

# Correction to ‘Equivariant spectral decomposition for flows with a $\mathbb{Z}$ -action’

LEE MOSHER

*Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102, USA*

(Received 5 April 1990)

The statement and proof of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -spectral decomposition theorem for a pseudo-Anosov flow  $\phi$  on a 3-manifold  $M$  are in error (see [1]). There are counter-examples which show that the theorem as stated is false. We remark that the results of § 9 of [1], concerning analogues of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -spectral decomposition theorem for basic sets of Axiom A flows, are unaffected by this error.

To correct the statement of the theorem, we shall define a ‘dynamic blowup’ of a singular periodic orbit of  $\phi$ . There are several possible ways to dynamically blow up a singular orbit, and we shall show how to parameterize them below. Given  $\alpha \in H^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$  as in the statement of the theorem, it will only be necessary to blow up those singular orbits  $\gamma$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = 0$ , we refer to such a  $\gamma$  as an  $\alpha$ -null singular orbit. After the first sentence of the theorem [1, p. 334], insert the following:

There is a way to dynamically blow up each  $\alpha$ -null singular orbit of  $\phi$ , such that if  $\phi^*$  is the resulting flow, then the following hold:

For the remainder of the theorem, replace the symbol  $\phi$  with the symbol  $\phi^*$ .

The introduction to [1] also mis-states the main result of [2], concerning the existence of a surface transverse to  $\phi$  and Poincaré dual to  $\alpha$ , such a surface exists only after blowing up  $\alpha$ -null singular orbits. Also, these methods are not sufficient to settle Oertel’s conjecture, although partial results can still be obtained (see [2]).

First we define dynamic blowups in the context of pseudo-Anosov maps. Let  $s$  be a singular fixed point of a pseudo-Anosov map  $f: S \rightarrow S$ , and consider first the case where  $f$  does not rotate the separatrices. To obtain a dynamic blowup of  $s$ , replace  $s$  by a finite set of pseudo-Anosov fixed points which are connected in a tree pattern by invariant paths. Here is a more precise description. Let  $D$  be a coordinate disc centered on  $s$ . List the stable and unstable separatrices in circular order as  $\{\ell_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$ , where  $N \geq 3$ . Let  $p_n = \ell_n \cap \partial D$ . Choose an embedded tree  $T = T \subset D$ , such that  $T$  intersects  $\partial D$  transversely in the set  $\{p_n\}$ , and every interior vertex of  $T$  is of even valence  $\geq 4$ . Let  $\ell_n^*$  be the edge of  $T$  incident on  $p_n$ , and let  $T^\circ = \text{cl}(T - \bigcup \{\ell_n^*\})$ . With these conditions on  $T$ , the map  $f$  can be replaced by a map  $f^*$  which is semi-conjugate to  $f$ , by a semi-conjugacy  $\rho: S \rightarrow S$  which collapses  $T^\circ$  to the point  $s$ , so that  $f^*$  has a prong singularity at each interior vertex of  $T$ ,  $f^*$  leaves  $T^\circ$  invariant, and each edge  $E$  of  $T^\circ$  is an invariant path for  $f^*$ , with  $f^*$  acting as a translation on  $\text{int}(E)$ . We say that  $f^*$  is obtained by *dynamically blowing up*  $s$ . The set  $\{\ell_n\}$  is partitioned in such a way that  $\ell_n$  and  $\ell_m$  are in the same partition

element if and only if  $\ell_n^* \cap \ell_m^* \neq \emptyset$ , the blowup is determined up to isotopy by the partition. Not all partitions occur, it is a simple matter to describe which partitions are allowable. Notice that the tree  $T$  is a directed graph, i.e. each edge  $E$  is naturally oriented according to the direction that points on  $E$  are moved under  $f^*$ . For each interior vertex  $v$  of  $T$ , the edges incident on  $v$  point alternately toward and away from  $v$ , going around  $v$  in circular order.

When  $f$  rotates the separatrices at  $s$  through a fraction  $K/N$  of a complete rotation, a dynamic blowup is similarly defined with the additional proviso that  $T$  is invariant under a  $K/N$  rotation of  $D$ .

If  $\gamma$  is a singular periodic orbit of a pseudo-Anosov flow  $\phi$ , a dynamic blowup of  $\gamma$  is defined as follows. Choose a local cross-section near  $\gamma$ , having a pseudo-Anosov singular fixed point  $s$ , and choose a dynamic blowup of  $s$  by picking a tree  $T$  as above. This can be suspended, to obtain a dynamic blowup of  $\gamma$ . The result is determined up to conjugacy by a partition of the set whose elements are the stable and unstable manifolds of  $\gamma$ . The effect is to introduce several annuli, each of which is invariant under the blown up flow  $\phi^*$ , one annulus for each orbit of edges of  $T^\circ$  under the rotation action.

The mathematical error in the proof of the theorem first occurs in § 3. If  $\zeta$  is a quasi-orbit, the intersection number  $\langle \alpha, \zeta \rangle$  is assumed to take values in  $\mathbb{Z}_\geq \cap \{+\infty\}$ . This assumption is unjustified.  $\langle \alpha, \zeta \rangle$  takes values in  $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . Counter-examples show that negative values can occur, in which case the theorem fails. The error recurs in § 4, in which the terms of the generalized splice equation are assumed to take values in  $\mathbb{Z}_\geq \cup \{+\infty\}$ , rather than  $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . The error is manifested in the following incorrect statement, from the proof of Lemma 4.2: 'Note that each directed loop of  $\Gamma'_A$  corresponds uniquely to a symbolic quasi-loop  $\underline{m}$  of  $\Gamma_A$  such that  $0 \leq U_\alpha(\underline{m}) < +\infty$ '. The only restriction is  $U_\alpha(\underline{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The proof of Proposition 7.1 contains another manifestation of the error. One effect of the error is that the invariant sets  $L(\alpha)$ ,  $R(\alpha)$ , and  $L^q(\alpha)$  as defined in the paper are inutile. Theorem 3.8 is incorrect with these definitions. Also, the auxiliary graph  $\Gamma'_A$  used in Lemma 4.2 is inutile. We shall construct new auxiliary graphs  $\Gamma_A^1$  and  $\Gamma_A^2$  below, to take over various tasks previously performed by  $\Gamma'_A$ .

The following corrections in the proof are needed. First of all, recall that § 1 reduces to the case when  $\phi$  is the suspension flow of a pseudo-Anosov map  $f$  which fixes all singularities and does not rotate the separatrices. This reduction no longer seems necessary or appropriate, so we shall henceforth abandon it, and deal directly with a general pseudo-Anosov map  $f$ .

For notational convenience, we shall drop the subscript  $A$  from the notation  $\Gamma_A$ ,  $\Gamma_A^1$  and  $\Gamma_A^2$ , denoting these as  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma^1$ , and  $\Gamma^2$ .

The contents of § 4 starting with Lemma 4.2 should be replaced with the following discussion, whose aim is to show how to choose the blowups needed to define  $\phi^*$ , and to give the correct versions of  $R(\alpha)$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  and  $L^q(\alpha)$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be an  $N$ -pronged  $\alpha$ -null singular orbit. Choose a point  $s = s_\gamma \in \gamma \cap S$ . Let  $\{m_n \in \mathcal{M} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$  be the list of Markov rectangles containing the point  $s$ , listed in circular order around  $s$ . Choose a  $2N$ -pronged star  $\Sigma_\gamma$ , and glue the endpoints

$\{v_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$  of  $\Sigma_\gamma$  in a 1-1 manner to the vertices  $\{m_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$  of the digraph  $\Gamma$ . Doing this for each  $\alpha$ -null singular orbit  $\gamma$ , we obtain a graph  $\Gamma^1$ , having  $\Gamma$  as a subgraph. Although  $\Gamma$  is a directed graph,  $\Gamma^1$  is not, since no orientations are assigned to the edges of each star  $\Sigma_\gamma$ . A closed, oriented edge loop  $L$  in  $\Gamma^1$  is *semi-directed* if it passes over each directed edge of  $\Gamma$  in the positive sense. Each semi-directed loop  $L$  of  $\Gamma^1$  determines in a natural manner a symbolic quasi-loop of  $\Gamma$ , and thus a periodic quasi-orbit denoted  $O(L)$ . The generalized splice equation holds for semi-directed loops, and from this it easily follows that  $U_\alpha$  extends to a cohomology class on  $\Gamma^1$ , denoted  $U_\alpha^1$ . The non-negative cocycle  $u_\alpha$  constructed in proposition 3.2 can then be extended to a cocycle  $u_\alpha^1$  on  $\Gamma^1$  representing  $U_\alpha^1$ .

For each  $\alpha$ -null singular orbit  $\gamma$ , we now specify how  $\gamma$  is to be blown up. Choose a zero-dimensional-cochain  $f_\gamma$  on  $\Sigma_\gamma$  whose coboundary is  $u_\alpha^1|_{\Sigma_\gamma}$ . Note that  $f_\gamma$  is well-defined on the endpoints  $\{v_n\}$  of  $\Sigma_\gamma$ , up to an additive constant. Let  $\{\ell_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$  be the list of separatrices at  $s = s_\gamma$ , as above, rotated by  $\phi$  through  $K/N$  of a complete rotation. Choose the notation so that  $\ell_n$  is stable when  $n$  is even and unstable when  $n$  is odd. Choose a small coordinate disc  $D = D_\gamma \subset S$  centered on  $s$ , such that the sector of  $D$  between  $\ell_n$  and  $\ell_{n+1}$  is contained in the Markov rectangle  $m_n$ . Let  $y_n = \partial D \cap \ell_n$ . Choose a point  $x_n$  contained in the interior of the arc  $[y_n, y_{n+1}]$  of  $\partial D$ . Let  $F_\gamma : \{x_n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be defined by  $F_\gamma(x_n) = f_\gamma(v_n)$ . Since  $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = 0$ , it is easy to check that  $f_\gamma$  is rotationally invariant, under a  $K/N$  rotation on  $\{v_n\}$ . Thus,  $F_\gamma$  can be extended to a  $K/N$  rotationally invariant real-valued continuous function on  $\partial D$ , still denoted  $F_\gamma$ , such that on the arc  $[y_n, y_{n+1}]$ , if  $n$  is even then  $F_\gamma$  is increasing, and if  $n$  is odd then  $F_\gamma$  is decreasing. Thus, at the point  $y_n$ ,  $F_\gamma$  has a local minimum on  $\partial D$  if  $n$  is even and a local maximum if  $n$  is odd. Collapse  $\partial D$  to a  $K/N$  rotationally invariant tree  $T_\gamma \subset D$ , with endpoint set  $\{y_n\}$ , in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) two points on  $\partial D$  are identified only if they have the same  $F_\gamma$  value,
- (ii) for each  $n$ , the shorter of the two intervals  $[x_{n-1}, y_n]$ ,  $[y_n, x_n]$  is identified with a sub-interval of the other.

The tree  $T_\gamma$  can be used in the definition of a dynamic blowup of  $\gamma$ . Note that by (i),  $F_\gamma$  induces a function on  $T_\gamma$ , still denoted  $F_\gamma$ . The orientation on each edge of  $T_\gamma$  agrees with the direction of the gradient of  $F_\gamma$ .

Applying the construction in the previous paragraph to each  $\alpha$ -null singular orbit  $\gamma$ , we have defined the blown up flow  $\phi^\#$ . The suspension of  $T_\gamma^\circ$  is a union of invariant annuli of  $\phi^\#$ , denoted  $\text{Susp}(T_\gamma^\circ)$ . The semi-conjugacy  $\rho : M \rightarrow M$  from  $\phi^\#$  to  $\phi$  collapses all invariant annuli, and takes each quasi-orbit  $\zeta^\#$  of  $\phi^\#$  to a quasi-orbit  $\zeta = \rho(\zeta^\#)$  of  $\phi$ , preserving  $\langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle$ . We must prove that  $\langle \alpha, \zeta^\# \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$  for each quasi-orbit  $\zeta^\#$  of  $\phi^\#$ . To do this, we must study some properties of  $\phi^\#$ .

We introduce a new auxiliary graph  $\Gamma^2$ , which will be a directed graph. Consider an  $\alpha$ -null singular orbit  $\gamma$ . Adopting the notation above, let  $\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n \in T_\gamma$  be the images of  $x_n, y_n$  under the collapsing  $\partial D_\gamma \rightarrow T_\gamma$ . Of the two points  $\bar{x}_{n-1}, \bar{x}_n$ , let  $z_n$  be the one closest to  $\bar{y}_n$ . Let  $\bar{T}_\gamma$  be the smallest sub-tree of  $T_\gamma$  containing each  $\bar{x}_n$ , or equivalently the smallest sub-tree containing each  $z_n$ . Notice that  $T_\gamma^\circ \subset \bar{T}_\gamma$ . Glue  $\bar{T}_\gamma$  to  $\Gamma$  by identifying  $\bar{x}_n$  with the vertex  $m_n$  of  $\Gamma$ , this may result in identification of

vertices of  $\Gamma$  Doing this for each  $\alpha$ -null orbit  $\gamma$ , the result is a directed graph denoted  $\Gamma^2$  By construction, the 1-cocycle  $u_\alpha$  on  $\Gamma$  and the 1-cocycle  $\delta F_\gamma$  on  $\bar{T}_\gamma$  combine to yield a non-negative 1-cocycle  $u_\alpha^2$  on  $\Gamma_\alpha^2$ , and  $u_\alpha^2$  is positive on each directed edge of  $\bar{T}_\gamma$

Each directed loop  $L$  in  $\Gamma^2$  determines a periodic quasi-orbit  $O(L)$  of  $\phi^\#$  such that  $u_\alpha^2(L) = \langle \alpha, O(L) \rangle$ , as follows Each portion of  $L$  restricted to  $\Gamma$  determines an orbit of  $\phi^\#$  which is not contained in any invariant annulus, each portion of  $L$  restricted to  $\bar{T}_\gamma$  determines a sequence of orbits in  $\text{Susp}(T_\gamma^\circ)$  These orbits piece together to give  $O(L)$

Conversely, we must show that for each periodic quasi-orbit  $\zeta^\#$ , either  $\langle \alpha, \zeta^\# \rangle = +\infty$ , or there is a directed loop  $L$  in  $\Gamma^2$  with  $\zeta^\# = O(L)$ , for then it will follow that  $\langle \alpha, \zeta^\# \rangle = u_\alpha^2(L) \geq 0$  We shall give the argument in the case where  $\phi$  does not permute separatrices, the other case is left to the reader Assume  $\langle \alpha, \zeta^\# \rangle < +\infty$  Let  $\zeta^\# = (\zeta_k^\#)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/J}$ , and consider  $\zeta_k^\#$  not contained in any invariant annulus  $\rho(\zeta_k^\#)$  approaches some  $\alpha$ -null singular orbit  $\gamma$  in positive time Consider the coordinate disc  $D = D_\gamma$  around  $s = s_\gamma \in \gamma \cap S$  The point set  $\rho(\zeta_k^\#) \cap D$  accumulates on  $s$  along some stable separatrix  $\ell_n$  In constructing a symbolic path  $L_k$  in  $\Gamma$  for the orbit  $\zeta_k^\#$ , as  $L_k$  approaches  $+\infty$  there are two possibilities  $L_k$  will cycle infinitely around a loop in  $\Gamma$  representing  $\gamma$ , and this loop will pass through either the symbol  $m_{n-1}$  or the symbol  $m_n$ , since these are the two Markov rectangles incident on  $s$  and  $\ell_n$  In the tree  $\bar{T}_\gamma$ , at least one of the two points  $\bar{x}_{n-1}, \bar{x}_n$  is identified with  $z_n$  Choose  $L_k$  to cycle through  $m_{n-1}$  if  $\bar{x}_{n-1} = z_n$ , and to cycle through  $m_n$  if  $\bar{x}_n = z_n$  In either case, under the identification map  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^2$ ,  $L_k$  should then be truncated at  $z_n$  A similar construction is made for the negative direction of  $L_k$  Do this for each  $\zeta_k^\#$  not contained in an invariant annulus of  $\zeta$  Each remaining portion of  $\zeta^\#$  is contained in  $\text{Susp}(T_\gamma^\circ)$  for some  $\alpha$ -null orbit  $\gamma$ , and consists of a sequence of orbits  $\zeta_{k+1}^\#, \dots, \zeta_{k'-1}^\#$ , yielding a directed path  $E_{k+1}, \dots, E_{k'-1}$  in  $T_\gamma^\circ$  Note that  $L_k$  ends at some vertex  $z_n \in \bar{T}_\gamma$ , and  $L_{k'}$  starts at some other vertex  $z_n \in \bar{T}_\gamma$  Condition (ii) in the construction of  $T_\gamma$  guarantees that the edge-path  $\mathcal{E}$  from  $z_n$  to  $z_n$  in  $\bar{T}_\gamma$  intersects  $T_\gamma^\circ$  in the directed path  $E_{k+1}, \dots, E_{k'-1}$  Thus,  $\mathcal{E}$  is directed Now concatenate  $\mathcal{E}$  between  $L_k$  and  $L_{k'}$ . Doing this for each appropriate portion of  $\zeta^\#$  results in the desired directed loop in  $\Gamma^2$  representing  $\zeta^\#$

Now we say how to define the sets  $R(\alpha)$ ,  $L(\alpha)$ , and  $L^q(\alpha)$ , which are invariant sets of  $\phi^\#$   $R(\alpha)$  is defined as the chain kernel of  $\phi^\#$  with respect to  $\alpha$ , i.e. the set of all points  $x$  such that for all  $\varepsilon, T$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon, T$  cycle  $X$  through  $x$  such that  $\langle \alpha, X \rangle = 0$   $L(\alpha)$  is defined as the closure of all periodic orbits  $\gamma$  of  $\phi^\#$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = 0$   $L^q(\alpha)$  is defined as the closure of all quasi-periodic orbits  $\zeta$  of  $\phi^\#$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \zeta \rangle = 0$  Observe that  $L(\alpha)$  and  $L^q(\alpha)$  do not intersect the interior of any invariant annulus of  $\phi^\#$  This is a consequence of the fact that  $u_\alpha^2$  is positive on each directed edge of  $\bar{T}_\gamma$ , for each  $\alpha$ -null singular orbit  $\gamma$

The statement of Proposition 3.7 is true with the new definition of  $L(\alpha)$  An analogue of Proposition 4.3 holds, characterizing the subgraph of  $\Gamma^2$  which is the union of all simple loops  $L$  for which  $u^2(L) = 0$  Proposition 4.7 is proven exactly as before

The pseudo-Anosov shadowing theory presented in § 5 needs the following changes. After proving Lemma 5.1 *Visitors enter and leave through corridors*, an addendum to the lemma needs to be proven for the invariant tree  $T_s$  constructed by blowing up a singular fixed point  $s$  of a pseudo-Anosov map. The addendum says that if  $\varepsilon$  is small enough in terms of the diameter of  $T_s$ , then in an appropriately constructed neighbourhood  $N(T_s)$ , an  $\varepsilon$ -chain which visits  $N(T_s)$  enters through the stable corridor corresponding to some endpoint  $v_0$  of  $T_s$ , and leaves through the unstable corridor corresponding to some endpoint  $v_1$  of  $T_s$ , and there is a directed path in  $T_s$  leading from  $v_0$  to  $v_1$ . Using this addendum, a version of Lemma 5.3, general pseudo-Anosov shadowing, should be proven for  $f^\#$ , stating that arbitrary chains of  $f^\#$  are shadowed by quasi-orbits, and stating the appropriate version of uniqueness. The remainder of § 5 is unchanged, and in particular we have recovered the proof of Theorem 3.8, that  $R(\alpha) = L^q(\alpha)$ .

To adapt the construction given in § 6 of an isolating block  $N$  for  $R(\alpha)$ , as before one starts with a pseudo-Markov partition  $\mathcal{M}^P$  for  $f$  such that for each  $P \in \mathcal{M}^P$ , and for each  $\alpha$ -null periodic orbit  $\gamma$  of  $\phi$ ,  $\gamma \cap P \subset \text{int}(P)$ . In particular, if  $\gamma$  is an  $n$ -pronged singular orbit and  $\gamma \cap P \neq \emptyset$ , then  $P$  is a  $2n$ -gon. In § 6, we produced a certain subset  $\mathcal{M}^P(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{M}^P$ , which was a pseudo-Markov partition for the invariant set  $R(\alpha) \cap S$ . In the present context we must follow a more involved procedure in order to obtain a pseudo-Markov partition  $\mathcal{M}^P(\alpha)$  for  $R(\alpha) \cap S$ . Consider a  $2n$ -gon  $P \in \mathcal{M}^P$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , with  $n$ -pronged singular point  $x \in P$ .  $P$  decomposes into  $2n$  quadrants, each bounded by one stable and one unstable separatrix. For each quadrant  $Q \subset P$ , consider  $\hat{Q} = \text{cl}(\rho^{-1}(\text{int}(Q)))$ . Observe that if  $\hat{Q}$  intersects the interior of an invariant path of  $f^\#$ , then  $\text{int}(\hat{Q})$  is disjoint from  $R(\alpha)$ , this follows from the fact that  $R(\alpha)$  is disjoint from the interior of each invariant annulus of  $\phi^\#$ , together with a simple splicing argument. Thus, for each  $k$ -pronged periodic point  $s$  of  $f^\#$  in  $\rho^{-1}(P)$  obtained from the blowup of  $x$ , there is a Markov  $2k$ -gon  $P_s \subset \rho^{-1}(P)$  containing  $s$ , such that if  $s \neq s'$  then  $P_s \cap P_{s'} = \emptyset$ , and  $\cup_s \{P_s\} \supset R(\alpha) \cap \rho^{-1}(P)$ . Hence we obtain a pseudo-Markov partition  $\mathcal{M}^P(\alpha)$  for  $R(\alpha) \cap S$ , as follows. For each  $2n$ -gon  $P \in \mathcal{M}^P$  with  $n \geq 3$ , and for each singularity  $s$  of  $f^\#$  in  $\rho^{-1}(P)$ ,  $P_s$  is an element of  $\mathcal{M}^P(\alpha)$ . And for each rectangular  $P \in \mathcal{M}^P$  such that  $R(\alpha) \cap \rho^{-1}(P) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\rho^{-1}(P)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{M}^P(\alpha)$ . The isolating block  $N$  for  $R(\alpha)$  can now be constructed from  $\mathcal{M}^P(\alpha)$  exactly as in § 6.

In § 7, the shadowing proof of Proposition 7.1 goes through as stated, with  $\phi^\#$  in place of  $\phi$ .

The proof of property (E) in § 8 is as before, except that in the final paragraph of the proof, the graph  $\Gamma^2$  and the class  $U^2 \in H^1(\Gamma^2, \mathbb{Z})$  are used, in place of  $\Gamma^p$  and  $U^p \in H^1(\Gamma^p, \mathbb{Z})$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Mosher. Equivalent spectral decomposition for flows with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **9** (1989), 329–378.
- [2] L. Mosher. Surfaces and branched surfaces transverse to pseudo-Anosov flows on 3-manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* **31** (1990).