

ON LARGE INDUCTIVE DIMENSION OF PROXIMITY SPACES

A. KANDIL

Introduction. The notion of proximity spaces was introduced by Efremovic in [2, 3]. An analysis of proximity spaces was carried out by Smirnov in [5].

The study of covering dimension of proximity spaces was originated by Smirnov in [6].

In this paper we introduce the concept of δ -large inductive dimension of proximity spaces and study some of its properties.

1. Definitions and basic concepts.

Definition 1. [5] A *proximity space* or (δ -space) is a pair (X, δ) where X is a set and δ is a mapping from $2^X \times 2^X$ into the set $\{0, 1\}$ satisfying the following axioms:

1. $\delta(A, B) = \delta(B, A) \forall A, B \in 2^X$.
2. $\delta(A, B \cup C) = \delta(A, B) \delta(A, C) \forall A, B, C \in 2^X$.
3. $\delta(\{x\}, \{y\}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$.
4. $\delta(X, \emptyset) = 1$.
5. $\delta(A, B) = 1 \Rightarrow \exists C, D \in 2^X \ni C \cup D = X$ and
 $\delta(A, C) \cdot \delta(B, D) = 1$.

Remarks. 1. 2^X denotes the family of all subsets of the set X .

2. The mapping δ in definition 1 is called a *proximity on X* .

3. If $\delta(A, B) = 0$ then we say that the sets A and B are *near*. And if $\delta(A, B) = 1$ then we say that the sets A and B are *far or remote*.

The following properties of δ -spaces were proved in [5]:

P_1 : Every proximity δ on a set X induces a topology τ_δ on X ; the formula

$$[A] = \{x \in X : \delta(\{x\}, A) = 0\} \forall A \in 2^X$$

defines the closure operator on X .

Received April 28, 1981 and in revised form March 1, 1982 and August 25, 1982.

The topological space (X, τ_δ) is a completely regular T_1 -space or $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

Remark. All topologies considered will be ‘‘Tychonoff’’ that is, completely regular and T_1 , otherwise known as $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$.

P_2 : For every completely regular T_1 -space (X, τ) there exists at least one proximity δ on the set X such that $\tau_\delta = \tau$.

In this paper we shall consider only the proximities δ on the topological space (X, τ) for which $\tau_\delta = \tau$.

P_3 : For every compact T_2 -space (X, τ) the proximity δ on X defined by

$$\delta(A, B) = 1 \Leftrightarrow [A] \cap [B] = \emptyset$$

is the unique proximity on X for which $\tau_\delta = \tau$.

Remark. Here $[A]$ denotes the closure of A .

Definition 2. [4] Let (X, δ) be a δ -space; then we say that a set B is a δ -neighbourhood of the set A and we write $B \supseteq A$ if $\delta(A, X \setminus B) = 1$. The family $\alpha \subseteq 2^X$ is called a δ -family if

$$\forall A \in \alpha \exists B \in \alpha \ni A \supseteq B.$$

A maximal δ -family satisfying the finite intersection property is called a δ -end on X [4]. The set of all δ -ends on X is denoted by CX .

P_4 : For every δ -space (X, δ) the family

$$\beta_X = \{O_H : H \in \tau_\delta\},$$

is a base for some topology τ_{CX} on CX where

$$O_A = \{\zeta \in CX : A \in \zeta\}.$$

Moreover the topological space (CX, τ_{CX}) is a compactification for (X, τ_δ) generating the proximity δ on X as follows:

$$\delta(A, B) = 1 \Leftrightarrow [A]_{CX} \cap [B]_{CX} = \emptyset.$$

The compact space (CX, τ_{CX}) is called the Smirnov compactification of the space (X, τ_δ) .

P_5 : For every $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space (X, τ) there exists a one-to-one correspondence between all compactifications of (X, τ) and all proximities δ on X for which $\tau = \tau_\delta$.

P_6 : The operator O_H defined in P_4 above satisfies the following properties:

- I. $O_{A \cap B} = O_A \cap O_B \forall A, B \in 2^X$.
- II. $O_{\cup A_\lambda} \supseteq \cup_\lambda O_{A_\lambda} \forall \{A_\lambda\} \subseteq 2^X$.
- III. $\delta(X \setminus A, X \setminus B) = 1 \Rightarrow O_A \cup O_B = CX$.
- IV. $X \cap O_A = A^\circ \forall A \in 2^X$.

(A° denotes the interior of the set A .)

- V. $O_A \in \tau_{CX} \forall A \in 2^X$.
- VI. $O_{A^\circ} = O_A \forall A \in 2^X$.
- VII. $[B]_{CX} = CX \setminus O_{(X \setminus B)} \forall B \in 2^X$.
- VIII. $[O_H]_{CX} = [H]_{CX} \forall H \in \tau_\delta$.
- IX. $H \supseteq F$ if and only if $O_H \supseteq [F]_{CX} \forall H, F \in 2^X$.

P_7 : Let (X, τ) be a $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, then the proximity δ_β on X is defined by:

$$\delta_\beta(A, B) = 1 \text{ if and only if } A \text{ and } B \text{ are functionally separated.}$$

It is the finest proximity δ on X for which $\tau = \tau_\delta$. The Smirnov compactification CX in this case coincides with the greatest compactification βX of X . (X, δ_β) will be called a fine δ -space.

P_8 : If a subspace F of a fine δ -space (X, δ) has the property that every continuous function $f: F \rightarrow I$ is extendable over X , then $(F, \delta/F)$ is a fine δ -space.

Definition 3. We say that the proximity space (X, δ) is *perfect* if and only if

$$[Fr_X H]_{CX} = Fr_{CX} O_H \forall H \in \tau_\delta.$$

Here $Fr_X H$ denotes the boundary of H in X .

The following example shows that not every proximity space is perfect.

Example. Let \mathbf{R} be the real line with the usual topology and let $b\mathbf{R}$ be its Alexandrov Compactification. Then the pair $(b\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ defines on \mathbf{R} the following proximity δ ; For $A, B \subseteq \mathbf{R}$

$$\delta(A, B) = 1 \text{ if and only if } [A]_{b\mathbf{R}} \cap [B]_{b\mathbf{R}} = \emptyset.$$

The proximity space (\mathbf{R}, δ) is not perfect. Indeed, if $H = (0, \infty)$ then it is easy to see that

$$[Fr_R H]_{bR} \neq Fr_{bR} O_H.$$

LEMMA 1. *The proximity space (X, δ) is perfect if and only if for every two disjoint open sets $H_1, H_2 \in \tau_\delta$ we have;*

$$O_{H_1 \cup H_2} = O_{H_1} \cup O_{H_2}.$$

Proof. Let (X, δ) be a perfect δ -space; and let $H_1, H_2 \in \tau_\delta, H_1 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$. To prove that $O_{H_1 \cup H_2} = O_{H_1} \cup O_{H_2}$, it suffices by P_6 (II), P_6 (VI) and complementation to prove that if $F_1, F_2 \in \tau_\delta^c$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ then

$$[F_1 \cap F_2]_{CX} \supseteq [F_1]_{CX} \cap [F_2]_{CX}.$$

Suppose $\zeta \in [F_1]_{CX} \cap [F_2]_{CX}$ but $\zeta \notin [F_1 \cap F_2]_{CX}$. Then

$$\zeta \in Fr_{CX}([F_1]_{CX}),$$

otherwise ζ has a neighbourhood V (in CX) such that

$$V \subseteq [F_1]_{CX} \quad \text{and} \quad V \cap F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset.$$

But $V \cap F_2$ is a non-empty subset of X contained in $[F_1]_{CX} \cap X = F_1$, contradicting $V \cap F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$. Thus, applying the perfectness of (X, δ) to $H = X \setminus F_1$, we have

$$\zeta \in [Fr_X \cap F_1]_{CX}.$$

But $Fr_X F_1 \subseteq F_1 \cap F_2$, proving $\zeta \in [F_1 \cap F_2]_{CX}$, a contradiction.

Conversely, assume the condition of the lemma. Let $H \in \tau_\delta$ and let $H^* = X \setminus [H]$. Then it is clear that

$$FrH = X \setminus (H \cup H^*).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad [Fr H]_{CX} &= [X \setminus (H \cup H^*)]_{CX} = CX \setminus O_{H \cup H^*} \\ &= CX \setminus (O_{H^*} \cup O_H). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$CX \setminus [O_H]_{CX} = CX \setminus [[H]]_{CX} = O_{H^*}$$

i.e.,

$$(2) \quad Fr_{CX} O_H = CX \setminus (O_H \cup O_{H^*}).$$

From 1, 2 we have;

$$[Fr H]_{CX} = Fr_{CX} O_H.$$

COROLLARY 1. Every compact proximity space (X, δ) is perfect.

Proof. The proof is immediate if we note that

$$O_H = H^\circ \forall H \in 2^X.$$

LEMMA 2. A δ -space (X, δ) is perfect if, and only if, $F \subseteq H$ and $\delta(F, FrH) = 1$ imply $H \supseteq F \forall H \in \tau_\delta, F \in \tau_\delta^c$. (τ_δ^c denotes the family of all closed subsets of the δ -space (X, δ) .)

Proof. Assume that (X, δ) is perfect. Let $H \in \tau_\delta$ and $F \in \tau_\delta^c$ be such that $\delta(F, FrH) = 1$. Then, $X \setminus FrH \supseteq F$ i.e.,

$$O_{(X \setminus FrH)} \supseteq [F]_{CX}$$

(see P_6 IX). Now, using P_6 IX, it is sufficient to show that $O_H \supseteq [F]_{CX}$. Assume the contrary: i.e.,

$$(1) \quad O_H \not\supseteq [F]_{CX}.$$

From the condition $F \subseteq H$ we have

$$(2) \quad [F]_{CX} \subseteq [O_H]_{CX}.$$

From 1, 2 we have;

$$(3) \quad [F]_{CX} \cap Fr_{CX}O_H \neq \emptyset.$$

Since (X, δ) is perfect,

$$Fr_{CX}O_H = [Fr_X H]_{CX}.$$

And since

$$O_{(X \setminus FrH)} = CX \setminus [FrH]_{CX} \quad [\text{See } P_6 \text{ VII}],$$

hence

$$(4) \quad O_{(X \setminus FrH)} = CX \setminus Fr_{CX}O_H.$$

From (3), (4) we have

$$[F]_{CX} \not\subseteq O_{(X \setminus FrH)}$$

which contradicts the assumption;

$$[F]_{CX} \subseteq O_{(X \setminus FrH)}.$$

ii) Conversely, assume that the condition of the lemma is satisfied, i.e., $\forall H \in \tau_\delta$ and $F \in \tau_\delta^c$ such that $F \subseteq H$ and $\delta(F, FrH) = 1$ we have $H \supseteq F$.

Let $H \in \tau_\delta$, then it is clear that

$$[FrH]_{CX} \subseteq Fr_{CX} O_H.$$

Now, let $\zeta \in Fr_{CX} O_H$. Then, $\zeta \in [O_H]_{CX} \setminus O_H$. And hence

$$O_H \cap O_{H^*} = O_{H \cap H^*} \neq \emptyset \quad \forall H^* \in \zeta.$$

Consequently

$$(5) \quad [O_H \cap O_{H^*}]_{CX} = [[H \cap H^*]_X]_{CX} \quad \forall H^* \in \zeta$$

and

$$(6) \quad \zeta \notin O_H.$$

We need to prove that $\zeta \in [FrH]_{CX}$. In fact if $\zeta \notin [FrH]_{CX}$ then there exists $H_o \in \zeta$ such that

$$(7) \quad X \setminus FrH \supseteq [H_o]_X.$$

From 5 we have

$$(8) \quad \zeta \in [[H \cap H_o]_X]_{CX}.$$

And from 7 we have

$$(9) \quad [H_o]_X \subseteq H \cup (X \setminus [H]_X).$$

But (9) implies that

$$[H_o] \cap H \in \tau_\delta^c.$$

Thus we have

$$(10) \quad [H \cap H_o]_X \subseteq [H_o]_X \cap H \subseteq H.$$

Moreover, we have

$$[H \cap H_o]_X \subseteq [H_o]_X \subseteq X \setminus FrH.$$

Consequently

$$[H_o \cap H]_X \subseteq X \setminus FrH.$$

From 10, 11 and the condition of the lemma we have

$$H \supseteq [H \cap H]_X.$$

Thus

$$(12) \quad [[H_o \cap H]_X]_{CX} \subseteq O_H.$$

Therefore from (8) and (12) we have

$$\zeta \in O_H$$

which contradicts condition (6).

This complete the proof of the lemma.

COROLLARY 1. *Every fine δ -space (X, δ_β) is perfect.*

Proof. Let $H \in \tau_{\delta_\beta}$ and let F be an arbitrary closed subset of X such that $F \subseteq H$ and $\delta_\beta(F, FrH) = 1$.

From the definition of δ_β , there exists a continuous real function, $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that; $f(x) = 0 \forall x \in F$ and $f(x) = 1 \forall x \in FrH$.

Introduce a function $g: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= f(x) \quad \forall x \in [H]_X \quad \text{and,} \\ g(x) &= 1 \quad \forall x \in X \setminus H. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that g is continuous and separates the two sets F and $X \setminus H$. I.e., $H \supseteq F$.

Thus from the above lemma it follows that (X, δ_β) is perfect.

Definition 4. A proximity space (X, δ) is called a *semicompact δ -space* if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

For $A, B \in \tau_\delta^c$, $\delta(A, B) = 1$ if and only if there exists an open subset H of X with compact boundary such that

$$A \subseteq H \subseteq [H] \subseteq X \setminus B.$$

PROPOSITION 1. [7]. I. *Every semicompact δ -space (X, δ) has a basis of open sets with compact boundaries.*

II. *Every $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space (X, τ) having a basis of open sets with compact boundaries induces a semicompact δ -space (X, δ) by the following proximity relation;*

For $A, B \subseteq X$, $\delta(A, B) = 1$ if and only if there exists an open subset H of X with compact boundary such that

$$A \subseteq H \subseteq [H] \subseteq X \setminus B.$$

LEMMA 3. *If (X, δ) is a semicompact δ -space and σ is the family of all open subsets of X with compact boundaries, then for every closed subset F of X contained in some element H from σ , we have $H \supseteq F$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Definition 4, and Proposition 1 (I).

COROLLARY 1. *Every semicompact δ -space (X, δ) is perfect.*

Proof. Let σ be the family of all open subsets of X with compact boundaries, and H be an arbitrary open subset of X . Assume that F is a closed subset of X for which $F \subseteq H$ and $\delta(F, FrH) = 1$. Then there exists $H^* \in \sigma$ such that

$$FrH \subseteq H^* \subseteq [H^*] \subseteq X \setminus F.$$

From above we have $Fr(H \setminus [H^*])$ is compact, indeed;

$$\begin{aligned} Fr(H \setminus [H^*]) &= Fr(X \setminus [H^*]) \cup (X \setminus H) \\ &= Fr[H^* \cup (X \setminus H)] \\ &\subseteq Fr(H^* \cup (X \setminus H)) \\ &\subseteq Fr H^* \cup Fr H \\ &\subseteq [H^*]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$Fr(H \setminus [H^*]) \cap H^* = \emptyset.$$

Thus

$$Fr(H \setminus [H^*]) \subseteq Fr H^*.$$

Consequently, $H \setminus [H^*] \in \sigma$. Since $F \subseteq H \setminus [H^*] \subseteq H$, from Lemma 3 we have

$$H \supseteq H \setminus [H^*] \supseteq F.$$

Therefore $H \supseteq F$. It follows from Lemma 2 that (X, δ) is perfect.

Definition 5. A perfect δ -space (X, δ) is called a *strongly perfect δ -space* (or *S-perfect δ -space*) if every closed subspace of (X, δ) is perfect.

Using the following properties:

- 1) Every closed subspace of a compact space is compact.
- 2) Every closed subspace F of a normal fine δ -space is a normal fine δ -space (see P_8).

The following statement may be easily proved.

LEMMA 4. *Every compact δ -space, and every normal fine δ -space are S-perfect δ -spaces.*

PROPOSITION 2. [6]. *Every δ -space is homeomorphic with a closed subset of a fine δ -space.*

From Proposition 2, Example 1 and Corollary 1 of Lemma 2 we deduce that not every perfect δ -space is S-perfect.

Definition 6. Let (X, δ) be a δ -space. Then we say that the set $L \subseteq X$ is a δ -partition between A and B if there exist open sets $U, W \subseteq X$ such that;

$$U \supseteq A, W \supseteq B, U \cap W = \emptyset \text{ and } U \cup W = X \setminus L.$$

It is clear that if L is a δ -partition between A and B then

$$\delta(A \cup B, L) = 1 = \delta(A, L) = \delta(B, L).$$

LEMMA 5. Let (X, δ) be a δ -space and let F_1 and F_2 be two of its closed subsets. If $\delta(F_1, F_2) = 1$ and ψ^* is a partition between $[F_1]_{CX}, [F_2]_{CX}$ in CX (in the topological sense [1]) then;

$$\psi = \psi^* \cap X \text{ is a } \delta\text{-partition between } F_1, F_2 \text{ in } X.$$

Proof. This is clear.

LEMMA 5. If the closed subset ψ of a perfect proximity space (X, δ) is a δ -partition between two closed far sets $F_1, F_2 \subseteq X$ then $[\psi]_{CX}$ is a partition between $[F_1]_{CX}$ and $[F_2]_{CX}$ in CX .

Proof. Let ψ be a δ -partition between F_1 and F_2 ; then by definition there exist U_1 and $U_2 \in \tau_\delta$ such that:

$$X \setminus \psi = U_1 \cup U_2, U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset \text{ and } U_i \supseteq F_i, i = 1, 2.$$

Let

$$\psi_i = \psi \cup U_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\psi_i \in \tau_\delta^c \quad \text{and}$$

$$\delta(\psi_1, F_2) = \delta(\psi, F_1) = 1.$$

Consequently

$$[F_1]_{CX} \subseteq CX \setminus [\psi_2]_{CX} = O_{U_1},$$

$$[F_2]_{CX} \subseteq CX \setminus [\psi_1]_{CX} = O_{U_2}.$$

Since $U_2 \cap U_1 = \emptyset$, then

$$O_{U_1} \cap O_{U_2} = O_{U_1 \cap U_2} = \emptyset.$$

Now from $X \setminus \psi = U_1 \cup U_2$, (X, δ) is perfect and P_6 VIII we have

$$CX \setminus [\psi]_{CX} = O_{(X \setminus \psi)} = O_{(U_1 \cup U_2)} = O_{U_1} \cup O_{U_2},$$

i.e., $C\Psi$ is a partition between CF_1 and CF_2 in CX , where $C\Psi = [\Psi]_{CX}$, [5].

2. Definition and basic properties of the dimension δ Ind in δ -spaces.

Definition 7. To every δ -space X one assigns the δ -large inductive dimension of X denoted by δ -Ind X , which is a natural number or -1 or ∞ . The definition of δ Ind X consists of the following conditions:

- 1₁. δ Ind $X = -1$ if and only if $X = \emptyset$.
- 1₂. δ Ind $X \leq n$ where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ if for every two closed far sets $A, B \subseteq X$ there exists a δ -partition L between A and B such that;

$$\delta \text{ Ind } L \leq n - 1.$$
- 1₃. δ Ind $X = n$ if and only if δ Ind $X \leq n$ and δ Ind $X > n - 1$.
- 1₄. δ Ind $X = \infty$ if and only if δ Ind $X > n$ for $n = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

THEOREM 1. *For every δ -space X we have*

$$\delta \text{ Ind } X \leq \text{Ind } CX.$$

Proof. We shall apply induction with respect to Ind CX . If Ind $CX = -1$ then $CX = \emptyset = X$ and our inequality holds. Assume that the inequality holds for all δ -spaces X with Ind $CX < n$ for some $n \geq 0$, and consider a δ -space X such that Ind $CX = n$.

Let F_1 and F_2 be far closed sets in X .

Then the sets CF_1 and CF_2 are disjoint in CX so that there exists a partition $\tilde{\psi}$ in CX between CF_1 and CF_2 , such that Ind $\tilde{\psi} \leq n - 1$.

From Lemma 5 we have $\psi = \tilde{\psi} \cap X$ is a δ -partition in X between F_1 and F_2 . Since $C\psi = [\psi]_{CX}$ it follows from Theorem 2.2.1 [1] and the inductive assumption that

$$\delta \text{ Ind } \psi \leq n - 1,$$

so that

$$\delta \text{ Ind } X \leq n = \text{Ind } CX.$$

THEOREM 2. *For every S -perfect δ -space X we have*

$$\delta \text{ Ind } X = \text{Ind } CX.$$

Proof. From Theorem 1 it suffices to show that

$$\text{Ind } CX \leq \delta \text{ Ind } X.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we shall suppose that $\delta \text{ Ind } X < \infty$ and apply induction with respect to $\delta \text{ Ind } X$.

Our inequality holds if $\delta \text{ Ind } X = -1$.

Assume that the inequality is proved for all S -perfect δ -spaces with dimension $\delta \text{ Ind}$ less than $n \geq 0$, and consider an S -perfect δ -space X such that $\delta \text{ Ind } X = n$. Let \tilde{F}_1 and \tilde{F}_2 be disjoint closed sets in CX . Then there exist open sets $\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_2 \subseteq CX$ such that

$$\tilde{F}_i \subseteq \tilde{V}_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$[\tilde{V}_1]_{CX} \cap [\tilde{V}_2]_{CX} = \emptyset.$$

The sets $V_i = [\tilde{V}_i]_{CX} \cap X$ are closed in X and far, so that there exists a δ -partition ψ in X between V_1 and V_2 such that $\delta \text{ Ind } \psi \leq n - 1$.

From Lemma 6 the set $C\psi$ is a partition between CV_1 and CV_2 in CX . And from the induction assumption we have $\text{Ind } C\psi \leq n - 1$.

Since

$$\tilde{F}_i \subseteq [\tilde{V}_i]_{CX}$$

then $C\psi$ is a partition between F_1 and F_2 ; consequently

$$\text{Ind } CX \leq \delta \text{ Ind } X.$$

COROLLARY 1. *For every compact proximity space X the topological $\text{Ind } X$ coincides with $\delta \text{ Ind } X$.*

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 of Lemma 1.

COROLLARY 2. *Every normal fine δ -space X has*

$$\delta \text{ Ind } X = \text{Ind } \beta X.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2 and Lemma 4.

COROLLARY 3. *If X is an S -perfect δ -space and M is a closed subset of X , then*

$$\delta \text{ Ind } M \leq \delta \text{ Ind } X.$$

Proof. From Definition 4 and the above theorem we have

$$\delta \text{ Ind } M = \text{Ind } CM = \text{Ind } [M]_{CX} \leq \text{Ind } CX = \delta \text{ Ind } X.$$

COROLLARY 4. *For every S -perfect δ -space we have*

$$\delta dX \leq \delta \text{ Ind } X,$$

where δdX is the covering dimension of (X, δ) , (see [5]).

Proof. From Theorem 1 in [6] we have

$$\delta dX = \dim CX.$$

From Theorem 2 we have $\delta \text{Ind } X = \text{Ind } CX$, and from Theorem 3.1.28 in [1] we have $\dim CX \cong \text{Ind } CX$. Thus we have $\delta dX \cong \delta \text{Ind } X$ for every S -perfect space.

COROLLARY 5. *If (X, δ) is an S -perfect proximity space, and A, B are closed subsets of (X, δ) , then,*

$$\delta \text{Ind } (A \cup B) \cong \delta \text{Ind } A + \delta \text{Ind } B + 1.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \text{Ind } (A \cup B) &= \text{Ind } [A \cup B]_{CX} = \text{Ind } [A]_{CX} \cup [B]_{CX} \\ &\cong \text{Ind } [A]_{CX} + \text{Ind } [B]_{CX} + 1 \quad (\text{see [1]}) \\ &= \delta \text{Ind } A + \delta \text{Ind } B + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 8. If (X, δ) is a δ -space, then the set $H \in 2^X$ is called a δ -singular set if $\delta(X \setminus H, H) = 1$.

THEOREM 3. *The perfect δ -space X has $\delta \text{Ind } X = 0$ if and only if for every closed set $F \subseteq X$ and for every δ -neighbourhood U of F there exists a δ -singular set H such that*

$$F \subseteq H \subseteq U.$$

Proof. Let $\delta \text{Ind } X = 0$ and let F be a closed subset of the δ -space (X, δ) , and let $U \supseteq F$; then $\delta(F, X \setminus U) = 1$.

Therefore, the empty set \emptyset is a δ -partition between F and $X \setminus U$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \exists U_1, U_2 \in \tau_\delta \quad \text{such that} \\ X = U_1 \cup U_2, U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U_1 \supseteq F, U_2 \supseteq X \setminus U.$$

But

$$CX = O_X = O_{(U_1 \cup U_2)} = O_{U_1} \cup O_{U_2},$$

and

$$O_{U_1} \cap O_{U_2} = \emptyset$$

because $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. Then O_{U_1} and O_{U_2} are open-closed sets in CX . i.e.,

$$\delta(O_{H_1} \cap X, O_{H_2} \cap X) = 1$$

which implies that $\delta(U_1, U_2) = 1$, i.e.,

$$\delta(U_1, X \setminus U_1) = 1.$$

It is clear that

$$U \supseteq U_1 \supseteq F.$$

The converse is clear.

COROLLARY. *For every perfect δ -space X the conditions*

$$\delta \text{ Ind } X = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta dX = 0$$

are equivalent.

Proof. This is immediate from the above Theorem (3) and Theorem (6) in [6].

REFERENCES.

1. R. Engelking, *Dimension theory* (Warszawa, 1978).
2. V. A. Efremovic, *Infinitesimal spaces*, Soviet Math, Doklady 76 (1951), 341-343.
3. ——— *The geometry of proximity spaces*, Math, Sb 31 (1952), 89-200.
4. S. A. Naimpally and B. D. Warrack, *Proximity spaces* (Cambridge University Press, 1970).
5. M. Smirnov Ju, *On proximity spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser 2, 38 (1964), 4-35.
6. ——— *On the dimension of proximity spaces*, Amer Math. Soc. Transl., Ser 2, 21 (1962), 1-20.
7. ——— *On dimension of remainder of compact extension of proximity and topological spaces*, Math. Sb 69 (1966), 141-159.

*Mansoura University,
Mansoura, Egypt*