) \subseteq G.$$

Also  $C_{ij}G = C_{ij}C_{ij}^2 \subseteq C_{ij}^2 \subseteq G$ ,  $GC_{ij} \subseteq C_{ij}^2C_{ij} \subseteq C_{ij}^2 \subseteq G$ , as desired. Now  $C_{11} \neq 0$ ,  $C_{11}$  is not contained in  $G$ , and  $G$  is a proper ideal of  $C$ .

The constructions just given are sufficient for our needs and we proceed now to the simple case.

**4. Simple rings.** Lemma 1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ii}[y_{ii}(z_{ij}w_{ji})] &= x_{ii}[(y_{ii}z_{ij})w_{ji}] = [x_{ii}(y_{ii}z_{ij})]w_{ji} \\ &= [(x_{ii}y_{ii})z_{ij}]w_{ji} = (x_{ii}y_{ii})(z_{ij}w_{ji}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_{ii}(z_{ij}w_{ji}) = (x_{ii}z_{ij})w_{ji}$  and  $(z_{ij}w_{ji})x_{ii} = z_{ij}(w_{ji}x_{ii})$  we see that  $C_{ij}C_{ji}$  is an associative ideal of  $C_{ii}$ . It follows immediately that  $B = C_{10}C_{01} + C_{10} + C_{01} + C_{01}C_{10}$  is an ideal of  $C$ . If  $C$  is simple and  $B = 0$  then  $C_{00}$  is a proper ideal of  $C$ , and  $C = C_{11}$  has  $u$  as unity quantity contrary to hypothesis. Hence  $B = C$ ,  $C_{ij}C_{ji} = C_{ii}$  is associative. If  $B_i$  were a non-zero proper ideal of  $C_{ii}$  the ideal  $D_i$  of Lemma 3 would be a non-zero proper ideal of  $C$ . Thus we have

LEMMA 5. *Let  $C$  be simple. Then  $C_{11}$  is a simple associative ring and  $C_{00}$  is either zero or a simple associative ring.*

When  $C$  is simple the set  $G$  of Lemma 4 cannot be a proper ideal of  $C$ . Hence  $C$  is either associative or  $G = C_{10}^2 + C_{01}^2 \neq 0$ , one of the modules  $C_{10}C_{10}^2$ ,  $C_{01}^2C_{01}$ ,  $C_{10}^2C_{10}$ ,  $C_{01}C_{01}^2$  must not be zero. Let  $C_{ij}C_{ij}^2 \neq 0$ . By Lemma 2 we know that  $B_i = C_{ij}C_{ij}^2$  is a non-zero ideal of  $C_{ii}$ , by Lemma 5 that  $B_i = C_{ii}$ ,  $C_{ii}$  coincides with its centre and must be a field. If  $a_i = x_{ij}h_{ji} \neq 0$  where  $x_{ij}$  is in  $C_{ij}$  and  $y_{ji}$  is in  $C_{ij}^2$  then

$$a_i^2 = a_i(x_{ij}y_{ji}) = (a_ix_{ij})y_{ji} = [x_{ij}(y_{ji}x_{ij})]y_{ji} \neq 0$$

and so  $y_{ji}x_{ij} \neq 0$ ,  $C_{ij}^2C_{ij} \neq 0$ . The converse is obvious and so  $C_{ij}C_{ij}^2 \neq 0$  if and only if  $C_{ij}^2C_{ij} \neq 0$ . It follows that both  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{00}$  are fields. Moreover, since we may pass to an anti-isomorphic ring if necessary, we may assume that  $C_{10}C_{10}^2 \neq 0$ . We now prove

LEMMA 6. *The rings  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{00}$  are isomorphic fields with unity quantities  $u = e_{11}$  and  $e_{00}$  respectively,  $e = e_{11} + e_{00}$  is the unity quantity of  $C$ ,  $e_{11} = e_{10}e_{01}$ ,  $e_{00} = e_{01}e_{10}$  for quantities  $e_{ij}$  in  $C_{ij}$  such that  $e_{01} = f_{10}g_{10}$  and  $f_{10}, g_{10}$  are in  $C_{10}$ .*

We select  $f_{11}$  and  $g_{10}$  so that  $x_{10}e_{01} = a_1 \neq 0$  in the field  $C_{11}$ . Then  $a_1$  has an inverse  $b_1$  in  $C_{11}$  and  $b_1(x_{10}e_{01}) = e_{11} = (b_1x_{10})e_{01} = e_{10}e_{01}$ . Thus

$$e_{11}^2 = e_{11}(e_{10}e_{01}) = (e_{11}e_{10})e_{01} = [e_{10}(e_{01}e_{10})]e_{01} = e_{11}$$

and so  $e_{01}e_{10} = e_{00} \neq 0$ . But

$$e_{00}^2 = (e_{00}e_{01})e_{10} = [(e_{01}e_{10})e_{01}]e_{10} = (e_{01}e_{11})e_{10} = e_{01}e_{10} = e_{00}$$

is an idempotent of  $C_{00}$  and must be its unity quantity.

We now use Lemma 3 with  $B_i = C_{ii} \neq 0$  and see that  $C_{11}C_{10} = C_{10}C_{00} = C_{10}$ ,  $C_{01}C_{11} = C_{00}C_{01} = C_{01}$ . The fact that  $C_{01} = C_{00}C_{01}$  implies that  $e_{00}x_{01} = x_{01}$

for every  $x_{0i}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_{0i}$ . Similarly  $x_{10}e_{00} = x_{10}$  for every  $x_{10}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_{10}$ . It is now trivial to see that  $e = e_{11} + e_{00}$  is the unity quantity of  $\mathbf{C}$ .

The mapping

$$x_{11} \rightarrow x_{11}T = e_{01}(x_{11}e_{10}) = (e_{01}x_{11})e_{10}$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{C}_{11}$  onto  $\mathbf{C}_{00}$  such that

$$y_{10}(x_{11}T) = x_{11}y_{10}, \quad (x_{11}T)z_{01} = z_{01}x_{11}$$

for every  $x_{11}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_{11}$ ,  $y_{10}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_{10}$  and  $z_{01}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_{01}$ . Indeed we compute

$$\begin{aligned} y_{10}[e_{01}(x_{11}e_{10})] &= (y_{10}e_{01})(x_{11}e_{10}) + [y_{10}(x_{11}e_{10})]e_{01} = x_{11}[(y_{10}e_{01})e_{10} + (y_{10}e_{10})e_{01}] \\ &= x_{11}[y_{10}(e_{01}e_{10} + e_{10}e_{01})] = x_{11}y_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $w_{01}x_{11} = (x_{11}T)w_{01}$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{11}T)(y_{11}T) &= [e_{01}(x_{11}e_{10})](y_{11}T) = e_{01}[(x_{11}e_{10})(y_{11}T)] \\ &= e_{01}[y_{11}(x_{11}e_{10})] = e_{01}[(x_{11}y_{11})e_{10}] = (x_{11}y_{11})T. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{C}_{11}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{00}$  are fields, this proves that  $T$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{C}_{11}$  onto  $\mathbf{C}_{00}$ . Actually  $T$  has an inverse given by  $x_{11} = e_{10}(x_{00}e_{01}) = e_{10}y_{01}$  since then

$$x_{11}T = [e_{01}(e_{10}y_{01})]e_{10} = [(e_{01}e_{10})y_{01} + (e_{01}y_{01})e_{10}]e_{10} = (x_{00}e_{01})e_{10} = x_{00},$$

a result following from  $(z_{10}e_{10})e_{10} = z_{10}e_{10}^2 = 0$  and

$$(x_{00}e_{01})e_{10} + (x_{00}e_{10})e_{01} = (x_{00}e_{01})e_{10} = x_{00}e_{00} = x_{00}.$$

We now show that the set  $\mathbf{Z}$  of all elements  $z = z_{11} + z_{11}T$  is contained<sup>4</sup> in the centre of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Indeed  $zy_{ii} = y_{ii}z$  for every  $y_{ii}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_{ii}$  trivially. Also

$$zy_{10} = z_{11}y_{10} = y_{10}(z_{11}T) = y_{10}z, \quad zy = yz$$

for every  $y$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{C}_{11} + \mathbf{C}_{00}$  we know that the associators  $(z, x, y)$  with  $x$  and  $y$  in components  $\mathbf{C}_{ij}$  are zero unless possibly when  $x = x_{ij}$  and  $y = y_{ij}$  are in the same  $\mathbf{C}_{ij}$  ( $i \neq j$ ). But

$$\begin{aligned} [(z_{11} + z_{11}T)x_{10}]y_{10} &= (z_{11}x_{10})y_{10}, \\ (z_{11} + z_{11}T)(x_{10}y_{10}) &= (z_{11}T)(x_{10}y_{10}) = [x_{10}(z_{11}T)]y_{10} = (z_{11}x_{10})y_{10} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

By our construction,  $\mathbf{C}_{11} = e_{11}\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{00} = e_{00}\mathbf{Z}$  are one-dimensional algebras over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . We also note that since  $e_{10}e_{01} = e_{10}(f_{10}g_{10}) = e_{11}$  we may use (15) to obtain  $g_{10}(e_{10}f_{10}) = f_{10}(g_{10}e_{10}) = e_{11}$ . Put

$$e_{10}f_{10} = g_{01}, \quad g_{10}e_{10} = f_{01}$$

and obtain (1). Then

$$g_{01}g_{10} = (e_{10}f_{10})g_{10} = (f_{10}g_{10})e_{10} = e_{00} = (g_{10}e_{10})f_{10} = f_{01}f_{10}$$

and we have (3). Now  $e_{10}g_{01} = e_{10}(e_{10}f_{10}) = 0$ ,  $e_{10}f_{01} = e_{10}(g_{10}e_{10}) = -e_{10}(e_{10}g_{10}) = 0$  since  $e_{10}^2 = 0$ . Similarly

<sup>4</sup>If  $\mathbf{C}$  has characteristic not two or three the property  $zy = yz$  implies that  $(z, x, y) = 0$ . However our proof is so arranged that  $(z, x, y) = 0$  is quite trivial.

$$f_{1_0}g_{0_1} = f_{1_0}e_{0_1} = g_{1_0}e_{0_1} = g_{1_0}f_{0_1} = 0,$$

$$g_{0_1}e_{1_0} = f_{0_1}e_{1_0} = g_{0_1}f_{1_0} = e_{0_1}f_{1_0} = e_{0_1}g_{1_0} = f_{0_1}g_{1_0} = 0$$

and we have completed a proof which shows that (4) holds. The computation

$$e_{0_1}(g_{1_0}e_{1_0}) + g_{1_0}(e_{0_1}e_{1_0}) = e_{0_1}f_{0_1} + g_{1_0} = (e_{0_1}g_{1_0} + g_{1_0}e_{0_1})e_{1_0} = 0$$

yields  $g_{1_0} = f_{0_1}e_{0_1}$ . The remaining formulae of (2) are derived similarly.

We have now shown that **C** contains an algebra **D** over **Z** with the multiplication table given by (1)-(4). It remains only to show that  $e_{ij}, f_{ij}, g_{ij}$  are linearly independent over **F** and that these elements form a basis of **C**<sub>*ij*</sub> over **Z** in order to prove that **D** is the eight-dimensional Cayley algebra over **Z** and that **C** = **D**.

**LEMMA 7.** *Let  $h_{ij}h_{ji} = e_{ij}$  so that  $h_{ji}h_{ij} = e_{ji}$ . Then  $x_{ij}h_{ij} = 0$  if and only if  $x_{ij} = ah_{ij}$  for  $a$  in **Z**.*

We have  $x_{ij}(e_{ii} + e_{jj}) = x_{ij} = (x_{ij}h_{ij})h_{ji} + (x_{ij}h_{ji})h_{ij}$ . If  $x_{ij}h_{ij} = 0$  then  $x_{ij} = ah_{ij}$  with  $x_{ij}h_{ji} = ae_{ii}$  and  $a$  in **Z**. The converse follows from  $h_{ij}^2 = 0$ .

**LEMMA 8.** *Let  $x_{ij}e_{ij} = x_{ij}f_{ij} = x_{ij}g_{ij} = 0$ . Then  $x_{ij} = 0$ .*

If  $x_{ij}h_{ij} = ae_{ii}$  and  $h_{ji}x_{ij} = \beta e_{jj}$  then

$$h_{ji}(x_{ij}h_{ij}) = ah_{ji} = (h_{ji}x_{ij})h_{ij} = \beta h_{ji}.$$

If  $h_{ji} \neq 0$  then  $a = \beta$ . Now  $x_{ij}e_{ij} = \pm x_{ij}(f_{ji}g_{ji})$  by (1) and (2) and so

$$x_{ij}e_{ij} = \pm [g_{ji}(x_{ij}f_{ji}) - (g_{ji}x_{ij})f_{ji}]$$

by (14). It follows that  $x_{ij}e_{ij} = 0$  and that  $x_{ij} = ae_{ii}$ . Similarly  $x_{ij} = \beta f_{ij}$ . But if  $a \neq 0$  we have

$$ae_{ii}f_{ij} = \pm ag_{ji} = \beta f_{ij}^2 = 0$$

contrary to hypothesis. Hence  $a = 0, x_{ij} = 0$ .

It is evident that the proof above implies that  $f_{ij} \neq ae_{ij}$  for  $a$  in **Z**. If  $g_{ij} = ae_{ij} + \beta f_{ij}$  then

$$g_{ij}e_{ii} = \pm f_{ij} = \beta f_{ij}e_{ii} = \pm \beta g_{ij}$$

which has been shown to be impossible. We have shown that **D** is an eight-dimensional algebra.

We now let  $x_{ij}e_{ij} = ae_{ii}, x_{ij}f_{ij} = \beta e_{ii}, x_{ij}g_{ij} = \gamma e_{ii}$  for  $a, \beta, \gamma$  in **Z**. Then  $y_{ij} = x_{ij} - (ae_{ii} + \beta f_{ij} + \gamma g_{ij})$  has the property that

$$y_{ij}e_{ij} = (a - a)e_{ii} = 0,$$

$$y_{ij}f_{ij} = (\beta - \beta)e_{ii} = 0,$$

$$y_{ij}g_{ij} = (\gamma - \gamma)e_{ii} = 0$$

and so  $y_{ij} = 0$  by Lemma 8. This completes our proof.

*The University of Chicago*