


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Field evaluation of a new third generation push-pull technology for control of striga weed, stemborers, and fall armyworm in western Kenya

Duncan Cheruiyot^{1,2} , Frank Chidawanyika^{1,3}, Charles A. O. Midega¹, Jimmy O. Pittchar¹, John A. Pickett⁴ and Zeyaur R. Khan^{1,*}

¹International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (icipe), P.O. Box 30772-00100, Nairobi, Kenya, ²Rwanda Institute for Conservation Agriculture (RICA), Bugesera, Rwanda, ³Department of Zoology and Entomology, University of the Free State, P.O. Box 339, Bloemfontein, 9300, Republic of South Africa and ⁴School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AT, UK
*Corresponding author. Email: zkhan@icipe.org

(Received 15 September 2021; revised 19 November 2021; accepted 03 December 2021; first published online 08 February 2022)

Summary

Production of cereal crops in sub-Saharan Africa is threatened by parasitic striga weeds and attack by stemborers and the invasive fall armyworm (FAW), compounded by increasing hot and dry conditions. A climate-smart push-pull technology (PPT) significantly reduces effects of these biotic challenges. To improve further resilience of the system to climate change, more adapted and suitable companion plants were identified and integrated in a new version of PPT, termed ‘third generation PPT’. Our study evaluates field performance and farmer opinions of this new version in comparison with the earlier version, climate-smart PPT, and farmers’ own practices of growing maize in controlling stemborers, FAW, and striga weeds. Trials were conducted across five locations in western Kenya for two cropping seasons in the year 2019 following a one-farm one-replicate completely randomized design. We assessed infestation on striga, stemborers, and FAW, and yield performance of the three cropping systems. We also sought the opinions of the hosting farmers through semi-structured questionnaires that were administered through individual interviews. Both PPT plots recorded significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower striga count, FAW, and stemborer damage, and higher grain yield than in plots that followed farmers’ own practices. There was no statistically significant difference between the two PPT plots except for stemborer damage for which the third generation PPT recorded higher damage than the climate-smart PPT. However, farmers preferred the third generation PPT for important traits possessed by its companion plants which their counterparts in climate-smart PPT are deficient. The cultivar Xaraes was rated as ‘very good’ for resistance to spider mites, biomass yield, and drought tolerance while *Desmodium intanum* was rated ‘very good’ for seed production and drought tolerance. The third generation PPT is based on companion crops that are more resilient to hot and dry conditions which are increasing rapidly in prevalence with climate change. This version therefore presents a better option to upscale the technology and meet different needs of farmers especially in arid and semi-arid conditions.

Keywords: Cereal pests; Climate-smart agriculture; Companion planting; Small-holder farmers; Western Kenya

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) are the most important cereal crops in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). Maize accounts for 40% of the cereal production in the region, where more than 80% is used as food (FAOSTAT, 2016). The demand for maize in the region is

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.

projected to triple by 2050 due to rapid population growth (Ekpa *et al.*, 2018). However, maize production is threatened mainly by insect pests, weeds, degraded soils, and increasing hot and dry weather conditions associated with climate change (Ziska *et al.*, 2011). Sorghum is the second most important cereal grain in Africa after maize, Africa accounts for approximately 42% of global sorghum production (Hariprasanna and Rakshit, 2016). Importance of sorghum to food security in Africa is largely due to its resistance to drought (Jordan and Sullivan, 1982). According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change report 2019, yields of some crops (e.g., maize and wheat) in many lower-latitude regions with dryland conditions, a characteristic of many parts of Africa, are negatively affected (Mbow *et al.*, 2019). This threatens productivity of rain-fed agriculture, food security, and livelihoods of the majority low-income consumers in the region.

Insect pests, particularly lepidopterous stemborers *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) and *Busseola fusca* (Fuller) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) (FAW), severely impact smallholder cereal production in SSA (Khan *et al.*, 2010; Midega *et al.*, 2010). Yield losses vary with locations and phenological stage of the crop. For instance, up to 100% stalk damage in maize and up to 80% grain yield loss in sorghum by *C. partellus* were observed in Kenya on 20-day-old crops (Kfir *et al.*, 2002). Farmers in low-potential areas of Kenya estimated losses in maize grain yield at 15–21% (De Groote, 2002). The FAW recently invaded SSA causing extensive damage especially to maize and to a lesser degree to sorghum and other poaceous crops including millet, fonio, rice, and sugarcane (Goergen *et al.*, 2016). The pest severely impacts maize production, for instance, farmer perception studies estimated maize grain yield losses of 34%, more than 1 million tons of maize in Kenya alone (De Groote *et al.*, 2020) while field scouting estimated yield losses at 11.6% in Eastern Zimbabwe (Baudron *et al.*, 2019). The parasitic striga weed *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. (Lamiales: Scrophulariaceae) is another significant problem to cereal crop production in SSA (Stewart *et al.*, 1991). It attaches to the roots in response to chemical signals from the host plant and obtains carbon assimilates, water, nutrients, and amino acids from its host, thereby deriving the host of its nutritional requirements and resulting in poor growth and yield (Hooper *et al.*, 2009; Pageau *et al.*, 2003). Degraded environments, poor soil fertility, and climate change effects resulting in up to 100% grain yield aggravate effects of striga. Maize grain yield losses are estimated to be more than US\$7 billion in SSA annually (Berner *et al.*, 1995).

Scientists at the International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (*icipe*), in Kenya in close collaboration with Rothamsted Research, in the United Kingdom, and several national partners developed the push-pull technology (PPT). The technology controls stemborers, FAW, and striga weed while improving soil fertility (Cook *et al.*, 2006; Khan *et al.*, 2001; Zeyaur R. Khan *et al.*, 2008a; Midega *et al.*, 2018, 2015b). The technology involves trapping the pest on highly attractive trap plants (pull) while driving them away from the main crop using repellent intercrops (push). The first generation of PPT consisted of silverleaf desmodium *Desmodium uncinatum* (Jacq.) DC (Fabales: Fabaceae) (push) and Napier grass *Pennisetum purpureum* (Schumach) (Poales: Poaceae) (pull) which were selected during 1995–1998 for pest management across a range of agro-ecologies (Figure 1) (Cook *et al.*, 2006; Khan *et al.*, 2000, 2010). The trap plant releases semi-chemicals that attract stemborers moths while volatile chemicals from the ‘push’ crop further enhance effectiveness of the trap crop by repelling moths away from the main crop (Birkett *et al.*, 2006; Cook *et al.*, 2006; Khan *et al.*, 2000; Pickett *et al.*, 2014; Pickett and Khan, 2016). A total of 41 volatile compounds identified from the wild hosts, Napier grass and *Hyparrhenia tamba* (Poales: Poaceae) and the cultivated hosts maize and sorghum *Sorghum bicolor* (Poales: Poaceae) elicited an electrophysiological response from one or both of stemborer species, *B. fusca* and *C. partellus* (Birkett *et al.*, 2006). Further, the wild hosts produced higher levels of physiologically active green leaf volatiles, hexanal, (*E*)-2-hexenal, (*Z*)-3-hexen-1-ol, (*Z*)-3-hexenyl acetate, and indole compared with either of the cultivated hosts (Birkett *et al.*, 2006). A 95% increase in the green leaf volatiles (hexanal, (*E*)-2-hexenal, (*Z*)-3-hexen-1-ol, and (*Z*)-3-hexen-1-yl acetate) was observed at the onset of scotophase, the light–dark transition period (Chamberlain *et al.*, 2006). This is




Figure 1. Illustration of a first generation push-pull. Source: Pickett *et al.* (2014).

the time when the moths actively seek host plants for egg laying (Päts, 1991). Napier grass varieties that attract oviposition by stemborer moths were identified in previous studies (Khan *et al.*, 2007; Van Den Berg, 2006) and some have been used as trap plants in PPT. However, not all the Napier grass varieties are preferred for oviposition (Calatayud *et al.*, 2008; Khan *et al.*, 2007). On the other hand, desmodium emits the repellent (*E*)-4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene and (*E*)-ocimene in enhanced quantities, which also attract the natural enemies (Khan *et al.*, 2000). The nonatriene in particular has been implicated as an ‘SOS’ signal recruiting predators and parasites (Khan *et al.*, 1997; Mutyambai *et al.*, 2016; Turlings *et al.*, 1995). Indeed, there is increased abundance, diversity, and activity of predatory arthropods in PPT which further improves its effectiveness in pest management (Midega *et al.*, 2015a). Furthermore, a recent study observed soil from desmodium and maize intercrop field induced soil-mediated alterations in plant secondary metabolism which were associated with reduced herbivory by *C. partellus* larvae (Mutyambai *et al.*, 2019).

The mechanism of striga weed control in the system involves production of chemical substances produced by desmodium roots that cause suicidal germination and inhibit radical growth of the weed (Hooper *et al.*, 2010; Khan *et al.*, 2016, 2002; Tsanuo *et al.*, 2003). Soil health is significantly improved in PPT owing to the capacity of desmodium to fix approximately 154 kg of nitrogen per acre per year under optimum conditions (Whitney, 1966). Further, the companion plants provide high value animal fodder, facilitating milk production and diversifying farmers’ sources of income.

The increasingly arid conditions resulting from climate change is a major threat to sustainability and expansion of the original PPT into drier agro-ecologies where striga is rapidly spreading. Efforts to adapt PPT to climate change conditions and thereby ensure sustainable cereal production led to identification of drought tolerant and the consequently suitable greenleaf desmodium, *D. intortum* (Mill.) Urb. (push) and *Brachiaria brizantha* cv Mulato II (Poales: Poaceae) (pull) companion crops as components of a second generation PPT referred to as ‘climate-smart PPT’ (Khan *et al.*, 2018; Midega *et al.*, 2015b). Besides its tolerance to drought, Mulato II supports oviposition by stemborers although less preferred to maize and is preferred as livestock fodder (Cheruiyot *et al.*, 2018b; Chidawanyika *et al.*, 2014; Midega *et al.*, 2011). *D. intortum* on the other hand has similar effects on striga as that of *D. uncinatum* and is also considered by farmers as an excellent fodder (Midega *et al.*, 2010; Murage *et al.*, 2015).

Although the climate-smart PPT was initially developed for control of lepidopterous stemborers and striga weed, a recent study indicates that it is also highly effective in controlling FAW, reducing plant damage caused by the pest by up to 86.7% (Khan *et al.*, 2018). Farmers also rated the technology as superior to other methods in reducing FAW infestation and plant damage rating



Figure 2. Third generation push-pull (3G-PPT) field. *Desmodium incanum* is intercropped with maize as a ‘push’ crop and surrounded by *Brachiaria brizantha* cv Xaraes as a trap crop.

(Midega *et al.*, 2018). Not long after introduction of climate-smart PPT, the invasive spider mites *Oligonychus trichardti* Meyer (Acari: Tetranychidae) emerged as a new threat causing foliar damage of up to 80–100% in Mulato II especially in hot and dry weather regimes that characterize most parts of SSA (Maass *et al.*, 2015). In a recent study, leaf damage caused by the mites was negatively correlated with chlorophyll content and biomass yield of brachiaria grasses, demonstrating the importance of spider mites in growth and development of Mulato II and generally its effectiveness in pest management strategies (Cheruiyot *et al.*, 2018a). The other problem of climate-smart PPT as reported by farmers in eastern Africa is that *D. intortum* does not produce flower and seeds near the equator. This presented new challenges to the system and a need to identify alternate resistant genotypes. Large-scale dissemination of PPT depends on availability of seeds of companion crops to farmers. Seeds of Mulato II are commercially available while imported seeds of *D. intortum* are expensive and their availability is limited, presenting another challenge in up-take of the technology (icipe, 2019).

To address the challenges affecting sustainability and large-scale dissemination of climate-smart PPT, more adapted and suitable alternative brachiaria and desmodium varieties have been identified (Midega *et al.*, 2018). *D. incanum* was selected for its tolerance to longer drought stress conditions (Midega *et al.*, 2017). *D. incanum* also produced flowers and seeds in the regions where *D. intortum* did not flower. Allelochemicals produced in the root exudate of *D. incanum* also effectively inhibited striga development in both pot and field conditions (Hooper *et al.*, 2015). *B. brizantha* cv Xaraes alternatively combines moderate resistance to spider mites, adaptability across different agro-ecologies, and high biomass yield in both field and simulated drought conditions (Cheruiyot *et al.*, 2018a, 2018c). It also attracts oviposition by the pest while being detrimental to its larvae (Cheruiyot *et al.*, 2018b). Farmers rated Xaraes as superior in biomass yield moderately resistant to spider mites, an important pest of brachiaria in the region (Cheruiyot *et al.*, 2020). These results demonstrate potential of the newly identified companion in improving resilience of PPT and sustaining cereal production that depends on the system. Thus, the new companion plants, namely *D. incanum* (push) and Xaraes (pull) (Figure 2), were used to develop a new third generation PPT. In the current study, effectiveness of the new version in controlling stemborers,

Table 1. Average rainfall, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and relative humidity during the period of study in 2019, across five locations in western Kenya

Location	Season	Rainfall (mm)	Temperature (°C)		Relative humidity (%)
			Max	Min	
Bondo	Long rains	443.8	25.5	17.5	78.3
	Short rains	354.8	26.5	17.3	75.5
Siaya	Long rains	345.5	27.8	18.3	77.3
	Short rains	345.8	27.0	18.5	75.8
Vihiga	Long rains	234.8	26.0	15.2	77.8
	Short rains	438.0	25.7	15.0	76.0
Suba	Long rains	237.1	25.3	16.0	78.5
	Short rains	251.3	24.5	16.3	78.8
Kisumu	Long rains	345.5	27.8	18.3	77.3
	Short rains	345.5	27.0	18.5	75.8

FAW, and striga weed is evaluated in comparison with the earlier version of climate-smart PPT, common farmer practices (FPs).

Materials and Methods

Study sites

Trials were conducted during long rains and short rains cropping seasons in 2019 across five locations in western Kenya. The locations were Siaya (0°00'–0°11'N, 34°16'–34°21'E), Vihiga (0°00'–0°05'N, 34°30'–34°35'E), Kisumu (0°00'–0°05'S, 34°35'–34°41'E), Bondo (0°00'–0°05'S, 34°35'–34°41'E), and Suba (0°25'–0°30'S, 34°12'–34°56'E) (Fig. S1). These maize and sorghum-growing regions experience extended periods of drought, receiving an average of 600–1200 mm of rainfall per annum and mean annual temperature of 27°C. The long rainy season begins in April to July and the short rainy season from October to January. The rainfall, temperature, and relative humidity for the period study in each location are presented in Table 1. The cereal crops are severely impacted by the lepidopterous stemborers *C. partellus* and *B. fusca*, attacks by the invasive FAW and striga weed (Khan *et al.*, 2008a, 2001; Midega *et al.*, 2018) in the region, and farmers have adopted PPT for management of these pests (Midega *et al.*, 2015b).

Experimental setup

We conducted on-farm trials in five locations (Siaya, Vihiga, Kisumu, Bondo, and Suba). Trials were replicated five times in different farmers' fields (farms) in each location except in Vihiga and Siaya where four replicates (farms) were done in each of the two locations. Farms (replicates) were randomly selected with the help of local extension officers, and a semi-structured questionnaire was used to determine their willingness to participate in a study to evaluate efficiency of two variants of PPT in comparison with their own practices. Plot size and layout followed the methods as described (Midega *et al.*, 2015b). The three treatments, that is, climate-smart push-pull technology (CS-PPT), the third generation push-pull technology (3G-PPT), and FP (maize monocrop), were established in plots of equal sizes in each farm in a one-farm one-replicate randomized design and were spaced at 10–25 m from each other. This gave five replicates in each location except in Vihiga and Siaya where we had four farms (replicates) in each of the two locations. The plot sizes, however, varied in size from farm to farm (ranging from 18 m by 18 m to 40 m by 40 m). Farmers in the region prefer maize mono cropping; this was done in all the FP plots in the current study. Planting was done at the onset of each season. Maize in all the plots was planted at plant–plant and row–row spacing of 30 and 75 cm, respectively. Desmodium was planted in between rows of maize through a drilling system. Maize/desmodium intercrop was separated by 1 m wide alleyway

from a border crop consisting of four rows of *B. brizantha* cv Mulato II and *B. brizantha* cv Xaraes for climate-smart PPT and third generation PPT, respectively. Brachiaria was planted at plant–plant and row–row spacing of 50cm. Planting in the control plot followed the same procedure, but without desmodium and brachiaria companion crops. The FP plots followed own practices of the farmers who hosted the trials, for example, whether or not to include intercrops of their choices. These farmers mostly practiced maize monocrop. Diammonium phosphate was applied to all the crops as basal fertilizer at a rate of 60 kg/ha and nitrogen as a top dresser 4 weeks after emergence of sorghum in the form of calcium ammonium nitrate, at a rate of 60 kg/ha. Brachiaria and desmodium are perennials; thus, they were planted once and cut back at the onset of subsequent season. Plots were kept weed free by hoe and hand weeding except for striga throughout the growing season.

Data collection

Infestation by striga and stemborers was assessed following procedures previously described (Khan *et al.*, 2008a) where 100 maize plants were randomly selected in each of the plot and tagged for subsequent observations. Three outer rows were excluded from observations (buffer rows) to minimize border effects from the adjacent treatments. At 10 weeks after emergence of maize/sorghum, emerged striga plants were counted within a radius of 15 cm of each of the tagged plants.

At the same time, plants with characteristic foliar damage caused by stemborer larval feeding were counted and expressed as the percentage of plants damaged. Stemborer feeds on foliar of the plant causing ‘window-paned’ and ‘pin-holed’ leaves and/or dead-hearts (Kfir *et al.*, 2002). The FAW infestation was assessed following modifications of procedures previously described (Midega *et al.*, 2018). At 10 weeks after planting, damage was assessed by examining the young leaves, leaf whorls, tassels of 100 randomly selected plants for visible larval damage, and the data expressed as percentage of plants damaged. All plants in each plot were harvested at physiological maturity and grain yields converted into tons per hectare at 12% moisture content.

Opinions of the farmers who hosted the trials in the study (23 farmers) were assessed through semi-structured questionnaires that were administered through individual interviews. The questionnaires were pre-tested before the interviews. The questionnaire assessed farmers’ socio-economic characteristics (e.g., age, gender, and education) and farm characteristics (farm tenure system). We sought farmers’ comparison of the two PPT variants against their own practice in controlling stemborers, FAW, and striga weed. They rated Mulato II and Xaraes trap plants by traits of their interest including resistance to spider mites, forage quantity and quality, and drought tolerance. The two desmodium varieties were compared based on their ability to flowering and set seed, forage quantity, and quality and drought tolerance. Lastly, we determined their willingness to continue practicing and/or expand third generation PPT and whether other farmers in the area were interested in taking up the PPT.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using linear mixed model fitted by REML using *lmer* function from the *lme4* package in R program v.4.0.2. (R Core Team, 2020). Cropping system, location, and their interactions were treated as fixed effects while replicates were treated as random effects, with each season analyzed separately. Prior to analysis, data on striga count were $\log_{10}(x + 1)$ transformed while data on proportions of plants damaged by stemborers and FAW were subjected to arcsine transformation to normalize the data and stabilize the variance. Means were compared using least significant differences and non-transformed means presented in the tables. Data on farmers’ perceptions were subjected to descriptive statistics using statistical package for SPSS version 17 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Non-transformed data are presented in the results section.

Table 2. Analysis of variance for tested effects and their interactions for striga count, stemborer and fall armyworm (FAW) damage, and maize grain yield for different cropping systems evaluated across five locations during two seasons in 2019

Source of variation	Striga count	Stemborer damage	Fall armyworm damage	Grain yield
Long rains 2019				
Location	0.055	<0.001	<0.001	0.000225
Cropping system	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.000001
Farmer	0.839	0.610	0.569	0.616578
Location × cropping system	0.138	0.042	<0.001	0.005178
Location × farmer	0.738	0.216	0.022	0.000829
Cropping system × farmer	0.935	0.329	0.662	0.627338
Short rains 2019				
Location	<0.001	<0.001	0.045	<0.001
Cropping system	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.042
Farmer	0.621	0.770	0.814	0.402
Location × cropping system	0.002	0.018	<0.001	0.008
Location × farmer	0.359	0.071	<0.001	0.036
Cropping system × farmer	0.074	0.208	0.264	0.996

Values in bold are significant ($P < 0.05$).

Results

Field performance of different cropping systems

Results of the long rains 2019 (LR2019) revealed significant effects of the cropping system for striga count ($F_{2,6} = 134$; $P < 0.001$), stemborer damage ($F_{2,7} = 31.54$; $P < 0.001$), FAW damage ($F_{2,7} = 134$; $P < 0.001$), and grain yield ($F_{2,7} = 217$; $P < 0.001$) (Table 2). Effects of location were significant for stemborer damage ($F_{4,14} = 17.59$; $P < 0.001$), FAW damage ($F_{4,14} = 11.74$; $P < 0.001$), and grain yield ($F_{4,14} = 11.46$; $P < 0.001$) while non-significant for striga count suggesting that environmental conditions also play a role in efficiency of PPT in controlling stemborer, FAW, and yield performance of maize. Replications (farms per location) were non-significant. Further, location × cropping system interactions were significant for stemborer damage ($F_{8,29} = 2.38$; $P < 0.05$), FAW damage ($F_{8,29} = 11.23$; $P < 0.001$), and grain yield ($F_{8,29} = 3.60$; $P < 0.05$) but not significant for striga count. During the short rains 2019, significant differences were detected in location for striga count ($F_{4,12} = 9.44$; $P < 0.001$), stemborer damage ($F_{4,12} = 16.47$; $P < 0.001$), FAW damage ($F_{4,12} = 3.36$; $P = 0.04$), and yield ($F_{4,12} = 18.01$; $P < 0.001$). Similarly, effects of cropping system were significant, that is, striga count ($F_{2,7} = 72.81$; $P < 0.001$), stemborer damage ($F_{2,7} = 48.78$; $P < 0.001$), FAW damage ($F_{2,7} = 52.99$; $P < 0.001$), and yield ($F_{2,7} = 93.58$; $P = 0.04$) (Table 2). Analysis also detected significant interactions of location × cropping system for striga count ($F_{8,26} = 4.17$; $P < 0.001$), stemborer damage ($F_{8,26} = 2.90$; $P < 0.001$), FAW damage ($F_{8,26} = 9.46$; $P < 0.001$), and yield ($F_{8,26} = 3.48$; $P = 0.008$) while location × farmer interactions were only significant for FAW damage ($F_{12,24} = 4.39$; $P < 0.001$) and yield ($F_{12,24} = 2.353$; $P = 0.035$).

Means of the parameters in each cropping season in 2019 are presented in Figure 3. Striga weed count, stemborer damage, and FAW damage were significantly high in FP plots compared to both CS-PPT and 3G-PPT. The FP plots recorded the lowest grain yield. Values for these parameters were statistically similar in each season for the CS-PPT and FP-PPT except for striga count during the long rains where striga count in 3G-PPT was higher than in CS-PPT ($P < 0.05$). Results per location revealed that FP plots recorded significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher striga weed infestation than CS-PPT and 3G-PPT across all the locations and seasons except in Bondo (long rains) where FP did not differ significantly with 3G-PPT (179.4 and 127.2, respectively) (Table 3). Stemborer damage followed this trend with exception of Siaya (long rains) where means in all the plots differ significantly from each other with FP recording the highest




Figure 3. Means for striga count per 100 maize plants (A), maize grain yield (t ha⁻¹) (B), and percentage of stemborer and FAW damaged plants (C) in climate-smart push-pull technology (CS-PPT), third generation push-pull technology (3G-PPT) and farmer practice (FP) plots for two cropping seasons in 2019 across five locations in western Kenya.

number of plants damaged (11.3%) and CS-PPT recording the lowest (0.8%). Same trend was observed in Bondo during the short rains season, FP plots recorded the highest stemborer damage (25.8%), and CS-PPT being the least damaged (5.6%). In Kisumu, however, during the long rains season, there was no significant difference in damage caused by the stemborers in the three plots. Concerning plants damaged by the FAW, the three cropping systems differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) with FP plots being the most damaged and CS-PPT the least damaged in Bondo (long rains), Siaya and Suba (short rains). In Bondo (short rains), there was no significance in FAW damage in the three cropping systems. For the rest of locations in each season, FP plots recorded significantly higher damage while 3G-PPT and CS-PPT were the same statistically. Lastly, FP plots recorded significantly ($P < 0.05$) the lowest maize grain yield in all locations and seasons. There were instances of statistical similarities and interchanges between CS-PPT and 3G-PPT. Specifically, in Suba (long and short rains seasons), CS-PPT recorded significantly higher grain yield than 3G-PPT, while 3G-PPT yielded higher in Siaya and Bondo during the short rains season (Table 3).

Table 3. Mean (\pm SEM) number of striga, stemborer and FAW damage, and maize grain yield for different cropping systems in five locations in western Kenya for two seasons in 2019

	Location	Farming type	Striga	Stemborer damage (%)	FAW damage (%)	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)
Long rains 2019	Bondo	3G-PPT	127.2 (14.6)a	16.6 (1.3)ab	26.2 (2.3)b	5.2 (0.2)a
		CS-PPT	31.8 (12.4)b	12.6 (2.8)b	14.6 (2.5)c	4.6 (0.2)a
		FP	179.4 (19.8)a	20.6 (1.1)a	35.0 (3.8)a	2.8 (0.1)b
	Siaya	3G-PPT	16.8 (3.9)b	4.5 (1.2)d	29.3 (10.5)b	3.8 (0.5)a
		CS-PPT	27.0 (6.5)b	0.8 (0.8)c	30.5 (16.8)b	3.5 (0.6)a
		FP	286.0 (59.7)a	11.3 (2.2)a	99.5 (0.3)a	2.2 (0.4)b
	Kisumu	3G-PPT	60.4 (22.8)b	2.8 (1.5)a	6.0 (1.1)b	3.8 (0.3)a
		CS-PPT	16.6 (5.8)b	2.8 (0.9)a	5.4 (1.8)b	3.5 (0.5)a
		FP	286 (44.6)a	6.4 (1.0)a	44.0 (2.3)a	1.6 (0.0)b
	Suba	3G-PPT	19.8 (3.4)b	4.6 (0.4)b	19.0 (1.2)b	3.1 (0.1)b
		CS-PPT	15.0 (2.7)b	4.6 (1.2)b	13.0 (2.0)b	3.6 (0.1)a
		FP	146.8 (19.8)a	19.4 (1.3)a	35.0 (2.5)a	1.6 (0.1)c
	Vihiga	3G-PPT	18.3 (6.2)b	3.8 (1.7)b	17.5 (4.3)b	3.3 (0.2)a
		CS-PPT	6.3 (2.5)b	3.5 (1.3)b	13.3 (2.0)b	3.7 (0.3)a
		FP	262.5 (85.0)a	10.3 (1.3)a	41.5 (3.5)a	1.3 (0.0)b
Short rains 2019	Bondo	3G-PPT	37.2 (7.0)b	13.4 (3.5)b	13.4 (3.5)a	6.2 (0.4)a
		CS-PPT	16.8 (6.9)b	5.6 (5.1)c	10.8 (5.7)a	5.1 (0.2)b
		FP	207.0 (21.5)a	25.8 (7.5)a	25.0 (7.5)a	3.0 (0.3)c
	Siaya	3G-PPT	2.3 (1.3)b	3.3 (1.1)b	17.0 (4.4)b	4.9 (0.3)a
		CS-PPT	2.3 (1.3)b	1.3 (0.8)b	2.0 (2.0)c	3.8 (0.2)b
		FP	295.3 (51.6)a	8.5 (0.6)a	69.0 (5.7)b	2.6 (0.4)c
	Suba	3G-PPT	10.8 (1.0)b	4.4 (0.5)b	22.8 (1.0)b	3.1 (0.2)b
		CS-PPT	19.2 (1.0)b	5.8 (0.4)b	18.2 (1.4)c	3.6 (0.1)a
		FP	250.6 (37.2)a	21.4 (1.3)a	39.2 (1.1)a	1.3 (0.0)c
	Kisumu	3G-PPT	4.3 (2.3)b	2.0 (1.0)b	3.5 (1.1)b	4.0 (0.2)a
		CS-PPT	0.8 (0.7)b	0.5 (0.4)b	3.5 (0.9)b	3.9 (0.2)a
		FP	171.0 (15.7)a	6.8 (0.9)a	22.0 (2.5)a	2.2 (0.1)b
	Vihiga	3G-PPT	11.0 (6.0)b	1.3 (0.3)b	18.3 (4.4)b	4.2 (0.3)a
		CS-PPT	4.3 (2.1)b	1.7 (0.9)b	13.3 (3.2)b	4.2 (0.2)a
		FP	183 (3.8)a	5.3 (0.9)a	30.0 (1.7)a	2.1 (0.1)b

Means within columns followed by the same letter do not differ significantly at $P < 0.05$ (LSD). CS-PPT, climate-smart push-pull technology; 3G-PPT, third generation push-pull technology; FP, farmer practice; FAW, fall armyworm.

Farmers’ opinion on benefits of different cropping systems

Socio-demographics of farmers hosting on-farm trials were determined (Table S1). Majority (36%) of the respondents were aged between 51 and 60 years, while the youth (18–30 years) represented the minority (5%). The farmers mostly attained secondary education (48%) and a few (10%) attained tertiary education (10%). Majority of the farmers (59%) had practiced the CS-PPT for over 5 years while 24% had practiced it for 3–4 years and therefore their opinions on different farming practices tested are considered to be well founded. After trying 3G-PPT for only two seasons, 86% of these farmers were eager to continue practicing this version of PPT. They cited reasons such as drought tolerance by the companion plants (20%), good biomass yield by Xaraes (17%), and FAW reduction by the system (15%). Those who were not willing to continue (14%) stated the main reason as being low biomass yield by *D. incanum*. On their intentions to expand the area of land under 3G-PPT, 72% were willing, while 20% were not. Those who were not willing were mainly limited by availability of farming land (15%) and lack of seeds of companion plants (5%). From their interactions with other farmers in their neighborhood, 50% of the respondents said that other farmers were interested in trying 3G-PPT on their farms.

Farmer ratings on effectiveness of the different cropping systems in controlling stemborers, FAW, and striga weed are presented in Figure 4. The ratings were ‘very poor,’ ‘poor,’ ‘fair,’ ‘good,’ and ‘very good.’ In ‘very good’ category, significantly higher percentage of farmers liked CS-PPT as compared to 3G-PPT in the management of stemborers, while 3G-PPT was preferred for control of FAW. The two PPTs did not differ significantly in control of striga weed and yield




Figure 4. Farmer ratings of climate-smart push-pull technology (CS-PPT), third generation push-pull technology (3G-PPT) and farmer practice (FP) in control of stemborers, fall armyworm (FAW), striga weed, and grain yield improvement.




Figure 5. Farmer ratings of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Mulato II and *B. brizantha* cv Xaraes used trap plants in push-pull systems.




Figure 6. Farmer ratings of *Desmodium intortum* and *D. incanum* intercrops in Push-Pull systems.

performance. Farmer ratings of companion of plants on different traits of interest are presented in Figures 5 and 6. Xaraes was mostly rated as ‘very good’ in resistance to spider mites, quantity and quality of forage, and drought tolerance. Mulato II was mostly rated as ‘good’ in these qualities except for resistance to spider mites where it was mostly rated as ‘poor’. *D. incanum* is mostly

considered as ‘good’ to ‘very good’ in flowering, seed setting, ‘very good’ in drought tolerance, and ‘fair’ in forage quantity and quality. Greenleaf desmodium *D. intortum* on the other hand is mostly rated as ‘very good’ in forage quantity and quality, ‘good’ in drought tolerance, but ‘poor’ in flowering and seed setting.

Discussion

The impact of climate change on cereal production in Africa is projected to increase, posing a threat to the region’s ability to feed its growing population. PPT technology was originally developed to help smallholder cereal-livestock farmers in the continent against a number of biotic and non-biotic production challenges. Our study revealed that an improved version of PPT, a 3G-PPT, based on recently identified companion plants, is better adapted to dry spells and is equally effective in reducing stemborers, striga, and FAW as compared to an earlier version CS-PPT. Improved yields in PPT systems as compared to FPs are attributed to the technology’s cumulative impact including control of striga weed, stemborers, and FAW in PPT (Khan *et al.*, 2008b; Midega *et al.*, 2015a; Vanlauwe *et al.*, 2008). In the current study, infestation by striga was low in CS-PPT and 3G-PPT plots compared to FP plots. This is consistent with previous study which show significantly lower infestation in fields intercropped with *Desmodium* species including *D. incanum*, demonstrating effective control of striga under field conditions (Midega *et al.*, 2018). *D. incanum* is drought tolerant; hence, it guarantees continual striga seed bank depletion even when there is no cereal crop in the field (Midega *et al.*, 2017). Moreover, it does not shed its leaves when exposed to severe drought stress conditions. This ensures consistency in the nitrogen fixation processes, production of root exudates that inhibit striga, and emission of leaf volatiles that repel cereal stemborers. Striga control by both *D. intortum* and *D. incanum* is due to the allelopathic effects by its non-volatile root exudates and benefits of increased availability of nitrogen and soil shading (Hooper *et al.*, 2015; Khan *et al.*, 2000, 2002; Tsanuo *et al.*, 2003).

Farmer participatory research is essential in validating potential of agricultural products and technologies. In the present study, farmers rated the two PPT systems as equally beneficial in control of stemborers, FAW, striga, and with improved yields as compared to their own practices. Preference between CS-PPT and 3G-PPT, nonetheless, differs depending on farmer experience and trait preference of the companion plants. Farmers reported Mulato II as highly susceptible to spider mite *Oligonychus trichardti*, a serious pest of brachiaria despite having good biomass yield and tolerance to drought. Xaraes is a better alternative in areas such as Suba and Kisumu (Table 2) that experience frequent attacks of the mites that coincide with extended periods of dry and hot conditions favorable for the pest. Indeed, Xaraes demonstrated good resistance to spider mites, besides having good biomass yield, during laboratory screening. This is consistent with findings of previous experimental and farmer perception studies which show Mulato II as highly susceptible while Xaraes combines moderate resistance to the pest and high biomass yield (Cheruiyot *et al.*, 2020, 2018a). One of the major challenges in adoption of the technology is shortage of inputs especially desmodium seed, which is expensive for the resource-constrained farmers (Khan *et al.*, 2014). In our study, farmers preferred *D. incanum* for its ability to flower, produce seeds, and survive extended periods of drought although its biomass is lower than that of *D. intortum*. For this reason, farmers practicing 3G-PPT thus have the advantage of producing seeds for own use or sell to other farmers.

In conclusion, although that the 3G-PPT and CS-PPT deliver equal benefits for control of stemborers, striga and FAW, and yield improvement, 3G-PPT ranked as more resilient to climate stressors than CS-PPT. This is in spite of the fact the newly developed version, which was two seasons old by the time of this study, is compared with earlier established climate-smart PPT farms of mostly over 5 years. It is worth mentioning, nonetheless, that efficiency of PPT is incremental over time. For instance, the capability of desmodium to control striga and improve soil

fertility increases continuously (Khan *et al.*, 2008b; Vanlauwe *et al.*, 2008). Thus, with time the 3G-PPT can potentially be even more effective than CS-PPT although an economic evaluation will be of importance to disentangle this. Furthermore, 3G-PPT is based on companion crops that are more resilient to hot and dry conditions, which are increasing rapidly in prevalence with climate change. This will ensure up-scaling of the technology to benefit more farmers especially those experiencing these problems in drier regions. The study further shows, through farmer perception approach that 3G-PPT is acceptable to smallholder farmers because *D. incanum* can produce seeds (Fig. S2), Xaraes is resistant to spider mites (Fig. S2) and can produce high quantity and quality fodder for their livestock while both crops are tolerant to longer drought conditions. Lastly, Xaraes and *D. incanum* most importantly possess key properties that make them suitable for control of lepidopterous pests and striga (Cheruiyot *et al.*, 2018b; Midega *et al.*, 2017). Studies are underway to determine underlying mechanisms of invasive FAW control by these new companion plants. This is vital in ensuring sustainability of the technology in the event of a new invasive pest and that new planting materials release volatiles and root chemicals that are effective in control of pests and parasitic weeds.

Supplementary material. For supplementary material for this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479721000260>

Acknowledgements. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support for this research by European Union (Fall Armyworm IPM Project DCI-FOOD/2018/402-634). The following organizations and agencies provide financial assistance to icipe and to the Push-Pull Programme: UK's Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO); the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida); the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC); the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (NORAD); Biovision foundation (Switzerland); Biotechnology and Biological Science Research Council (BBSRC) of UK; the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia and the Government of the Republic of Kenya. The views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the donors.

We appreciate field assistance provided by Dickens Nyagol, Isaac Onyango, Romanus Odhiambo, supported by a team of field technicians. The farmers who hosted our trials and participated in the survey are greatly acknowledged.

References

- Baudron F., Zaman-Allah M.A., Chaipa, I., Chari, N. and Chinwada P. (2019). Understanding the factors influencing fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* JE Smith) damage in African smallholder maize fields and quantifying its impact on yield. A case study in Eastern Zimbabwe. *Crop Protection* **120**, 141–150.
- Berner D.K., Kling J.G. and Singh B.B. (1995). Striga research and control. A perspective from Africa. *Plant Disease* **79**, 652–660.
- Birkett M.A., Chamberlain K., Khan Z.R., Pickett J.A., Tshova T., Wadhams L.J. and Woodcock, C.M. (2006). Electrophysiological responses of the lepidopterous stemborers *Chilo partellus* and *Busseola fusca* to volatiles from wild and cultivated host plants. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **32**, 475–487.
- Calatayud P.A., Guénégo H., Ahuya P., Wanjoya A., Le Rü B., Silvain J.F. and Frérot B. (2008). Flight and oviposition behaviour of the African stem borer, *Busseola fusca*, on various host plant species. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **129**, 348–355.
- Chamberlain K., Khan Z.R., Pickett J.A., Tshova T. and Wadhams L.J. (2006). Diel periodicity in the production of green leaf volatiles by wild and cultivated host plants of stemborer moths, *Chilo partellus* and *Busseola fusca*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **32**, 565–577. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-005-9016-5>
- Cheruiyot D., Midega C.A.O., Pittchar J.O., Pickett J.A. and Khan Z.R. (2020). Farmers' perception and evaluation of brachiaria grass (*Brachiaria* spp.) genotypes for smallholder cereal-livestock production in East Africa. *Agriculture* **10**, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture10070268>
- Cheruiyot D., Midega C.A.O., Ueckermann E.A., Van den Berg J., Pickett J.A. and Khan Z.R. (2018a). Genotypic response of brachiaria (*Urochloa* spp.) to spider mite (*Oligonychus trichardti*) (Acari: Tetranychidae) and adaptability to different environments. *Field Crop Research* **225**, 163–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2018.06.011>
- Cheruiyot D., Midega C.A.O., Van den Berg J., Pickett J.A. and Khan Z.R. (2018b). Genotypic responses of brachiaria grass (*Brachiaria* spp.) accessions to drought stress. *Journal of Agronomy* **17**, 136–146. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ja.2018.136.146>
- Cheruiyot D., Midega C.A.O., Van den Berg J., Pickett J.A. and Khan Z.R. (2018c). Suitability of brachiaria grass as a trap crop for management of *Chilo partellus*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **166**, 139–148.

- Chidawanyika F., Midega C.A., Bruce T.J., Duncan F., Pickett J.A. and Khan Z.R. (2014). Oviposition acceptance and larval development of *Chilo partellus* stemborers in drought stressed wild and cultivated grasses of East Africa. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **151**, 209–217.
- Cook S.M., Khan Z.R. and Pickett J.A. (2006). The use of Push-Pull strategies in integrated pest management. *Annual Review of Entomology* **52**, 375.
- De Groote H. (2002). Maize yield losses from stemborers in Kenya. *Internal Journal of Tropical Insect Science* **22**, 89–96.
- De Groote H., Kimenju S.C., Munyua B., Palmas S., Kassie M. and Bruce A. (2020). Spread and impact of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* J.E. Smith) in maize production areas of Kenya. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **292**, 106804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106804>
- Ekpa O., Palacios-Rojas N., Kruseman G., Fogliano V. and Linnemann A.R. (2018). Sub-Saharan African maize-based foods: technological perspectives to increase the food and nutrition security impacts of maize breeding programmes. *Global Food Security* **17**, 48–56.
- Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2016). Food balance sheets. FAO Stat. Databases Rome, Italy. Available online at <http://www.fao.org/3/a-bo092e.pdf> (accessed on 10 September 2020).
- Goergen G., Kumar P.L., Sankung S.B., Togola A. and Tamò M. (2016). First report of outbreaks of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith)(Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), a new alien invasive pest in West and Central Africa. *PLoS One* **11**, e0165632.
- Hariprasanna K. and Rakshit S. (2016). Economic importance of sorghum. In *The sorghum genome*. Cham: Springer, pp. 1–25.
- Hooper A.M., Caulfield J.C., Hao B., Pickett J.A., Midega C.A.O. and Khan Z.R. (2015). Isolation and identification of desmodium root exudates from drought tolerant species used as intercrops against *Striga hermonthica*. *Phytochemistry* **117**, 380–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.06.026>
- Hooper A.M., Hassanali A., Chamberlain K., Khan Z. and Pickett J.A. (2009). New genetic opportunities from legume intercrops for controlling *Striga* spp. parasitic weeds. *Pest Management Science: formerly Pesticide Science* **65**, 546–552.
- Hooper A.M., Tsanuo M.K., Chamberlain K., Tittcomb K., Scholes J., Hassanali A., Khan Z.R. and Pickett J.A. (2010). Isoschaftoside, a C-glycosylflavonoid from *Desmodium uncinatum* root exudate, is an allelochemical against the development of *Striga*. *Phytochemistry* **71**, 904–908.
- International Center of Insect Physiology and Ecology (*icipe*) (2019). The ‘Push–Pull’ Farming System : Climate-smart, sustainable agriculture for Africa. ISBN:978–9966–063–26–7
- Jordan W.R. and Sullivan C.Y. (1982). Reaction and resistance of grain sorghum to heat and drought. In *Sorghum in the Eighties: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Sorghum. 2 7 November 1981, ICRISAT Center Patancheru, AP India*. (Vol. 1, pp. 131–142). ICRISAT.
- Kfir R., Overholt W.A., Khan Z.R. and Polaszek A. (2002). Biology and management of economically important lepidopteran cereal stemborers in Africa. *Annual Review of Entomology* **74**, 701–731.
- Khan Z., Midega C.A.O., Hooper A. and Pickett J. (2016). Push-Pull: chemical ecology-based Integrated Pest Management technology. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **42**, 689–697. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-016-0730-y>
- Khan Z.R., Ampong-Nyarko K., Chiliswa P., Hassanali A., Kimani S., Lwande W., Overholt W.A., Pickett J.A., Smart L.E. and Woodcock C.M. (1997). Intercropping increases parasitism of pests. *Nature* **388**, 631–632. <https://doi.org/10.1038/41681>
- Khan Z.R., Hassanali A., Overholt W., Khamis T.M., Hooper A.M., Pickett J.A., Wadhams L.J. and Woodcock C.M. (2002). Control of witchweed *Striga hermonthica* by intercropping with *Desmodium* spp., and the mechanism defined as allelopathic. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **28**, 1871–1885. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020525521180>
- Khan Z.R., Midega C.A.O., Amudavi D.M., Hassanali A. and Pickett J.A. (2008a). On-farm evaluation of the “Push-Pull” technology for the control of stemborers and striga weed on maize in western Kenya. *Field Crops Research* **106**, 224–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2007.12.002>
- Khan Z.R., Midega C.A.O., Bruce T.J.A., Hooper A.M. and Pickett J.A. (2010). Exploiting phytochemicals for developing a ‘push-pull’ crop protection strategy for cereal farmers in Africa. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **61**, 4185–4196. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq229>
- Khan Z.R., Midega C.A.O., Pittchar J.O., Murage A.W., Birkett M.A., Bruce T.J.A. and Pickett J.A. (2014). Achieving food security for one million sub-Saharan African poor through Push-Pull innovation by 2020. *Philosophical Transactions of Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **369**, 20120284–20120284. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0284>
- Khan Z.R., Midega C.A.O., Wadhams L.J., Pickett J.A. and Mumuni A. (2007). Evaluation of Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) varieties for use as trap plants for the management of African stemborer (*Busseola fusca*) in a push-pull strategy. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **124**, 201–211. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2007.00569.x>
- Khan Z.R., Pickett J., van den Berg J., Wadhams L. and Woodcock C. (2000). Exploiting chemical ecology and species diversity: stemborer and striga control for maize and sorghum in Africa. *Pest Management Science* **56**, 957–962.
- Khan Z.R., Pickett J.A., Hassanali A., Hooper A.M. and Midega C.A.O. (2008b). *Desmodium* species and associated biochemical traits for controlling *Striga* species: present and future prospects. *Weed Research* **48**, 302–306. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.2008.00641.x>

- Khan Z.R., Pickett J.A., Wadhams L. and Muyekho F. (2001). Habitat management strategies for the control of cereal stem-borers and striga in maize in Kenya. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Sciences* **21**, 375–380. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758400008481>
- Khan Z.R., Pittchar J.O., Midega C.A.O. and Pickett J.A. (2018). Push-Pull farming system controls fall armyworm: lessons from Africa. *Outlooks in Pest Management* **29**, 220–224. <https://doi.org/10.1564/v29> Oct 09
- Maass B.L., Midega C.A.O., Mutimura M., Rahetlah V.B., Salgado P., Kabirizi J.M., Khan Z.R., Ghimire S.R. and Rao I.M. (2015). Homecoming of Brachiaria: Improved hybrids prove useful for African animal agriculture. *East African Agricultural and Forestry Journal* **81**, 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00128325.2015.1041263>
- Mbow C., Rosenzweig C., Barioni L.G., Benton T.G., Herrero M., Krishnapillai M., Liwenga E., Pradhan P., Rivera-Ferre M.G. and Sapkota T.B. (2019). Climate Change and Land: an IPCC Special Report on Climate Change, Desertification, Land Degradation, Sustainable Land Management, Food Security and Greenhouse Gas Fluxes in Terrestrial Ecosystems. IPCC. *Food Security*, 437–550. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2019/11/08_Chapter-5.pdf
- Midega C.A.O., Bruce T.J.A., Pickett J.A. and Khan Z.R. (2015a). Ecological management of cereal stem-borers in African smallholder agriculture through behavioural manipulation. *Ecological Entomology* **40**, 70–81.
- Midega C.A.O., Bruce T.J.A., Pickett J.A., Pittchar J.O., Murage A. and Khan Z.R. (2015b). Climate-adapted companion cropping increases agricultural productivity in East Africa. *Field Crops Research* **180**, 118–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2015.05.022>
- Midega, C.A.O., Khan, Z.R., Amudavi, D.M., Pittchar, J. and Pickett, J.A. (2010). Integrated management of *Striga hermonthica* and cereal stem-borers in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.) through intercropping with *Desmodium intortum*. *International Journal of Pest Management* **56**, 145–151. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09670870903248843>
- Midega C.A.O., Khan Z.R., Pickett J.A. and Nylin S. (2011). Host plant selection behaviour of *Chilo partellus* and its implication for effectiveness of a trap crop. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **138**, 40–47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.01073.x>
- Midega C.A.O., Pittchar J.O., Pickett J.A., Hailu G.W. and Khan Z.R. (2018). A climate-adapted Push-Pull system effectively controls fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J E Smith), in maize in East Africa. *Crop Protection* **105**, 10–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2017.11.003>
- Midega C.A.O., Wasonga C.J., Hooper A.M., Pickett J.A. and Khan Z.R. (2017). Drought-tolerant desmodium species effectively suppress parasitic striga weed and improve cereal grain yields in western Kenya. *Crop Protection* **98**, 94–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2017.03.018>
- Murage A.W., Pittchar J.O., Midega C.A.O., Onyango C.O. and Khan Z.R. (2015). Gender specific perceptions and adoption of the climate-smart Push-Pull technology in eastern Africa. *Crop Protection* **76**, 83–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.06.014>
- Mutyambai D.M., Bass E., Luttermoser T., Poveda K., Midega C.A.O., Khan Z.R. and Kessler A. (2019). More than “Push” and “Pull”? Plant-Soil feedbacks of maize companion cropping increase chemical plant defenses against herbivores. *Frontiers in Ecological Evolution* **7**, 217. doi: [10.3389/fevo.2019.00217](https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00217)
- Mutyambai D.M., Bruce T.J.A., Van Den Berg J., Midega C.A.O., Pickett J.A. and Khan Z.R. (2016). An indirect defence trait mediated through egg-induced maize volatiles from neighbouring plants. *PLoS One* **11**, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158744>
- Pageau K., Simier P., Le Bizec B., Robins R.J. and Fer A. (2003). Characterization of nitrogen relationships between *Sorghum bicolor* and the root-hemiparasitic angiosperm *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. using K15NO3 as isotopic tracer. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **789**–799. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erg081>
- Päts P. (1991). Activity of *Chilo partellus* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae): eclosion, mating and oviposition time. *Bulleting of Entomological Research* **81**, 93–96.
- Pickett J.A. and Khan Z.R. (2016). Plant volatile-mediated signalling and its application in agriculture: successes and challenges. *New Phytologist* **212**, 856–870. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14274>
- Pickett J.A., Woodcock C.M., Midega C.A.O. and Khan Z.R. (2014). Push-Pull farming systems. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **26**, 125–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2013.12.006>
- R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Stewart G.R., Press M.C., Graves J.D., Nour J.J. and Wylde A. (1991). A physiological characterization of the host parasite association between *Sorghum bicolor* and *Striga hermonthica* and its implication for Striga control, in: Combating Striga in Africa. Proceedings of the International Workshop Organised by IITA, ICRISAT and IDRC, 22–24 August 1988, Ibadan, Nigeria. pp. 48–54.
- Tsanuo M.K., Hassanali A., Hooper A.M., Khan Z., Kaberia F., Pickett J.A. and Wadhams L.J. (2003). Isoflavanones from the allelopathic aqueous root exudate of *Desmodium uncinatum*. *Phytochemistry* **64**, 265–273.
- Turlings T.C., Loughrin J.H., McCall P.J., R6se U.S., Lewis W.J. and Tumlinson J.H. (1995). How caterpillar-damaged plants protect themselves by attracting parasitic wasps. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **92**, 4169–4174.

- Van den Berg J.** (2006). Oviposition preference and larval survival of *Chilo partellus* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) on Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) trap crops. *International Journal of Pest Management* **52**, 39–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09670870600552653>
- Vanlauwe B., Kanampiu F., Odhiambo G.D., De Grootte H., Wadhams L.J. and Khan Z.R.** (2008). Integrated management of *Striga hermonthica*, stemborers, and declining soil fertility in western Kenya. *Field Crops Research* **107**, 102–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2008.01.002>
- Whitney A.S.** (1966). Nitrogen fixation by three tropical forage legumes and the utilization of legume-fixed nitrogen by their associated grasses. (Doctoral dissertation, Honolulu).
- Ziska L.H., Blumenthal D.M., Runion G.B., Hunt E.R. and Diaz-Soltero H.** (2011). Invasive species and climate change: an agronomic perspective. *Climate Change* **105**, 13–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-010-9879-5>

Cite this article: Cheruiyot D, Chidawanyika F, Midega CAO, Pittchar JO, Pickett JA, and Khan ZR (2021). Field evaluation of a new third generation push-pull technology for control of striga weed, stemborers, and fall armyworm in western Kenya. *Experimental Agriculture* **57**, 301–315. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479721000260>