



Multivariate Rankin–Selberg Integrals on GL_4 and $GU(2, 2)$

Aaron Pollack and Shrenik Shah

Abstract. Inspired by a construction by Bump, Friedberg, and Ginzburg of a two-variable integral representation on GSp_4 for the product of the standard and spin L -functions, we give two similar multivariate integral representations. The first is a three-variable Rankin–Selberg integral for cusp forms on PGL_4 representing the product of the L -functions attached to the three fundamental representations of the Langlands L -group $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$. The second integral, which is closely related, is a two-variable Rankin–Selberg integral for cusp forms on $PGU(2, 2)$ representing the product of the degree 8 standard L -function and the degree 6 exterior square L -function.

1 Introduction

Integral representations of Rankin–Selberg type have been used over the years to relate the analytic behavior of L -functions to the study of the more tractable analytic properties of Eisenstein series. The vast majority of Rankin–Selberg integral representations relate an Eisenstein series in a single variable to a single L -function together with some normalizing factors, which usually take the form of Dirichlet L -functions.

In the last couple of decades, there has been interest in multivariate versions of this type of construction. Bump and Friedberg [1] gave a two-variable integral on GL_n representing the product of the standard and exterior square L -functions. Bump, Furusawa, and Ginzburg [3] later gave a two-variable integral on GL_{3n} unfolding to a nonunique model that represents the product of the standard L -function and the dual of standard L -function. Bump, Friedberg, and Ginzburg [2] gave several constructions of two-variable integral representations on GSp_4 , GSp_6 , and GSp_8 representing the product of the standard and spin L -functions. These were among the first examples of such identities in more than one complex variable.

Ginzburg and Hundley [7] found the first three-variable Rankin–Selberg integral; for a generic cusp form on the split orthogonal similitude group GSO_8 , it represents the product of the standard L -function with two spin L -functions. Gan and Hundley [6] gave a general construction of an integral for quasi-split groups of type D_4 that specializes to a different three-variable integral when the group is split. Continuing the work initiated in [7], Hundley [8] gave various constructions of two-variable

Received by the editors July 14, 2017; revised January 17, 2018.

Published electronically March 14, 2018.

Author A.P. has been supported by NSF grant DMS-1401858. Author S.S. has been supported by NSF grants DGE-1148900 and DMS-1401967.

AMS subject classification: 11F66, 11F55.

Keywords: automorphic form, L -function, Rankin–Selberg method, unitary group, exterior square, Langlands program.

integrals on split orthogonal groups. Recently, Hundley and Shen [9] gave a two-variable integral on $GSp_4 \times GL_2 \times GL_2$ representing the product of two GL_2 -twisted spin L -functions, one coming from each GL_2 -factor. We found in [12] a two-variable Rankin–Selberg integral on $GSp_4 \times GL_2$.

Such integrals are valuable for many reasons. Analysis of the Eisenstein series in these constructions give a tool to study the relationship between the different L -functions involved; for instance, Bump, Friedberg, and Ginzburg [2, Theorem A] rule out simultaneous poles at $s = 1$ for the standard and spin L -functions on GSp_6 . There seems to be an interesting relationship between multivariate Rankin–Selberg integrals and those unfolding to non-unique models, which we have explored in [11, 13]. There is an analogous relationship between the $GU(2, 2)$ construction below and a non-unique model integral given by the first author in [10].

Inspired by the form of the aforementioned Bump–Friedberg–Ginzburg construction on GSp_4 [2], we give two multivariate Rankin–Selberg integrals that have a similar shape: one in three variables on GL_4 and one in two variables on $GU(2, 2)$. The integrand of the construction in [2] is the product of a cusp form with both a Siegel and Klingen Eisenstein series. Using the symplectic form given by the anti-diagonal matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & -1 & & \\ -1 & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

the Siegel and Klingen parabolics on GSp_4 are those represented by matrices with entries of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ & & * & * \\ & & & * \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ & * & * & * \\ & & * & * \\ & & & * \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. Both are maximal, so the Eisenstein series for these parabolics are functions of a single complex variable. The parabolics with the same shape on GL_4 are respectively maximal and non-maximal, with respective Eisenstein series in one and two complex variables. On the other hand, the parabolics with the same shape on $GU(2, 2)$ are both maximal and give Eisenstein series in a single variable. Both the integral representations given here are of the products of the pair of Eisenstein series on GL_4 or $GU(2, 2)$ with a cusp form in a generic automorphic representation.

We give rough statements of the main theorems of the paper. For precise definitions of the groups, Eisenstein series, etc., see Sections 2 or 3. We first give the integral on $GL_{4/F}$, F a number field. Everywhere in this paper, \mathbf{A} denotes the adèles \mathbf{A}_F of F . We write ζ_F for the Dedekind zeta function of F .

Theorem 1.1 *If π is a cuspidal automorphic representation on $GL_{4/F}$ with trivial central character and $E_P(g, w)$ and $E_Q(g, s_1, s_2)$ are the Eisenstein series with degenerate data associated to the parabolics P and Q given by the respective shapes in (1.1), then we*

have

$$\int_{\text{GL}_4(F)Z(\mathbf{A}) \backslash \text{GL}_4(\mathbf{A})} \phi(g)E_P(g, w)E_Q(g, s_1, s_2) dg =_S \frac{L(\pi, \text{Std}, 2w + s_1 - s_2 - \frac{1}{2})L(\pi, \wedge^2, 2s_1 + 2s_2 - 1)L(\pi, \wedge^3, 2w - s_1 + s_2 - \frac{1}{2})}{\zeta_F(4w)\zeta_F(4s_1)\zeta_F(4s_2)\zeta_F(4w - 1)\zeta_F(4s_1 + 4s_2 - 2)},$$

where $=_S$ means that the two sides of the equality are both Eulerian (i.e., factor into local components for each place) and, that the local components are the same away from a finite set of places S .

We have the following result for the group $\text{GU}(2, 2)$. Write E/F for the totally imaginary quadratic extension used to define this group. (See Section 3 for details.)

Theorem 1.2 *If π is a generic cuspidal automorphic representation on $\text{GU}(2, 2)$ with trivial central character and $E_P(g, w)$ and $E_Q(g, s)$ are the Siegel and Klingen Eisenstein series on $\text{GU}(2, 2)$ respectively, then we have*

$$\int_{\text{GU}(2,2)(F)Z(\mathbf{A}) \backslash \text{GU}(2,2)(\mathbf{A})} \phi(g)E_P(g, w)E_Q(g, s) dg =_S \frac{L(\pi, \text{Std}, 2w - \frac{1}{2})L(\pi, \wedge^2, 3s - 1)}{L(\epsilon_{E/F}, 4w - 1)\zeta_F(4w)\zeta_E(3s)\zeta_F(6s - 2)},$$

where $\epsilon_{E/F}$ is the quadratic character of the field extension.

2 Three-variable Rankin–Selberg Integral for GL_4

Let $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$ be the ordered basis of V_4 , the standard representation of $G = \text{GL}_4$ with the right action. Define the standard maximal parabolic P and non-maximal parabolic Q to be the respective stabilizers of the flags $\langle b_3, b_4 \rangle$ and $\langle b_4 \rangle \subseteq \langle b_2, b_3, b_4 \rangle$. These definitions agree with the shapes given by (1.1) in the introduction.

We define Eisenstein series for P and Q with degenerate data. For P , pick a standard section $f_P(g, w) \in \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbf{A})}^{G(\mathbf{A})} \delta_P^w$ that factorizes as $f_P(g, w) = \prod_v f_{P,v}(g_v, w)$, $g_v \in \text{GL}_4(F_v)$. For Q , first denote by $P_{3,1}$ the maximal parabolic stabilizing the line $\langle b_4 \rangle$ via the right action, so that the elements of $P_{3,1}$ have 0's for their $(4, 1)$, $(4, 2)$, and $(4, 3)$ entries. Similarly define $P_{1,3}$ to be the parabolic with 0's in the spots $(1, 2)$, $(1, 3)$, and $(1, 4)$, so that $Q = P_{3,1} \cap P_{1,3}$. Then we pick a standard section $f_Q(g, s_1, s_2)$ in $\text{Ind}_{Q(\mathbf{A})}^{G(\mathbf{A})} (\delta_{P_{3,1}}^{s_1} \delta_{P_{1,3}}^{s_2})$ that similarly factorizes as $\prod_v f_{Q,v}(g_v, s_1, s_2)$. The Eisenstein series are then

$$E_P(g, w) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(F) \backslash \text{GL}_4(F)} f_P(\gamma g, w),$$

$$E_Q(g, s_1, s_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in Q(F) \backslash \text{GL}_4(F)} f_Q(\gamma g, s_1, s_2),$$

which are absolutely convergent when the real parts of w, s_1, s_2 are sufficiently large. If π is a cuspidal representation, and ϕ is in the space of π , the global integral is

$$I(\phi, s_1, s_2, w) = \int_{\text{GL}_4(F)Z(\mathbf{A}) \backslash \text{GL}_4(\mathbf{A})} \phi(g)E_P(g, w)E_Q(g, s_1, s_2) dg.$$

We will show that the integral unfolds to the Whittaker model of ϕ and that it represents the product of the L -functions of the three fundamental representations of SL_4 .

2.1 Global Construction

Set

$$v_P = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad v_Q = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & & \\ \hline & 1 & \\ \hline & & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

The following lemma will be used in the unfolding.

Lemma 2.1 *The double coset space $Q(F)\backslash GL_4(F)/P(F)$ is represented by the four permutation matrices corresponding to the permutations*

$$\{v_P v_Q^{-1} = (1243), 1, \gamma_1 = (123), \gamma_2 = (243)\}.$$

For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the stabilizer of the coset $Q(F)\gamma_i$ inside $P(F)$ contains the unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup of GL_4 .

Proof The Bruhat decomposition gives

$$Q(F)\backslash GL_4(F)/P(F) = \langle (23) \rangle \backslash S_4 / \langle (12), (34) \rangle.$$

The coset space $S_4 / \langle (12), (34) \rangle$ is represented by the six permutations $\sigma \in S_4$ with $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2)$ and $\sigma(3) < \sigma(4)$. Letting $\langle (23) \rangle$ act on this set, one finds 4 distinct lists of the form $(\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \sigma(3), \sigma(4))$, namely $(1, 2, 3, 4)$, $(1, 4, 2, 3)$, $(2, 3, 1, 4)$, and $(2, 4, 1, 3)$. These correspond to the permutations listed. The stabilizer for γ_1 contains the unipotent radical of the parabolic $P_{3,1}$ and the stabilizer for γ_2 contains the unipotent radical of $P_{1,3}$. ■

Define $R = (v_P^{-1} Q v_P) \cap (v_Q^{-1} P v_Q) \subseteq GL_4$. Then R consists of matrices of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} * & * & * \\ \hline & * & * \\ \hline & & * \end{array} \right).$$

Define R^0 to be the unipotent radical of R .

Proposition 2.2 *Denote by*

$$W_\phi^\chi(g) = \int_{U_B(F)\backslash U_B(\mathbf{A})} \chi^{-1}(u)\phi(ug) du$$

the Whittaker model of ϕ attached to a non-degenerate character $\chi: U_B(F)\backslash U_B(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$. Then

$$I(\phi, s_1, s_2, w) = \int_{R^0(\mathbf{A})Z(\mathbf{A})\backslash GL_4(\mathbf{A})} W_\phi^\chi(g) f_P(v_Q g, w) f_Q(v_P g, s_1, s_2) dg.$$

Proof Unfolding E_P and then E_Q gives, using Lemma 2.1 and the cuspidality of ϕ ,

$$I(\phi, s_1, s_2, w) = \int_{((v_Q v_P^{-1} Q(F) v_P v_Q^{-1}) \cap P(F)) Z(\mathbf{A}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbf{A})} \phi(g) f_P(g, w) f_Q(v_P v_Q^{-1} g, s_1, s_2) dg.$$

Changing variables, this is

$$\int_{R(F) Z(\mathbf{A}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbf{A})} \phi(g) f_P(v_Q g, w) f_Q(v_P g, s_1, s_2) dg.$$

Forming an inner integral over $R^0(F) \backslash R^0(\mathbf{A})$ and Fourier expanding along the rest of the unipotent radical of the Borel gives the result. ■

For v a place of F , define

$$I_v(W, s_1, s_2, w) = \int_{R^0(F_v) Z(F_v) \backslash G(F_v)} W_\phi^{\chi, v}(g) f_P(v_Q g, w) f_Q(v_P g, s_1, s_2) dg.$$

Also write ζ_{F_v} for the local factor at v of the ζ -function of F .

2.2 Unramified Computation

In this section we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 *When all the data is unramified and v is non-Archimedean,*

$$I_v(W, s_1, s_2, w) = \frac{L(\pi_v, \mathrm{Std}, 2w + s_1 - s_2 - \frac{1}{2}) L(\pi_v, \wedge^2, 2s_1 + 2s_2 - 1) L(\pi_v, \wedge^3, 2w - s_1 + s_2 - \frac{1}{2})}{\zeta_{F_v}(4w) \zeta_{F_v}(4s_1) \zeta_{F_v}(4s_2) \zeta_{F_v}(4w - 1) \zeta_{F_v}(4s_1 + 4s_2 - 2)}.$$

Proof Let T denote the diagonal torus of GL_4 , a typical element of which is $t = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$, and let U_B denote the unipotent radical of the upper-triangular Borel of GL_4 . Since everything is local, we write F for F_v , I for I_v , W for $W_\phi^{\chi, v}$, f_P and f_Q for $f_{P, v}$ and $f_{Q, v}$, p for a uniformizer of \mathcal{O}_F , and $|\cdot|$ for the absolute value on F such that $|p|$ is the size of \mathcal{O}_F modulo its maximal ideal. Then $I(W, s_1, s_2, w)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Z \backslash T} \delta_B^{-1}(t) W(t) \int_{R^0 \backslash U_B} \chi(u) f_P(v_Q u t, w) f_Q(v_P u t, s_1, s_2) du dt \\ &= \int_{Z \backslash T} \delta_B^{-1}(t) W(t) \left| \frac{t_1}{t_4} \right| \int_{R^0 \backslash U_B} \chi(t u t^{-1}) f_P(v_Q t u, w) f_Q(v_P t u, s_1, s_2) du dt \\ &= \int_{Z \backslash T} \delta_B^{-1}(t) W(t) \left| \frac{t_1}{t_4} \right| \left| \frac{t_1 t_3}{t_2 t_4} \right|^{2w} \left| \frac{t_2 t_1 t_4}{t_3^3} \right|^{s_1} \left| \frac{t_2^3}{t_1 t_4 t_3} \right|^{s_2} \\ & \quad \times \int_{R^0 \backslash U_B} \chi(t u t^{-1}) f_P(v_Q u, w) f_Q(v_P u, s_1, s_2) du dt. \end{aligned}$$

Parametrize $Z \backslash T$ by $(a, b, c) \in F^\times \times F^\times \times F^\times$ with (a, b, c) mapping to the diagonal element $t(a, b, c) = \mathrm{diag}(abc, bc, c, 1)$. As usual, set $K = \delta_B^{-1/2} W$. With $t = t(a, b, c)$,

then

$$\delta_B^{-1}(t)W(t)\left|\frac{t_1}{t_4}\right|\left|\frac{t_1 t_3}{t_2 t_4}\right|^{2w}\left|\frac{t_2 t_1 t_4}{t_3^3}\right|^{s_1}\left|\frac{t_2^3}{t_1 t_4 t_3}\right|^{s_2} = K(t(a, b, c))|ac|^{2w-\frac{1}{2}}\left|\frac{ab^2}{c}\right|^{s_1-\frac{1}{4}}\left|\frac{b^2 c}{a}\right|^{s_2-\frac{1}{4}},$$

and the vanishing properties of W imply that this expression is 0 unless $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_F$.

With $t = t(a, b, c)$ and $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_F$, we compute the inner integral. For $r \in F$, let $n_{ij}(r) = 1 + rE_{ij}$ and let E_{ij} denote the matrix with 1 at the (i, j) position and 0's elsewhere. Then $R^0 \setminus U_B$ is isomorphic to $F \times F \times F$ via the map sending (x, y, z) to $n_{12}(x)n_{23}(y)n_{34}(z)$. Observe that the two-by-two matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ r & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is right K -equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$ when $|r| > 1$. It follows that $f_P(v_Q u, w) = f_P(v_Q n_{23}(y), w) = |y|^{-4w}$ if $|y| > 1$ and is 1 if $|y| \leq 1$. Similarly,

$$f_Q(v_P u, s_1, s_2) = f_Q(v_P n_{12}(x), s_1, s_2) f_Q(v_P n_{34}(z), s_1, s_2).$$

The section $f_Q(v_P n_{12}(x), s_1, s_2)$ is 1 when $|x| \leq 1$ and is $|x|^{-4s_2}$ when $|x| > 1$, and $f_Q(v_P n_{34}(z), s_1, s_2)$ is 1 when $|z| \leq 1$ and $|z|^{-4s_1}$ when $|z| > 1$.

The inner integral is thus

$$\left(1 + \int_{|y|>1} \psi(by)|y|^{-4w} dy\right) \left(1 + \int_{|x|>1} \psi(ax)|x|^{-4s_2} dx\right) \left(1 + \int_{|z|>1} \psi(cz)|z|^{-4s_1} dz\right) = \frac{1}{\zeta(4w)\zeta(4s_1)\zeta(4s_2)} \times \left(\frac{1 - |p|^{(m+1)(4w-1)}}{1 - |p|^{4w-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1 - |p|^{(\ell+1)(4s_2-1)}}{1 - |p|^{4s_2-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1 - |p|^{(n+1)(4s_1-1)}}{1 - |p|^{4s_1-1}}\right),$$

where ℓ, m, n are the p -adic valuations of a, b, c .

Let us write $K[\ell, m, n]$ for $K(t(p^\ell, p^m, p^n))$. We deduce that $I(W, s_1, s_2, w)$ is

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(4w)\zeta(4s_1)\zeta(4s_2)} \times \sum_{\ell, m, n \geq 0} K[\ell, m, n] |p|^{(\ell+n)(2w-\frac{1}{2})} |p|^{(\ell+2m-n)(s_1-\frac{1}{4})} |p|^{(-\ell+2m+n)(s_2-\frac{1}{4})} \times \left(\frac{1 - |p|^{(m+1)(4w-1)}}{1 - |p|^{4w-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1 - |p|^{(\ell+1)(4s_2-1)}}{1 - |p|^{4s_2-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1 - |p|^{(n+1)(4s_1-1)}}{1 - |p|^{4s_1-1}}\right).$$

Set

$$X = |p|^{(2w-\frac{1}{2})+(s_1-\frac{1}{4})-(s_2-\frac{1}{4})}, \\ Y = |p|^{2[(s_1-\frac{1}{4})+(s_2-\frac{1}{4})]}, \\ Z = |p|^{(2w-\frac{1}{2})-(s_1-\frac{1}{4})+(s_2-\frac{1}{4})}.$$

Then $XZ = |p|^{4w-1}$, $\frac{YZ}{X} = |p|^{4s_2-1}$, $\frac{XY}{Z} = |p|^{4s_1-1}$, and the expression above is the reciprocal of the zeta factors times

$$\sum_{\ell, m, n \geq 0} K[\ell, m, n] Y^m \left(\frac{1 - (XZ)^{m+1}}{1 - XZ}\right) \left(\frac{X^{\ell+1} - (YZ)^{\ell+1}}{X - YZ}\right) \left(\frac{Z^{n+1} - (XY)^{n+1}}{Z - XY}\right).$$

By Lemma 2.4 below and the Casselman–Shalika formula, this is

$$\left(\sum_{t,v \geq 0} A_\pi[t, 0, v] X^t Z^v \right) \left(\sum_{u \geq 0} A_\pi[0, u, 0] Y^u \right),$$

where $A_\pi[n_1, n_2, n_3]$ is the character $A[n_1, n_2, n_3]$ defined in the statement of Lemma 2.4 evaluated on the conjugacy class associated to π_v in $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$. This product is

$$\frac{L(\pi_v, \text{Std}, 2w + s_1 - s_2 - \frac{1}{2}) L(\pi_v, \wedge^3, 2w - s_1 + s_2 - \frac{1}{2}) L(\pi_v, \wedge^2, 2s_1 + 2s_2 - 1)}{\zeta_{F_v}(4w - 1) \zeta_{F_v}(4s_1 + 4s_2 - 2)},$$

so the theorem follows. ■

Denote by $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ the fundamental weights of SL_4 , so that ω_1 is the highest weight of the standard representation, ω_2 is the highest weight of \wedge^2 , and ω_3 is the highest weight of \wedge^3 or the dual to the standard representation.

Lemma 2.4 Write $A[n_1, n_2, n_3]$ for the character of the irreducible representation of SL_4 with highest weight $n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 + n_3\omega_3$. Then one has the identity of power series

(2.2)

$$\sum_{\ell, m, n \geq 0} A[\ell, m, n] Y^m \left(\frac{1 - (XZ)^{m+1}}{1 - XZ} \right) \left(\frac{X^{\ell+1} - (YZ)^{\ell+1}}{X - YZ} \right) \left(\frac{Z^{n+1} - (XY)^{n+1}}{Z - XY} \right) = \left(\sum_{t,v \geq 0} A[t, 0, v] X^t Z^v \right) \left(\sum_{u \geq 0} A[0, u, 0] Y^u \right).$$

Proof We first claim that the coefficient of $X^t Y^u Z^v$ on the right-hand side of (2.2) is

$$A[t, 0, v] A[0, u, 0] = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq i \leq t \\ 0 \leq j \leq u - i \\ u - v \leq j + k}} A[t + u - 2i - j, i + j - k, v - u + j + 2k].$$

This is obtained by applying the Littlewood–Richardson rule. The terms on the right-hand side correspond to strict extensions of the Young diagram $D_{t,0,v}$ with rows of size $(t + v, v, v)$ by the Young diagram $D_{0,u,0}$ with rows of size (u, u) . The parameters i, j , and k correspond respectively to the number of boxes labeled 1 added to the second row of $D_{t,0,v}$, the number of boxes labeled 2 added to the second row of $D_{t,0,v}$, and the number of boxes labeled 2 added to the third row of $D_{t,0,v}$. These three parameters completely determine the extension, since the remainder of the 1’s and 2’s must go in the top row and fourth row, respectively. The inequalities and the weight of the representation are easily computed from the resulting diagram.

The coefficient of $A[\ell, m, n]$ on the left-hand side of (2.2) can be rewritten as

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\ell} X^{\ell-\alpha} Y^\alpha Z^\alpha \right) \left(\sum_{\beta=0}^m X^\beta Y^m Z^\beta \right) \left(\sum_{\gamma=0}^n X^\gamma Y^\gamma Z^{n-\gamma} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in [0, \ell] \\ \beta \in [0, m] \\ \gamma \in [0, n]}} X^{\ell-\alpha+\beta+\gamma} Y^{m+\alpha+\gamma} Z^{n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma}.$$

We need to identify the left-hand side of (2.2) with

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{t,u,v \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq i \leq t \\ 0 \leq j \leq u-i \\ u-v \leq j+k}} A[t+u-2i-j, i+j-k, v-u+j+2k].$$

Rearranging the summation in (2.2) using (2.3) and the change of variable $\ell = \alpha + \alpha', m = \beta + \beta',$ and $n = \gamma + \gamma',$ we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha', \beta', \gamma' \geq 0} A[\alpha + \alpha', \beta + \beta', \gamma + \gamma'] X^{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} Y^{\alpha + \beta + \beta' + \gamma} Z^{\alpha + \beta + \gamma'}.$$

If one instead starts with (2.4), applies the substitutions $\alpha = u - i - j, \alpha' = t - i, \beta = i - k, \beta' = j, \gamma = k,$ and $\gamma' = v - u + j + k,$ and rearranges the resulting system of inequalities, one again arrives at (2.5). ■

3 Two-variable Rankin–Selberg Integral for $GU(2, 2)$

In this section we give the two-variable integral on $GU(2, 2)$ mentioned above. This integral is the quasi-split version of the three-variable integral on $GL_4.$ Since many of the computations are identical to those in Section 2, we only provide brief proofs.

3.1 Notation

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of fields. Denote by J_4 the matrix

$$J_4 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ & & & -1 & & \\ & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & -1 & & \end{array} \right).$$

We define $G = GU(2, 2)_F$ to be the algebraic group over F consisting of the elements $(g, v(g)) \in (\text{Res}_F^E GL_4) \times GL_{1/F}$ that satisfy $gJ_4^*g = v(g)J_4,$ where *g denotes conjugate transpose.

We denote by (W_4, J_4) the four dimensional skew-Hermitian vector space over $E,$ which is the defining representation of $G.$ The group G acts on the right of $W_4.$ We write $\{e_1, e_2, f_2, f_1\}$ for the ordered basis of W_4 and write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the skew-Hermitian form associated with $J_4.$ Thus, $\langle \lambda w_1, \mu w_2 \rangle = \lambda \bar{\mu} \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ for $w_1, w_2 \in W_4$ and $\lambda, \mu \in E.$ Moreover, $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} = -\langle f_j, e_i \rangle$ and $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \langle f_i, f_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$

3.2 Dual Groups and L -functions

The integral representation studied in this section will produce a degree 6 (exterior square) and degree 8 (standard) L -function on $PGU(2, 2).$ We define the dual groups and L -functions, and then provide more explicit descriptions at places where π_v is unramified.

3.2.1 Dual Groups

Recall that the dual group of $G = \text{GU}(2, 2)$ is

$${}^L G = (\text{GL}_1(\mathbf{C}) \times \text{GL}_4(\mathbf{C})) \rtimes \text{Gal}(E/F).$$

The nontrivial element θ of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ acts on $\text{GL}_1(\mathbf{C}) \times \text{GL}_4(\mathbf{C})$ by

$$(\lambda, g) \mapsto (\lambda \det(g), \Phi_4 {}^t g^{-1} \Phi_4^{-1}),$$

where

$$\Phi_4 = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & -1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ -1 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

(See, for instance, [14].) The adjoint group $\text{PGU}(2, 2)$ of G has dual group given by the derived subgroup $\text{SL}_4(\mathbf{C}) \rtimes \text{Gal}(E/F)$ of ${}^L G$.

3.2.2 Exterior Square Representation of ${}^L G$

We now define the “exterior square” representation of ${}^L G$. A very clear discussion of this representation is given in [5, Section 2]. For the convenience of the reader, we now recall this discussion, following [5] closely.

Let V_4 denote the standard 4-dimensional representation of $\text{GL}_4(\mathbf{C})$, and let ${}^L G^\circ = \text{GL}_1(\mathbf{C}) \times \text{GL}_4(\mathbf{C})$ act on $\wedge^2 V_4 \cong \mathbf{C}^6$ via $(\lambda, g) \mapsto \lambda \wedge^2(g)$. Denote this representation by $\rho^\circ: {}^L G^\circ \rightarrow \text{GL}_6(\mathbf{C})$. Then $x \mapsto \rho^\circ(x)$ and $x \mapsto \rho^\circ(\theta^{-1}x\theta)$ are irreducible representations of $\text{GL}_1(\mathbf{C}) \times \text{GL}_4(\mathbf{C})$ with the same highest weight, and thus are isomorphic. Hence, there is an element $A \in \text{GL}(\wedge^2 V_4)$ satisfying $\rho^\circ(\theta^{-1}x\theta) = A^{-1}\rho^\circ(x)A$. Since A and $-A$ induce the same conjugation action, we can and do choose A to have positive trace.

For example, if one uses the ordered bases

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\} \text{ for } V_4, \\ &\{v_1 \wedge v_2, v_1 \wedge v_3, v_1 \wedge v_4, v_2 \wedge v_3, v_2 \wedge v_4, v_3 \wedge v_4\} \text{ for } \wedge^2 V_4, \end{aligned}$$

then A can be represented by the block diagonal matrix

$$(3.2) \quad A = \text{diag} \left(\mathbf{1}_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{1}_2 \right),$$

where $\mathbf{1}_2$ is the 2×2 identity matrix.

The map that sends $x = (\lambda, g)$ to $\rho^\circ(x)$ and $(1, 1) \rtimes \theta$ to A defines a representation $\rho: {}^L G \rightarrow \text{GL}_6(\mathbf{C})$, where ${}^L G = {}^L G^\circ \rtimes \text{Gal}(E/F) = (\text{GL}_1(\mathbf{C}) \times \text{GL}_4(\mathbf{C})) \rtimes \text{Gal}(E/F)$. This representation is what we refer to as the exterior square; we denote it by \wedge^2 .

Remark 3.1 Had we chosen A with negative trace, we would get a different six-dimensional representation \wedge_{neg}^2 of ${}^L G$. The results below pertain to \wedge^2 , not \wedge_{neg}^2 .

The standard representation of ${}^L G$ has a simpler definition. If $x = (\lambda, g) \in {}^L G^\circ$, let $\rho_{\text{std}}^\circ(x) = \lambda g \in GL(V_4)$. Then the standard representation ρ_{std} is the 8-dimensional representation $\rho_{\text{std}}: {}^L G \rightarrow GL_8(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $\rho_{\text{std}} = \text{Ind}_{{}^L G^\circ}^{{}^L G} \rho_{\text{std}}^\circ$.

3.2.3 Unramified L -factors

Suppose that for a finite place v , π_v is an unramified representation of $GU(2, 2)$. We consider two cases.

Case 1 (v splits in E): If v splits in E , then there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}_v \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_v \oplus \mathbb{Q}_v$. This identification induces projections $p_i: GU(2, 2)/\mathbb{Q}_v \rightarrow GL_4/\mathbb{Q}_v$ corresponding to each factor $i \in \{1, 2\}$, where for a \mathbb{Q} -algebra R and group G over \mathbb{Q} we write G/R for its scalar extension to R . We obtain an isomorphism $GU(2, 2)/\mathbb{Q}_v \cong GL_1/\mathbb{Q}_v \times GL_4/\mathbb{Q}_v$ defined by mapping g to the pair $(\mu(g), p_1(g))$. This induces a unique identification between the dual groups of $GU(2, 2)/\mathbb{Q}_v$ and $GL_1/\mathbb{Q}_v \times GL_4/\mathbb{Q}_v$, so we can use ${}^L G^\circ = GL_1(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_4(\mathbb{C})$ to define the local L -factor.

Thus for v split, we have

$$L(\pi_v, \wedge^2, s) = L(\pi'_v, \lambda \times \wedge^2, s) \text{ and } L(\pi_v, \text{Std}, w) = L(\pi'_v, \lambda \times \text{Std}, w)L(\pi'_v, \lambda \times \wedge^3, w).$$

Here, π'_v denotes the representation π_v thought of as a representation of $GL_1 \times GL_4$, the notation $\lambda \times \rho$ denotes the tensor product of the tautological 1-dimensional representation of $GL_1(\mathbb{C})$ with a representation ρ of GL_4 , and the L -functions of π'_v are those considered in Section 2.

Case 2 (v is inert in E): If the finite place v is inert in E and π_v is unramified, then the exterior square and standard L -functions are related to L -functions for an embedded symplectic group. Let T denote the diagonal torus of G . Define $G' = \text{GSp}_4 \subseteq G$ to be the group of matrices $g \in GL_4/F$ that satisfy $gJ_4^t g = \nu(g)J_4$ for some $\nu(g) \in F^\times$, and denote by $T' = T \cap G'$ the diagonal torus of G' .

The dual group of G' is ${}^L G' = \text{GSpin}_5(\mathbb{C})$. We write Spin for its 4-dimensional spin representation; under the isomorphism $\text{GSpin}_5(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, this is the defining four-dimensional representation of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$. We denote by Std the five-dimensional representation of $\text{GSpin}_5(\mathbb{C})$ that sits inside the exterior square of the Spin representation. Letting $\mu: \text{GSpin}_5(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{C})$ denote the similitude, $\text{Std} \otimes \mu^{-1}$ factors through $\text{GSpin}_5(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{SO}_5(\mathbb{C})$ and has an invariant quadratic form.

The following well-known proposition relates L -functions for G to L -functions for G' when v is inert in E .

Proposition 3.2 *Suppose that v is inert in E , α is an unramified character of T , and π_v is an unramified irreducible subquotient of $\text{Ind}_B^G(\delta_B^{1/2} \alpha)$. Denote by α' the restriction of α to T' , and suppose that π'_v is an unramified irreducible subquotient of $\text{Ind}_{B'}^{G'}(\delta_{B'}^{1/2} \alpha')$. Then if π_v has central character ω_π ,*

$$L(\pi_v, \wedge^2, s) = L(\pi'_v, \text{Spin}, s)L(\omega_\pi, 2s),$$

$$L(\pi_v, \text{Std}, w) = \frac{L(\pi'_v, \text{Std}, 2w)}{L(\omega_\pi, 2w)}.$$

The first part of this proposition is implicit in [5]. Let $p = p_\nu$ be a uniformizer of F_ν . Note that the reciprocals of both sides of the first equality are of degree 6 polynomials in $|p|_{F_\nu}^s$, and that the reciprocals of both sides of the second equality are degree four polynomials in $|p|_{F_\nu}^{2w}$ and thus degree eight polynomials in $|p|_{F_\nu}^w$. We omit the proof of Proposition 3.2, which follows easily from the explicit choices of bases and the matrix A given in (3.1) and (3.2).

3.3 The Global Integral

We define the Siegel parabolic P of G to be the stabilizer of the (isotropic) subspace $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \subseteq W_4$, and the Klingen parabolic Q to be the stabilizer of the line $\langle f_1 \rangle$. These are consistent with the shapes (1.1) from the introduction. If $f_P \in \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbf{A})}^{G(\mathbf{A})} \delta_P^w$ and $f_Q \in \text{Ind}_{Q(\mathbf{A})}^{G(\mathbf{A})} \delta_Q^s$ are standard sections with respective factorizations $\prod_\nu f_{P,\nu}$ and $\prod_\nu f_{Q,\nu}$, then the Siegel and Klingen Eisenstein series are, respectively,

$$E_P(g, w) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(F) \backslash G(F)} f_P(\gamma g, w) \quad \text{and} \quad E_Q(g, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in Q(F) \backslash G(F)} f_Q(\gamma g, s).$$

The global integral is

$$I(\phi, s, w) = \int_{G(F)Z_E(\mathbf{A}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})} \phi(g) E_P(g, w) E_Q(g, s) dg.$$

The unfolding of $I(\phi, s, w)$ is identical to that of the three-variable integral considered in Section 2. Namely, set

$$v_P = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline 1 & \\ \hline & 1 \\ \hline & & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad v_Q = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & & \\ \hline & 1 & \\ \hline & -1 & \\ \hline & & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Define $R = (v_P^{-1} Q v_P) \cap (v_Q^{-1} P v_Q)$. Then R is the subgroup of G consisting of matrices of the form in (2.1). Denote by $U_B \subseteq B$ the unipotent radical of the upper-triangular Borel of G , and suppose $\chi: U_B(F) \backslash U_B(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ is a nondegenerate character of U_B . Define

$$W_\phi^\chi(g) = \int_{U_B(F) \backslash U_B(\mathbf{A})} \chi^{-1}(u) \phi(ug) du$$

to be the χ -Whittaker model of ϕ .

Proposition 3.3 *Suppose π has trivial central character. Denote by Z_E the center of G , which is E^\times embedded diagonally, and let R^0 be the unipotent radical of R . Then for any choice of nondegenerate character χ of U_B ,*

$$(3.3) \quad I(\phi, s, w) = \int_{R^0(\mathbf{A})Z_E(\mathbf{A}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})} W_\phi^\chi(g) f_P(v_Q g, w) f_Q(v_P g, s) dg.$$

Proof One proves that the double coset space $Q(F) \backslash G(F) / P(F)$ is represented by $\{1, v_P v_Q^{-1}\}$, and then the unfolding proceeds exactly as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. ■

3.4 Unramified Calculation

As is well known, the global Whittaker coefficient W_ϕ^χ factorizes over the places v of F as a product of local Whittaker functions whenever ϕ is a pure tensor in a tensor-product decomposition of π . Thus, the integral on the right-hand side of (3.3) is an Euler product. We now compute the local analogues of this integral when all the data is unramified.

More precisely, assume that v is a finite place of F unramified in E , write $E_v = F_v \otimes_F E$, and write $p = p_v$ for a uniformizer for F_v . Also write \mathcal{O}_{F_v} for the ring of integers of F_v . Denote by $n(x_1, x_2)$ an element of $G(F_v)$ of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & * & * \\ & 1 & x_2 & * \\ & & 1 & * \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $x_1 \in E_v, x_2 \in F_v$. Denote by χ_v the restriction of χ to $U_B(F_v)$. Assume that the character χ_v is unramified in the sense of [4, p. 219]. Set $K_v = G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$. Assume the representation π_v is spherical, and denote by W_v the unique element of $\text{Ind}_{U_B(F_v)}^{G(F_v)} \chi_v$ in the space of the Whittaker model of π_v with $W_v(K_v) = 1$. Finally, assume $f_P(K_v, w) = f_Q(K_v, s) = 1$.

Define

$$I_v(W_v, s, w) = \int_{R(F_v)Z_E(F_v)\backslash G(F_v)} f_{P,v}(v_Q g, w) f_{Q,v}(v_P g, s) W_v(g) dg.$$

Theorem 3.4 *Denote by $\epsilon_{E/F} : F^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_F^\times$ the quadratic character associated with E/F , i.e., $\epsilon_{E/F}(p_v) = 1$ if the place v of F splits in E and $\epsilon_{E/F}(p_v) = -1$ if v is inert in E . (Recall that $p_v = p$ is a uniformizer of F_v .) Then when the data is unramified,*

$$I_v(W_v, s, w) = \frac{L(\pi_v, \text{Std}, 2w - \frac{1}{2})L(\pi_v, \wedge^2, 3s - 1)}{L(\epsilon_{E/F,v}, 4w - 1)\zeta_{F_v}(4w)\zeta_{E_v}(3s)\zeta_{F_v}(6s - 2)}.$$

Here, we have the notation

$$L(\epsilon_{E/F,v}, w) = (1 - \epsilon_{E/F}(p)|p|_v^s)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{E_v}(s) = \prod_{w|v} (1 - |p|_w^s)^{-1}.$$

Proof If v splits in E , this becomes the unramified calculation considered in Section 2. Thus we briefly explain the proof in the case that v is inert in E . Write q for the order of the residue field of the integer ring of F_v . Set $U = q^{-(2w-\frac{1}{2})}, V = q^{-(3s-1)}$, and $K_{\pi_v}^G = \delta_B^{-1/2} W_v$, where W_v is, as above, the spherical Whittaker function of π_v normalized so that $W_v(1) = 1$. Define $I_1(W_v, s, w) = \zeta_{F_v}(4w)\zeta_{E_v}(3s)I(W_v, s, w)$. By manipulations similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we get that

$$I_1(W_v, s, w) = \sum_{n,m \geq 0} V^n U^{2m} \left(\frac{1 - U^{2n+2}}{1 - U^2} \right) \left(\frac{1 - V^{2m+2}}{1 - V^2} \right) K_{\pi_v}^G[m, n],$$

where $K_{\pi_v}^G[m, n] = K_{\pi_v}^G(\text{diag}(p^{m+n}, p^n, 1, p^{-m}))$. Since the rational root system of G is that of GSp_4 , a fact due to Tamir [15] is that the Casselman–Shalika formula for G reduces to that of GSp_4 in the sense that $K_{\pi_v}^G = K_{\pi'_v}^{\text{GSp}_4}$ on the torus T' of $\text{GSp}_4 \subseteq G$.

Here π'_v and T' are as in Proposition 3.2. See [6, Section 5.2] for an exposition of this relationship. Applying this fact, I_1 is computed in [2, Theorem 1.2] to be

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\mathbf{L}(\pi'_v, \text{Std}, U^2)\mathbf{L}(\pi'_v, \text{Spin}, V)}{\zeta_{F_v}(U^4)}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{L}(\pi_v, \text{Std}, Z)$ means $\sum_{k \geq 0} \text{tr}(\text{Sym}^k \text{Std}(A_{\pi_v}))Z^k$, where A_{π_v} is the conjugacy class in ${}^L G$ corresponding to π_v , and similarly for the other \mathbf{L} and ζ -functions. Via Proposition 3.2, (3.4) is equal to

$$\frac{\zeta(U^2)\mathbf{L}(\pi_v, \text{Std}, U)\mathbf{L}(\pi_v, \wedge^2, V)}{\zeta(U^4)\zeta(V^2)} = \frac{L(\pi_v, \text{Std}, 2w - \frac{1}{2})L(\pi_v, \wedge^2, 3s - 1)}{L(\epsilon_{E/F, v}, 4w - 1)\zeta(6s - 2)}.$$

The theorem follows. ■

Acknowledgments We thank the anonymous referee for suggestions that have improved the writing of this paper, as well as for a simplification of the proof of Lemma 2.4. We also thank Wee Teck Gan for catching a referencing error in an earlier version of this article.

References

- [1] D. Bump and S. Friedberg, *The exterior square automorphic L-functions on $GL(n)$* . In: Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part II (Ramat Aviv, 1989), Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 3, Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990, pp. 47–65.
- [2] D. Bump, S. Friedberg, D. Ginzburg, *Rankin-Selberg integrals in two complex variables*. Math. Ann. 313(1999), no. 4, 731–761. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002080050280>
- [3] D. Bump, M. Furusawa, and D. Ginzburg, *Non-unique models in the Rankin-Selberg method*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 468(1995), 77–111.
- [4] W. Casselman and J. Shalika, *The unramified principal series of p -adic groups. II. The Whittaker function*. Compositio Math. 41(1980), no. 2, 207–231.
- [5] M. Furusawa and K. Morimoto, *Shalika periods on $GU(2, 2)$* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141(2013), no. 12, 4125–4137. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2013-11690-4>
- [6] W. T. Gan and J. Hundley, *The spin L-function of quasi-split D_4* . IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap. (2006), Art. ID 68213, 74.
- [7] D. Ginzburg and J. Hundley, *Multivariable Rankin-Selberg integrals for orthogonal groups*. Int. Math. Res. Not. (2004), no. 58, 3097–3119.
- [8] J. Hundley, *Spin L-functions for GSO_{10} and GSO_{12}* . Israel J. Math. 165(2008), 103–132. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11856-008-1006-1>
- [9] J. Hundley and X. Shen, *A multi-variable Rankin-Selberg integral for a product of GL_2 -twisted spinor L-functions*. Monatsh. Math. 181(2016), no. 2, 355–403. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00605-015-0868-7>
- [10] A. Pollack, *Unramified Godement-Jacquet theory for the spin similitude group*. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., to appear.
- [11] A. Pollack and S. Shah, *The Spin L-function on GSp_6 via a non-unique model*. Amer. J. Math., to appear.
- [12] ———, *A multivariate integral representation on $GL_2 \times GSp_4$ inspired by the pullback formula*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/tran/7463>
- [13] ———, *On the Rankin-Selberg integral of Kohnen and Skoruppa*. Math. Res. Lett. 24(2017), no. 1, 173–222. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2017.v24.n1.a8>

- [14] C. Skinner, *Galois representations associated with unitary groups over \mathbf{Q}* . *Algebra Number Theory* 6(2012), no. 8, 1697–1717. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/ant.2012.6.1697>
- [15] B. Tamir, *On L -functions and intertwining operators for unitary groups*. *Israel J. Math.* 73(1991), no. 2, 161–188. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02772947>

School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
e-mail: aaronjp@math.ias.edu

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
e-mail: snshah@math.columbia.edu