#### RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Gender, Religion, and Political Violence: Lessons from Muslim Women's Experiences in UK Elections

Parveen Akhtar , Anne Jenichen , and Hannah Intezar

Corresponding author: Parveen Akhtar; Email: p.akhtar2@aston.ac.uk

(Received 12 October 2023; revised 03 May 2024; accepted 13 June 2024)

#### **Abstract**

Violence against women in politics is on the rise, threatening political achievements with respect to equality. Little research, however, has been conducted on the experiences of women from minority communities. This article, therefore, takes an intersectional approach to explore how gender, religion, and other categories of difference intersect when it comes to Muslim women's experiences in the UK. Based on a longitudinal case study of Bradford West during the 2015, 2017, and 2019 general elections that combines participant observations, qualitative interviews, and a Twitter analysis, we argue that, in addition to the violence often experienced by women, Muslim women are also confronted with Islamophobic bias and abuse, as well as intersectional intimidation and harassment from within the Muslim community in their constituencies. Our case study approach, however, also reveals the existence of appreciation and support for Muslim women in politics that needs to be nurtured to counter abuse.

Keywords: violence against women in politics; religion; intersectionality; UK

#### Introduction

Women are better represented in political office than at any time in history.¹ However, increasing political gender equality has also brought about resistance, partly expressed as violence against women in politics, which has become a worldwide phenomenon (Håkansson 2024; Bjarnegård, Håkansson and Zetterberg 2022; Berthet and Kantola 2021; Sanín 2020). Attempts to exclude women from politics are not new, and neither is hostility towards women in the public sphere. Current data, however, suggest that violence, harassment, and intimidation of women in politics has intensified (Collignon, Campbell and Rüdig 2022; Gorrell et al. 2020), threatening to deter women from running for office and, thus, becoming a threat to democracy and political equality.

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Women, Gender, and Politics Research Section of the American Political Science Association. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

The abuse faced by women politicians is compounded by a host of demographic factors, including ethnicity, religion, class, age, sexuality, and disability. Therefore, to understand political violence and abuse against women in power, an intersectional approach is necessary to determine how different aspects of identity interconnect at certain times and in specific contexts to influence women's political experiences. While acknowledged in most of the research on gender-based violence in politics and sometimes included in quantitative analysis (Collignon, Campbell and Rüdig 2022; Collignon and Rüdig 2021; Amnesty International 2018), this has rarely been studied qualitatively (exceptions include Harmer and Southern 2021; Kuperberg 2021). We focus on a specific intersectional group within British politics – Muslim women – to explore how gender and religion intersect in the context of political violence during the electoral process.

This article addresses three sets of questions. First, what kinds of abuse do Muslim women politicians receive? Is it primarily sexist, directed towards them as women, or is it predominantly racist, especially Islamophobic, directed at them as Muslims, or rather intersectional, directed at them specifically as Muslim women? Second, where do Muslim women experience this abuse and where does it originate from? Third, how do Muslim women and their constituents respond to political violence?

As we are interested in an in-depth analysis, we explore these questions using a UK case study - Bradford West - over the course of three elections (2015, 2017, and 2019). Bradford West is particularly apt for an intersectional analysis because it is one of the few Western constituencies where Muslim women candidates have competed against each other. The article utilises a mixed-methods approach consisting of qualitative interviews, participant observation, and a Twitter analysis<sup>2</sup> to make three empirically informed contributions to the literature on violence against women in politics. First, an in-depth analysis of Muslim women's experiences shows that, due to their multiple group memberships, they encounter different types of abuse from different directions, directed at them through different channels. While online abuse is primarily Islamophobic and mostly informed by far right and nationalist attitudes, abuse on the campaign trail is shaped by the make-up of the constituency (in this case, a Muslim, primarily British-Pakistani majority). A large proportion of the offline abuse faced by female Muslim politicians came from within the Muslim community targeting the intersectional identity of candidates as Muslim and as women. Second, our analysis shows that violence in political campaigning is shaped significantly by the local context. Local dynamics, therefore, need to be incorporated into any meaningful framework for understanding violence against women on the campaign trail because experiences may differ considerably depending on context. Finally, our findings highlight that many constituents responded to the violence experienced by Muslim women politicians with sympathy and an appreciation for their work. While we cannot determine whether this response is Bradford West specific, we do argue that a positive reception of politicians is a valuable (albeit thus far neglected) dynamic that should be accounted for in future research

and the development of practical support strategies, as it can contribute to an explanation of why politicians choose to stay in politics, despite the abuse.

The article is structured as follows: We start by examining how violence against women in politics has been conceptualized, highlighting important gaps in the literature, notably around the positive reception, appreciation, and support of politicians, around intersectional dynamics, and the nuances of the local context for political violence. Next, we describe our case study methodology and provide background information on Bradford West, before outlining the different forms of violence Muslim women candidates experienced during the elections in 2015, 2017, and 2019. We then discuss the relevance of the local context, illustrating how its unique makeup shaped the forms of violence experienced. Finally, we highlight community responses to female Muslim politicians, especially the appreciation and support received by candidates.

# Violence against Women in Politics from an Intersectional Perspective

Violence against women in politics is a specific form of gender-based violence that is directed at women politicians. We rely on a broad understanding of violence, defined as intentional behavior that is unwanted, nonessential, and harmful (Hamby 2017). In the context of violence against women, this violence is gendered, meaning it targets women because they are women, and not because, for example, the offender opposes their political views. In other words: "Its central motivation is ... not to gain the upper hand in a game of partisan competition, but rather to exclude women as a group from public life" (Krook 2020, 4). Gender-based violence is not the only form of violence that politically active women face, but it is a form that is directed only at women (and at men who do not adhere to hegemonic norms of heteronormative masculinity). Women face both gender-based and issue-based political violence, explaining why surveys suggest that women politicians experience more violence than men, both in the UK (Collignon, Campbell and Rüdig 2022) and in other countries (Håkansson 2021; Herrick et al. 2019).<sup>3</sup>

Violence can take different forms; it can be physical, psychological, sexual, economic, and semiotic (Krook 2020; Krook and Sanín 2019). Physical violence produces bodily harm and injury and includes unwelcome physical contact or involuntary physical confinement. Psychological violence is the most common type in politics (IPU 2016, 2018). It inflicts trauma on a person's mental state or emotional well-being (e.g., death and rape threats, or otherwise insulting, taunting, or scaring the target). A large part of this psychological violence is online abuse. In the UK, for instance, an overwhelming majority of MPs report that they have experienced online harassment and intimidation (Akhtar and Morrison 2019; Collignon, Campbell, and Rüdig 2022). Sexual violence refers to sexual acts and attempts at sexual acts by coercion, as well as unwelcome sexual comments and advances. Economic violence includes behaviors aimed at denying, restricting, or controlling women's access to financial resources. Finally, semiotic violence mobilizes sexist and degrading words and images to injure, discipline, and subjugate women. This last type of violence is distinct from the

other four types because it focuses on influencing how the public views politically active women as a group, rather than targeting women individually. Acts of semiotic violence "seek – through their circulation among citizens at large – to send a message that women as a group are unworthy" (Krook 2020, 67) by rendering women invisible or incompetent (Krook 2022). The opportunities to create and distribute negative and harmful portrayals of women have dramatically increased due to technological advances. Qualitative in-depth analyses of Twitter and YouTube data, for instance, have demonstrated how social media is used to discursively construct the political arena as a fundamentally maleoriented space, and to reinforce stereotypical and sexist representations of women in politics (Esposito and Zollo 2021; Harmer and Southern 2021). These forms of violence are gendered if they are shaped by attitudes and beliefs about gender, including about roles and norms attached to notions of femininity and masculinity (Bardall, Bjarnegård, and Piscopo 2019). For instance, women are more likely to be attacked sexually, men physically. Online, women MPs do not necessarily receive more abusive messages than male MPs, but they are subject to significantly more sexist abuse (BBC 2022; Southern and Harmer 2021; Gorrell et al. 2020; Ward and McLoughlin 2020). In practice, these types of violence can overlap; however, we use them as analytically distinct categories to identify which types of violence the Muslim women in our case study were primarily confronted with.

The perception of political violence by the public can be gendered, too. Violence may, for example, deter more women than men from running for office (Bardall, Bjarnegård, and Piscopo 2019). However, we do not know whether that is true. In both the UK and the US, for instance, record numbers of women ran for office in the last elections, despite high levels of sexist harassment and abuse (Collignon and Rüdig 2021, 429; Krook 2020, 30). Therefore, violence against women in politics may have both a deterring and a mobilizing effect on women. Gorrell et al. (2020), for example, found that politicians who had received more online abuse than their peers were more likely to decide against re-election. Surveys in the UK have shown that more women than men experience fear for their safety on the campaign trail (Collignon, Campbell, and Rüdig 2022; Akhtar and Morrison 2019), which makes them more likely to adapt their campaign strategies, such as avoiding canvassing, which in turn diminishes their chances to be elected (Collignon and Rüdig 2021). Violence also negatively affects the political work of standing MPs. According to a Danish survey among municipal officeholders, the experience of psychological and sexual violence negatively affects the feeling of having freedom of speech (Kosiara-Pedersen 2023). Online abuse, indeed, spikes after public contributions by MPs to contested debates (Ward and McLoughlin 2020). Overall, the more visible politicians are, the more heavily they are targeted (Kosiara-Pedersen 2023; Collignon and Rüdig 2021; Håkansson 2021; Rheault, Rayment, and Musulan 2019). Our research contributes another important element that, so far, has been largely neglected: We suggest that the appreciation and support politicians receive contributes to the decision of why they choose to go into or stay in politics, despite abuse. Nurturing this support and appreciation, we argue, represents an important counterweight to violence

and should, therefore, be considered in the development of political strategies that seek to promote women's political participation.

A further gap in the research on violence against women in politics is intersectionality (Kuperberg 2018). Intersectionality as a concept was coined by Kimberlé Crenshaw (1991), who, with respect to violence, has challenged feminist and anti-racist discourses for marginalizing women of color, because feminists tend to focus on white women, and anti-racists on men of color. Ultimately, the concept of intersectionality can be used to critique the idea of taking "one group at a time" to solve discrimination (Collins and Bilge 2020, 7). Even before Crenshaw (1989), black scholars and activists argued "that multiple forms of discrimination are not 'purely additive' but instead function as simultaneous oppressions with a multiplicative effect" (King 1988, 46, cited in Kuperberg 2018, 686). In politics, as well, women are not a homogeneous group; their experiences are not limited to their gender alone. However, "the experiences of minority females is often lost in single-axis analyses that focus solely on gender, race, or religion" (Al-Rawi, Chun and Amer 2022, 1919). Intersectionality has not yet been incorporated widely in theorizing violence against women in politics, even though the intersections between gender and other biases are present in emerging data. Research on the UK, for example, suggests that women of racial, ethnic, religious, and sexual minorities receive more abuse in politics than other women (Collignon, Campbell, and Rüdig 2022; Collignon and Rüdig 2021; Amnesty International 2018). Despite these observations, we do not yet know much about the experiences of violence among women of non-dominant groups in politics and about the underlying dynamics of their experiences. An intersectional perspective allows us to address questions of how sexism and Islamophobia intersect in the experiences of Muslim women in politics and what that tells us about the perpetrators of that abuse – a group largely neglected in research on violence against women in politics so far. To our knowledge, there have been only two studies that focus on these questions, revealing that, in the case of online violence in the UK, women of ethnic minorities are confronted with more racist than sexist or intersectional abuse that portrays them as incompetent and disloyal to the British nationstate and questions their experiences of discrimination. This implies that the abuse is informed primarily by far right and nationalist attitudes (Harmer and Southern 2021; Kuperberg 2021). Our analysis confirms this finding for online abuse, but also goes beyond it by revealing that Muslim women, due to being members of multiple disadvantaged groups, are confronted with violence from different communities and in different arenas. Both types and origins of violence are shaped by the specific local context of the – online and primarily offline – constituency.

To operationalize local dynamics with respect to violence against Muslim women in politics, we draw on Krook's (2020, 97–102) use of three additional, interconnected dynamics of violence: Structural violence (originally coined by Johan Galtung) is rooted in the social structure and enacts harm through the stratification of access to basic needs. In the context of violence against Muslim women in politics, these are the systemic barriers that limit the political participation of Muslim women, including unequal access to political and other

resources based on their ascriptive group memberships. These structural disadvantages and discrimination are justified and normalized culturally, for example, through cultural norms, beliefs, and practices, such as ideas denigrating and disparaging women and Muslims who enter the public sphere. Such structural and cultural dynamics, finally, produce symbolic violence (coined by Pierre Bourdieu), an invisible mode of domination upheld by symbols, language, and discourse, as well as different forms of physical, psychological, sexual, economic, and semiotic violence that put marginalized groups who deviate from prescribed norms "in their place" (Krook 2020, 101).

## **Methodology**

This article on violence against Muslim women politicians grew out of a larger project on the political participation of Muslims in the city of Bradford. In the context of that project, participant observation and qualitative interviews were combined to delve into the local dynamics around three general elections (2015, 2017, and 2019) in one specific constituency in the UK. The theme of violence against Muslim women politicians emerged from these observations and interviews.

Participant observations were carried out at four pre-election campaigning events that included at least one of the four Muslim women candidates (one candidate event in 2015, three hustings in 2015 and 2017); during election day in 2015 at the Bradford University polling station; and at three post-election events with the new MP in 2015 and 2016. These events were observed by one of the authors to gather information about the local context, including key players in local politics and a sense of the general atmosphere during the elections. The observation notes were also used to inform and shape subsequent interviews.

We conducted thirty qualitative interviews with locals who lived, studied, or worked in the city of Bradford and who were interested and/or engaged in politics. They self-selected at our project stand outside the Bradford University polling station in 2015, responded to research flyers, or were recommended by other interviewees through subsequent snowballing (Bergin 2018). To ensure anonymity, we have changed all names. In terms of ethnic identity, 46.6% of interviewees identified as British Pakistani, 6.6% as British Asian, 43% as White British, and 3.3% as Pakistani. Over half identified as Muslim and one as a cultural Muslim. In terms of gender, we interviewed 46.7% women and 53.3% men. Interviews were conducted in the weeks running up to the first of the elections studied in this research (2015) and immediately after the election. They focused on the question of how interviewees perceived the election campaigns, the candidates, and local dynamics around voting and representation in the city. While political and cultural violence were not the only themes discussed in the interviews, they featured prominently. We also interviewed the MP of Bradford West several times between 2015 and 2023 about her experiences during the three elections; and we had informal conversations with Muslim women activists and journalists. The formal interviews were transcribed and then coded using thematic analysis (Clarke and Braun 2013) and cross-checked by two of the authors to make sure that themes were interpreted consistently.

We complement the participant observation and interview data with the analysis of 3,378 Tweets sent to Muslim women candidates, and compare how they were attacked in both the offline and online spheres. We included the three Muslim women candidates who competed in one or several of the three elections (Naz Shah, Amina Ali, and Salma Yagoob<sup>5</sup>) and analyzed all Tweets sent to these three women around the time of their nominations, the hustings, and the elections (for each, one week before and one week after the specific event). We scraped the data from Twitter (now known as X) using the professional service of ScrapeHero, including only Tweets sent in English and directly to one of the three politicians using their Twitter handle (@...), but excluding those just mentioning their names in the text or in Hashtags. We included original Tweets as well as replies to capture reactions from users to relevant Tweets, including those issued by one of the three politicians. We also included Tweets with links, and we included the content behind these links in the analysis if they were still active. The coding of the Tweets, done by three different coders with considerable overlap to ensure intercoder reliability, sought to identify, on the one hand, whether the Tweets were "violent" (e.g., obvious threats to physical integrity), "derogative" (e.g., name-calling, stereotyping, swearing, silencing, questioning of intelligence, accusation of lying, questioning of position in politics), "critical" (criticizing the politician's views or actions using appropriate language), or "supportive" (e.g., congratulation); on the other hand, whether they referred to the identity of the politician (e.g., gender, religion, age, race, national/cultural heritage), their political stance (e.g., policies, opinions), or personal characteristics (e.g., corrupt, hardworking, decent). The lack of context in many Tweets, however, made this differentiation often difficult. To ensure as much consistency as possible, we discussed each unclear Tweet among the three authors and, if we could not agree on the coding, excluded the Tweet from further analysis. Where possible, we also tried to factor into the analysis the origin of the Tweet, primarily if whether from the far right or an ethnic minority community (e.g., Pakistani, Muslim). Yet, the fact that users do not have to use their real name makes this information unreliable. In connection with the arguments they use, this nevertheless allows for some tentative assumptions about the provenance of some of the Tweets. We present exemplary Tweets in the form of "soundbites", small-scale "forms of expression" presented in tables (Intezar and Sullivan 2022; Sullivan 2012). Due to the lack of explicit consent from individual Twitter users, we paraphrase tweets which constitute harassment or abuse, keeping to the spirit of their meaning but changing the words so they cannot be attributed back to any specific account.

We combine the observation, interview, and Twitter data with information found in the news media to trace experiences, perceptions, and local dynamics of violence against Muslim women in (Bradford) politics in as much detail as possible, which we will present in chronological order of the three elections. First, though, we provide some background information on the constituency of Bradford West.

# Case Study: Bradford West

The constituency of Bradford West is an instructive case study because it has had consecutive elections with multiple female Muslim candidates. This deep dive into a single constituency allows us to attend to multiple intersectional differences across time and in a single space in a way not previously explored.

Bradford is a city that has placed identity, in particular Muslim identity, at the heart of contentious politics for nearly thirty years (Husband et al. 2014; Samad, 1992). According to the ONS data from the 2021 census, 30.5% of residents in Bradford were Muslim, and 32.1% of Bradford residents identified their ethnic group within the "Asian, Asian British, or Asian Welsh" category, up from 26.8% in 2011. While the majority of the city's population (64%) identify as White British, the fact that the city has the largest proportion in England of people of Pakistani ethnic origin (20.3%) can be seen in the make-up of specific electoral constituencies. Ethnic concentrations in particular neighborhoods mean that over 60% of the constituents of Bradford West describe themselves as Asian, Asian British, or Asian Welsh compared to just 9.6% in the UK population as a whole. The ethnic and cultural make-up of the constituency is a significant factor in the experiences of political violence, set within the context of largely segregated communities.

The constituents of Bradford West have voted in a Labour MP in every election since 1974 except in 2012, when George Galloway, standing as a Respect Party candidate, won a spectacular majority in a by-election (Akhtar, 2015b). Three years later, in the 2015 general election, the constituency of Bradford West achieved notoriety for high levels of personalized slandering and abuse levelled at political candidates (Akhtar 2015a; Guardian, May 2015). The constituency had two young Muslim females from different ethnic communities as prospective parliamentary candidates for the Labour Party. The initial candidate Amina Ali (a Somali Muslim female candidate who had been brought in from London) stepped down three days after being selected (Akhtar 2015b; BBC News 2015), succeeded by Naz Shah, a local Muslim woman of Pakistani decent, who finally secured a majority to win the election.

In 2017, as the country went to the polls for another general election, the constituency once again saw a particularly bitter election make the national headlines (Financial Times, 2017). This time, a female Muslim campaigner with Pakistani heritage from Birmingham, Salma Yaqoob, stood as an independent, left-leaning candidate against the once again successful Labour candidate Naz Shah. Few constituencies in British elections have had two female Muslim candidates standing against each other to achieve political office. The two candidates, however, differed in other respects: One wore a headscarf (Yaqoob) while the other (Shah) did not. This symbol of religiosity allowed us to assess how important public markers of faith impacted the type of political violence experienced. Even though they shared the same ethnic heritage and were of similar age and political experience (Shah had been an MP for two years by then and Yaqoob had been a local councillor in Birmingham previously), they came from very different class backgrounds. Prior to coming into politics,

Yaqoob had trained and practiced as a psychotherapist. Shah, on the other hand, took on factory jobs after leaving school so that she could support her siblings. In 2019, Naz Shah stood once more for the Bradford West parliamentary seat to, again, win a resounding victory.

Due to her prominence, we primarily focus on Labour candidate and MP, Naz Shah, who was subject to most of the abuse. Shah is a controversial figure in British politics. Her Labour membership, for instance, was temporarily suspended for sharing antisemitic content on Facebook prior to her election. She also liked (accidently, according to her) and shared a Tweet that belittled the sexual abuse of girls in Rotherham.<sup>6</sup> She withdrew the post after just eight minutes and apologized, and the suspension was lifted (Guardian 2016). However, both incidents led to a barrage of abuse both online and offline. The abuse came from different sides. She was called a "Jew" for now supporting Israel's right to exist whilst also being viewed as a "grooming apologist" (Yorkshire Post 2020; BBC 2017), despite her decades-long activism against abuse and discrimination.

## **Experiences of Violence against Women in Three UK Elections**

To capture the nuanced dynamics of each election, we analyzed political violence across the three contests chronologically. This let us consider whether and how experiences of political violence change over time. Primarily, our Twitter analysis confirms the findings from previous research that political visibility usually increases the chance of being targeted (Kosiara-Pedersen 2023; Collignon and Rüdig 2021; Håkansson 2021; Rheault, Rayment, and Musulan 2019), and that violence against women in politics has intensified over time (Collignon, Campbell, and Rüdig 2022; Gorrell et al. 2020). In 2015, for example, both Amina Ali and Naz Shah received relatively low levels of abuse on Twitter; we found that around their nominations, 2.9% (Ali) and 3.2% (Shah) of Tweets directly sent to the two candidates used derogative language. Around the following elections, online abuse for Shah increased to 20.9% (2017) and 28.4% (2019). Salma Yaqoob, by contrast, was faced with 1.7% of derogative Tweets in 2017. In the following, we look at the three elections separately to identify prevalent forms of violence and the arenas in which they appeared.

#### The 2015 General Election

In the run up to the 2015 election, the constituency of Bradford West made national headlines due to the highly personalized and abusive nature of the election campaign. For the first time in the history of the constituency, a female Muslim candidate stood against an experienced white male politician, George Galloway. Galloway was elected as a Labour Party MP in 1987, but was expelled in 2003 for bringing the party into disrepute over actions related to his stance against the War in Iraq 2003. Galloway has a track record of using global issues, such as the Israel-Palestine conflict, to galvanize the Muslim vote, and with some success.

In 2015, Galloway was seeking to defend the seat of Bradford West as a Respect party candidate. Amina Ali, a Somali Muslim candidate from London, was selected as the official Labour candidate. She faced hostility from within the local Labour Party because she was seen as an outsider imposed on the local party by the central Labour Party. Informal discussions with Labour Party members at hustings pointed to Ali's position as an "outsider", as someone parachuted into the constituency and, as such, unfamiliar with the needs of the constituents. This finding confirms that violence and intimidation can occur within parties and are not necessarily restricted to inter-partisan differences (Reeder and Seeberg 2020). Ali stepped down after three days, citing personal reasons, and Naz Shah took her place.

On the national stage, Shah had been a complete unknown and common criticisms levelled at her were that she was the "second choice candidate" (Tweet, 21 Feb 2015), inexperienced and therefore incompetent ("What do you know" [Tweet, 24 Feb 2015]), and chanceless against Galloway ("I don't think you have any chance of victory against @georgegalloway" [Tweet, 3 March 2015]). The turning point for her campaign came when she wrote about her backstory in a local newspaper (Urban Echo, March 2015). Her background is extraordinary, characterized by the intersection of gender, religion, and class, and was picked up by national newspapers and personalities. The Guardian ran a piece with the headline "Naz Shah's story is one of survival. Politics needs women like her" (Guardian, March 2015). Growing up, Naz Shah was poor and, at times, destitute after her father left her pregnant mother and two children for the neighbors' 16-year-old daughter. Shah was then sent to Pakistan by her mother, who feared she would be abused by the man they were living with. In Pakistan, Shah was forced into an arranged marriage at the age of 15. Her mother, meanwhile, suffering long term abuse at the hands of the man she was living with, ended up poisoning him to death. Along with her brother and sister, Shah had spent much of her youth trying to free their mother from prison, arguing that she had acted in self-defense (The New Statesman, July 2015). Shah's journey into politics, thus, could not be further away from the Oxford-educated template of the traditional upper-middleclass career politician in the UK (Durose et al., 2013).

Shah faced a barrage of mostly psychological and semiotic violence, ranging from a dead crow put on her doorstep, its beak stuffed with grass, to personal attacks on her integrity during hustings, including an online smear campaign alleging that she was only selected because she had sexual relations with those in power and fake pictures of her circulating the internet. The Conservative Party candidate, George Grant, captured the "wild west" nature of the 2015 campaign by likening it to a 19th-century rotten borough rather than a 21st-century parliamentary democracy (Akhtar, 2021).

At a University of Bradford hustings, Shah faced personal attacks questioning her integrity. Galloway, for example, purported that Shah had lied about the age at which she had been forced into a marriage in Pakistan (Guardian, April 2015). The accusations created a narrative of distrust and deceitfulness which was amplified on Twitter (Table 1).

Table 1. So	undbites c	uestioning	Shah's	integrity
-------------	------------	------------	--------	-----------

2015	is it a true story?
2015	where is the marriage certificate to say you married at 15? You said it was in your safe at your office. Were u lying?
2015	still no certificate produced that was in your safe? Haha liar! Can't trust you, vote going 2 @georgegalloway

Numerous Tweets questioned Shah's trustworthiness and called her a liar. Rather than focus on policies, they sought to weaken her position in the political race. This, however, backfired, as the publication of Shah's story led to an outpouring of support from the general public and proved to be an obstacle in Galloway's supposed clear run of the election. As noted by Surraya, one of our interviewees:

"I think that even Galloway himself was a little bit shook, and he went to the extreme lengths of like pulling out a marriage certificate in a, in a hustings, and he went to those lengths to try and discredit her because he felt that she was a credible opponent."

Online, fake pictures circulated the internet showing Shah in a gym wearing only a towel, and in another picture wearing a mini skirt. These attacks were levelled against her identity as a Muslim woman, characterizing her as having loose morals and questionable character. Although such pictures would have no significance in wider British society, they were meant to send specific cultural signals to a socially conservative Muslim community that Shah was not a "good Muslim woman" to weaken support for her in her predominantly Muslim constituency. However, neither of these tactics succeeded, as Shah achieved a spectacular win in 2015, becoming the first Muslim to represent the constituency.

#### The 2017 General Election

In 2017, Shah ran again and entered an election campaign which she says was the most difficult of her life. Once again, Shah's Muslim identity became center stage; however, the abuse came from very different audiences and was expressed through varying channels.

Shah was met with a lot of resistance, primarily online, for her statements about being Muslim in the UK. After a piece in the tabloid The Sun by Trevor Kavanagh, in which he asked for a solution to "the Muslim Problem", Shah sent an open letter to the newspaper editors, backed by more than 100 other MPs, in which she criticized the Nazi-like language and Islamophobic tropes used in the comment piece (Independent 2017). For this, and other, interventions into the public discourse, she received much Islamophobic opposition online (Table 2). In 2015, doctored images attempted to paint Shah as a "bad Muslim woman";

2017	No islamophobia if no muslim terrorism in the world. All done in islam's name and abusing children in gangs
2017	You can use as much leftist justification as you want. Muslims are hated because of what they do. Muslim hatred means people fear Islam Muslim hatred is self-inflicted
2017	Islam will be challenged in the West. It will stand or fall by the words and deeds of its followers. Deal with it.
2017	Killing and murder in UK cities proves fearing of Islam is not irrational
2017	if you are stupid enough to even use the term islamaphobia, you sure as hell arnt intelligent enough to be give[en] power. (lab/tory irrelevant)
2017	People will hate Muslims if Muslims go around killing innocent children bombing kids is digusting and blaming Islam, what do you expect?

Table 2. Soundbites of attacks against Shah's Muslim identity

ironically, in 2017, she became a visible symbol of "Muslimness" (Shah, Interview 2023) (Table 2).

Most of the Tweets did not target Shah directly, but denigrated Muslims per se and denied the existence of Islamophobia. Nonetheless, they indirectly targeted Shah's identity as a Muslim and, thus, suggested she was unqualified to represent British society in parliament because of her identity. Some members of Shah's constituency, accordingly, felt they needed to remind her of the importance of remaining unbiased: "just make sure you do good for all communities and not just the Pakistani's. Bfd has gone downhill over the yrs" (Tweet, June 9 2017). They also questioned whether Shah was attempting to play at being the underdog: "As one of your potential constituents i think you are playing the devalued victim card. You will not be getting my vote' (Tweet, June 2 2017). In Shah's own words:

"...the abuse spikes whenever there's a terrorist attack, or a grooming gang conviction. They call me a grooming apologist, even though I've been doing work around grooming for decades. With the guy from Blackburn who took hostages, they're like: "Naz Shah, bet you haven't got anything to say about this." And I'm sure some attacks are coordinated because they spike when nothing has happened. I'll just find my Twitter blowing up with comments such as: "Muslims are paedophiles" (Guardian 2022b)."

Our analysis confirms Kuperberg's (2021) finding that, online, Muslim women politicians receive more Islamophobic than sexist or intersectional abuse, suggesting that it is primarily informed by far right and nationalist attitudes. For instance, most Tweets sent to Naz Shah around the elections in 2017 that we classified as derogative were of an explicitly Islamophobic nature (>70%). Interestingly, in 2017, Shah's main competitor, Salma Yaqoob, also wrote an article concerning the rise of anti-Muslim sentiments (Guardian 2017). Although Yaqoob was questioned about broader cultural practices, such as: "are men and women sitting separately a part of diverse culture" (Tweet, May 18 2017),

the majority of the 2017 Tweets Yaqoob received were not derogatory. Instead, she was celebrated as a "voice" for the "oppressed" and "helpless" at a global level (Tweet, May 14 2017). This confirms that more prominent politicians are more likely to receive more online abuse.

Our intersectional approach interrogated a unique facet of the 2017 campaign: it was essentially a contest between two Muslim women (Salma Yaqoob and Naz Shah) of similar ages and the same ethnic background (British Pakistani). Yet, they differed crucially in two respects: class and religiosity. Yaqoob was university educated and had had a career as a psychotherapist, while Shah did not have further education and had worked her way up in factory work. The second core difference between the two Muslim female candidates in Bradford West was the hijab, the Muslim head covering, and its representation of religiosity. Yaqoob covered her head with a hijab; Shah did not. This led to centralizing religion in the campaign. Within parts of the Muslim community, Shah's religious authenticity was questioned. Discussions in campaign meetings questioned whether Shah was Muslim enough, and she was called a "dog" with the wrong "pedigree". Shah was identified as a "bad Muslim" for not wearing a headscarf while Salma Yaqoob was branded a "good Muslim" for wearing one. This "hijab-shaming" was specific to the ward, and again an appeal to the mainly Muslim electorate. For Shah, this tactic was psychologically damaging:

"That made me suicidal. It nearly broke me...it was not about whether I was a credible candidate or character, it was about my belief system – Muslimness. It was about being a Muslim. And that was my identity....What was so horrible in 2017 was, because here was a woman that I actually genuinely had looked up to in the past. This was a woman who desecrated me publicly. And it wasn't just her. It was other women in Bradford, and that hurt, that really, really, really hurt." (Interview, Shah 2023)

Leaflets were posted to private homes to prevent constituents from voting for Shah, for example, claiming her affiliation to Shia clerics (BuzzFeed.News 2017; Interview, Ifat) within the context of a majority local population of Sunni Muslims. Shah occupied a unique space in 2017; she became a symbol of 'Muslimness' for the wider public while simultaneously not being Muslim enough for some in her constituency. The atmosphere during a 2017 husting at the University of Bradford was particularly fraught and at times very uncomfortable, as this Tweet reporting from the events illustrates:

@NazShahBfd Getting a lot heckling from crowd. Increasingly looks like "rent-a-mob" politics from supporters of @SalmaYaqoob #BradfordWest (Tweet, 22 May 2017).

However, these tactics, again, had the opposite effect to the one intended. Many of the Muslim constituents at whom this kind of religiously framed political campaigning was targeted were critical of how the head covering was being manipulated to win votes:

## 14 Parveen Akhtar, Anne Jenichen and Hannah Intezar

Interviewee: "I think one of the things that was really horrible was when she [Yaqoob] was very aggressive... She was trying to say, look, this is Naz Shah. She doesn't wear a hijab. She's not a good Muslim. That backfired."

Interviewer: "Why do you think it backfired?"

Interviewee: "People are not stupid. People want representation. It doesn't matter whether...you're black or white, none of that. What matters is that you make a good representative of the community."

This quote sums up the general feeling amongst many of our Muslim interviewees that the focus on religious identity and the subsequent shaming of Naz Shah for the way she dressed constituted "moral harassment", and that the attacks on her personal life were significantly misjudged. From the interviews and observations, it was clear that the majority of constituents, Muslim and non-Muslim alike, wanted an MP who would represent their interests, and not necessarily someone who would mirror their religious-moral code.

Naz Shah herself is convinced that Yaqoob's decision to stand and her campaign tactics were orchestrated by men:

"They knew if they wanted to get rid of me, they would need a woman. Didn't want to put up their own women, their sisters, or daughters or wives. But they set up this women's cage fight between two women." (Interview, Shah)

This was about attempting to maintain hegemonic control of women in politics. Here, gender and religion intersected to shape the abuse levelled toward Shah with a local contest where local politics had been dominated by patronage politics as we will explain further below.

#### The 2019 General Election

The 2019 snap election was atypical because it took place in winter and because, in Bradford West, there was no serious competition from another candidate. They were largely "paper candidates" and there were no hustings, either. Naz Shah described this campaign as a much more positive experience:

"The best election I ever had because it was winter, so there was no kind of going out. Because I had the majority of 22,000, they just run a paper candidate. Best election - winter, no going out, little on the door campaigning and no hustings." (Interview, Shah)

Her assessment confirms what Collignon and Rüdig (2021) have found, namely that violence deters women from canvassing, likely reducing their chances to be elected, because electioneering, the campaign trail, and hustings are part and parcel of the road to become elected to political office. In this case, this worked to Shah's

advantage, despite the increase in abuse on Twitter from 2017 to 2019. It also suggests that, at least for Shah, the offline violence experienced on the campaign trail, and being visible, affected her more than the violence experienced online.

In 2019, online abuse against Shah had spiked to more than a quarter of all Tweets sent to her being derogative. However, the topics and characteristics picked up on by the abusers were far more mixed than in our sample for 2017. Most Tweets interpreted as derogative by us targeted her policies and public statements on racism, the situation in Bradford, and the above-mentioned child sexual abuse scandal in Rotherham, or denigrated her personality, calling her, for example, a liar or hypocrite. Only 13.5% of the derogative Tweets (also) addressed her identity, primarily her gender, religion and/or race, or Pakistani heritage (in equal numbers), mostly separately, but sometimes also in combination. For example, whereas Tweets from 2017 treated Shah as a general "symbolic form" of Islam, the Tweets from 2019 are more personalized in the sense that the Tweeter is directly addressing Shah with the use of "you", "you're", and "your" (italicized in Table 3).

Similarly, the 2019 Tweets illustrate an additional layer of intersectionality in the sense that they combine gender, religion, and race. While the Tweets from Table 2, for instance, display direct derogatory language towards Muslims, thus only indirectly attacking here, the 2019 Tweets condemn *Shah* for *being* a Muslim women who is against "*White people*" or "young White girls." Yet, we found only a few allusions to class, none to age, and hardly any sexual violence. We also found only two overt threats in our Twitter sample, one of them adding, after expressing "disgust" about some of her statements, that they know where she lives with a link to a picture of a house (Tweet, December 6 2019), and another one "daring"

Table 3. Soundbites of identity-based Tweets sent to Shah in 2019

Gender	Resign. Be careful where you walk at night, you silly cow.
Religion	You lie about everything. You are a Jihadi supporter
Race	How can you still be an MP, I'm disgusted. Your peoples rape of young White girls and your racist tweet about it. You shouldn't still be an MP
Religion & race	You're just the same, supports the gangs and their rapesplaying the Muslim sympathy card and using the race card. Bradford is a mess. Crime is higher than everywhere else, there are no-go areas and of the cultural wonders of FGM
Gender & religion	You're happy for child rape gangs to be allowed to continue and you and your leader look the other way, all to protect diversity. It is shameful that you are a woman and you didn't care about and support victims against abusers.
Gender & race	You hate White people. For the sake of diversity you tell young girls to keep their mouths shut about Muslim rape gangs. That is disgusting. You are digusting. You shouldn't still be an MP
Gender & religion	On the UK can you be elected, in Muslim countries you wouldn't be given that opportunity. Your religious book is antisemitic, you only got elected because your constituents are mostly Muslims, you should be grateful to the UK

her to come to their door while canvassing (Tweet, December 10 2019). However, we only included Tweets directly sent to the candidates; therefore, we may have missed violent threats that circulated Twitter but were not sent directly, such as the one circulated via Twitter in 2017 from a now closed account that incited physical violence against her by accompanying the exact rally start time and route with a picture of Naz Shah's face behind a stylized hairline cross. Other threats may have been sent through other social media platforms, such as Facebook. Twitter may also have removed some of the most explicit and particularly hateful posts before we were able to retrieve them, based on their policies of deleting tweets considered hate speech and suspending or deleting offending accounts. Threats are also often sent by email rather than through Twitter, exemplified by the two cases in which perpetrators were jailed after emailing offensive content and death threats to Naz Shah (Guardian 2022a). Shah summarises the situation using the following words:

"[you must] make the conscious decision that the minute you put your head above the parapet, the minute you do anything public, you are easy game for anybody, and they will come at you. ... I've got thick skin after what I've been through in my life, but you shouldn't have to have been through what I've been through to be able to be an MP or to be able to be a councillor...it's a travesty." (Interview, Shah)

Our case study of Bradford West suggests that politicians from intersectional groups, such as Muslim women like Naz Shah, due to their membership in different groups, receive different types of abuse coming from different directions. Different groups of abusers, in turn, tend to use different channels. While our results confirm Kuperberg's (2021) finding that, in the UK, online violence against women of Muslim minorities is primarily informed by far right and nationalist attitudes, we also find that in the offline world of electioneering, women of Muslim minorities are confronted with the local context of their constituencies. In Bradford West, as we will explain in the next section, this was characterised by a politics of patronage in a predominantly Muslim community.

## **Local Context Matters**

In the following section, we explain how structural, cultural, and symbolic violence interact in the production of abuse against Muslim women politicians in Bradford West. While previous research has primarily focused on abuse from mainstream society levelled at Muslim women due to their "Muslim identity", the data from our research also shows the types of violence Muslim women politicians face from within the Muslim community. This is, in large part, due to the demographics of the constituency of Bradford West. Like in many former industrial inner-city areas across the UK, the structural and cultural context of the constituency of Bradford West is shaped by concentrated ethno-religious communities which, in turn, shape the political experiences of women. All South Asian women we interviewed believed misogyny to be a factor in local

communities. In interviews, women sometimes pointed to the "people of Bradford" who "don't think women can be politicians" (Interview, Robina). Other times, it was even more specific to people in certain city postcodes, e.g., people in BD5 or BD7, who did not think women could or indeed should be involved in politics. Both postcodes have majority minority populations.

In Pakistani Muslim communities of Bradford West, the political sphere is governed through kinship ties which structure South Asian Muslim communities (Akhtar 2013). These community-specific structures actively exclude women from both voting (for who they want) and running for office (Akhtar and Peace 2018; Peace and Akhtar, 2015). In February and March 2016, the BBC's flagship news programme *Newsnight* broadcast a series of features on Muslim women in local politics and national politics who alleged that they had been deterred, in some cases through intimidation and the threat of physical violence, into abstaining from politics, including standing for office, by Muslim men (BBC Newsnight, 2016a and b). Within this structural context, images of the political sphere have been normalized that construct politics as a man's world, as one of our interviewees explained:

"Politics is a male-dominated area...I don't think the female opinion or the female idea matters that much. It's the men who take charge." (Interview, Halima)

Both modes of semiotic violence identified by Krook (2022) – rendering women invisible and incompetent – are visible here, and indeed both modes appear to work together in an iterative manner to normalize structural barriers to women's political engagement.

Repeatedly, our research showed the gendered nature of politics as perceived by our Muslim women interviewees: how deeply rooted the idea of politics as a man's domain was; every Muslim woman interviewed mentioned it, as did some of our White British female respondents. One of our interviewees told us: "I talked myself out of [politics] because I said, 'They only vote for men anyway so no one's going to vote for a little Asian girl'" (Interview, Surraya), stressing powerful intersections of exclusion based on gender, ethnicity, and age. She recounted her "surprise" at Naz Shah's success in the 2015 general election, "because I thought they will vote for the man...because from the families I've met the men decide who votes and they all vote for the men, and women are seen as up themselves if they're in a higher up position" (Interview, Surraya).

Another female councillor in the city told us that the primary explanatory factor in understanding politics in the city is that "a lot of people in Bradford don't think women can be politicians, women can be local councillors, women can be MPs" (Interview, Robina). Going one step further and perhaps the logical conclusion of the idea of politics being a man's world was that "women shouldn't be involved really. Like, there's no point educating them in terms of politics, because politics is a man's domain" (Interview, Misba).

How socially accepted this view is within the Muslim community in Bradford West is confirmed by the fact that the majority of our male interviewees did not

see any barriers for women to get into politics, facilitated by the view that one or two Muslim women had "made it" in politics by gaining office as local councillor or MPs. Only one male interviewee mentioned that some Muslim women may not want to go into politics because of their home situation. Asked to elaborate he explained:

"If you look at the context that they're living in, and the culture that they're living in, does that culture, and does their particular stance in their community, or in their house, allow them to think that they can? Depending on what context they are in, I would say it's not always possible." (Interview, Rahul)

There may not be visible or formal structural barriers preventing Muslim women from taking part in politics, but cultural factors can be equally constraining. The consequence of overriding these cultural barriers would be to put oneself firmly outside the bounds of what is culturally acceptable and so become "'fair game' for sexual and other forms of gender-based harassment" (Krook 2020, 99, drawing on Mantilla 2015).

## **Constituents Responses: Support amongst the Violence**

Our case study revealed another aspect that is not often discussed in the emerging research on violence against women in politics: support and appreciation. Most research on the topic warns that violence might deter women from running for office. Without denying this threat to gender equality and democracy, we found that this sort of violence mobilizes many women (and some men) to engage in politics and to vote for women who receive abuse. Some of our interviewees stressed that they voted for Naz Shah precisely because of the abuse and the personal attacks she received on the campaign trial, impressed by her perseverance despite the abuse:

"I was really, really impressed because I thought, actually, here is a woman who you know, who's taking the reins and who's saying, 'I'm not going to let this guy completely discredit me." (Interview, Saira)

Interestingly, this was also the reaction of some men:

"We got post coming through the door telling us not to vote for Naz Shah and they wrote...it was just like the story about how...first [Shah] is a Shia Muslim, or the fact that her mum killed her dad and her background, you know, basically really horrible things and I remember my dad said that, 'It's just like this makes me want to vote for Naz Shah,' because it's just like, how dare you send something to my home and try and manipulate us in a way of thinking. It's just, it's dirty in the way they've done it." (Interview, Ifat)

This support can be based on the perception of the individual person and their circumstances, as the examples above suggest, or focus on their identity, as the experiences of one of our interviewees illustrate:

"Do you know, the area that I'm actually representing, they haven't had a female councillor for years and years...it's my second year in my first term... to be honest, the feedback I'm getting is absolutely amazing. Women are really happy that they've got a female councillor, and they actually say to me that we couldn't discuss this with a male councillor, we're so glad we've got a female councillor...when I was actually out campaigning, the prayers and the praises I got from the ladies was like wow, you don't even know me and you're actually singing praises...it just makes you feel a lot stronger, that the women do want change and the women do want to see more females in politics." (Interview, Robina)

Our Twitter analysis reflects the support for the three Muslim women politicians, as well. The proportion of supportive Tweets almost always exceeded that of derogative Tweets, ranging from 33.1% (Shah, 2017) to 35.2% (Yaqoob, 2017), to 65.7% (Ali, 2015) and 75.8% (Shah, 2015). The only exception constituted 2019, when only 2.8% of Tweets sent to Naz Shah were of a supportive character, mirroring the fact that the online environment she operated in had become increasingly toxic. Only a minority of these supportive Tweets referred to the politicians' identity, primarily gender, sometimes in combination with ethnic identity. We interpret this as positive, as it shows that their supporters perceived them primarily as politically competent individuals rather than just representatives of a demographic group.

We do not claim that support outweighs the abuse that politicians encounter. But we argue that it is important to consider to, first, understand why women enter and stay in politics, despite abuse, and second, identify ways to further strengthen women and minorities in politics.

#### **Conclusion**

We started by posing the question of how, when, and where various demographic factors of identity, such as religion and gender, become significant when it comes to the experience of political violence during the electoral process. Although a case study focusing on a limited number of politicians in a single electoral constituency cannot reveal representative results, our longitudinal and mixed-method analysis has generated some interesting and significant conclusions.

First, female Muslim politicians like Naz Shah encounter different types of abuse because of their multiple group memberships. These can range from personalized attacks questioning their background to targeting their Muslim identity to sexist and misogynist abuse from within the Muslim community. These forms of abuse "reflect different structures of oppression", as Kuperberg (2018, 688) emphasizes, as well as different groups of abusers, which seem to prefer different channels through which to mobilize opposition (far right

nationalists, online; Muslim conservatives at private election meetings and hustings). Our case study confirms previous research (Harmer and Southern 2021; Kuperberg 2021) that abuse from mainstream society is primarily informed by far right and nationalist attitudes attacking Muslim politicians with Islamophobic and racist stereotypes and questioning their experiences of discrimination. This abuse takes place primarily online. We must keep in mind, though, that social media users are not representative of the general population, particularly those users who participate in political discussions (Mellon and Prosser 2017; Barberá and Rivero 2014).

Second, our case study highlighted the importance of local context in understanding the nature of intersectional political violence. In a constituency like Bradford West, with a significant Pakistani Muslim population which had multiple female political candidates across the three elections, we found that Muslim women politicians also encounter abuse from within the Muslim community, which is intersectional, targeting appearance and "morality" of these politicians as Muslim women. Krook's (2020) conceptualization of structural, cultural, and symbolic violence, and how they interact, has proven guite useful to analyze how women are positioned, seen, and treated in conservative parts of the Muslim community. Our research finds that kinship networks have the potential to be vehicles through which political violence is targeted at politically aspirant Muslim women in the UK from within their own communities. It is important to note, however, that violence against women and girls and misogynistic abuse within the sphere of politics is not the preserve of any one culture, religion, or way of life (Abu-Lughod 2015). Religion, and in recent times Islam, has often been used as a convenient variable in understanding violence against women and singled out as the central explanatory factor in both individual abuse against specific women and collective abuse against whole communities of women. Yet religion, in this case Islam, does not exist as an exclusive entity outside of the nest of structures, institutions, and cultural practices which shape and form and, in turn, are shaped and reformed, by individuals and groups. If, as this research finds, the political violence experienced by Muslim women in the UK is impacted by beliefs from within the Muslim community itself, then it is important, as Abu-Lughod (2013) suggests, "to look and to listen" and thereby unpack the genealogy of misogynistic practices. We hope that our research contributes to this process.

Finally, our research has also shown that amongst all this violence and abuse, there also is a lot of public support for Muslim women politicians, both within their communities and in society at large. We do not argue that such support compensates for experiences of violence. However, we believe that it is important to consider to better understand why disadvantaged groups decide to enter or remain in politics. Nurturing more publicly expressed support may also be a pathway toward more political equality and the elimination of abuse.

With these conclusions, the case study of Bradford West makes three important contributions to the existing literature on violence against women in politics. Whether and how they apply to the phenomenon more broadly remains a question to be studied by future comparative and quantitative research.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful, perceptive, and generous engagement with the article, and Kate Ranson for her research assistance.

#### **Notes**

- 1. See, for example, the IPU's monthly rankings of women in parliament (https://data.ipu.org/women-ranking/?date month=9&date year=2023).
- 2. We acknowledge that Twitter has been renamed X. However, during the time of our analysis, the platform was still called Twitter. That is why we continue using the name Twitter when presenting the findings of our research.
- 3. Bjarnegård (2018), however, cautions that responses in surveys depend on how respondents interpret harassment, reflecting gendered differences of what is understood and socially recognised as abuse. It may well be that men are less sensitized to these issues and therefore underreport abuse.
- 4. Though it needs to be noted here that not all quantitative studies confirm this result. Håkansson (2021) in her research on Sweden and Southern and Harmer (2021) in their research on the UK, for example, do not find that women of non-dominant minorities are targeted significantly more than other women.
- 5. The fourth prospective candidate in the 2015 elections, Naveeda Ikram, did not use Twitter at that time.
- 6. The Northern English town of Rotherham had become infamous for an organised child sexual abuse scandal that had occurred since the late 1980s and was characterized by the failure of local authorities to respond. The scandal had a racial element because most victims were white British children, primarily girls, and most members of the grooming network of British Pakistani descent.
- 7. See video recordings still available on Twitter (now X) under https://x.com/TheRedRoar/status/ 1181220226836422657?s=20 and https://x.com/TheRedRoar/status/1181220675635286017?s=20 (last accessed on 30 April 2024).

#### References

Abu-Lughod, Lila. 2013. Do Muslim women need saving? Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Akhtar, Parveen. 2013. British Muslim Politics. Basingstoke: Palgrave.

Akhtar, Parveen. 2015a. Bradford West: Politics Comes Alive. https://www.opendemocracy.net/en/bradford-west-politics-comes-alive/

Akhar, Parveen. 2015b. Labour Candidate Hands Back the Poisoned Chalice of Bradford West. https://theconversation.com/labour-candidate-hands-back-the-poisoned-chalice-of-bradford-west-38147

Akhtar, Parveen and Peace, Timothy. 2018. "Ethnic Minorities in British Politics: Candidate Selection and Clan Politics in the Labour Party." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 45: 1–17.

Akhtar, Parveen. 2021. Batley and Spen: What Bitter UK By-election Won by Sister of Murdered MP Tells Us About State of British Politics. https://theconversation.com/batley-and-spen-what-bitter-uk-by-election-won-by-sister-of-murdered-mp-tells-us-about-state-of-british-politics-163839

Akhtar, S and Morrison, Catriona. 2019. "The Prevalence and Impact of Online Trolling of UK Members of Parliament." Computers in Human Behavior 99: 322–7.

Al-Rawi, Ahmed, Wendy Hui, Kyong Chun and Salma, Amer. 2022. "Vocal, Visible and Vulnerable: Female Politicians at the Intersection of Islamophobia, Sexism and Liberal Multiculturalism." Feminist Media Studies 22 (8): 1918–35.

Amnesty International. 2018. Toxic Twitter – Triggers of Violence and Abuse against Women on Twitter. https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2018/03/online-violence-against-women-chapter-2-3/ (03/04/23).

Barberá, Pablo, and Gonzalo, Rivero. 2014. "Understanding the Political Representativeness of Twitter Users." *Social Science Computer Review* 33(6): 712–29.

- Bardall, Gabrielle, Elin Bjarnegård, and Jennifer M. Piscopo. 2019. "How is Political Violence Gendered? Disentangling Motives, Forms, and Impacts." *Political Studies* 68(4): 916–35.
- BBC. 2015. Amina Ali, Bradford West's Labour Candidate, Withdraws. February 25, 2015. https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-leeds-31619663
- BBC. 2017. 'Anti-Semitic' Comments at Bradford Hustings Probed by Police. June 3, 2017. https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-leeds-40143011.
- BBC. 2022. Scale of Abuse of Politicians on Twitter Revealed, by Paul Lynch, Pete Sherlock, and Paul Bradshaw, BBC Shared Data Unit. November 9, 2022. https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-63330885 (03/04/23).
- BBC Newsnight. 2016a. Muslim Women 'Stopped from Becoming Labour Councillors'. February 8, 2016. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NOPbytogeLI.
- BBC Newsnight. 2016b. 'It's a Culture of Gatekeeping': Naz Shah on Intimidation of Muslim Women. March 17, 2016. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mmC3z7NECqM.
- Bergin, Tiffany. 2018. An Introduction to Data Analysis: Quantitative, Qualitative and Mixed Methods. London: Sage Publication.
- Berthet, Valentine and Kantola, Johanna. 2021. "Gender, Violence, and Political Institutions: Struggles Over Sexual Harassment in the European Parliament." Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State & Society 28 (1): 143–67.
- Bjarnegård, Elin, Håkansson, Sandra, and Zetterberg, Pär. 2022. Gender and Violence Against Political Candidates: Lessons from Sri Lanka. *Politics & Gender* 18 (1): 33–61.
- Bjarnegård, Elin. 2018. "Making Gender Visible in Election Violence: Strategies for Data Collection." Politics and Gender 14 (4): 690–5.
- BuzzFeed.News. 2017. An Independent In Bradford Is Telling Voters She's The Real Pro-Corbyn Candidate And Labour Is Furious. May 26, 2017. https://www.buzzfeed.com/aishagani/salma-yaqoob-campaigning-as-jezza-fave?utm\_term=.jlKGNB59b#.tx39QZzLl.
- Clarke, Victoria and Braun, Virginia. 2013. "Teaching Thematic Analysis: Overcoming Challenges and Developing Strategies for Effective Learning." *The Psychologist* 26 (2): 120–3.
- Collignon, Sofia, Rosie, Campbell, and Wolfgang, Rüdig. 2022. "The Gendered Harassment of Parliamentary Candidates in the UK." The Political Quarterly 93 (1): 32–8.
- Collignon, Sofia and Wolfgang, Rüdig. (2021). "Increasing the Cost of Female Representation? The Gendered Effects of Harassment, Abuse and Intimidation Towards Parliamentary Candidates in the UK." Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties 31 (4): 429–49.
- Collins, Patricia, Hill and Bilge, Sirma. 2020. *Intersectionality*. John Wiley & Sons. Cambridge, Medford MA: Polity Press.
- Crenshaw, Kimberlé. 1991. "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color." *Stanford Law Review* 43(6): 1241–99.
- Durose, Catherine, Richardson, Liz, Combs, Ryan, Eason, Christina and Gains, Francesca. 2013. "Acceptable Difference': Diversity, Representation and Pathways to UK Politics." *Parliamentary Affairs* 66 (2): 246–67.
- Esposito, Eleonora, and Sole, Alba Zollo. (2021). "How Dare You Call Her a Pig, I Know Several Pigs Who Would Be Upset If They Knew': A Multimodal Critical Discursive Approach to Online Misogyny Against UK MPs on YouTube." *Journal of Language Aggression and Conflict* 9 (1): 47–75.
- Financial Times. 2017. Bradford West Poll Tussle Between Two Local-Born Muslim Women. June 7, 2017, https://www.ft.com/content/e156b1ae-4aa7-11e7-a3f4-c742b9791d43
- Gorrell, Genevieve, Mehmet E Bakir, Ian Roberts, Mark A. Greenwood, and Kalina Bontcheva. 2020. "Which Politicians Receive Abuse? Four Factors Illuminated in the UK General Election 2019." *EPJ Data Science* 9 (18): 1–20.
- Guardian. April 2015. George Galloway accuses Naz Shah of Lying About Her Forced Marriage, https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2015/apr/09/george-galloway-naz-shah-forced-marriage-nikah-bradford-hustings
- Guardian. 2015. George Galloway Loses Bradford West Seat to Labour's Naz Shah. May 8, 2015, https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2015/may/08/george-galloway-loses-bradford-west-seat-labour-naz-shah-respect

- Guardian. 2016. Labour Antisemitism Row: Naz Shah's Suspension Lifted. July 5, 2016. https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/jul/05/labour-antisemitism-row-naz-shahs-suspension-lifted.
- Guardian. 2016. Abuse of Muslims is Now Mainstream. I Never Thought My Children Would See This. August 16, 2016. https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2016/aug/16/abuse-muslims-prejudice-nadiya-hussain
- Guardian. 2022a. Woman Jailed for Death Threats to Bradford MP Naz Shah. January 14, 2022. https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2022/jan/14/naz-shah-mp-death-threats-sundas-alam-jailed
- Guardian. 2022b. "All My Life People Have Told Me to Lose my Muslimness" Politicians on Their Battle with Islamophobia. January 27, 2022. https://www.theguardian.com/news/2022/jan/27/all-my-life-people-have-told-me-to-lose-my-muslimness-politicians-on-their-battle-with-islamo phobia
- Håkansson, Sandra. 2021. "Do Women Pay a Higher Price for Power? Gender Bias in Political Violence in Sweden." *Journal of Politics* 83 (2): 515–31.
- Håkansson, Sandra. 2024. "Explaining Citizen Hostility Against Women Political Leaders: A Survey Experiment in the United States and Sweden." *Politics & Gender* 20 (1): 1–28.
- Hamby, Sherry. 2017. "On Defining Violence, and Why It Matters." Psychology of Violence 7 (2): 167–80.
   Harmer, Emily and Rosalynd, Southern. 2021. "Digital Microaggressions and Everyday Othering: An Analysis of Tweets Sent to Women Members of Parliament in the UK." Information, Communication & Society 24 (14):1998–2015.
- Herrick, Rebekah, Sue Thomas, Lori Franklin, Marcia L. Godwin, Eveline Gnabasik, and Jean Reith Schroedel. 2019. "Physical Violence and Psychological Abuse Against Female and Male Mayors in the United States." *Politics, Groups, and Identities* 9 (4): 681–98.
- Husband, Charlse, Alam, Yunis, Huettermann, Jorg and Fomina, Joanna. 2014. Lived Diversities: Space, Place and Identities in the Multi-ethnic City. Bristol: Policy Press.
- IPU. 2016. Sexism, Harassment and Violence Against Women Parliamentarians, Issues Brief. October 2016, https://www.ipu.org/resources/publications/issue-briefs/2016-10/sexism-harassment-and-violence-against-women-parliamentarians (last access: 21/03/23)
- IPU. 2018. Sexism, Harassment and Violence Against Women in Parliaments in Europe, Issues Brief, October 2018, https://www.ipu.org/resources/publications/issue-briefs/2018-10/sexism-harass ment-and-violence-against-women-in-parliaments-in-europe (last access: 21/03/23)
- Independent. 2017. More Than 100 Cross-Party Politicians Demand Action Over "Muslim Problem."
  In Sun newspaper, August 16, 2017, https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/over-100-crossparty-politicians-demand-action-over-muslim-problem-article-in-sun-newspaper-a7895211.html
- Intezar, Hannah and Sullivan, Paul. 2022. "Metamorphosis From Exalted Person to Cultural Symbol: A Case Study of the GOAT in Tennis." *Culture & Psychology* 28 (3): 395–412.
- Kosiara-Pedersen, Karina. 2023. "Single Ladies and Freedom of Speech: Gendered Explanations For, and Effects Of, Violence in Politics." European Journal of Politics and Gender: 1–18.
- Krook, Mona Lena. 2020. Violence against Women in Politics, Oxford University Press.
- Krook, Mona Lena. 2022. "Semiotic Violence against Women: Theorizing Harms against Female Politicians." Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society 47 (2): 371–97.
- Krook, Mona Lena, and Juliana Restrepo, Sanín. 2019. "The Cost of Doing Politics? Analyzing Violence and Harassment against Female Politicians." *Perspectives on Politics* 18 (3): 740–55.
- Kuperberg, Rebecca. 2018. "Intersectional Violence against Women in Politics." *Politics and Gender* 14 (4): 685–90.
- Kuperberg, Rebecca. 2021. "Incongruous and illegitimate: Antisemitic and Islamophobic Semiotic Violence against Women in Politics in the United Kingdom." *Journal of Language Aggression and Conflict* 9 (1): 100–26.
- Mellon, Jonathan and Christopher, Prosser. 2017. "Twitter and Facebook are Not Representative of the General Population: Political Attitudes and Demographics of British Social Media Users." Research & Politics 4 (3): 205316801772000.

### 24 Parveen Akhtar, Anne Jenichen and Hannah Intezar

- Peace, Timothy and Akhtar, Parveen. 2015. "Biraderi, Bloc Votes and Bradford: Investigating the Respect Party's Campaign Strategy." The British Journal of Politics and International Relations 17 (2): 224–43.
- Reeder, Bryce W. and Seeberg, Merete Bech. 2020. "Fighting your Friends? A Study of Intra-Party Violence in Sub-Saharan Africa." In *Violence and Candidate Nomination in Africa*, 75–93. London, New York: Routledge.
- Rheault, Ludovic, Erica Rayment and Andreea Musulan. 2019. "Politicians in the Line of Fire: Incivility and the Treatment of Women on Social Media." Research & Politics 6 (1): 1–7.
- Samad, Yunas. (1992). "Book Burning and Race Relations: Political Mobilisation of Bradford Muslims." *New Community* 18 (4): 507–19.
- Sanín, Juliana Restrepo. 2020. "Violence Against Women in Politics: Latin America in an Era of Backlash." Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society 45 (2): 302–10.
- Southern, Rosalynd and Emily, Harmer. 2021. "Twitter, Incivility and 'Everyday' Gendered Othering: An Analysis of Tweets Sent to UK Members of Parliament." *Social Science Computer Review* 39 (2): 259–75.
- Sullivan, Paul. 2012. Qualitative Data Analysis: Using a Dialogical Approach. Thousand Oaks, Sage.
- The New Statesman. 2015. "Naz Shah Interview: 'The Victory is My Mother's, Too."' July 30, 2015, https://www.newstatesman.com/long-reads/2015/07/victory-my-mother-s-too
- Urban Echo. 2015. "Exclusive to Urban Echo Bradford West Labour Candidate Naz Shah Reveals All."

  March 8, 2015, http://urban-echo.co.uk/exclusive-bradford-west-labour-candidate-naz-shah-reveals-all/.
- Ward, Stephen and Liam, McLoughlin. 2020. "Turds, Traitors and Tossers: The Abuse of UK MPs via Twitter." The Journal of Legislative Studies 26 (1): 47–73.
- Yorkshire Post. 2020. "'It Threatens My Life' Bradford MP Naz Shah Wins Apology and Payout After Campaign Group Branded Her a 'Grooming Gangs Apologist'." October 1, 2020. https://www.york shirepost.co.uk/news/politics/it-threatens-my-life-bradford-mp-naz-shah-wins-apology-and-payout-after-campaign-group-branded-her-a-grooming-gangs-apologist-2988819

Parveen Akhtar is Senior Lecturer in Politics and International Relations at Aston University: p.akhtar2@aston.ac.uk

Anne Jenichen is Senior Lecturer in Politics and International Relations at Aston University: a.jenichen@aston.ac.uk

**Hannah Intezar** is Assistant Professor of Sociology and Criminology at the University of Bradford: hintezar@bradford.ac.uk

**Cite this article:** Akhtar, Parveen, Anne Jenichen, and Hannah Intezar. 2024. "Gender, Religion, and Political Violence: Lessons from Muslim Women's Experiences in UK Elections." *Politics & Gender* 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X24000175