

### Vitamin D: metamorphosis from nutrient to hormonal system

By S. W. STANBURY, *Department of Medicine, University of Manchester, The Royal Infirmary, Manchester*

In so far as a vitamin is regarded as an essential nutrient, 'vitamin D' cannot be assigned that function, although it can acquire the role when social and economic factors pervert the normal physiological means of its provision. The natural vitamin, cholecalciferol (vitamin D<sub>3</sub>), is biologically inert and must undergo successive enzymatic hydroxylations, at C-25 in the liver and at C-1 in the kidney, to produce the effector molecule, 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol (1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>; Fraser, 1980). Ergocalciferol (vitamin D<sub>2</sub>), which is obtained exclusively from the diet, is similarly converted to its 1,25-dihydroxy derivative; it is probably as active as cholecalciferol in man but not in all animal species. The physiological source of 'vitamin D' is the cholecalciferol produced photochemically in the epidermis from the pro-vitamin, 7-dehydrocholesterol (Holick *et al.* 1977). The term calciferol is used to refer to either cholecalciferol or ergocalciferol and, when referring to the mixed metabolites of these two forms in plasma, the abbreviations 25-(OH)D and 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D are used. The abbreviations 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> and 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> imply a known derivation from cholecalciferol. Although most vertebrates can probably absorb and utilize orally administered calciferols, the sun is the principal source of 'vitamin D' in man, even in northern climes and in countries practising the fortification of foodstuffs (Haddad & Hahn, 1973; Preece *et al.* 1975; Poskitt *et al.* 1979). The foetus and the neonate, unexposed to ultraviolet irradiation, are dependent on maternal supply. Breast milk contains a water-soluble, sulphate ester of cholecalciferol (Sahashi *et al.* 1969) and there is indirect evidence that this material is absorbed and utilized by the suckling (Le Boulch *et al.* 1979; Noff & Edelstein, 1978). It is present in high concentration in human milk, especially in the early days of lactation (Lakdawala & Widdowson, 1977), and its excretion by this route may constitute a significant drain on maternal resources. The foetus receives cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub>) by placental transfer (Haddad *et al.* 1971; Hillman & Haddad, 1974). There is little transfer of 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> from mother to foetus in the rat (Noff & Edelstein, 1978), and in the human baby the concentration of 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D in the placental venous blood is significantly lower than in the maternal blood (Steichen *et al.* 1980) but ovine placenta is reported as freely permeable to 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> (Ross *et al.* 1979).

Although it has long been known that cholecalciferol is produced in the epidermis by the action of u.v. irradiation on 7-dehydrocholesterol, it has only recently been proved that, as in vitro, the photochemical reaction is restricted to the production of pre-cholecalciferol (Holick *et al.* 1977). The subsequent slow isomerization of pre-cholecalciferol to cholecalciferol is temperature dependent, the equilibrium being about 80% in favour of cholecalciferol at 37° and less at lower temperatures. This thermal equilibrium might alone affect the availability of

cholecalciferol to cold-blooded animals at low environmental temperatures, but there is grafted onto it a biological factor that may have more general relevance. Cholecalciferol, as well as its principal metabolites, is carried in the blood in association with a specific 'vitamin D binding'  $\alpha$ -globulin (DBP); the affinity of cholecalciferol for DBP is at least  $10^3$  times greater than of pre-cholecalciferol (Holick *et al.* 1979). Thus the selective binding of cholecalciferol to DBP and its removal into the circulation would disturb the pre-cholecalciferol-cholecalciferol equilibrium prevailing in skin at any particular temperature and determine the slowly progressive utilization of the initial product of u.v. irradiation. In this sense both molecules could be regarded as an epidermal store of 'vitamin D', available for days or perhaps weeks after cessation of irradiation.

#### *Vitamin D in man*

In man, we have shown that the fractional conversion of cholecalciferol to 25-(OH) $D_3$  is most efficient when the circulating concentration of the former is low (Mawer, 1980) and have suggested that cholecalciferol entering the blood slowly from the skin may be cleared quantitatively by 25-hydroxylation in the liver (Stanbury *et al.* 1980). Compatible with this proposal, a subcutaneous or intramuscular depot of radioisotopically labelled cholecalciferol can produce a slowly progressive increase in radioactive plasma 25-(OH) $D_3$  to reach a concentration about twenty-five times that of its precursor, radioactive plasma cholecalciferol (Davies & Mawer, 1979). There are, as yet, few available measurements of plasma calciferol in man; in Canadian adults in winter, a mean total plasma calciferol of 2.2  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (5.7 nmol/l) was associated with a mean total plasma 25-(OH) $D$  of 16  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (40 nmol/l) (Jones, 1978). Davie & Lawson (1980) have estimated the cutaneous production of cholecalciferol following a total of 15 min u.v. irradiation of restricted areas of human skin over a period of 17 d. On the basis of the measured increment of plasma 25-(OH) $D$  in the subjects irradiated, they calculate an epidermal production of cholecalciferol of 0.024 nmol/cm<sup>2</sup>. It is evident, however, that prolonged irradiation of the whole body can cause a significant increase of circulating cholecalciferol (Davie & Lawson, 1980) and plasma concentrations as high as 12–52  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (31–135 nmol/l) have been recorded after 3–5 weeks treatment by u.v. irradiation of patients with psoriasis (Bjorkhem *et al.* 1979). The subsequent fate of such circulating cholecalciferol after cessation of irradiation is unknown but, judging from studies of injected radioactive cholecalciferol, it is to be expected that it will disappear rapidly from the blood (Mawer *et al.* 1971) by partition into fat and binding to tissue proteins (Mawer *et al.* 1972).

Under ordinary conditions of living, when most of the body is clothed and solar exposure is episodic, the principal molecular form of 'vitamin D' in blood is not cholecalciferol but 25-(OH) $D_3$  bound to DBP. The binding protein is present in great excess and the plasma concentration of free 25-(OH) $D_3$  is negligible (Bouillon & Van Baelen, 1979). This and its prolonged survival in the blood (half-life 12–30 d; Mawer *et al.* 1971) makes 25-(OH) $D_3$  an ideal, and probably inert,

storage form of the vitamin. DBP also penetrates into most nucleated cells, where it associates with a cytosol protein (Haddad & Walgate, 1976); since the volume of distribution of 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> is far greater than the volume of the plasma (Mawer *et al.* 1972; Gray *et al.* 1974), it is reasonable to infer that there is free exchange between extracellular and intracellular pools of bound 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub>. Although there is speculation that the intracellular 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> may subserve some specific function(s), this is unproved and it is probably simplest to regard it as part of the bodily store of 'vitamin D', of which the plasma 25-(OH)D is the most easily measured index.

When cholecalciferol enters the blood rapidly, as after its intravenous injection (Mawer, 1980) but also after a single oral dose (Thompson *et al.* 1966), its concentration in plasma increases greatly. In both circumstances, about 80% of the absorbed dose is lost from the blood by uptake into tissues (Mawer *et al.* 1972; Krawitt *et al.* 1977) and there is a rapid increase of plasma 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> which reaches its maximum concentration within 1–3 d. This contrasts with events seen with a slow delivery of cholecalciferol into the blood, when utilization of cholecalciferol appears to be effectively complete and the resulting increase of plasma 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> reaches its maximum after a matter of weeks (Davies & Mawer, 1979). Oral pulse dosage with calciferol will thus result in an unphysiological, iatrogenic or factitious storage pool in the tissues, especially fat. This mechanism may serve to limit the potential toxic effects of high therapeutic oral dosage but it cannot be assumed that the tissue depot is readily available. It is possible to cure the clinical effects of vitamin D deficiency in the British immigrant Asian population with daily single doses of 450 IU (11.25 µg) or even 200 IU (5 µg) of calciferol (Stanbury *et al.* 1975, 1981); this suggests that no more than 1 µg/d retained in the metabolic pool may be adequate to correct the deficiency. Yet, on withdrawing such therapy, biochemical relapse within weeks is a commonplace. Thus the cumulative 'store', resulting from sequestration in the tissues of 80% of each daily dose, appears to be of limited availability to the circulation when treatment is stopped. There is, however, some indirect evidence that the calciferol in fat may become available when fat is mobilized in the course of weight reduction (Connors *et al.* 1976). It must be emphasized that these remarks on the disposition of an oral dose refer to pulse dosage such as is used therapeutically. It is conceivable that calciferol ingested as a constituent of food is absorbed more slowly, with a lesser rise in its plasma concentration and its consequential more complete utilization. No observations are available on the metabolism of calciferol ingested as food.

#### *Vitamin D requirements*

Orally administered calciferol, perhaps even in a daily dose as low as 2.5 µg (100 IU), can provide the bodily requirement; but this means of provision is effective only so long as oral intake is continued, and dosage of this magnitude produces barely detectable increases of plasma 25-(OH)D. Casual solar exposure of uncovered areas of skin during the summer months may increase the mean

concentration of plasma 25-(OH)D by 10  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (25 nmol/l) or more above the winter mean; the concentration in the individual in winter is determined by the level attained in summer (Lawson *et al.* 1979). In individuals receiving any exposure to effective sunshine, the diet makes a negligible contribution to the total 25-(OH)D in plasma. Despite this, even in subjects with low estimated dietary vitamin D (0.8–2.3  $\mu\text{g/d}$ ), a significant correlation has been found between plasma 25-(OH)D and intake (Hunt *et al.* 1976). The plasma 25-(OH)D increases progressively as the daily oral intake is increased from micrograms to milligrams and, at the latter level of dosage, concentrations as high as 500–800  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (1.25–2 mmol/l) can be attained. Throughout that range of dosage, the relationship between daily intake and plasma 25-(OH)D is best described by a power function (Stamp *et al.* 1977). Similarly, following increasing intravenous doses of cholecalciferol, the resulting increment of plasma 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> is a power function of the induced increase of plasma cholecalciferol (Mawer, 1980; Stanbury *et al.* 1980). Such observations and collateral studies of the control of 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> production by the isolated perfused liver (Mawer & Reeve, 1977) have led to the suggestion that the presence of significant concentrations of cholecalciferol in the circulation or in the liver exerts a constraint on quantitative 25-hydroxylation (Stanbury *et al.* 1980). When high oral dosage is continued, this constraint is inadequate to prevent the development of concentrations of plasma 25-(OH)D that can be directly toxic, probably because the concentration of unbound 25-(OH)D increases rapidly when the total plasma concentration exceeds 100  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (250 nmol/l) (Bouillon & Van Baelen, 1979). It is consequently of particular importance that, after months of whole body exposure to summer sunshine, the plasma 25-(OH)D in man appears not to exceed a maximum concentration of about 80  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (200 nmol/l) (Haddad & Chyu, 1971). This can only imply that the delivery of cholecalciferol from skin to liver is limited and, as yet, the mechanism of this limitation is a matter of speculation (Davie & Lawson, 1980; Stanbury *et al.* 1980). Effectively, the epidermis functions as an endocrine organ that utilizes the energy of u.v. light to produce its secretion, cholecalciferol; and that integrates with the liver to produce a circulating storage form or pro-hormone (25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub>), of prolonged half-life, in quantities that avoid the causation of intoxication from solar exposure.

#### *Biological activity of vitamin D in health and disease*

Although the point is debated, it is probable that 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> is inert biologically at physiological concentrations. Its primary function is to act as precursor for the renal production of the hormonal form, 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>. This highly potent renal metabolite is active in picomolar concentrations and it is the effector molecule responsible for the expression of most, if not all, the biological effects of the 'vitamin'. In healthy, 'vitamin D' replete individuals its concentration in plasma is maintained within relatively narrow limits (33 ng/l, SD 6, or approximately 100 pmol/l; Haussler *et al.* 1976) at a level about 1/500 that of plasma 25-(OH)D but largely independently of the latter. Ostensibly, therefore, measurement of plasma

25-(OH)D should provide an index of the bodily reserve of pro-hormone, and measurement of plasma 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D an index of prevailing biological action. Generally this is true but the production of 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> varies with contemporary needs; its renal synthesis is regulated, principally by the parathyroid glands, in response to a primary change of plasma calcium (Fraser, 1980). The plasma 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D is elevated above the 'normal range' in response to the requirements of mammalian pregnancy and lactation (Kumar *et al.* 1979; Boass *et al.* 1977) of avian egg production (Kenney, 1976) and when the intake of Ca is reduced; conversely, it is reduced if the plasma Ca is raised or the dietary intake of Ca is abnormally high (Adams *et al.* 1979). There is also evidence that the activity of the renal 25-hydroxycholecalciferol-1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase may be influenced, directly or indirectly, by prolactin (Spanos *et al.* 1976), growth hormone (Spanos *et al.* 1978), oestrogens (Kenney, 1976), adrenal glucocorticoids (Lukert *et al.* 1976) and probably also by placental lactogen and insulin. Consequently, even in health, the level of plasma 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D can be interpreted only in terms of the plane of mineral nutrition and the prevailing physiological state, from the neonatal period, through growth to maturity, pregnancy, lactation etc.

In disease, interpretation may be complicated by structural or functional abnormalities in the kidneys or parathyroid glands, or by reduced sensitivity of its target tissues to 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>. The plasma 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D may be low, in association with normal or elevated plasma 25-(OH)D, in destructive renal disease, in renal intoxication with lead (Rosen *et al.* 1980) or cadmium (personal observations), or with a genetically determined defect of renal 25-hydroxycholecalciferol-1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase activity ('vitamin D dependency' (type 1); Sriver *et al.* 1978). In an exceptionally rare disease ('vitamin D dependency' (type 2); Marx *et al.* 1978) active rickets is associated with greatly increased concentrations of plasma 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and reduced tissue response to its actions. In privational, 'vitamin D-deficiency' rickets or osteomalacia, plasma concentrations of both 25-(OH)D and 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D may be appropriately low or unmeasurable; but small oral doses of calciferol can produce a rapid increase of plasma 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D to supranormal levels without necessarily inducing a significant increase of plasma 25-(OH)D (Stanbury *et al.* 1981). Consequently, in a patient with the clinical features of privational rickets or osteomalacia, the plasma 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D can be low, normal or very high.

The assay for plasma 25-(OH)D in general use does not discriminate between 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> and 25-(OH)D<sub>2</sub> and it provides an integrated measure of reserves acquired from endogenous and exogenous sources. It has proved invaluable in studies of populations in which solar exposure and dietary intake are both marginal or inadequate, and in which there is a significant incidence of osteomalacia. Such populations at risk include the indigenous elderly, both those living at home (Lawson *et al.* 1979) and especially patients in long-stay geriatric hospitals (Corless *et al.* 1975), and the children and adult women of the immigrant Asian population (Holmes *et al.* 1973; Preece *et al.* 1973). In these individuals, the diet may provide no more than 1  $\mu$ g calciferol/d; the hospitalized patients may get no

exposure to u.v. light and this is limited in the Asian by social customs. In both groups, the mean plasma 25-(OH)D and its seasonal variation are less than in the general population and concentrations less than 4 µg/l (10 nmol/l) are common. This is in the range of concentrations at which rickets and osteomalacia are encountered clinically, but the presence of active bone disease cannot be equated with any absolute concentration of plasma 25-(OH)D. It is an unresolved question as to how, when and why particular individuals among a deprived population develop complicating bone disease. It is believed by some workers that the alternative metabolites of 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub>, 24,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol (Ornoy *et al.* 1978) and 25,26-dihydroxycholecalciferol (Miravet *et al.* 1976) or even 25-(OH)D<sub>3</sub> itself, play an essential role in the mineralization of bone. If this were the case, the plasma 25-(OH)D should provide a predictable index of the development of bone disease, since the formation of both these dihydroxylated metabolites is a direct function of the prevailing concentration of plasma 25-(OH)D (Taylor *et al.* 1976; Stanbury & Mawer, 1980). In man, the present evidence suggests that no molecular form other than 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D is required for the mineralization of bone (Stanbury *et al.* 1981). The collateral problem of prophylaxis in populations at risk has a hypothetically simple solution; all it requires is that the individual receives an exposure to summer sunshine no greater than is received by the average active member of the community. In default of the passive therapy of gardening or a daily walk in the sun, exposure to artificial sources of u.v. irradiation has proved effective in geriatric patients in hospital (Corless *et al.* 1978). In most of those at risk, it may be necessary to resort to the fortification of food or the provision of an oral supplement: even the old-fashioned 'stosstherapie', given as an intramuscular dose of 2.5 mg ergocalciferol, increases plasma 25-(OH)D in a manner closely resembling that produced by irradiation and maintains its concentration at satisfactory levels for 3 months or more (Davies & Mawer, 1979).

Cited Departmental researches were supported by a Programme Grant from the Medical Research Council.

#### REFERENCES

- Adams, N. C., Gray, R. W. & Lemann, J. Jr. (1979). *J. clin. Endocr. Metab.* **48**, 1008.
- Bjorkhem, I., Holmbeg, I., Kristiansen, R., Larsson, A. & Pedersen, J. I. (1979). In *Vitamin D: Basic Research and its Clinical Applications*, p. 183 [A. W. Norman, editor]. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Boass, A., Toverud, S. A., McCain, T. A., Pike, J. W. & Haussler, M. R. (1977). *Nature, Lond.* **267**, 630.
- Bouillon, R. & Van Baelen, H. (1979). In *Vitamin D: Basic Research and its Clinical Application*, p. 137 [A. W. Norman, editor]. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Connors, L. R., Sheikholislam, B. M. & Irias, J. J. (1976). *Pediatrics, Springfield* **57**, 794.
- Corless, D., Beer, M., Boucher, J., Gupta, S. P. & Cohen, R. D. (1975). *Lancet* **i**, 1404.
- Corless, D., Gupta, S. P., Switala, S., Barragry, J. M., Boucher, B. J., Cohen, R. D. & Diffey, B. L. (1978). *Lancet* **ii**, 649.
- Davie, M. & Lawson, D. E. M. (1980). *Clin. Sci.* **58**, 235.
- Davies, M. & Mawer, E. B. (1979). In *Vitamin D: Basic Research and its Clinical Application*, p. 609 [A. W. Norman, editor]. Berlin: de Gruyter.

- Fraser, D. (1980). *Physiol. Rev.* **60**, 551.
- Gray, R. W., Weber, H. P., Dominguez, J. H. & Lemann, J. Jr. (1974). *J. clin. Endocr. Metab.* **39**, 1045.
- Haddad, J. G., Boisseau, V. & Avioli, L. V. (1971). *J. lab. clin. Med.* **77**, 908.
- Haddad, J. G. & Chyu, K. J. (1971). *J. clin. Endocr. Metab.* **33**, 992.
- Haddad, J. G. & Hahn, T. J. (1973). *Nature, Lond.* **244**, 1973.
- Haddad, J. G. & Walgate, J. (1976). *J. biol. Chem.* **251**, 4803.
- Haussler, M. R., Baylink, D. J., Hughes, M. R., Brumbaugh, P. F., Wergedal, J. E., Shen, F. H., Nielsen, R. L., Counts, S. J., Bursac, K. M. & McCain, T. A. (1976). *Clin. Endocr.* **5** (Suppl. 15), 1S.
- Hillman, L. S. & Haddad, J. G. (1974). *J. Pediat.* **84**, 742.
- Holick, M. F., Frommer, J. E., McNeill, S. C., Richtand, N. M., Henly, J. W. & Potts, J. T. Jr. (1977). *Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun.* **76**, 107.
- Holick, M. F., Holick, S. A., McNeill, S. M., Richtand, N., Clark, M. B. & Potts, J. T. Jr. (1979). In *Vitamin D: Basic Research and its Clinical Application*, p. 173 [A. W. Norman, editor]. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Holmes, A. M., Enoch, B. A., Taylor, J. L. & Jones, M. E. (1973). *Q. Jl Med.* **42**, 125.
- Hunt, S. P., O'Riordan, J. L. H., Windo, J. & Truswell, A. S. (1976). *Br. med. J.* **2**, 1351.
- Jones, G. (1978). *Clin. Chem.* **24**, 287.
- Kenney, A. D. (1976). *Am. J. Physiol.* **230**, 1609.
- Krawitt, E. L., Grundman, M. J. & Mawer, E. B. (1977). *Lancet* **ii**, 1246.
- Kumar, R., Cohen, W. R., Silva, P. & Epstein, F. H. (1979). *J. clin. Invest.* **63**, 342.
- Lakdawala, D. R. & Widdowson, E. M. (1977). *Lancet* **i**, 167.
- Lawson, D. E. M., Paul, A. E., Black, A. E., Cole, T. J., Mandal, A. R. & Davie, M. (1979). *Br. med. J.* **2**, 303.
- Le Boulch, N., Laromiguière, M., Marnay-Gulat, C., Miravet, L. & Raoul, Y. (1979). In *Vitamin D: Basic Research and its Clinical Application*, p. 85 [A. W. Norman, editor]. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Lukert, B. P., Stanbury, S. W. & Mawer, E. B. (1976). *Endocrinol.* **93**, 718.
- Marx, S. J., Spiegel, A. M., Brown, E. M., Gardner, D. G., Downs, R. W. Jr., Attie, M., Hamstra, A. J. & DeLuca, H. F. (1978). *J. clin. Endocr. Metab.* **47**, 1303.
- Mawer, E. B. (1980). In *Vitamin D: Molecular Biology and Clinical Nutrition*, p. 149 [A. W. Norman, editor]. New York: Dekker.
- Mawer, E. B., Backhouse, J., Holman, C. A., Lumb, G. A. & Stanbury, S. W. (1972). *Clin. Sci.* **43**, 413.
- Mawer, E. B., Lumb, G. A., Schaefer, K. & Stanbury, S. W. (1971). *Clin. Sci.* **40**, 39.
- Mawer, E. B. & Reeve, A. (1977). *Calcif. Tissue Res.* **22** (suppl.), 24.
- Miravet, L., Redel, J., Carre, M., Queillé, M. L. & Bordier, P. (1976). *Calcif. Tissue Res.* **21**, 145.
- Noff, D. & Edelstein, S. (1978). *Hormone Res.* **9**, 292.
- Ornoy, A., Goodwin, D., Noff, D. & Edelstein, S. (1978). *Nature, Lond.* **276**, 517.
- Poskitt, M. E., Cole, T. J. & Lawson, D. E. M. (1979). *Br. med. J.* **1**, 221.
- Preece, M. A., Ford, J. A., McIntosh, W. B., Dunnigan, M. G., Tomlinson, S. & O'Riordan, J. L. H. (1973). *Lancet* **i**, 907.
- Preece, M. A., Tomlinson, S., Ribot, C. A., Pietrek, J., Korn, H. T., Davies, D. M., Ford, J. A., Dunnigan, M. G. & O'Riordan, J. L. H. (1975). *Q. Jl Med.* **44**, 575.
- Rosen, J. F., Chesney, R. W., Hamstra, A., DeLuca, H. F. & Mahaffey, K. R. (1980). *New Engl. J. Med.* **302**, 1128.
- Ross, R., Care, A. D., Taylor, C. M., Pelc, B. & Sommerville, B. A. (1979). In *Vitamin D: Basic Research and its Clinical Application*, p. 341 [A. W. Norman, editor]. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Sahashi, Y., Suzuki, T., Higaki, M. & Asano, T. (1969). *J. Vitamin, Japan* **15**, 78.
- Scriver, C. R., Reade, T. M., DeLuca, H. F. & Hamstra, A. J. (1978). *New Engl. J. Med.* **299**, 976.
- Spanos, E., Barrett, D., MacIntyre, I., Pike, J. W., Safilian, E. & Haussler, M. R. (1978). *Nature, Lond.* **273**, 246.
- Spanos, E., Pike, J. W., Haussler, M. R., Colstron, K. W., Evans, I. M. A., Goldner, A. M., McCain, T. A. & MacIntyre, I. (1976). *Life Sciences* **19**, 1751.
- Stamp, T. C. B., Haddad, J. G. & Twigg, C. A. (1977). *Lancet* **i**, 1341.
- Stanbury, S. W. & Mawer, E. B. (1980). *Clin. Sci.* **58**, 523.

- Stanbury, S. W., Mawer, E. B., Taylor, C. M. & de Silva, P. (1980). *Mineral Electrolyte Metab.* **3**, 51.
- Stanbury, S. W., Taylor, C. M., Lumb, G. A., Mawer, E. B., Berry, J., Hann, J. & Wallace, J. (1981). *Mineral Electrolyte Metab.* (In the Press).
- Stanbury, S. W., Torkington, P., Lumb, G. A., Adams, P. H., de Silva, P. & Taylor, C. M. (1975). *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* **34**, 111.
- Steichen, J. J., Tsang, R. C., Gratton, T. L., Hamstra, A. & De Luca, H. F. (1980). *New Engl. J. Med.* **302**, 315.
- Taylor, C. M., Hughes, S. E. & de Silva, P. (1976). *Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun.* **70**, 1243.
- Thompson, G. R., Lewis, B. & Booth, C. C. (1966). *J. clin. Invest.* **45**, 94.