Correspondence

*Postscript.* Since compiling this letter we have been fortunate to hear both the excellent review lecture by Prof. G. M. Brown on Hebridean vulcanism, and the paper by J. G. Holland and Professor Brown, on the geochemistry and petrogenesis of the Ardnamurchan cone sheets, both presented at the IAVCEI Symposium sessions at Oxford.

The rolesson brown, on the geochemistry and petrogenesis of the Ardnamurchan cone sheets, both presented at the IAVCEI Symposium sessions at Oxford. The paper by Holland and Brown was of particular interest to us, in relation to this enquiry. Their work shows that the cone sheets of Richey & Thomas' Centre 1, plus those of Centre 2 near Mingary, are the most silicic. That is to say, according to classic differentiation theory, the latest differentiates are all in the region which we suggest may include the youngest members of the Ardnamurchan complex.

JACK GREEN,

DOUGLAS ÁDVANCED RESEARCH LABORATORIES, HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA, U.S.A. 11th September. 1969 J. B. WRIGHT, DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY, AHMADU BELLO UNIVERSITY, ZARIA, NIGERIA.

# THE BASEMENT BEDS IN THE BOBBING BOREHOLE, KENT

SIR,-No Ordovician rocks have hitherto been recorded from south-east England. Working independently on the macrofaunas and palynology of the concealed Lower Palaeozoic rocks beneath the Kent coalfield, we have examined material from the Bobbing Borehole. This borehole, drilled in 1911 two and a half miles (4 km) westnorth-west of Sittingbourne, Kent, [NGR TQ/874 652] encountered (unconformably beneath the Great Oolite) steeply dipping basement rocks at a depth of 1,192 feet (363 m), about-1,070 O.D., and was stopped at a depth of 1,250 feet (381 m). These basement beds are grey micaceous siltstones and sandstones, of an estimated true thickness of 20 feet (6 m), and were considered to be of Silurian age by Lamplugh, Kitchin & Pringle (1923, p. 158) and Dines, Holmes & Robbie (1954, p. 11).

The macrofauna from the Lower Palaeozoic rocks in the collection of the Geological Survey (registered numbers JM 1635-52) comprises the following: –

bry ozoa strophomenide brachiopod [two pedicle valves] strophomenoid brachiopod [fragment] orthocone nautiloid homalonotid trilobite [fragment] trinucleid? trilobite [fragment] *Plumulites* sp. (see Withers 1926, p. 70) *Mastigograptus* ? sp. [two specimens] crinoids, bivalves and ?gastropod [assorted fragments] worm burrows

We (L. R. M. C., A. W. A. R.) have assessed the age of this assemblage to be upper Ordovician for the following reasons. The doubtful trinucleid fragment suggests an Ordovician rather than a Silurian age, and the strophomenoid fragment suggests the upper rather than the lower part of the Ordovician. One trepastomatous bryozoan was originally examined by Dr. K. P. Oakley, who compared it with the Ordovician genus *Batostoma* Ulrich. The graptoloid (the "plant remains" of Dines *et al.* 1954) was kindly looked at by Professor O. M. B. Bulman, who tentatively referred it to the predominantly Ordovician "dendroid" genus *Mastigograptus* Ruedemann, a record which, if confirmed, would be the first for Britain; but the total age range of the genus is not yet definitively known.

The strophomenide brachiopod is of particular interest. There are two small convex pedicle valves, one with its counterpart, both about 4 mm. wide. The ornament is typical of many strophomenaceans, more or less equally parvicostellate with a conspicuous median rib, and this, taken together with a triangular, open-ended muscle field and perhaps vestigial dental plates, suggests attribution to *Rafinesquina* sp. On the other hand, on the hinge lines of both specimens there are what have been described as

small spines, which led to the previous determination of *Chonetes* cf. *novascoticus* Hall (Stubblefield *in* Dines *et al.* 1954). The present authors, and several other people to whom the specimens have been shown, are still in doubt as to the true identity of these spinelike objects, and we prefer to leave the question open. If these specimens are really chonetids, then they would be amongst the carliest known. No true chonetids have been found in the Ordovician of Europe, and indeed the only records from the high Ordovician are those from the Ellis Bay Formation of Anticosti Island, Canada (Twenhofel 1928, p. 199). Whether the specimens from Bobbing are rafinesquinids or chonetids, however, they indicate an upper (i.e. Caradoc or Ashgill), rather than a lower, Ordovician age.

Examination (by T. R. L.) of the palynological evidence from chitinozoa and acritarchs wholly confirms the new macrofaunal interpretation and supports a Caradoc age. The examination was based on a single composite sample (registered SAL1029) from depths 1,192-1,250 feet (approx. 363-381 m), consisting of approximately 50 gms of silty grey mudstone. Processing yielded a coarse residue comprising twenty-three chitinozoans, some scolecodonts, a few large acritarchs and organic debris. The fine residue consisted of a large quantity of indeterminate organic debris probably of algal origin and some of it certainly degraded acritarch tests; acritarchs were quite common but often very tattered and corroded, although not blackened. The following species have been determined:

#### CHITINOZOA

Ancyrochitina bulmani (Jansonius) Jenkins (11 specimens), A. ef. bulmani (Jansonius) Jenkins, Conochitina lepida Jenkins, C. primitiva Eisenack, ?Conochitina elegans Eisenack [damaged], Cyathochitina campanulaeformis Eisenack, ?C. kuckersiana Eisenack, Spinachitina cervicornis (Eisenack) Laufeld, indeterminate specimens.

#### ACRITARCHS

Baltisphaeridium eisenackianum (Deunff), B. eisenackianum var. crozonensis (Deunff), B. cf. granulatispinosum (Downie), B. hirsutoides (Eisenack), ?B. hirsutoides (Eisenack), B. longispinosum (Eisenack), B. lucidum (Deunff), B. cf. lucidum (Deunff), B. multipilosum (Eisenack), B. sp. (the Hystrichosphaeridium cf. multipilosum Eisenack of Deunff, 1958), P. polygonale (Eisenack), B. spinescens (Timofeyev), B. trifurcatum nudum (Eisenack), B. sp., Micrhystridium sp. (the Hystrichosphaeridium cf. alloiteau Deunff of Deunff 1958) Multiplicisphaeridium bifurcatum Staplin, Jansonius & Pocock, M. digitatum Eisenack, M. irregulare Staplin, Jansonius & Pocock, M. sp. (the Hystrichosphaeridium cf. ranusculosum Deflandre of Deunff, Leiosphaeridia sp., Acanthodiacrodium sp., Tectitheca cf. additionalis Burman, T. aff. valida Burman.

Three of the seven chitinozoan species identified are diagnostic as to age. Ancyrochitina bulmani and Conochitina lepida are known only from the basal Caradoc in Britain (Jansonius 1964; Jenkins 1967). Spinachitina cervicornis was first recorded by Eisenack (1931) from Baltic drift pebbles and its stratigraphic range was unknown; recently however it has been described from the Caradoc of Sweden (Laufeld 1967). The other four species are long ranging but are consistent with an Ordovician age.

The acritatch assemblage confirms a Caradoc age. It shows many similarities to Caradoc assemblages described from Brittany (Deunff 1958; Henry 1959) in terms of acanthomorph and polygonomorph representatives (*B. eisenackianum*, *B. eisenackianum*, *var. crozonensis, B. longispinosum, B. lucidum, B. ef. multipilosum, M. ef. alloiteaui, M. ef. ramusculosum, V. macroceras, V. tetraedron). Multipilcisphaeridium bifurcatum and M. irregulare have been recorded from the Trenton of Anticosti Island (Staplin, Jansonius & Pocock, 1965) but not so far from any other horizon.* 

V. piliferum has previously been found in the Upper Caradoc of Bohemia (Vavrdova 1965) However Martin's record (1968) of V. piliferum from the Wenlock Assise de Jonquoi of Belgium is here interpreted as being derived (together with other characteristic forms) from the Arenig or Llanvirn, although Martin did not find the species in the higher Ordovician. One other species V. macroceras is so far known only from the Caradoc (Deunff, 1958; Henry, 1959).

Notable is the occurrence of rare diacromorph acritarchs ( $\overline{A}$  canthodiacrodium and *Tectitheca*). This substantiates existing records of their rare occurrence as high as the

Caradoc (Martin 1968; Vavrdova 1965). Another feature is the occurrence of a specimen compared with *B. granulatispinosum*; this is essentially a Silurian form, although Vavrdova (1965) has a record of it from the Ashgill of Bohemia.

## REFERENCES

DEUNFF, J. 1958. Micro-organismes planctoniques du Primaire armoricain. 1. Ordo-vicien du Veryhac'h (Presqu' lle de Crozon). Bull. Soc. géol. minér. Bretagne,

vicien du verynac n (Presqu' lie de Crozon). Buil. Soc. geol. miner. Bretagne, (N.S.) 2 : 1-41, 2 pls.
DINES, H. G., HOLMES, S. C. A. & ROBBIE, J. A. 1954. Geology of the Country around Chatham. Mem. geol. Surv. U.K. ii-viii, 1-157, pls. 1-5.
EISENACK, A. 1931. Neue Mikrofossilien des baltischen Silurs. I. Paläont. Zh. 13 : 74-118, pls. 1-5.
HENRY, J. L. 1959. Micro-organismes incertae sedis (acritarches et chitinozoaires) de Urdourisme de North Archivet.

JEART, J. L. 1999. Micro-organismics incertae sedis (actinationes et cultinozoaires) de l'Ordovicien de la presqu' île de Crozon (Finistère) gisements de Mort-Anglais et de Kerglintin. Bull. Soc. géol. minér. Bretagne, (N.S.): 63-100, 13 pls.
 JANSONIUS, J. 1964. Morphology and classification of some chitinozoa. Bull. Can. petrol. Geol. 12, (4): 901-18, pls. 1-2.
 JENKINS, W. A. M. 1967. Ordovician chitinozoa from Shropshire. Palaeontology 10: 426-88. pls. 669-75.

436-88, pls. 68-75. LAMPLUGH, G. W., KITCHIN, F. L. & PRINGLE, J. 1923. The concealed Mesozoic rocks in Kent. Mem. geol. Surv. U.K. : 1-249, pls. 1, 2.

LAUFELD, S. 1967. Caradocian chitinozoa from Dalarna, Sweden. Geol. För. Stockh. Föhr. 89: 273-349.

MARTIN, F. 1968. Les acritarches de l'Ordovicien et du Silurien Belges. Mem. Inst. roy. Sci. nat. Belg. 160: 1-175, 8 pls. STAPLIN, F. L., JANSONIUS, J. & POCOCK, S. A. J. 1965. Evaluation of some

acritarchous hystrichosphere genera. Neues Jb. Miner. Geol. Palaönt. Abh. 123 : 167-201, pls. 18-20. TWENHOFEL, W. H. 1928. Geology of Anticosti Island. Mem. geol. Surv. Brch Can.

IVENIOF EL, W. H. 1920. Consequences of the Machaeridia (Turrilepas and its allies). Brit.
 VAVRDOVA, M. 1965. Ordovician acritarchs from Central Bohemia. Vest. ústředr.
 Ust. geol. 40 : 351-7, pls. 1-4.

WITHERS, T. H. 1926. Catalogue of the Machaeridia (Turrilepas and its allies). Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), London,

T. R. LISTER

L. R. M. COCKS

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES, RING ROAD, HALTON, LEEDS 15.

DEPARTMENT OF PALAEONTOLOGY. BRITISH MUSEUM (NATURAL HISTÓRY), LONDON, S.W.7.

A. W. A. RUSHTON

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES, LONDON, S.W.7.

10th November, 1969.

### LONGVILLIAN SHELLY FAUNAS FROM THE DOLWYDDELAN AREA. NORTH WALES

SIR,-We would like to present results of recent work on the shelly faunas from sediments immediately underlying the Snowdon Volcanic Series in the Dolwyddelan area. The detailed stratigraphy of the Dolwyddelan area was first investigated by Williams & Bulman (1931). They suggested that the Snowdon Volcanic Series in the Dolwyddelan syncline consisted of the Lower Rhyolite Tuffs at the base succeeded by the Bedded Pyroclastic Series and the Upper Rhyolite Tuffs. Black slates assigned to the