

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF NONOSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF A HIGHER ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

HIROSHI ONOSE

The asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of n th order nonlinear functional differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} & (r_{n-1}(t)(r_{n-2}(t)(\dots(r_2(t)(r_1(t)y'(t))')')\dots)')')' \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + a(t)f(y(g(t))) = b(t) \end{aligned}$$

is investigated. Sufficient conditions are provided which ensure that all nonoscillatory solutions approach zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

1. Introduction

We consider the n th order functional differential equation with deviating argument

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & (r_{n-1}(t)(r_{n-2}(t)(\dots(r_2(t)(r_1(t)y'(t))')')\dots)')')' \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + a(t)f(y(g(t))) = b(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $a(t)$, $b(t)$, $g(t)$, $r_1(t)$, \dots , $r_{n-1}(t)$ are real-valued and continuous on $[T, \infty)$ and $f(y)$ is real-valued and continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

The following conditions are assumed to hold throughout the paper:

$$(2a) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty ;$$

$$(2b) \quad yf(y) > 0 \text{ for } y \neq 0 ;$$

Received 23 February 1981.

(2c) $r_i(t) > 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_i(t) = 0$, where $\rho_i(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{\rho_{i-1}(s)}{r_i(s)} ds$,
 $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, ($\rho_0(t) \equiv 1$).

We note that the condition (2c) is satisfied if

(3) $\int_T^\infty \frac{dt}{r_i(t)} < \infty$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

We restrict our consideration to those solutions $y(t)$ of (1) which exist on some ray $[T_y, \infty)$ and satisfy

$$\sup\{|y(t)| : t_0 \leq t < \infty\} > 0$$

for any $t_0 \in [T_y, \infty)$. Such a solution is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrary large zeros; otherwise, it is said to be nonoscillatory. It is important to find sufficient conditions in order that all nonoscillatory solutions of (1) tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Many authors have studied this problem, for example, Hammett [3], Graef and Spikes [1], Grimmer [2], Kartsatos [4], Kusano and Onose ([5], [6]), Londen [7] and Singh [8]. In this paper we present some results on this problem.

2. Non-oscillation theorems

We use the following lemmas to prove our results.

LEMMA 1 [5]. Consider the differential equation

(4) $u'(t) - \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} u(t) + \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} \phi(t) = 0$,

where $\phi(t)$ is continuous on $[T, \infty)$, $\rho(t)$ is continuously differentiable on $[T, \infty)$ and $\rho(t) > 0$, $\rho'(t) < 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho(t) = 0$.

Let $u(t)$ be the solution of (4) on $[T, \infty)$ satisfying $u(T) = 0$. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty$ [or $-\infty$] implies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = \infty$ [or $-\infty$].

LEMMA 2 [5]. Let $\sigma(t)$ be continuous on $[T, \infty)$ and let $v(t)$ be continuous differentiable on $[T, \infty)$. If the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\sigma(t)v'(t) + v(t)]$ exists in the extended real line $R^\#$, then the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)$ exists in

$R^\#$.

THEOREM 1. *Let the condition (3) hold. Suppose that $a(t) \geq 0$. If*

$$(5) \quad \int_0^\infty \rho_{n-1}(t)a(t)dt = \infty ,$$

$$(6) \quad \int_0^\infty |b(t)|dt < \infty ,$$

then all nonoscillatory solutions of (1) tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). We may suppose that $y(g(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. We define

$$(7) \quad G_0(t) = y(t) , \quad G_i(t) = r_i(t)G'_{i-1}(t) , \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 ,$$

$$(8) \quad u_{k-1}(t) \equiv \int_{t_1}^t \rho_{n-k}(s)G'_{n-k}(s)ds \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n ,$$

which implies

$$u_{k-1}(t) = - \frac{\rho_{n-k}(t)}{\rho'_{n-k}(t)} u'_k(t) + u_k(t) - \rho_{n-k}(t_1)G_{n-k}(t_1) .$$

This shows that $u_k(t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(9) \quad \frac{\rho_{n-k}(t)}{\rho'_{n-k}(t)} u' - u + \phi_k(t) = 0 ,$$

or equivalently,

$$(10) \quad u' - \frac{\rho'_{n-k}(t)}{\rho_{n-k}(t)} u + \frac{\rho_{n-k}(t)}{\rho_{n-k}(t)} \phi_k(t) = 0 ,$$

where

$$\phi_k(t) = u_{k-1}(t) + \rho_{n-k}(t_1)G_{n-k}(t_1) .$$

Since $u_k(t_1) = 0$ by (8) and since $\rho_{n-k}(t) > 0$, $\rho'_{n-k}(t) < 0$,

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n-k}(t) = 0$ by (2c), we apply Lemma 1 to (10) to conclude that

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_{k-1}(t) = \infty$ [or $-\infty$] implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_k(t) = \infty$ [or $-\infty$] . Moreover,

applying Lemma 2 to (9), we conclude that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_k(t)$ exists in $R^\#$ whenever $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_{k-1}(t)$ exists in $R^\#$. From (1) we obtain

$$(11) \quad G_{n-1}(t) - G_{n-1}(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t a(s)f(y(g(s)))ds = \int_{t_1}^t b(s)ds .$$

Since the first integral of (11) is positive and, by (6), the second integral is bounded, there exist a constant K_{n-1} such that

$$G_{n-1}(t) = r_{n-1}(t)G'_{n-2}(t) \leq K_{n-1} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 \geq t_1 .$$

Dividing the inequality by $r_{n-1}(t)$ and integrating from t_2 to t , we get

$$G_{n-2}(t) - G_{n-2}(t_1) \leq K_{n-1} \int_{t_2}^t \frac{ds}{r_{n-1}(s)} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 ,$$

which shows, in view of (3), that there exists a constant K_{n-2} such that

$$G_{n-2}(t) = r_{n-2}(t)G'_{n-3}(t) \leq K_{n-2} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 .$$

Applying the above argument repeatedly, we have

$$G_{n-3}(t) \leq K_{n-3}, \dots, G_1(t) \leq K_1, \quad G_0(t) \leq K_0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 ,$$

where K_{n-3}, \dots, K_1, K_0 are constants. It follows that $G_0(t) \equiv y(t)$ is bounded above for $t \geq t_2$. We now multiply both sides of (1) by $\rho_{n-1}(t)$ and integrate it over $[t_2, t]$. Then we have

$$(12) \quad \int_{t_2}^t \rho_{n-1}(s)G'_{n-1}(s)ds + \int_{t_2}^t \rho_{n-1}(s)a(s)f(y(g(s)))ds = \int_{t_2}^t \rho_{n-1}(s)b(s)ds .$$

Noting that on account of (6) the right hand of (12) tends to a finite limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we can deduce from (12) that

$$(13) \quad \int_{t_2}^{\infty} \rho_{n-1}(t)a(t)f(y(g(t)))dt < \infty,$$

since otherwise we could use Lemma 1 to obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_k(t) = -\infty$ for

$k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, which implies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = -\infty$, a contradiction. Next,

using (12), (13), the boundedness of $y(t)$ and applying Lemma 2, we can find that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_k(t)$ is finite for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Thus we

see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)$ exists and finite. Namely, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = c$, where c

is a finite nonnegative constant. If $c > 0$, then we have

$$c/2 \leq y(g(t)) \leq 2c \text{ for sufficiently large } t, \text{ say } t \geq t_3 \geq t_2.$$

From (13) and $f(y)$ is continuous, we have a contradiction that

$$\infty > \int_{t_3}^{\infty} \rho_{n-1}(t)a(t)f(y(g(t)))dt \geq K^* \int_{t_3}^{\infty} \rho_{n-1}(t)a(t)dt = \infty,$$

where

$$K^* = \min_{(c/2) \leq y \leq 2c} f(y) > 0.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $y(t)$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

REMARK. Kusano and Onose [5] obtain the same conclusion with the additional assumption $\liminf_{y \rightarrow \infty} f(y) > 0$ and $\limsup_{y \rightarrow -\infty} f(y) < 0$.

THEOREM 2. *Let the condition (3) hold. Suppose that $a(t) \geq 0$, $\liminf_{y \rightarrow \infty} f(y) > 0$ and $\limsup_{y \rightarrow -\infty} f(y) < 0$. If*

$$(14) \quad \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \rho_{n-1}(t)a(t)dt = \infty,$$

$$(15) \quad \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \rho_{n-1}(t)|b(t)|dt < \infty,$$

then all nonoscillatory solutions of (1) tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). We may suppose that $y(g(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Define $G_i(t)$ and $u_k(t)$ by (7)

and (8). We now multiply both sides of (1) by $\rho_{n-1}(t)$ and integrate it over $[t_1, t]$. Then we have

$$(12) \quad \int_{t_1}^t \rho_{n-1}(s)G'_{n-1}(s)ds + \int_{t_1}^t \rho_{n-1}(s)a(s)f(y(g(s)))ds \\ = \int_{t_1}^t \rho_{n-1}(s)b(s)ds .$$

By using (12) and (15) we can deduce that

$$(13) \quad \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \rho_{n-1}(t)a(t)f(y(g(t)))dt < \infty ,$$

since otherwise we could use Lemma 1 to obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = -\infty$, a

contradiction. Next, using (12), (13) and applying Lemma 2, we can find that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_k(t)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) exist as definite limit finite or

∞ . Thus we see $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \infty$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = c$, where c is a finite

and nonnegative constant. If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \infty$, then we have

$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(y(g(t))) > 0$ by assumption, which and (14) lead to a

contradiction that $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \rho_{n-1}(t)a(t)f(y(g(t)))dt = \infty$.

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = c > 0$, then also we have a contradiction:

$$\int_t^{\infty} \rho_{n-1}(t)a(t)f(y(g(t)))dt = \infty .$$

Therefore we conclude that $y(t)$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. //

REMARK. Theorem 2 contains the result of Kusano and Onose ([5], Theorem 3).

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the equation

$$(16) \quad (t^2(t^2(t^2y'(t))')')' + t^7y^3(\gamma t) = \gamma^{-6}t, \quad t > 0,$$

where γ is a positive constant. In this case we have $\rho_1(t) = t^{-1}$, $\rho_2(t) = (1/2)t^{-2}$, $\rho_3(t) = (1/6)t^{-3}$. Since all assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied, every nonoscillatory solution of (16) approaches zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This equation has a nonoscillatory solution $y(t) = t^{-2}$.

EXAMPLE 2. Consider the equation

$$(17) \quad (e^t(e^t(e^t y'(t))'))' + e^{5t}y(t+\theta) = 24e^{-t} + e^{-4\theta}e^t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where θ is a constant. This equation possesses $y(t) = e^{-4t}$ as a non-oscillatory solution tending to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to verify that $\rho_1(t) = e^{-t}$, $\rho_2(t) = (1/2)e^{-2t}$, $\rho_3(t) = (1/6)e^{-3t}$ and the conclusions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Therefore all nonoscillatory solutions of (17) also tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

REMARK. These examples cannot be covered by Kusano and Onose ([5], Theorem 3).

References

- [1] John R. Graef and Paul W. Spikes, "Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a second order nonlinear differential equation", *J. Differential Equations* 17 (1975), 461-476.
- [2] R. Grimmer, "On nonoscillatory solutions of a nonlinear differential equation", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 34 (1972), 118-120.
- [3] Michael E. Hammett, "Nonoscillation properties of a nonlinear differential equation", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 30 (1971), 92-96.
- [4] Athanassios G. Kartsatos, "Oscillation and existence of unique positive solutions for nonlinear n th order equations with forcing term", *Hiroshima Math. J.* 6 (1976), 1-6.
- [5] Takaši Kusano and Hiroshi Onose, "Nonoscillation theorems for differential equations with deviating argument", *Pacific J. Math.* 63 (1976), 185-192.

- [6] Takaŝi Kusano and Hiroshi Onose, "Asymptotic behavior of non-oscillatory solutions of second order functional differential equations", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 13 (1975), 291-299.
- [7] Stig-Olof Londen, "Some nonoscillation theorems for a second order nonlinear differential equation", *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 4 (1973), 460-465.
- [8] Bhagat Singh, "Asymptotic nature of nonoscillatory solutions of n th order retarded differential equations", *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 6 (1975), 784-795.

Department of Mathematics,
Ibaraki University,
Mito 310,
Japan.