

# European Constitutional Law Review

2016 VOLUME 12 ISSUE 3

*Contributions by*

Ernö Várnay & Flóra Fazekas, Alexander Thiele, Anne Pieter van der Mei, Koen Lemmens, Fisnik Korenica, Argjend Zhubi & Dren Doli, Mathias Hong, Michael Gordon, Luca De Lucia, Robert Böttner, Andreas Auer

*Subjects*

REFERENDA: the people have spoken; abide?; UNITED KINGDOM: Brexit and the British constitution; EMU: no haircut for Hellas? A short and critical reply; Economics and law in the EMU: a lesson from Michel Foucault; EUROPEAN UNION: the relationship between simplified revision procedures: Article 31(3) TEU and Article 48(7) TEU; The not so special FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS protection in Europe; KOSOVO: the EU-engineered international specialist court; COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EU: delegation of rulemaking powers to the Commission; GERMANY: *Bundesverfassungsgericht*, constitutional identity review and fundamental rights; BOOK REVIEWS: challenges to fundamental rights in Europe, and central European judges under the European influence



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

*EuConst*  
2016/3

## BOARD OF EDITORS

*Leonard F.M. Besselink, co-editor-in-chief*  
University of Amsterdam

*Monica Claes, co-editor-in-chief*  
Maastricht University

*Jan-Herman Reestman, co-editor-in-chief*  
University of Amsterdam

*W.T. Eijssbouts*  
University of Amsterdam

*John W. Sap*  
Open Universiteit and VU Amsterdam

*Thomas Beukers*  
European University Institute, Florence

*Jan Komárek*  
London School of Economics and  
Political Science

*Gerhard van der Schyff*  
University of Tilburg

*Mattias Wendel*  
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

*Aida Torres Pérez*  
Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona

*François-Xavier Millet*  
Court of Justice of the European Union

*Geerten Boogaard*  
Leiden University

*Thomas A.J.A. Vandamme*  
University of Amsterdam

*Bastian Michel, managing editor*  
University of Amsterdam

*Andrew Faughman, language editor*  
Amsterdam

*Special language advisers*  
*Jeremy B. Bierbach*  
Amsterdam

*Russell E.M. Lawson*  
Oxford

## BOARD OF ADVISERS

*Andreas Auer*  
Professor emeritus of public law, Universities of  
Zurich and Geneva

*Marta Cartabia*  
Vice-president of the Italian Constitutional Court  
and Professor, Università Milano-Bicocca

*Paul Craig*  
Professor of English law, St. John's College, Oxford

*Gráinne de Búrca*  
Professor of law, New York University School of Law

*Bruno De Witte*  
Professor of law, European University Institute,  
Florence, and Maastricht University

*Olivier Duhamel*  
University Professor at IEP (Sciences Po), Paris

*Spyridon Flogaitis*  
Professor of administrative law, University of Athens

*Jörg Gerkrath*  
Professor of European law, University of  
Luxembourg

*P.J.G. Kapteyn*  
Former judge in the ECJ

*Rick Lawson*  
Professor of European law, Leiden University

*Koen Lenaerts*  
President of the ECJ and Professor of European law,  
KU Leuven

*Ingolf Pernice*  
Professor of public law and of international and  
European law, HU Berlin

*Jit Peters*  
Professor emeritus of public law, University of  
Amsterdam

*Lucas Prakke*  
Professor emeritus of comparative constitutional  
law, University of Amsterdam

*Sacha Prechal*  
Judge at the ECJ and Professor of international and  
European institutional law, Utrecht University

*W.H. Roobol*  
Professor emeritus of European history, University  
of Amsterdam

*Dominique Rousseau*  
Professor of public law, Université Paris I  
Panthéon-Sorbonne

*Wojciech Sadurski*  
Professor at the University of Sidney and the  
University of Warsaw

*András Sajó*  
Judge in the European Court of Human Rights

*Miroslaw Wyrzykowski*  
Professor of constitutional law, University of  
Warsaw

*Peter G. Xuereb*  
Professor of European and comparative law,  
University of Malta

*Jiří Zemánek*  
Professor of European Union law, Charles  
University, Prague, judge in the Czech  
Constitutional Court

# EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REVIEW

## 2016 VOLUME 12 ISSUE 3

### CONTENTS

#### *Editorial*

Andreas AUER – The people have spoken: abide? A critical view of the EU's dramatic referendum (in)experience – 397

#### *Articles*

Michael GORDON – Brexit: a challenge for the UK constitution, of the UK constitution? – 409

Luca De LUCIA – The Rationale of Economics and Law in the Aftermath of the Crisis: A Lesson from Michel Foucault – 445

Fisnik KORENICA, Argjend ZHUBI & Dren DOLI – The EU-engineered *hybrid* and *international* specialist court in Kosovo: How 'special' is it? – 474

Robert BÖTTNER – The Treaty Amendment Procedures and the Relationship between Article 31(3) TEU and the General Bridging Clause of Article 48(7) TEU – 499

#### *Debate*

Alexander THIELE – (No) Haircut for Hellas? – A Short and Critical Reply to Armin Steinbach, 'The "Haircut" of Public Creditors under EU Law', 13 EuConst (2016) p. 223 – 520

#### *Case Notes*

A.P. van der MEI – Delegation of Rulemaking Powers to the European Commission post-Lisbon – Court of Justice of the European Union (Grand Chamber) – Judgment of 16 July 2015, Case C-88/14, *European Commission v European Parliament (Visa Reciprocity)* Judgment of 17 March 2016, Case C-286/14, *Parliament v Commission (Connecting Europe Facility)* – 538

Mathias HONG – Human Dignity, Identity Review of the European Arrest Warrant and the Court of Justice as a Listener in the Dialogue of Courts: *Solange-III* and *Aranyosi* – BVerfG 15 December 2015, 2 BvR 2735/14, *Solange III*, and ECJ (Grand Chamber) 5 April 2016, Joined Cases C-404/15 and C-659/15 PPU, *Aranyosi and Căldăraru* – 549

#### *Book Reviews*

Federico FABBRINI, *Fundamental Rights in Europe. Challenges and Transformations in Comparative Perspective* (Koen Lemmens) – 564

Michal BOBEK (ed.), *Central European Judges Under the European Influence. The Transformative Power of the EU Revisited* (Ernő Várnay, Flóra Fazekas) – 569

## EDITORIAL POLICY & GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

The journal is edited in the Hogendorp Centre for European Constitutional Studies, a Jean Monnet Centre of excellence. This Centre is based in the University of Amsterdam. Published three times a year. In the tradition of the Hogendorp Centre, the *European Constitutional Law Review* (EuConst) follows the classical approach of constitutionalism, to discuss EU law's developments as well as comparative public law of the member states, political and constitutional theory and history. The journal is a platform for scholarly discussion of European constitutional events and evolution. It is open to contributions in this field from any country in the world and from any discipline. These contributions should satisfy as to substance, apart from the common scholarly criteria, two specific conditions, to a) have a distinctly European relevance and b) include a reference to and discussion of legal aspects involved.

### *Submitting an article, case note or book review*

The editors of the *European Constitutional Law Review* are happy to receive contributions on relevant subjects at any time. Before submitting, authors should ensure that their contribution falls within the scope of EuConst as stated above.

Articles, case notes and book reviews can be sent by email to [euconst@uva.nl](mailto:euconst@uva.nl). Manuscripts should be attached in Microsoft Word format (and not, specifically, in PDF format) to enable editing, anonymisation and comments. EuConst has an exclusive submission policy. Authors are required to state clearly, when submitting, that their contribution is not under consideration elsewhere.

Authors of article contributions are asked to aim for a length of no more than 10,000 words (including footnotes). Case notes and book reviews should not exceed 5,000 words. Upon request, the editors will consider whether relaxation of these limits is justified.

All submissions must be written in good English. Authors who are uncertain whether their English is of sufficient quality, should have their manuscript reviewed and edited by a native speaker with a background in law.

### *Copyright, licence to publish and open access policy*

Contributors retain copyright. By submitting to EuConst, authors agree that upon acceptance of their contribution they will grant an exclusive licence to publish by signing and returning the appropriate Licence Form. Authors can make their contributions available through academic repositories. For more information, including terms and conditions of publication and authors' rights of reuse, see [journals.cambridge.org/EuConst\\_CTF](http://journals.cambridge.org/EuConst_CTF).

### *Revisions and house style*

Accepted contributions will be edited, linguistically and substantively, subject to authors' approval. Authors should ensure that their submissions conform to the house style. A style sheet is available on the journal website, [journals.cambridge.org/euconst](http://journals.cambridge.org/euconst).



The G.K. VAN HOGENDORP CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL STUDIES, short: HOGENDORP CENTRE, is a Jean Monnet centre of excellence at the University of Amsterdam. Founded in 1996, it promotes research and course development in the field of European Constitutional Studies, bringing together the disciplines of constitutional (including comparative) law, history, political theory. Gijsbert Karel van Hogendorp, 1762-1834, is the *auctor intellectualis* of the Dutch Kingdom's first constitution, of 1814.