www.cambridge.org/qrd

Perspective

Cite this article: Majila K, Arvindekar S, Jindal M, Viswanath S (2025). Frontiers in integrative structural modeling of macromolecular assemblies. QRB Discovery, 6: e3, 1–13 <https://doi.org/10.1017/qrd.2024.15>.

Received: 29 June 2024 Revised: 13 September 2024 Accepted: 04 October 2024

Keywords:

Conformational ensembles; Electron cryotomography; Generative modeling; Integrative modeling; Intrinsically disordered proteins; Macromolecular assemblies; Protein language models

Corresponding author: Shruthi Viswanath; Email: shruthiv@ncbs.res.in

K.M. and S.A. authors have contributed equally.

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence ([http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Frontiers in integrative structural modeling of macromolecular assemblies

Kartik Majila ^{(D}[,](https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3190-7977) Shreyas Arvindekar ^{(D}, Muskaan Jindal ^{(D} and

Shruthi Viswanath^D

National Centre for Biological Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bangalore, India

Abstract

Integrative modeling enables structure determination for large macromolecular assemblies by combining data from multiple experiments with theoretical and computational predictions. Recent advancements in AI-based structure prediction and cryo electron-microscopy have sparked renewed enthusiasm for integrative modeling; structures from AI-based methods can
be integrated with *in situ* maps to characterize large assemblies. This approach previously
allowed us and others to determine the be integrated with *in situ* maps to characterize large assemblies. This approach previously allowed us and others to determine the architectures of diverse macromolecular assemblies, such spanning several scales was used in these studies, ranging from high-resolution data, such as X-ray crystallography and AlphaFold structure, to low-resolution data, such as cryo-electron tomography maps and data from co-immunoprecipitation experiments. Two recurrent modeling challenges emerged across a range of studies. First, these assemblies contained significant fractions of disordered regions, necessitating the development of new methods for modeling disordered regions in the context of ordered regions. Second, methods needed to be developed to utilize the information from cryo-electron tomography, a timely challenge as structural biology is increasingly moving towards in situ characterization. Here, we recapitulate recent developments in the modeling of disordered proteins and the analysis of cryo-electron tomography data and highlight other opportunities for method development in the context of integrative modeling.

Introduction

Integrative structural modeling is an approach for determining macromolecular structures that are challenging to determine experimentally (Alber et al., [2007](#page-7-0); Sali, Glaeser, Earnest, & Baumeister, [2003\)](#page-11-0). Data from multiple experiments is combined with physical principles, statistics of previous structures, and prior models for structure determination. This approach overcomes the limitations of individual techniques for structure determination and maximizes the accuracy, precision, completeness, and efficiency of structure determination (Rout & Sali, [2019;](#page-10-0) Sali, [2021](#page-10-1)).

Recent advancements in both computational and experimental domains have prompted a resurgence of interest in integrative modeling (Beck, Covino, Hänelt, & Müller-McNicoll, [2024;](#page-8-0) McCafferty et al., [2024\)](#page-10-2). On the one hand, AI-based predictions of structures of proteins and their complexes with other proteins and nucleic acids have significantly advanced structural biology of late (Abramson et al., [2024;](#page-7-1) Akdel et al., [2022](#page-7-2); Jumper et al., [2021\)](#page-9-0). This has spurred the development of numerous methods that aim to integrate AI-based structures with diverse types of experimental data, including electron diffraction data from X-ray crystallography, electron density maps from electron cryo-microscopy, and chemical crosslinks from mass spectrometry (Chang et al., [2022](#page-8-1); Stahl et al., [2024](#page-11-1); Stahl, Graziadei, Dau, Brock, & Rappsilber, [2023;](#page-11-2) Terwilliger et al., [2022;](#page-11-3) Terwilliger et al., [2023;](#page-11-4) Zhang et al., [2023\)](#page-12-0). These methods integrate the data in various ways, ranging from using the data to validate AI-based predictions, to using the data as additional inputs in the deep learning method, to encoding the data in the loss functions, resulting in structure predictions that are consistent with the data (O'Reilly et al., [2023;](#page-10-3) Stahl et al., [2023,](#page-11-2) [2024](#page-11-1); Terwilliger et al., [2022,](#page-11-3) [2023](#page-11-4); Zhang, Haghighatlari, et al., [2023\)](#page-12-0). On the other hand, experimental techniques for in situ structure determination of assemblies are also rapidly advancing, with advancements in both hardware and software for imaging cells using cryoelectron tomography (Beck et al., [2024;](#page-8-0) McCafferty et al., [2024\)](#page-10-2). This has led to an increase in tomography data, concurrent with an increase in the number and resolution of structures solved using tomography. Together, integrative methods using cryo-electron tomography maps along with AI-based structure predictions have resulted in significant advancements in structure determination, for example for nuclear pore complexes and ciliary complexes (Chen et al., [2023;](#page-8-2) Fontana et al., [2022](#page-9-1); Hesketh, Mukhopadhyay, Nakamura, Toropova, & Roberts, [2022](#page-9-2); McCafferty et al., [2024;](#page-10-2) Mosalaganti et al., [2022](#page-10-4); Zhu et al., [2022](#page-12-1)).

Nonetheless, there is immense potential for advancing integrative modeling methods for macromolecular assemblies. Here, we provide our perspective on two areas warranting immediate method development in the context of integrative modeling: methods for modeling intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs) of proteins and approaches for leveraging in situ data. First, unlike ordered proteins, intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) comprise a dynamic ensemble of conformations that are best characterized in statistical terms rather than as static structures (Baul, Chakraborty, Mugnai, Straub, & Thirumalai, [2019](#page-8-3)). They comprise a significant fraction of the eukaryotic proteome and are involved in critical cellular processes (Oldfield & Dunker, [2014\)](#page-10-5). They are found in several macromolecular assemblies, for example, the FG-Nups in the nuclear pore complex (Fontana et al., [2022](#page-9-1); Zhu et al., [2022\)](#page-12-1). However, their intrinsic disorder makes their characterization in these assemblies challenging. Improved representations for IDPs and methods for generating realistic IDP ensembles are crucial for understanding their functions. Second, the structural characterization of macromolecules using in situ data relies on accurate particle annotations on the tomograms (de Teresa-Trueba et al., [2023](#page-8-4); Rice et al., [2023](#page-10-6)). However, owing to the low signal-to-noise ratio of the acquired tilt images, the missing wedge effect, and the inherent heterogeneity in the sample, the localization and identification of macromolecules in tomograms is time-consuming, laborious, and often challenging (de Teresa-Trueba et al., [2023;](#page-8-4) Moebel et al., [2021](#page-10-7)). Advances in deep learning methods and integrative approaches for combining data from other experimental and computational methods with cryo-electron tomograms can facilitate high throughput in situ structural characterization of macromolecular species.

In this Perspective, we first briefly review the existing integrative modeling methods and recent examples of macromolecular assemblies characterized using integrative modeling. Then, we discuss methods developed and opportunities for modeling disordered regions and leveraging in situ data. Finally, we end with an outlook summarizing other open problems in integrative modeling.

Integrative modeling methods

Several methods have been developed for integrative structure determination [\(Table 1](#page-2-0)). A subset of these including Integrative Modeling Platform (IMP), High Ambiguity Driven DOCKing (HADDOCK), and Assembline (Alber et al., [2007;](#page-7-0) Dominguez, Boelens, & Bonvin, [2003;](#page-8-5) Honorato et al., [2024](#page-9-3); Rantos, Karius, & Kosinski, [2022](#page-10-2); Russel et al., [2012\)](#page-10-8) are discussed here. IMP is a framework for Bayesian integrative modeling that facilitates structure determination of macromolecular ensembles at multiple resolutions (multi-scale) and multiple states (multi-state) (Alber et al., [2007;](#page-7-0) Russel et al., [2012\)](#page-10-8). A wide array of experimental data can be combined using IMP, for example in vivo genetic interactions, co-immunoprecipitation, FRET (Förster Resonance Energy Transfer), SAXS (small angle X-ray scattering), XLMS (chemical crosslinks from mass spectrometry), density maps from cryo electronmicroscopy, and atomic structures from X-ray crystallography, NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance), and AI-based predictions (Rout & Sali, [2019](#page-10-0); Sali, [2021\)](#page-10-1). The Bayesian inference framework allows for data from multiple sources to be integrated while considering the uncertainty in the data (Schneidman-Duhovny, Pellarin, & Sali, [2014\)](#page-11-5). The modular design of IMP facilitates the mixing and matching of scoring functions and sampling algorithms. It has been used in the modeling of several large assemblies, most notably the nuclear pore complex (Akey et al., [2022](#page-7-3); Alber et al., [2007](#page-7-0); Rout & Sali, [2019](#page-10-0); Sali, [2021](#page-10-1); Singh et al., [2024\)](#page-11-6). Recent advancements in IMP include Bayesian scoring functions for in vivo genetic interactions (Braberg et al., [2020](#page-8-6)), Bayesian model selection for optimizing model representation (Arvindekar, Pathak, Majila, & Viswanath, [2024](#page-8-7)), automated choice of sampling parameters (Pasani & Viswanath, [2021](#page-10-1)), and annotation of precision for model regions (Ullanat, Kasukurthi, & Viswanath, [2022](#page-11-7)).

Assembline is a protocol for integrative modeling that builds upon IMP, combining Xlink Analyzer, UCSF Chimera, and IMP to model large assemblies (Rantos et al., [2022](#page-10-2)). It is applicable for systems for which medium-resolution EM maps and a large number of atomic structures of subunits are available. It improves upon IMP by using pre-computed rigid body fits to EM maps to make the sampling more efficient. HADDOCK is a method for atomistic integrative modeling of protein complexes (Dominguez et al., [2003;](#page-8-5) Honorato et al., [2024\)](#page-9-3). Experimental data from NMR, SAXS, XLMS, and mutagenesis studies are encoded as Ambiguous Interaction Restraints (AIR). Recent improvements to HADDOCK include the ability to model complexes of up to 20 macromolecules, new restraints based on cryo-EM maps, coarse-grained representations for efficient sampling, customizable pre- and postprocessing steps, and a user-friendly web server for integrative modeling (Honorato et al., [2024\)](#page-9-3).

Other than these, several methods allow fitting known protein structures into medium to low-resolution density maps, including MDFF and TEMPy-REFF (Beton, Mulvaney, Cragnolini, & Topf, [2024;](#page-8-4) Trabuco, Villa, Mitra, Frank, & Schulten, [2008\)](#page-11-8). MDFF (Molecular dynamics flexible fitting) utilizes MD simulations for fitting structures into density maps by biasing the simulation using an additional potential derived from the density map (Trabuco et al., [2008](#page-11-8)). TEMPy-REFF (Responsibility-based Flexible-Fitting) refines an initial structure within a density map iteratively using the Expectation-Maximization algorithm (Beton et al., [2024](#page-8-4)).

Recent examples in integrative modeling: focus on nuclear and cell adhesion complexes

Integrative modeling has shed light on diverse cellular processes by determining the structures of assemblies associated with them. A list of representative recently characterized integrative structures is presented [\(Table 2](#page-2-1)). Here, we discuss examples of recent integrative structural biology studies in nuclear trafficking, gene expression regulation, and cell–cell adhesion. These studies not only provide novel insights into the structure and function of these assemblies but also highlight areas for future applications and method development.

The nuclear pore complex (NPC) is a large macromolecular assembly in the nuclear envelope that connects the nucleus and cytoplasm and plays an important role in nuclear trafficking (Akey et al., [2022;](#page-7-3) Alber et al., [2007\)](#page-7-0). Several recent studies have improved our understanding of the components of the NPC (Bley et al., [2022;](#page-8-8) Fontana et al., [2022;](#page-9-1) Singh et al., [2024](#page-11-6); Yu et al., [2023](#page-11-9); Zhu et al., [2022\)](#page-12-1). Some of these studies involve the fitting of AlphaFold and experimentally determined structures into medium-resolution cryo-EM maps and cryo-electron tomograms (Bley et al., [2022;](#page-8-8) Fontana et al., [2022;](#page-9-1) Petrovic et al., [2022](#page-10-9); Zhu et al., [2022](#page-12-1)). Other studies additionally incorporate biochemical data including chemical crosslinks (Singh et al., [2024](#page-11-6)). Together these studies have been used to characterize the structures of the cytoplasmic face, cytoplasmic ring, the linker-scaffold network, and the nuclear basket of the NPC. The resulting structures enabled the identification of

Table 1. Integrative modeling software

A list of commonly used integrative modeling software for large protein complexes. Each of these combines information from three or more experimental and/or computational sources. For a comprehensive overview, see (Bonomi et al., [2017;](#page-8-13) Habeck, [2023](#page-9-6); Rout & Sali, [2019\)](#page-10-0)

Table 2. A table summarizing a representative subset of recent integrative modeling studies

Table 2 Continued

Abbreviations: DIA-MS, Data independent acquisition mass spectrometry; EM, Electron microscopy; ET, Electron tomography; NMR, Nuclear magnetic resonance; NS, Negative staining; SEC-MALLS, Size exclusion chromatography—multi-angle laser light scattering; XLMS, Crosslinking coupled with mass spectrometry.

novel interfaces between disordered nucleoporins (Nups) (Fontana et al., [2022;](#page-9-1) Zhu et al., [2022](#page-12-1)), elucidated the function of nucleopor-
ins—Nup38 and the Cytoplasmic Filament Nucleoporin (CFNC) novel interfaces between disordered nucleoporins (Nups) (Fontana
et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2022), elucidated the function of nucleopor-
ins—Nup38 and the Cytoplasmic Filament Nucleoporin (CFNC) (Bley et al., [2022\)](#page-8-8), delineated the role of Mlp/Trp in assisting mRNP transport (Bley et al., [2022](#page-8-8); Fontana et al., [2022;](#page-9-1) Singh et al., [2024;](#page-11-6) Yu et al., [2023;](#page-11-9) Zhu et al., [2022](#page-12-1)), and revealed the plasticity and robustness of the inner ring (Petrovic et al., [2022\)](#page-10-9). Finally, another study determined the distribution of intrinsically disordered nucleoporins in the NPC and their motion in the central channel using fluorescence lifetime imaging of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FLIM-FRET) and coarse-grained molecular dynamic (MD) simulations (Yu et al., [2023](#page-11-9)).

Whereas the above studies are on components of the NPC, (Akey et al., [2022](#page-7-3), [2023](#page-7-4); Mosalaganti et al., [2022\)](#page-10-4) determined comprehensive integrative structures of the entire NPC. These studies integrate in situ cryo-electron tomography data with Alpha-Fold or experimentally determined structures (Mosalaganti et al., [2022\)](#page-10-4), and additionally cryo-EM maps, chemical crosslinks, and data from quantitative fluorescence imaging and biochemical studies to determine comprehensive structures of NPCs (Akey et al.,

[2022,](#page-7-3) [2023\)](#page-7-4). The structures revealed distinct dilated and constricted states of the complex and characterized the plasticity of the pore (Akey et al., [2022,](#page-7-3) [2023;](#page-7-4) Mosalaganti et al., [2022\)](#page-10-4). Additionally, they localized precise anchoring sites for the intrinsically disordered Nups (Mosalaganti et al., [2022](#page-10-4)) and delineated the function of Pom153 in ring dilation (Akey et al., [2023\)](#page-7-4).

The Nucleosome Remodeling and Deacetylase (NuRD) complex is a chromatin remodifying assembly that plays an important role in several cellular processes including transcriptional regulation, cell cycle progression, and cellular differentiation (Arvindekar et al., [2022](#page-7-5)). It consists of chromatin remodeling and deacetylase modules, connected by MBD and GATAD2 proteins. The structures of three subcomplexes of NuRD were determined by integrating data from negative-stain and low-resolution cryo-EM maps, X-ray crystallography, XLMS, SEC-MALS, DIA-MS, NMR spectroscopy, homology modeling, secondary structure predictions, and physical principles (Arvindekar et al., [2022](#page-7-5)). The integrative structures depict MBD in two states in NuRD and elucidate the role of the intrinsically disordered region of MBD in bridging the chromatin remodeling and deacetylase modules of NuRD.

Desmosomes are intercellular junctions that tether the intermediate filaments of adjacent cells in tissues under mechanical stress (Pasani, Menon, & Viswanath, [2024](#page-10-11)). The integrative structure of the desmosomal outer dense plaque (ODP) was determined by combining data from cryo-electron tomography, X-ray crystallography, immuno-electron microscopy, in vitro overlay, in vivo co-localization assays, Yeast Two-Hybrid (Y2H), co-immuno precipitation, in-silico sequence-based predictions of transmembrane and disordered regions, homology modeling, and stereochemistry (Pasani et al., [2024](#page-10-11)). The structure enabled the localization of disordered regions of Plakophilin (PKP) and Plakoglobin cipitation, *m*-sinco sequence-based predictions of transmembrane
and disordered regions, homology modeling, and stereochemistry
(Pasani et al., 2024). The structure enabled the localization of
disordered regions of Plakop associated with them, leading to hypotheses about the functions of these disordered regions.

Two elements emerge as common across the aforementioned studies: they leverage in situ cryo-electron tomography data and the characterized systems contain significant fractions of disordered regions ([Figure 1\)](#page-5-0). This highlights two areas of immediate interest for method development: modeling with intrinsically disordered proteins (IDP) and utilizing data from cryo-electron tomography (cryo-TM), discussed in the following sections.

Integrative modeling of intrinsically disordered proteins

Intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) are a class of proteins that lack a well-defined ordered structure in their monomeric state. Rather, they exist as an ensemble of interconverting conformers in equilibrium and hence are structurally heterogeneous (Baul et al., [2019;](#page-8-3) Lindorff-Larsen & Kragelund, [2021\)](#page-9-11). This heterogeneity of IDPs also makes it challenging to characterize them both experimentally and computationally (Beck et al., [2024\)](#page-8-0).

Learning Representations for IDPs

Recently, protein language models (pLMs) have emerged as powerful tools for learning context-aware representations, providing a compact and informative approach to characterize the structural and functional properties of proteins (Bepler & Berger, [2021](#page-8-14); Rives et al., [2021](#page-10-15)). pLMs enhance the performance of models on downstream tasks via transfer learning, eliminating the need to train a neural network from end to end. This approach is particularly beneficial while training models with small datasets.

Using pLMs for IDPs presents several challenges. First, pLMs trained only on sequences may not be able to capture the conformational heterogeneity of IDPs. Second, the databases used to train pLMs are dominated by ordered protein sequences, leading to a bias in the learned representations. Third, IDPs often function through transient interactions and context-dependent conformations, i.e., the same IDP may adopt different conformations with different binding partners. The state-of-the-art pLMs do not account for the environmental context and interacting partners and thus may not capture these transient interactions. Finally, the lack of structural data representative of IDP conformations poses a significant challenge in training models.

Advances in representation learning techniques are required for accurately characterizing the behavior of IDPs. Representations for IDPs could be improved by fine-tuning existing pLMs on IDP-specific tasks and/or by incorporating additional data on IDPs. Sequence alone might not be sufficient to capture the properties of IDPs; incorporating structural information or physics-based priors might allow pLMs to capture the complex

dynamics of IDPs (Wang, Wang, Evans, & Tiwary, [2024](#page-11-18)). Structure-aware pLMs have been recently developed (Peñaherrera & Koes, [2024;](#page-10-16) Sun & Shen, [2023;](#page-11-19) Wang et al., [2024](#page-11-18)). The same approach can be extended to IDPs. There is a need to obtain more structural data for IDPs (Jahn, Marquet, Heinzinger, & Rost, [2024](#page-9-10)). Whereas, experimental structural data remains important, acquiring it might be tedious and timeconsuming. Computational approaches for generating realistic IDP conformational ensembles, such as MD simulations and

Generating IDP ensembles

erating IDP ensembles.

Determining the conformational ensembles of IDPs is essential for understanding their functions. MD simulations are widely used for generating conformational ensembles. However, their reliability depends on the accuracy of force fields and the ergodicity of sampling (Bonomi, Heller, Camilloni, & Vendruscolo, [2017](#page-8-13); Robustelli, Piana, & Shaw, [2018](#page-10-4)). Force fields typically used for folded proteins often fail to accurately capture the conformations of IDPs when compared with experimental data. Efforts for improving the force fields for IDPs focus on either refining the protein force field (Baul et al., [2019](#page-8-3); Huang et al., [2017;](#page-9-12) Joseph et al., [2021\)](#page-9-13), or accurately accounting for protein-water interactions (Best, Zheng, & Mittal, [2014](#page-8-15); Nerenberg, Jo, So, Tripathy, & Head-Gordon, [2012;](#page-10-17) Robustelli et al., [2018](#page-10-4); Vitalis & Pappu, [2009](#page-11-20)). Coarse-grained models that improve sampling by reducing the degrees of freedom have also been developed (Baratam & Srivastava, [2024](#page-8-16); Baul et al., [2019](#page-8-3); Joseph et al., [2021;](#page-9-13) Marrink, Risselada, Yefimov, Tieleman, & de Vries, [2007](#page-10-18); Thomasen, Pesce, Roesgaard, Tesei, & Lindorff-Larsen, [2022\)](#page-11-5).

generative models, would provide valuable experimental-like structural data. In the next section, we discuss methods for gen-

Deep generative models offer a computationally efficient means for sampling conformations from a learned data distribution. Latent space embeddings from variational autoencoder (VAE) trained on IDP sequences (Mansoor, Baek, Park, Lee, & Baker, [2024\)](#page-10-19), conditional generative adversarial networks (GAN) (Janson, Valdes-Garcia, Heo, & Feig, [2023](#page-9-14)), denoising diffusion probabilistic models (DDPM) (Janson & Feig, [2024;](#page-9-15) Zhu et al., [2024\)](#page-12-2) have been used for generating all-atom and Ca coarse-grained ensembles of IDPs. More sophisticated approaches such as flow matching may also be employed for generating ensembles of IDPs. Notably, these aforementioned generative models leverage MD-generated ensembles for training.

Recent studies demonstrate the combined use of MD simulations and machine learning approaches to generate IDP conformers with the aim of predicting the biophysical properties of IDPs and designing IDP sequences (Lotthammer, Ginell, Griffith, Emenecker, & Holehouse, [2024](#page-10-20); Pesce et al., [2024;](#page-10-21) Tesei et al., [2024](#page-11-0)). For example, the ALBATROSS deep learning model was developed for predicting the biophysical properties of IDPs, such as the radius of gyration, by training on IDP ensembles generated via the MPIPI-GG model (Lotthammer et al., [2024\)](#page-10-20). Similarly, support vector regression models were trained to predict chain compaction for IDP sequences using IDP ensembles generated by the CALVADOS model (Tesei et al., [2024](#page-11-0)). Lastly, a method for designing IDP sequences with pre-defined conformational properties was developed by combining ensemble generation using CALVADOS with alchemical free-energy calculations within a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) optimization framework (Pesce et al., [2024](#page-10-21)).

Figure 1. Frontiers in integrative structure determination. Schematic describing integrative structure determination for the nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase complex (orange box) and the desmosomal outer dense plaque (green box) combining data from multiple sources. Input low-resolution cryo-EM and cryo-ET maps and intrinsically disordered regions in both complexes are highlighted in yellow.

Integrating experimental data for generating IDP ensembles

Broadly, experimental data can be utilized for modeling IDPs in several ways: validation of generated ensembles, reweighting generated ensembles using experimental data, incorporating experimental data as restraints for sampling conformations, or using experimental data to improve existing force fields (Bernetti & Bussi, [2023;](#page-8-17) Chan-Yao-Chong, Durand, & Ha-Duong, [2019;](#page-8-18) Fisher & Stultz, [2011\)](#page-8-19). A comprehensive list of methods can be found in reviews on this topic (Bonomi et al., [2017;](#page-8-13) Habeck, [2023](#page-9-6)).

First, ensemble validation involves generating realistic ensembles of IDPs and validating the results with experimental data (Chan-Yao-Chong et al., [2019](#page-8-18)). Due to their ability to capture the dynamics of IDPs, NMR, and SAS data are most commonly used for validating the generated ensembles for IDPs (Baratam & Srivastava, [2024;](#page-8-16) Shrestha, Smith, & Petridis, [2021](#page-11-21)). Second, ensemble weighting involves using experimental data to refine an existing ensemble, to minimize deviation of the ensemble from the observed data (Chan-Yao-Chong et al., [2019\)](#page-8-18). This can be achieved by maximum parsimony (SES Berlin et al., [2013](#page-8-20)) or maximum entropy (Pitera & Chodera, [2012](#page-10-22); Roux & Weare, [2013](#page-10-17); Cavalli, Camilloni, & Vendruscolo, [2013](#page-8-21)) (EROS Różycki, Kim, & Hummer, [2011,](#page-10-23) (BioEn Hummer & Köfinger, [2015](#page-9-16)), and ABSURD (Salvi, Abyzov, & Blackledge, [2016\)](#page-11-22). Bayesian inference methods allow consideration of uncertainty in data (Fisher, Ullman, & Stultz, [2013;](#page-8-13) Lincoff et al., [2020\)](#page-9-17). Combining Bayesian inference and maximum entropy methods helps overcome the limitations of each (Crehuet, Buigues, Salvatella, & Lindorff-Larsen, [2019](#page-8-22); Fröhlking, Bernetti, & Bussi, [2023\)](#page-9-18). Deep learning models in combination with Bayesian and maximum entropy methods can also be used for refining an initial pool of conformations (DynamICE: Zhang, Haghighatlari, et al., [2023\)](#page-12-3). Third, experimental data can also be used as restraints to guide simulations (Chan-Yao-Chong et al., [2019](#page-8-18)). Metainference uses Bayesian inference for incorporating noisy, ensemble-averaged experimental data using replica-averaged modeling (Bonomi, Camilloni, Cavalli, & Vendruscolo, [2016;](#page-8-23) Bonomi, Camilloni, & Vendruscolo, [2016\)](#page-8-19). Similarly, parallel replica ensemble restraints based on SAXS data were used in MD simulations of IDPs (Hermann & Hub, [2019](#page-9-19)). Finally, experimental data can also be used for improving existing force fields on the fly using a Maximum Entropy approach (Cesari, Gil-Ley, & Bussi, [2016\)](#page-8-24).

A holistic understanding of the dynamic behavior of IDPs requires realistic conformational ensembles that can be generated using MD simulations and deep generative models. MD simulations can provide experimental-like ensembles for training deep generative models; the latter may aid in improving force fields, enhancing sampling of IDP conformations, and analyzing the ensemble generated via MD. Thus, an integrated approach would enable overcoming the limitations of each and improving our understanding of the dynamic nature of IDPs.

Integrative structure determination using in situ data

Cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET) is a cryo-EM imaging technique that enables structural characterization of macromolecular species (macromolecules, their complexes, and assemblies), in their native cellular environment at nanometer resolution (Gubins et al., [2020;](#page-9-20) Lamm et al., [2022](#page-9-21)). High-throughput localization and identification of macromolecular species within a tomogram can provide insights into their conformational heterogeneity, potential interactors, counts, and distributions within the cell (Arvindekar, Majila, & Viswanath, [2024](#page-8-6); Beck et al., [2024;](#page-8-0) Förster, Han, & Beck, [2010;](#page-9-22) McCafferty et al., [2024](#page-10-2)). Integrating cryo-ET data along with complementary data from experiments such as XLMS, Y2H, cryo-EM Single Particle Analysis (SPA), FRET, AI-based structure predictions, and prior structural models can help build a comprehensive structural atlas of the cell (Beck et al., [2024;](#page-8-0) Förster et al., [2010;](#page-9-22) McCafferty et al., [2024\)](#page-10-2). However, the intracellular crowding, compositional heterogeneity and low copy numbers of macromolecular species, the low signal-to-noise ratio, and the missing wedge in the tomography data pose significant challenges for localizing and identifying macromolecules in the tomograms (Moebel et al., [2021;](#page-10-7) Pyle & Zanetti, [2021](#page-10-18)).

Localization and identification of macromolecular species with known structures

Macromolecular species with known structures are often annotated in tomograms either manually or by template matching. Manual particle annotation, however, is time-consuming, laborious, errorprone, and not suitable for high-throughput workflows (Lamm et al., [2022\)](#page-9-21). Template matching involves using a low-pass filtered template of the known structure of a target macromolecule to localize similar densities in the tomogram (Frangakis et al., [2002](#page-9-23)). Methods for template matching are under active development (Cruz-León et al., [2024;](#page-8-25) Maurer, Siggel, & Kosinski, [2024](#page-10-24)). For example, the use of high-resolution information and templatespecific search parameter optimization for objective, comprehensive, and high-confidence localization and identification of macromolecular species in tomograms was recently proposed (Cruz-León et al., [2024](#page-8-25)).

In addition to template matching, several supervised learning methods have also been recently developed. Two such deep learning-based methods, DeepFinder and DeePiCt, utilize convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for simultaneous localization and identification of macromolecular species (de Teresa-Trueba et al., [2023;](#page-8-4) Moebel et al., [2021\)](#page-10-7). Another deep learning-based object detection method, MemBrain, was developed for estimating the localizations and orientations of membrane-embedded macromolecules (Lamm et al., [2022](#page-9-21), [2024\)](#page-9-24). These approaches have been shown to outperform template matching for localizing macromolecules (de Teresa-Trueba et al., [2023;](#page-8-4) Gubins et al., [2020;](#page-9-20) Lamm et al., [2022;](#page-9-21) Moebel et al., [2021](#page-10-7)). However, similar to manual annotation and template matching, these supervised learning approaches are limited to macromolecules with known structures. They are not suitable for high-throughput workflows and de novo structural characterization of macromolecular species (de Teresa-

de novo localization and identification of species

For de novo structural characterization of macromolecular species with unknown structures, deep metric learning-based approaches, such as TomoTwin, and unsupervised learning approaches, such as Multi-Pattern Pursuit (MPP) and Deep Iterative Subtomogram Clustering Approach (DISCA) were recently developed (Rice Custering Approach (DISCA) were recently developed (Rice
et al., [2023](#page-11-24); Xu et al., [2019;](#page-11-23) Zeng et al., 2023). These approaches
aim to cluster subtomograms based on their structural similarity.
Subtomogram averaging on the cl aim to cluster subtomograms based on their structural similarity. Subtomogram averaging on the clustered subtomograms can aid in 20 Å resolutions (Rice et al., [2023](#page-10-6); Zeng et al., [2023](#page-11-24)). These approaches are currently sensitive to noise in the tomograms and the size and abundance of the macromolecular species. However, they hold great promise for de novo high-throughput structural characterization of macromolecular species using tomographic data.

Visual proteomics

Visual proteomics is an approach that aims to build molecular atlases that encapsulate structural descriptions of macromolecules within the cell using methods such as cryo-ET (Beck et al., [2024](#page-8-0); Förster et al., [2010;](#page-9-22) McCafferty et al., [2024](#page-10-2)). This approach is inherently integrative. Given a tomogram, large macromolecular species with known atomic structures can be localized and identified within it using methods like template matching. Densities with unknown macromolecular identities can be obtained using the de novo approaches described above. The in situ structures of these uncharacterized macromolecular species can then be determined using an integrative approach by rigid fitting of structures obtained using cryo-EM SPA, X-ray crystallography, and AI-based structure predictions along with data from orthogonal experiments such as fluorescence microscopy and XLMS (Beck et al., [2024;](#page-8-0) Förster et al., [2010;](#page-9-22) McCafferty et al., [2024](#page-10-2)). For example, recent studies used integrative approaches to combine data from cryo-ET, SPA with cryo-EM, mass spectrometry, and predictions from AlphaFold to understand the molecular architecture of the human IFT-A and IFT-B complexes (Hesketh et al., [2022](#page-9-2)) and microtubule doublets in mouse sperm cells (Chen et al., [2023](#page-8-2)). In summary, utilizing cryo-ET data in an integrative approach can provide insights into interactors of a macromolecular species, associated protein communities, and larger cellular neighborhoods (Beck et al., [2024;](#page-8-0) Förster et al., [2010](#page-9-22); McCafferty et al., [2024](#page-10-2)).

Outlook

Integrative modeling has progressed significantly in the past decade, as evidenced by the increasing number, size, and precision of structures deposited to the PDB-Dev and integrated into the PDB (<https://pdb-dev.wwpdb.org>) (Saltzberg et al., [2021;](#page-11-25) Vallat et al., [2021\)](#page-11-26). Integrative structural biology plays a crucial role in the era of AI-based structure predictions. Experimental data from rapidly advancing techniques such as cryo-electron tomography, and AI-based predictions can complement each other within an integrative framework (Arvindekar, Majila, & Viswanath, [2024;](#page-8-6) Beck et al., [2024](#page-8-0); McCafferty et al., [2024](#page-10-2); Shor & Schneidman-Duhovny, [2024b](#page-11-12)). This approach has proved powerful for several systems

such as ciliary complexes and nuclear pore complexes (Chen et al., [2023;](#page-8-2) Fontana et al., [2022;](#page-9-1) Hesketh et al., [2022;](#page-9-2) McCafferty et al., [2024;](#page-10-2) Mosalaganti et al., [2022](#page-10-4); Zhu et al., [2022](#page-12-1)). Alphafold and similar AI-based prediction methods can increasingly solve structures for larger and more complex systems (Abramson et al., [2024](#page-7-1)). However, their applicability to solving entire structures of large assemblies remains an open question as they are limited by the GPU memory as well as the availability of training data. For example, membrane proteins and IDPs are under-represented in the training data (Carugo & Djinović-Carugo, [2023;](#page-8-26) Dobson et al., [2023\)](#page-8-27). The low-pLDDT regions in Alphafold structures often coincide with IDRs, suggesting that Alphafold may be used to predict these regions (Wilson, Choy, & Karttunen, [2022](#page-11-27)). In contrast, in cases where Alphafold predicts structures of IDPs with high confidence, these regions typically represent the folded conformations of the IDPs, indicating a disorder-to-order transition in the presence of a partner (Alderson, Pritišanac, Kolarić, Moses, & Forman-Kay, [2023;](#page-7-6) Wilson et al., [2022](#page-11-27)). Nonetheless, the static structures from Alphafold are not an accurate representation of the dynamic behavior of IDPs, characterized by an ensemble of conformations (Ruff & Pappu, [2021\)](#page-10-25).

In this Perspective, we highlighted two emerging frontiers for method development in integrative modeling: modeling disordered regions and modeling with data from cryo-electron tomography. Here, we briefly point to other open areas in integrative modeling that are the subject of current studies and/or may benefit from timely method development. First, a lack of knowledge about the system stoichiometry is one of the challenges for starting integrative modeling. Methods to estimate the stoichiometry based on the confidence of AI-based predictions are only beginning to be developed and are not yet generalizable (Chim & Elofsson, [2024](#page-8-20); Shor & Schneidman-Duhovny, [2024b](#page-11-12), [2024a](#page-11-13)). Second, methods for incorporating in vivo data in modeling are required. Recently, in vivo genetic interaction measurements were encoded as Bayesian distance restraints for integrative modeling of assemblies (Braberg et al., [2020\)](#page-8-6). Similarly, methods for integrating other in vivo data such as data from super-resolution microscopy may also be developed to model larger cellular neighborhoods. Third, on the model representation front, it would be beneficial to determine system representation using objective measures instead of fixing them ad hoc (Arvindekar, Pathak, et al., [2024](#page-8-7); Viswanath & Sali, [2019](#page-11-28)). Current methods for optimizing representations are limited to assessing a small number of candidate representations (Arvindekar, Pathak, et al., [2024;](#page-8-7) Viswanath & Sali, [2019\)](#page-11-28). Methods that enable sampling and assessing a large number of representations, for example by dynamically varying the model representations during sampling, would benefit integrative modeling (Viswanath & Sali, [2019](#page-11-28)). Fourth, methods for integrative modeling of dynamic systems with multiple discrete states and/or a continuum of states are also continually advancing (Habeck, [2023](#page-9-6); Hoff, Thomasen, Lindorff-Larsen, & Bonomi, [2024](#page-9-24); Hoff, Zinke, Izadi-Pruneyre, & Bonomi, [2024](#page-9-25); Lincoff et al., [2020;](#page-9-17) Potrzebowski, Trewhella, & Andre, [2018\)](#page-10-19). Fifth, sampling procedures in integrative modeling may be improved by leveraging the recent advances in deep learning, particularly in generative modeling. Specifically, recent generative modeling methods for protein structure prediction may be extended to incorporate experimental data, potentially leading to more efficient sampling procedures than the current stochastic sampling methods (Jing, Berger, & Jaakkola, [2024;](#page-9-26) Watson et al., [2023;](#page-11-6) Wu et al., [2024](#page-11-29); Zheng et al., [2024\)](#page-12-4). Finally, methods for comprehensive validation of integrative models, including assessment of model uncertainty and Bayesian assessment of fit to different kinds of input data are also necessary and are under development (Sali et al., [2015;](#page-10-16) Vallat et al., [2021\)](#page-11-26). In all, these efforts will facilitate faster, more accurate, and more precise characterization of larger assemblies (Sali, [2021](#page-10-1)). The grand challenge in the field is to construct spatiotemporal models of entire cells. Integrative models of assemblies can contribute directly to this effort via metamodeling efforts that involve the integration of models at different scales to address the grand challenge (Raveh et al., [2021\)](#page-10-26).

Open peer review. To view the open peer review materials for this article, please visit <http://doi.org/10.1017/qrd.2024.15>.

Acknowledgments. Molecular graphics images were produced using the UCSF Chimera and UCSF ChimeraX packages from the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco (supported by NIH P41 RR001081, NIH R01-GM129325, and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases).

Author contribution. K.M., S.A., and M.J.: reading and synthesis. K.M., S.A., M.J., and S.V.: writing: original draft, writing: revision. K.M.: visualization. S.V.: supervision, funding.

Funding. This work has been supported by the following grants: Department of Atomic Energy (DAE) TIFR grant RTI 4006, Department of Science and Technology (DST) SERB grant SPG/2020/000475, and Department of Biotechnology (DBT) BT/PR40323/BTIS/137/78/2023 from the Government of India to S.V.

Competing interest. None declared.

References

- Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A., Ronneberger, O., Willmore, L., Ballard, A. J., Bambrick, J., Bodenstein, S. W., Evans, D. A., Hung, C.-C., O'Neill, M., Reiman, D., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Wu, Z., Žemgulytė, A., Arvaniti, E., & Jumper, J. M. (2024). Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature, 630 Wu, Z., Žemgulytė, A., Arvaniti, E., & Jumper, J. M. (2024). Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature,
- Akdel, M., Pires, D. E. V., Pardo, E. P.,Jänes, J., Zalevsky, A. O., Mészáros, B., Bryant, P., Good, L. L., Laskowski, R. A., Pozzati, G., Shenoy, A., Zhu, W., Kundrotas, P., Serra, V. R., Rodrigues, C. H. M., Dunham, A. S., Burke, D., Borkakoti, N., Velankar, S., & Beltrao, P. (2022). A structural biology community assessment of AlphaFold2 applications. Nature Structural & Kundrotas, P., Serra, V. R., Rodrigues, C. H. M., Dunham, A. S., Burke, D., Borkakoti, N., Velankar, S., & Beltrao, P. (2022). A structural biology community assessment of AlphaFold2 applications. *Nature Structural & Mole* [00849-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-022-00849-w)
- Akey, C. W., Echeverria, I., Ouch, C., Nudelman, I., Shi, Y., Wang, J., Chait, B.
T., Sali, A., Fernandez-Martinez, J., & Rout, M. P. (2023). Implications of a
multiscale structure of the yeast nuclear pore complex. Molecu T., Sali, A., Fernandez-Martinez, J., & Rout, M. P. (2023). Implications of a multiscale structure of the yeast nuclear pore complex. Molecular Cell,
- Akey, C. W., Singh, D., Ouch, C., Echeverria, I., Nudelman, I., Varberg, J. M., Yu, Z., Fang, F., Shi, Y., Wang, J., Salzberg, D., Song, K., Xu, C., Gumbart, J. C., Suslov, S., Unruh, J., Jaspersen, S. L., Chait, B. T., Sali, A., & Rout, M.
P. (2022). Comprehensive structure and functional adaptations J. C., Suslov, S., Unruh, J., Jaspersen, S. L., Chait, B. T., Sali, A., & Rout, M. P. (2022). Comprehensive structure and functional adaptations of the yeast [cell.2021.12.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.015)
- Alber, F., Dokudovskaya, S., Veenhoff, L. M., Zhang, W., Kipper, J., Devos, D., Suprapto, A., Karni-Schmidt, O., Williams, R., Chait, B. T., Rout, M. P., & Sali, A. (2007). Determining the architectures of macromolecular a Devos, D., Suprapto, A., Karni-Schmidt, O., Williams, R., Chait, B. T., Rout, M. P., & Sali, A. (2007). Determining the architectures of [org/10.1038/nature06404](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06404)
- Alderson, T. R., Pritišanac, I., Kolarić, Đ., Moses, A. M., & Forman-Kay, J. D. (2023). Systematic identification of conditionally folded intrinsically disordered regions by AlphaFold2. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120(44), e2304302120. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2304302120>
- Arvindekar, S., Jackman, M. J., Low, J. K. K., Landsberg, M. J., Mackay, J. P., & Viswanath, S. (2022). Molecular architecture of nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase sub-complexes by integrative structure determination. Protein Science, 31(9), e4387. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.4387>
- Arvindekar, S., Majila, K., & Viswanath, S. (2024). Recent methods from statistical inference and machine learning to improve integrative modeling of macromolecular assemblies (Version 4). arXiv. [https://doi.org/10.48550/](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2401.17894) [ARXIV.2401.17894](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2401.17894)
- Arvindekar, S., Pathak, A. S., Majila, K., & Viswanath, S. (2024). Optimizing representations for integrative structural modeling using Bayesian model selection. Bioinformatics, 40(3), btae106. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinfor](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btae106)[matics/btae106](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btae106)
- Baldwin, E. T., Van Eeuwen, T., Hoyos, D., Zalevsky, A., Tchesnokov, E. P., Sánchez, R., Miller, B. D., Di Stefano, L. H., Ruiz, F. X., Hancock, M., Işik, E., Mendez-Dorantes, C., Walpole, T., Nichols, C., Wan, P., Riento, K., Halls-Kass, R., Augustin, M., Lammens, A., & Taylor, M. S. (2024). Structures, functions and adaptations of the human LINE-1 ORF2 protein. E., Mendez-Dorantes, C., Walpole, T., Nichols, C., Wan, P., Riento, 1
Halls-Kass, R., Augustin, M., Lammens, A., & Taylor, M. S. (202
Structures, functions and adaptations of the human LINE-1 ORF2 prote
Nature, 626(7997),
- Baratam, K., & Srivastava, A. (2024). SOP-MULTI: A self-organized polymer based coarse-grained model for multi-domain and intrinsically disordered proteins with conformation ensemble consistent with experimental scatterratam, K., & Srivastava, A. (2024). SOP-MULTI: A self-organized polymer based coarse-grained model for multi-domain and intrinsically disordered proteins with conformation ensemble consistent with experimental scattering d [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.29.591764](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.4c00579)
- Baul, U., Chakraborty, D., Mugnai, M. L., Straub, J. E., & Thirumalai, D. (2019). Sequence effects on size, shape, and structural heterogeneity in intrinsically disordered proteins. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*. *B* (2019). Sequence effects on size, shape, and structural heterogeneity in intrinsically disordered proteins. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B, 123 intrinsically disordered proteins. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B*, 123
(16), 3462–3474. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2023.12.017)doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.9b02575
ck, M., Covino, R., Hänelt, I., & **Müller-McNicoll, M.** (2024). Understand-
ing the
- Beck, M., Covino, R., Hänelt, I., & Müller-McNicoll, M. (2024). Understanddoi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2023.12.017
- Beckham, K. S. H., Ritter, C., Chojnowski, G., Ziemianowicz, D. S., Mullapudi, E., Rettel, M., Savitski, M. M., Mortensen, S. A., Kosinski, J., & Wilmanns, M. (2021). Structure of the mycobacterial ESX-5 type VII secretion system pore complex. Science Advances, 7(26), eabg9923. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg9923) [10.1126/sciadv.abg9923](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg9923) tion system pore complex. *Science Advances*, 7(26), eabg9923. https://doi.org/
10.1126/sciadv.abg9923
pler, T., & Berger, B. (2021). Learning the protein language: evolution,
structure, and function. *Cell Systems*, **12**
- Bepler, T., & Berger, B. (2021). Learning the protein language: evolution, [j.cels.2021.05.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2021.05.017)
- Berlin, K., Castañeda, C. A., Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Sali, A., Nava-Tudela, A., & Fushman, D. (2013). Recovering a representative conformational ensemble from underdetermined macromolecular structural data. rlin, K., Castañeda, C. A., Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Sali, A., Nava-Tudela, A., & Fushman, D. (2013). Recovering a representative conformational ensemble from underdetermined macromolecular structural data.
Journal of the A doi.org/10.1021/ja4083717
- Bernetti, M., & Bussi, G. (2023). Integrating experimental data with molecular simulations to investigate RNA structural dynamics. Current Opinion in
- Structural Biology, 78, 102503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2022.102503>
st, R. B., Zheng, W., & Mittal, J. (2014). Balanced protein-water interactions
improve properties of disordered proteins and non-specific protein as Best, R. B., Zheng, W., & Mittal, J. (2014). Balanced protein-water interactions improve properties of disordered proteins and non-specific protein associ<https://doi.org/10.1021/ct500569b>
- Beton, J. G., Mulvaney, T., Cragnolini, T., & Topf, M. (2024). Cryo-EM structure and B-factor refinement with ensemble representation. Nature Communications, 15(1), Article 1. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44593-1) [44593-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44593-1)
- Bley, C. J., Nie, S., Mobbs, G. W., Petrovic, S., Gres, A. T., Liu, X., Mukherjee, S., Harvey, S., Huber, F. M., Lin, D. H., Brown, B., Tang, A. W., Rundlet, E. J., Correia, A. R., Chen, S., Regmi, S. G., Stevens, T. A., Jette, C. A., Dasso, M., & Hoelz, A. (2022). Architecture of the cytoplasmic face of the nuclear pore. Science, 376(6598), eabm9129. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm9129> M., & Hoelz, A. (2022). Architecture of the cytoplasmic face of the nuclear pore.
Science, 376(6598), eabm9129. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx529)doi.org/10.1126/science.abm9129
nomi, M., & Camilloni, C. (2017). Integrative structural and dynamical
- Bonomi, M., & Camilloni, C. (2017). Integrative structural and dynamical doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx529
- Bonomi, M., Camilloni, C., Cavalli, A., & Vendruscolo, M. (2016). Metainference: a Bayesian inference method for heterogeneous systems. Science Advances, 2(1), e1501177. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501177>
- Bonomi, M., Camilloni, C., & Vendruscolo, M. (2016). Metadynamic metainference: enhanced sampling of the metainference ensemble using metadynamics. Scientific Reports, 6(1), 31232. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31232>
- Bonomi, M., Heller, G. T., Camilloni, C., & Vendruscolo, M. (2017). Principles of protein structural ensemble determination. Current Opinion in namics. *Scientific Reports*, **6**(1), 31232. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31232
nomi, M., Heller, G. T., Camilloni, C., & Vendruscolo, M. (2017). Pi
ciples of protein structural ensemble determination. *Current Opinion*

- Braberg, H., Echeverria, I., Bohn, S., Cimermancic, P., Shiver, A., Alexander, R., Xu, J., Shales, M., Dronamraju, R., Jiang, S., Dwivedi, G., Bogdanoff, D., Chaung, K. K., Hüttenhain, R., Wang, S., Mavor, D., Pellarin, R., Schneidman, D., Bader, J. S., & Krogan, N. J. (2020). Genetic interaction mapping informs integrative structure determination of protein complexes. Science, 370(6522), eaaz4910. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz4910>
- Brilot, A. F., Lyon, A. S., Zelter, A., Viswanath, S., Maxwell, A., MacCoss, M. J., Muller, E. G., Sali, A., Davis, T. N., & Agard, D. A. (2021). CM1-driven assembly and activation of yeast γ-tubulin small complex underlies microtubule nucleation. eLife, 10, e65168. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65168>
- Carugo, O., & Djinović-Carugo, K. (2023). Structural biology: a golden era. PLOS Biology, 21(6), e3002187. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3002187>
- Cavalli, A., Camilloni, C., & Vendruscolo, M. (2013). Molecular dynamics simulations with replica-averaged structural restraints generate structural ensembles according to the maximum entropy principle. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 138(9), 094112. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4793625>
- Cesari, A., Gil-Ley, A., & Bussi, G.(2016). Combining simulations and solution experiments as a paradigm for RNA force field refinement. Journal of Chemical Physics, 138(9), 094112. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4793625
sari, A., Gil-Ley, A., & Bussi, G. (2016). Combining simulations and solution
experiments as a paradigm for RNA force field refinement. Journal of
Chemica [acs.jctc.6b00944](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.6b00944)
- Chang, L., Wang, F., Connolly, K., Meng, H., Su, Z., Cvirkaite-Krupovic, V.,
Krupovic, M., Egelman, E. H., & Si, D. (2022). DeepTracer-ID: De novo
protein identification from cryo-EM maps. *Biophysical Journal*, 121(15),
2 Krupovic, M., Egelman, E. H., & Si, D. (2022). DeepTracer-ID: De novo protein identification from cryo-EM maps. Biophysical Journal, 121(15),
- Chan-Yao-Chong, M., Durand, D., & Ha-Duong, T. (2019). Molecular dynamics simulations combined with nuclear magnetic resonance and/or small-angle x-ray scattering data for characterizing intrinsically disordered protein conformational ensembles. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, ⁵⁹(5), 1743–1758. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.8b00928>
- Chen, Z., Shiozaki, M., Haas, K. M., Skinner, W. M., Zhao, S., Guo, C., Polacco, B. J., Yu, Z., Krogan, N. J., Lishko, P. V., Kaake, R. M., Vale, R. D., & Agard, D. A. (2023). De novo protein identification in mammalian sperm using in situ cryoelectron tomography and AlphaFold2 docking. Cell, Polacco, B. J., Yu, Z., Krogan, N. J., Lishko, P. V., Kaake, R. M
D., & Agard, D. A. (2023). De novo protein identification in m
sperm using in situ cryoelectron tomography and AlphaFold2 doc
186(23), 5041–5053.e19. https:
- Chim, H. Y., & Elofsson, A. (2024). MoLPC2: improved prediction of large protein complex structures and stoichiometry using Monte Carlo Tree Search and AlphaFold2. Bioinformatics, 40(6), btae329. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bio](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btae329)[informatics/btae329](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btae329)
- Crehuet, R., Buigues, P. J., Salvatella, X., & Lindorff-Larsen, K. (2019). Bayesian-maximum-entropy reweighting of IDP ensembles based on NMR chemical shifts. Entropy, 21(9), 898. <https://doi.org/10.3390/e21090898>
- Cruz-León, S., Majtner, T., Hoffmann, P. C., Kreysing, J. P., Kehl, S., Tuijtel, M. W., Schaefer, S. L., Geißler, K., Beck, M., Turoňová, B., & Hummer, G. (2024). High-confidence 3D template matching for cryo-electron tomography. Nature Communications, 15(1), 3992. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-47839-8) [s41467-024-47839-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-47839-8)
- de Teresa-Trueba, I., Goetz, S. K., Mattausch, A., Stojanovska, F., Zimmerli, C. E., Toro-Nahuelpan, M., Cheng, D. W. C., Tollervey, F., Pape, C., Beck, M., Diz-Muñoz, A., Kreshuk, A., Mahamid, J., & Zaugg, J. B. (2023). Convolutional networks for supervised mining of molecular patterns within cellular context. Nature Methods, 20(2), 2. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-022-01746-2) [022-01746-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-022-01746-2)
- Dobson, L., Szekeres, L. I., Gerdán, C., Langó, T., Zeke, A., & Tusnády, G. E. (2023). TmAlphaFold database: membrane localization and evaluation of AlphaFold2 predicted alpha-helical transmembrane protein structures. Nucleic Acids Research, ⁵¹(D1), D517–D522. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac928) [gkac928](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac928)
- Dominguez, C., Boelens, R., & Bonvin, A. M. J. J. (2003). HADDOCK: a protein-protein docking approach based on biochemical or biophysical gkac928
 ininguez, C., Boelens, R., & Bonvin, A. M. J. J. (2003). HADDOCK: a

protein-protein docking approach based on biochemical or biophysical

information. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 125(7), 1731–17 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja026939x>
- Fisher, C. K., & Stultz, C. M. (2011). Constructing ensembles for intrinsically information. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 125(7), 1731–1737.
https://doi.org/10.1021/ja026939x
sher, C. K., & Stultz, C. M. (2011). Constructing ensembles for intrinsically
disordered proteins. Current Opin <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2011.04.001>
- Fisher, C. K., Ullman, O., & Stultz, C. M.(2013). Comparative studies of disordered proteins with similar sequences: application to Aβ40 and Aβ42. Biophysical https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2011.04.001
her, C. K., Ullman, O., & Stultz, C. M. (2013). Comparative studies of proteins with similar sequences: application to Aβ40 and Aβ42. *I*
Journal, 104(7), 1546–1555. https://doi.o
- Flacht, L., Lunelli, M., Kaszuba, K., Chen, Z. A., Reilly, F. J. O., Rappsilber, J., Kosinski, J., & Kolbe, M.(2023). Integrative structural analysis of the type III secretion system needle complex from Shigella flexneri. Protein Science, 32(4), e4595. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.4595>
- Fontana, P., Dong, Y., Pi, X., Tong, A. B., Hecksel, C. W., Wang, L., Fu, T.-M., Bustamante, C., & Wu, H. (2022). Structure of cytoplasmic ring of nuclear pore complex by integrative cryo-EM and AlphaFold. Science, 376(6598), eabm9326. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm9326>
- Förster, F., Han, B.-G., & Beck, M. (2010). Chapter Eleven—Visual Proteomics. In G. J. Jensen, Methods in Enzymology. ⁴⁸³, pp. 215–243. Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(10\)83011-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(10)83011-3)
- Frangakis, A. S., Böhm, J., Förster, F., Nickell, S., Nicastro, D., Typke, D., Hegerl, R., & Baumeister, W. (2002). Identification of macromolecular complexes in cryoelectron tomograms of phantom cells. *Proceedings of the* Hegerl, R., & Baumeister, W. (2002). Identification of macromolecular complexes in cryoelectron tomograms of phantom cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(22), 14153-14158. https://doi.org/10.1073/ [pnas.172520299](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.172520299)
- Fröhlking, T., Bernetti, M., & Bussi, G. (2023). Simultaneous refinement of molecular dynamics ensembles and forward models using experimental data. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 158(21), 214120. [https://doi.org/10.1063/](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0151163) [5.0151163](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0151163)
- Ghanaeian, A., Majhi, S., McCafferty, C. L., Nami, B., Black, C. S., Yang, S. K., Legal, T., Papoulas, O., Janowska, M., Valente-Paterno, M., Marcotte, E. M.,Wloga, D., & Bui, K. H.(2023). Integrated modeling of the Nexin-dynein regulatory complex reveals its regulatory mechanism. Nature Communications, 14(1), 5741. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-41480-7>
- Gubins, I., Chaillet, M. L., van der Schot, G., Veltkamp, R. C., Förster, F., Hao, Y., Wan, X., Cui, X., Zhang, F., Moebel, E., Wang, X., Kihara, D., Zeng, X., Xu, M., Nguyen, N. P., White, T., & Bunyak, F. (2020). SHREC 2020: classification in cryo-electron tomograms. Computers & Graphics, 91, Hao, Y., Wan, X., Cui, X., Zhang, F., Moebel, E
Zeng, X., Xu, M., Nguyen, N. P., White, T., & B
2020: classification in cryo-electron tomograms. C
279–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2020.07.010>
- Gupta, R., Liu, Y., Wang, H., Nordyke, C. T., Puterbaugh, R. Z., Cui, W., Varga, K., Chu, F., Ke, H., Vashisth, H., & Cote, R. H. (2020). Structural analysis of the regulatory GAF domains of cGMP phosphodiesterase elucidates the allosteric communication pathway. Journal of Molecular Biology, Varga, K., Chu, F., Ke, H., Vashisth, H., & Cote, R. H. (202
analysis of the regulatory GAF domains of cGMP phosphodid
dates the allosteric communication pathway. Journal of Mole
432(21), 5765–5783. https://doi.org/10.1016
- Habeck, M. (2023). Bayesian methods in integrative structure modeling. Bio-**432**(21), 5765–5783. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2020.08.026
abeck, M. (2023). Bayesian methods in integrative structure modeling. *Bi*
logical Chemistry, **404**(8–9), 741–754. <https://doi.org/10.1515/hsz-2023-0145>
- Hermann, M. R., & Hub, J. S. (2019). SAXS-restrained ensemble simulations of intrinsically disordered proteins with commitment to the principle of maxlogical Chemistry, **404**(8–9), 741–754. https://doi.org/10.1515/hsz-2023-0145
rmann, M. R., & Hub, J. S. (2019). SAXS-restrained ensemble simulations of
intrinsically disordered proteins with commitment to the principle 5115. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00338>
- Hesketh, S. J., Mukhopadhyay, A. G., Nakamura, D., Toropova, K., & Roberts, A. J. (2022). IFT-A structure reveals carriages for membrane protein transport imum entropy. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 15(9), 5103–5115. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00338
esketh, S. J., Mukhopadhyay, A. G., Nakamura, D., Toropova, K., & Roberts,
A. J. (2022). IFT-A structure
- Hoff, S. E., Thomasen, F. E., Lindorff-Larsen, K., & Bonomi, M. (2024). Accurate model and ensemble refinement using cryo-electron microscopy maps and Bayesian inference. PLOS Computational Biology, 20(7), e1012180. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012180>
- Hoff, S. E., Zinke, M., Izadi-Pruneyre, N., & Bonomi, M. (2024). Bonds and bytes: the odyssey of structural biology. Current Opinion in Structural Biology, 84, 102746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2023.102746>
- Honorato, R. V., Trellet, M. E., Jiménez-García, B., Schaarschmidt, J. J., Giulini, M., Reys, V., Koukos, P. I., Rodrigues, J. P. G. L. M., Karaca, E., Van Zundert, G. C. P., Roel-Touris, J., Van Noort, C. W., Jandová, Z., Melquiond, A. S. J., & Bonvin, A. M. J. J. (2024). The HADDOCK2.4 web server for integrative modeling of biomolecular complexes. Nature Protocols. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-024-01011-0>
- Huang, J., Rauscher, S., Nawrocki, G., Ran, T., Feig, M., de Groot, B. L., Grubmüller, H., & MacKerell, A. D. (2017). CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. Nature Methods, ¹⁴(1), 71–73. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4067>
- Hummer, G., & Köfinger, J. (2015). Bayesian ensemble refinement by replica simulations and reweighting. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 143(24), 243150. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4937786>
- Inbar, Y., Benyamini, H., Nussinov, R., & Wolfson, H. J. (2005). Combinatorial docking approach for structure prediction of large proteins and multi243150. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1478-3975/2/4/S10)10.1063/1.4937786
bar, Y., Benyamini, H., Nussinov, R., & Wolfson, H. J. (2005). Combina-
torial docking approach for structure prediction of large proteins and multi-
molecular assemblies. *Physica* [10.1088/1478-3975/2/4/S10](https://doi.org/10.1088/1478-3975/2/4/S10)
- Jahn, L. R., Marquet, C., Heinzinger, M., & Rost, B. (2024). Protein embeddings predict binding residues in disordered regions. Scientific Reports, 14(1), 13566. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-64211-4>
- Janson, G., & Feig, M. (2024). Transferable deep generative modeling of intrinsically disordered protein conformations. PLoS Computational Biology, 20(5), e1012144. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012144>
- Janson, G., Valdes-Garcia, G., Heo, L., & Feig, M. (2023). Direct generation of protein conformational ensembles via machine learning. Nature Communications, 14(1), 774. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36443-x>
- Jing, B., Berger, B., & Jaakkola, T. (2024). AlphaFold meets flow matching for generating protein ensembles. Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning, 22277–22303. [https://proceedings.mlr.press/](https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/jing24a.html) [v235/jing24a.html](https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/jing24a.html)
- Joseph, J. A., Reinhardt, A., Aguirre, A., Chew, P. Y., Russell, K. O., Espinosa, J. R., Garaizar, A., & Collepardo-Guevara, R.(2021). Physics-driven coarsegrained model for biomolecular phase separation with near-quantitative accuracy. Nature Computational Science, 1(11), Article 11. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00155-3) [10.1038/s43588-021-00155-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00155-3)
- Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C., Kohl, S. A. A., Ballard, A. J., Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., & Hassabis, D. (2021). Highly accurate pr Meyer, C., Kohl, S. A. A., Ballard, A. J., Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., & Hassabis, D. (2021). Highly accurate <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2>
- Kaake, R. M., Echeverria, I.,Kim, S. J., Von Dollen, J.,Chesarino, N. M., Feng, Y., Yu, C., Ta, H., Chelico, L., Huang, L., Gross, J., Sali, A., & Krogan, N. J. (2021). Characterization of an A3G-VifHIV-1-CRL5-CBFβ structure using a ake, R. M., Echeverria, I., Kim, S. J., Von Dollen, J., Chesarino, N. M., Feng, Y., Yu, C., Ta, H., Chelico, L., Huang, L., Gross, J., Sali, A., & Krogan, N. J. (2021). Characterization of an A3G-VifHIV-1-CRL5-CBFβ structu pathogen complexes. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics, 20, 100132. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcpro.2021.100132) doi.org/10.1016/j.mcpro.2021.100132
- Khanppnavar, B., Schuster, D., Lavriha, P., Uliana, F., Özel, M., Mehta, V., Leitner, A., Picotti, P., & Korkhov, V. M. (2024). Regulatory sites of CaMsensitive adenylyl cyclase AC8 revealed by cryo-EM and structural proteanppnavar, B., Schuster, D., Lavriha, P., Uliana, F., Özel, M., Mehta, V., Leitner, A., Picotti, P., & Korkhov, V. M. (2024). Regulatory sites of CaMsensitive adenylyl cyclase AC8 revealed by cryo-EM and structural proteom [024-00076-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s44319-024-00076-y)
- Köfinger, J., Stelzl, L. S., Reuter, K., Allande, C., Reichel, K., & Hummer, G. (2019). Efficient ensemble refinement by reweighting. Journal of Chemical omics. *EMBO Reports*, 25(3), 1513–1540. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44319-024-00076-y
 Sifinger, J., Stelzl, L. S., Reuter, K., Allande, C., Reichel, K., & Hummer, G.

(2019). Efficient ensemble refinement by reweighting. [jctc.8b01231](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.8b01231)
- Lamm, L., Righetto, R. D., Wietrzynski, W., Pöge, M., Martinez-Sanchez, A., Peng, T., & Engel, B. D. (2022). MemBrain: A deep learning-aided pipeline for detection of membrane proteins in Cryo-electron tomograms. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 224, 106990. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.106990) [j.cmpb.2022.106990](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.106990)
- Lamm, L., Zufferey, S., Righetto, R. D., Wietrzynski, W., Yamauchi, K. A., Burt, A., Liu, Y., Zhang, H., Martinez-Sanchez, A., Ziegler, S., Isensee, F., Schnabel, J. A., Engel, B. D., & Peng, T. (2024). MemBrain v2: An end-toend tool for the analysis of membranes in cryo-electron tomography (p. 2024.01.05.574336). bioRxiv. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.05.574336>
- Leman, J. K., Weitzner, B. D., Lewis, S. M., Adolf-Bryfogle, J., Alam, N., Alford, R. F., Aprahamian, M., Baker, D., Barlow, K. A., Barth, P., Basanta, B., Bender, B. J., Blacklock, K., Bonet, J., Boyken, S. E., Bradley, P., Bystroff, C., Conway, P., Cooper, S., & Bonneau, R. (2020). Macromolecular modeling and design in Rosetta: Recent methods and frameworks. Basanta, B., Bender, B. J., Blacklock, K., Bonet, J., Boyken, S. E., Bradley, P., Bystroff, C., Conway, P., Cooper, S., & Bonneau, R. (2020). Macromolecular modeling and design in Rosetta: Recent methods and frameworks. Na
- Lincoff, J., Haghighatlari, M., Krzeminski, M., Teixeira, J. M. C., Gomes, G.- N. W., Gradinaru, C. C., Forman-Kay, J. D., & Head-Gordon, T. (2020). Extended experimental inferential structure determination method in determining the structural ensembles of disordered protein states. Communications Chemistry, 3(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-020-0323-0>
- Lindorff-Larsen, K., & Kragelund, B. B. (2021). On the potential of machine learning to examine the relationship between sequence, structure, dynamics and function of intrinsically disordered proteins. Journal of Molecular Biology, 433(20), 167196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2021.167196>
- Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Wen, Z., Hao, Y., Banks, C. A. S., Cesare, J., Bhattacharya, S., Arvindekar, S., Lange, J. J., Xie, Y., Garcia, B. A., Slaughter, B. D., Unruh, J. R., Viswanath, S., Florens, L., Workman, J. L., & Washburn, M. P. (2024). An integrated structural model of the DNA damage-responsive

H3K4me3 binding WDR76:SPIN1 complex with the nucleosome. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 121(33), e2318601121. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2318601121) [org/10.1073/pnas.2318601121](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2318601121)

- Lotthammer, J. M., Ginell, G. M., Griffith, D., Emenecker, R. J., & Holehouse, A. S. (2024). Direct prediction of intrinsically disordered protein conformorg/10.1073/pnas.2318601121
tthammer, J. M., Ginell, G. M., Griffith, D., Emenecker, R. J., & Holehouse,
A. S. (2024). Direct prediction of intrinsically disordered protein conform-
ational properties from sequence. *Natur* doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-02159-5
- Mansoor, S., Baek, M., Park, H., Lee, G. R., & Baker, D. (2024). Protein ensemble generation through variational autoencoder latent space sampling. doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-02159-5
nnsoor, S., Baek, M., Park, H., Lee, G. R., & Baker, D. (2024). Protein
ensemble generation through variational autoencoder latent space sampling
Journal of Chemical Theory and Computat [org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c01057](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c01057)
- Marrink, S. J., Risselada, H. J., Yefimov, S., Tieleman, D. P., & de Vries, A. H. (2007). The MARTINI force field: Coarse grained model for biomolecular org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c01057
arrink, S. J., Risselada, H. J., Yefimov, S., Tieleman, D. P., & de Vries, A. H.
(2007). The MARTINI force field: Coarse grained model for biomolecular
simulations. *The Journal of Physical C* <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp071097f>
- Maurer, V. J., Siggel, M., & Kosinski, J. (2024). PyTME (Python Template Matching Engine): A fast, flexible, and multi-purpose template matching library for cryogenic electron microscopy data. SoftwareX, 25, 101636. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2024.101636>
- McCafferty, C. L., Klumpe, S., Amaro, R. E., Kukulski, W., Collinson, L., & Engel, B. D. (2024). Integrating cellular electron microscopy with multihttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2024.101636
Cafferty, C. L., Klumpe, S., Amaro, R. E., Kukulski, W., Collinson, L., &
Engel, B. D. (2024). Integrating cellular electron microscopy with multi-
modal data to explore biology <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.01.005>
- McCafferty, C. L., Papoulas, O., Jordan, M. A., Hoogerbrugge, G., Nichols, C., Pigino, G., Taylor, D. W., Wallingford, J. B., & Marcotte, E. M. (2022). Integrative modeling reveals the molecular architecture of the intraflagellar transport A (IFT-A) complex. eLife, 11, e81977. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.81977>
- Michael, A. K., Stoos, L., Crosby, P., Eggers, N., Nie, X. Y., Makasheva, K., Minnich, M., Healy, K. L., Weiss, J., Kempf, G., Cavadini, S., Kater, L., Seebacher, J., Vecchia, L., Chakraborty, D., Isbel, L., Grand, R. S., Andersch, F., Fribourgh, J. L., & Thomä, N. H. (2023). Cooperation between bHLH transcription factors and histones for DNA access. Nature, ⁶¹⁹(7969), 385–393. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06282-3>
- Moebel, E., Martinez-Sanchez, A., Lamm, L., Righetto, R. D., Wietrzynski, W., Albert, S., Larivière, D., Fourmentin, E., Pfeffer, S., Ortiz, J., Baumeister, W., Peng, T., Engel, B. D., & Kervrann, C. (2021). Deep learning improves macromolecule identification in 3D cellular cryo-electron tomograms. Nature Methods, 18(11), Article 11. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01275-4) [021-01275-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01275-4)
- Mosalaganti, S., Obarska-Kosinska, A., Siggel, M., Taniguchi, R., Turoňová, B., Zimmerli, C. E., Buczak, K., Schmidt, F. H., Margiotta, E., Mackmull, M.-T., Hagen, W. J. H., Hummer, G., Kosinski, J., & Beck, M. (2022). AIbased structure prediction empowers integrative structural analysis of human nuclear pores. Science, 376(6598), eabm9506. [https://doi.org/10.1126/sci](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm9506)[ence.abm9506](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm9506)
- Nerenberg, P. S., Jo, B., So, C., Tripathy, A., & Head-Gordon, T. (2012). Optimizing solute-water van der Waals interactions to reproduce solvation free energies. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B, ¹¹⁶(15), 4524–4534. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp2118373>
- O'Reilly, F. J., Graziadei, A., Forbrig, C., Bremenkamp, R., Charles, K., Lenz, S., Elfmann, C., Fischer, L., Stülke, J., & Rappsilber, J. (2023). Protein complexes in cells by AI-assisted structural proteomics. Molecular Systems Biology, 19(4), e11544. <https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.202311544>
- Oldfield, C. J., & Dunker, A. K. (2014). Intrinsically disordered proteins and intrinsically disordered protein regions. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 83, *Biology*, **19**(4), e11544. https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.202311544
dfield, C. J., & Dunker, A. K. (2014). Intrinsically disordered prot
intrinsically disordered protein regions. *Annual Review of Biochem*
553–584. https:
- Pasani, S., Menon, K. S., & Viswanath, S. (2024). The molecular architecture of the desmosomal outer dense plaque by integrative structural modeling. Protein Science, 33(12), e5217. [https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.5217](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.5217)
- Pasani, S., & Viswanath, S. (2021). A framework for stochastic optimization of parameters for integrative modeling of macromolecular assemblies. Life, 11(11), Article 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life11111183>
- Peñaherrera, D., & Koes, D. R. (2024). Structure-Infused Protein Language Models. bioRxiv, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.13.571525>
- Pesce, F., Bremer, A., Tesei, G., Hopkins, J. B., Grace, C. R., Mittag, T., & Lindorff-Larsen, K. (2024). Design of intrinsically disordered protein variants with diverse structural properties. Science Advances, 10(35), eadm9926. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adm9926>
- Petrovic, S., Samanta, D., Perriches, T., Bley, C. J., Thierbach, K., Brown, B., Nie, S., Mobbs, G. W., Stevens, T. A., Liu, X., Tomaleri, G. P., Schaus, L., & Hoelz, A. (2022). Architecture of the linker-scaffold in the nuclear pore.
- Science, 376(6598), eabm9798. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm9798>
tera, J. W., & Chodera, J. D. (2012). On the use of experimental observat
to bias simulated ensembles. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computatio
(10), 3 Pitera, J. W., & Chodera, J. D. (2012). On the use of experimental observations to bias simulated ensembles. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 8
- Potrzebowski, W., Trewhella, J., & Andre, I. (2018). Bayesian inference of protein conformational ensembles from limited structural data. PLOS Computational Biology, 14(12), e1006641. [https://doi.org/10.1371/jour](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006641)[nal.pcbi.1006641](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006641)
- Pyle, E., & Zanetti, G. (2021). Current data processing strategies for cryoelectron tomography and subtomogram averaging. Biochemical Journal, mal.pcbi.1006641

le, E., & Zanetti, G. (2021). Current data processing sti

electron tomography and subtomogram averaging. *Bio*

478(10), 1827–1845. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20200715>
- Rafiei, A., Cruz Tetlalmatzi, S., Edrington, C. H., Lee, L., Crowder, D. A., Saltzberg, D. J., Sali, A., Brouhard, G., & Schriemer, D. C. (2022). Doublecortin engages the microtubule lattice through a cooperative binding mode involving its C-terminal domain. eLife, 11, e66975. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.66975) [10.7554/eLife.66975](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.66975)
- Rantos, V., Karius, K., & Kosinski, J. (2022). Integrative structural modeling of macromolecular complexes using assembline. Nature Protocols, 17(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-021-00640-z>
- Raveh, B., Sun, L., White, K. L., Sanyal, T., Tempkin, J., Zheng, D., Bharath, K., Singla, J., Wang, C., Zhao, J., Li, A., Graham, N. A., Kesselman, C., Stevens, R. C., & Sali, A. (2021). Bayesian metamodeling of complex biological systems across varying representations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(35), e2104559118. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2104559118) [pnas.2104559118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2104559118)
- Rice, G., Wagner, T., Stabrin, M., Sitsel, O., Prumbaum, D., & Raunser, S. (2023). TomoTwin: Generalized 3D localization of macromolecules in cryoelectron tomograms with structural data mining. Nature Methods, 20(6), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-01878-z> electron tomograms with structural data mining. *Nature Methods*, 20(6).
Article 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-01878-z
eping, W., Habeck, M., & Nilges, M. (2005). Inferential structure determin-
ation. *Science*, 3
- Rieping, W., Habeck, M., & Nilges, M. (2005). Inferential structure determin-
- Rives, A., Meier, J., Sercu, T., Goyal, S., Lin, Z., Liu, J., Guo, D., Ott, M., Zitnick, C. L., Ma, J., & Fergus, R. (2021). Biological structure and function emerge from scaling unsupervised learning to 250 million protein sequences. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(15), e2016239118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2016239118>
- Robustelli, P., Piana, S., & Shaw, D. E. (2018). Developing a molecular dynamics force field for both folded and disordered protein states. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 1 dynamics force field for both folded and disordered protein states. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
115(21), E4758-E4766. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1800690115
ut, M. P., & Sali, A. (2019). Principles for integrative structural biology
studies.
- Rout, M. P., & Sali, A. (2019). Principles for integrative structural biology
- Roux, B., & Weare, J. (2013). On the statistical equivalence of restrainedensemble simulations with the maximum entropy method. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 138(8), 084107. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4792208>
- Różycki, B., Kim, Y. C., & Hummer, G. (2011). SAXS ensemble refinement of ESCRT-III CHMP3 conformational transitions. Structure (London, England: Chemical Physics, 138(8), 084107. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.479

2ycki, B., Kim, Y. C., & Hummer, G. (2011). SAXS ensemble FSCRT-III CHMP3 conformational transitions. Structure (Lone

1993), 19(1), 109–116. https://doi.org
- Ruff, K. M., & Pappu, R. V.(2021). AlphaFold and implications for intrinsically disordered proteins. Journal of Molecular Biology, 433(20), 167208. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2021.167208) doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2021.167208
- Russel, D., Lasker, K., Webb, B., Velázquez-Muriel, J., Tjioe, E., Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Peterson, B., & Sali, A. (2012). Putting the pieces together: integrative modeling platform software for structure determination of macromolecular assemblies. PLoS Biology, 10(1), e1001244. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001244) [10.1371/journal.pbio.1001244](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001244)
- Sali, A. (2021). From integrative structural biology to cell biology. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 296, 100743. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.100743) [100743](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.100743)
- Sali, A., Berman, H. M., Schwede, T., Trewhella, J., Kleywegt, G., Burley, S. K., Markley, J., Nakamura, H., Adams, P., Bonvin, A. M. J. J., Chiu, W., Peraro, M. D., Di Maio, F., Ferrin, T. E., Grünewald, K., Gutmanas, A., Henderson, R., Hummer, G., Iwasaki, K., & Westbrook, J. D. (2015). Outcome of the First wwPDB Hybrid/Integrative methods task force workshop. Structure, ²³(7), 1156–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2015.05.013>
- Sali, A., Glaeser, R., Earnest, T., & Baumeister, W. (2003). From words to **Sali, A., Glaeser, R., Earnest, T., & Baumeister, W.** (2003). From words to literature in structural proteomics. Nature, **422**(6928), 216–225. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01513) [org/10.1038/nature01513](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01513)
- Saltzberg, D. J., Viswanath, S., Echeverria, I., Chemmama, I. E., Webb, B., & Sali, A. (2021). Using integrative modeling platform to compute, validate, and archive a model of a protein complex structure. Protein Science, 30(1), Itzberg, D. J., Viswanath, S., Echeverria, I
Sali, A. (2021). Using integrative modelin
and archive a model of a protein complex
250–261. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3995>
- Salvi, N., Abyzov, A., & Blackledge, M. (2016). Multi-timescale dynamics in intrinsically disordered proteins from NMR relaxation and molecular simu250–261. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00885)doi.org/10.1002/pro.3995
l**vi, N., Abyzov, A.**, & **Blackledge, M.** (2016). Multi-timescale dynamics in
intrinsically disordered proteins from NMR relaxation and molecular simu-
lation. The Journal of Phys doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00885
- Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Pellarin, R., & Sali, A. (2014). Uncertainty in integrative structural modeling. Current Opinion in Structural Biology, 28, doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00885
hneidman-Duhovny, D., Pellarin, R., & Sali,
integrative structural modeling. *Current Opinio*
96–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2014.08.001> integrative structural modeling. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, **28**, **96–104**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2014.08.001
hneidman-Duhovny, D., & Wolfson, H. J. (2020). Modeling of Multimole-
cular Complexes. I
- Schneidman-Duhovny, D., & Wolfson, H. J. (2020). Modeling of Multimole-Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-0270-6_12
- Selcuk, K., Leitner, A., Braun, L., Le Blanc, F., Pacak, P., Pot, S., & Vogel, V. (2024). Transglutaminase 2 has higher affinity for relaxed than for stretched cular Complexes. In Z. Gáspári, *Structural Bioinformatics*. **2112**, pp. 163–174.
Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-0270-6_12
lcuk, K., Leitner, A., Braun, L., Le Blanc, F., Pacak, P., Pot, S., & Vogel, V.
 [matbio.2023.12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2023.12.006)
- Shor, B., & Schneidman-Duhovny, D. (2024a). CombFold: Predicting structures of large protein assemblies using a combinatorial assembly algorithm and AlphaFold2. Nature Methods, ²¹(3), 477–487. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-024-02174-0) [s41592-024-02174-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-024-02174-0)
- Shor, B., & Schneidman-Duhovny, D. (2024b). Integrative modeling meets deep learning: Recent advances in modeling protein assemblies. Current Opinion in Structural Biology, 87, 102841. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2024.102841) [2024.102841](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2024.102841)
- Shrestha, U. R., Smith, J. C., & Petridis, L. (2021). Full structural ensembles of intrinsically disordered proteins from unbiased molecular dynamics simula-2024.102841
restha, U. R., Smith, J. C., & Petridis, L. (2021). Full structural ensembles of
intrinsically disordered proteins from unbiased molecular dynamics simula-
tions. *Communications Biology*, **4**(1), 1–8. https: [021-01759-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-01759-1)
- Simons, K. T., Kooperberg, C., Huang, E., & Baker, D. (1997). Assembly of protein tertiary structures from fragments with similar local sequences using simulated annealing and bayesian scoring functions. *Journal of Molecu* protein tertiary structures from fragments with similar local sequences using simulated annealing and bayesian scoring functions. Journal of Molecular
- Singh, D., Soni, N., Hutchings, J., Echeverria, I., Shaikh, F., Duquette, M., Suslov, S., Li, Z., Van Eeuwen, T., Molloy, K., Shi, Y., Wang, J., Guo, Q., Chait, B. T., Fernandez-Martinez, J., Rout, M. P., Sali, A., & Villa Suslov, S., Li, Z., Van Eeuwen, T., Molloy, K., Shi, Y., Wang, J., Guo, Q., Chait, B. T., Fernandez-Martinez, J., Rout, M. P., Sali, A., & Villa, E. 5281.e13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.07.020>
- Slavin, M., Zamel, J., Zohar, K., Eliyahu, T., Braitbard, M., Brielle, E., Baraz, L., Stolovich-Rain, M., Friedman, A., Wolf, D. G., Rouvinski, A., Linial, M., Schneidman-Duhovny, D., & Kalisman, N. (2021). Targeted in situ cross-linking mass spectrometry and integrative modeling reveal the architectures of three proteins from SARS-CoV-2. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(34), e2103554118. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2103554118) [pnas.2103554118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2103554118)
- Stahl, K., Graziadei, A., Dau, T., Brock, O., & Rappsilber, J. (2023). Protein structure prediction with in-cell photo-crosslinking mass spectrometry and pnas.2103554118
pnas.2103554118
hh, K., Graziadei, A., Dau, T., Brock, O., & Rappsilber, J. (2023). Protein
structure prediction with in-cell photo-crosslinking mass spectrometry and
deep learning. *Nature Biotechnology*, [023-01704-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01704-z)
- Stahl, K., Warneke, R., Demann, L., Bremenkamp, R., Hormes, B., Brock, O., Stülke, J., & Rappsilber, J. (2024). Modelling protein complexes with crosslinking mass spectrometry and deep learning. Nature Communications, 15(1), 7866. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-51771-2>
- Sun, Y., & Shen, Y. (2023). Structure-informed protein language models are robust predictors for variant effects. Research Square, rs.3.rs-3219092. [https://](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3219092/v1) doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3219092/v1
- Terwilliger, T. C., Afonine, P. V., Liebschner, D., Croll, T. I., McCoy, A. J., Oeffner, R. D., Williams, C. J., Poon, B. K., Richardson, J. S., Read, R. J., & Adams, P. D. (2023). Accelerating crystal structure determination with iterative AlphaFold prediction. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Structural Biology, ⁷⁹(3), 234–244. [10.1107/S205979832300102X](https://doi.org/10.1107/S205979832300102X)
- Terwilliger, T. C., Poon, B. K., Afonine, P. V., Schlicksup, C. J., Croll, T. I., Millán, C., Richardson, J. S., Read, R. J., & Adams, P. D. (2022). Improved AlphaFold modeling with implicit experimental information. Nature Methods, ¹⁹(11), 1376–1382. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-022-01645-6>
- Tesei, G., Trolle, A. I., Jonsson, N., Betz, J., Knudsen, F. E., Pesce, F., Johansson, K. E., & Lindorff-Larsen, K. (2024). Conformational ensembles sei, G., Trolle, A. I., Jonsson, N., Betz, J., Knudsen, F. E., Pesce, F.,
Johansson, K. E., & Lindorff-Larsen, K. (2024). Conformational ensembles
of the human intrinsically disordered proteome. *Nature*, 626(8000), 897–90 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-07004-5>
- Thamkachy, R., Medina-Pritchard, B., Park, S. H., Chiodi, C. G., Zou, J., De La Torre-Barranco, M., Shimanaka, K., Abad, M. A., Gallego Páramo, C., Feederle, R., Ruksenaite, E., Heun, P., Davies, O. R., Rappsilber, J., Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Cho, U.-S., & Jeyaprakash, A. A. (2024). Structural basis for Mis18 complex assembly and its implications for centromere maintenance. EMBO Reports, ²⁵(8), 3348–3372. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s44319-024-00183-w) [s44319-024-00183-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s44319-024-00183-w)
- Thomasen, F. E., Pesce, F., Roesgaard, M. A., Tesei, G., & Lindorff-Larsen, K. (2022). Improving martini 3 for disordered and multidomain proteins. S44319-024-00183-w
 omasen, F. E., Pesce, F., Roesgaard, M. A., Tesei, G., & Lindorff-Larsen, K.

(2022). Improving martini 3 for disordered and multidomain proteins.

Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 18(4), 2 doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.1c01042
- Trabuco, L. G., Villa, E., Mitra, K., Frank, J., & Schulten, K. (2008). Flexible fitting of atomic structures into electron microscopy maps using molecular doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.1c01042
abuco, L. G., Villa, E., Mitra, K., Frank, J., & Schulten, K. (2008). Flexible
fitting of atomic structures into electron microscopy maps using molecular
dynamics. *Structure (London, Engla* [org/10.1016/j.str.2008.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2008.03.005) dynamics. *Structure (London, England*: 1993), 16(5), 673–683. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac400)
org/10.1016/j.str.2008.03.005
lanat, V., Kasukurthi, N., & Viswanath, S. (2022). PrISM: Precision for
integrative structural models. *Bioinforma*
- Ullanat, V., Kasukurthi, N., & Viswanath, S. (2022). PrISM: Precision for [org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac400](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac400)
- Vallat, B., Webb, B., Fayazi, M., Voinea, S., Tangmunarunkit, H., Ganesan, S. J., Lawson, C. L., Westbrook, J. D., Kesselman, C., Sali, A., & Berman, H. M. (2021). New system for archiving integrative structures. Acta Crystalllat, B., Webb, B., Fayazi, M., Voinea, S., Tangmunarunkit, H., Ganesan, S.
J., Lawson, C. L., Westbrook, J. D., Kesselman, C., Sali, A., & Berman, H.
M. (2021). New system for archiving integrative structures. Acta Crysta [10.1107/S2059798321010871](https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798321010871)
- Viswanath, S., & Sali, A. (2019). Optimizing model representation for integrative structure determination of macromolecular assemblies. Proceedings of 10.1107/S2059798321010871
swanath, S., & Sali, A. (2019). Optimizing model representation for integra-
tive structure determination of macromolecular assemblies. *Proceedings of*
the National Academy of Sciences, **116**(2 [pnas.1814649116](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1814649116)
- Vitalis, A., & Pappu, R. V. (2009). ABSINTH: A new continuum solvation model for simulations of polypeptides in aqueous solutions. Journal of pnas.1814649116
 talis, A., & Pappu, R. V. (2009). ABSINTH: A new continuum solvation

model for simulations of polypeptides in aqueous solutions. *Journal of*
 Computational Chemistry, **30**(5), 673–699. https://doi.or
- Wang, D., Wang, Y., Evans, L., & Tiwary, P. (2024). From latent dynamics to meaningful representations. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, Computational Chemistry, 30(5), 673–699. https://doi.org/
ang, D., Wang, Y., Evans, L., & Tiwary, P. (2024). From la
meaningful representations. *Journal of Chemical Theory a*
20(9), 3503–3513. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.
- Watson, J. L., Juergens, D., Bennett, N. R., Trippe, B. L., Yim, J., Eisenach, H. E., Ahern, W., Borst, A. J., Ragotte, R. J., Milles, L. F., Wicky, B. I. M., Hanikel, N., Pellock, S. J., Courbet, A., Sheffler, W., Wang, J., Venkatesh, P., Sappington, I., Torres, S. V., & Baker, D. (2023). De novo design of protein structure and function with RFdiffusion. Nature, 620(7976), Article 7976. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06415-8>
- Wilson, C. J., Choy, W.-Y., & Karttunen, M. (2022). AlphaFold2: A role for disordered protein/region prediction? International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 23(9), 4591. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23094591>
- Wu, K. E., Yang, K. K., van den Berg, R., Alamdari, S., Zou, J. Y., Lu, A. X., & Amini, A. P. (2024). Protein structure generation via folding diffusion. Nature Communications, 15(1), 1059. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45051-2) [45051-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45051-2)
- Xu, M., Singla, J., Tocheva, E. I., Chang, Y.-W., Stevens, R. C., Jensen, G. J., & Alber, F. (2019). De novo structural pattern mining in cellular electron 45051-2
i, M., Singla, J., Tocheva, E. I., Chang, Y.-W., Stevens, R. C., Jensen, G. J., &
Alber, F. (2019). De novo structural pattern mining in cellular electron
cryotomograms. *Structure*, 27(4), 679–691.e14. https://doi [str.2019.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2019.01.005)
- Yu, M., Heidari, M., Mikhaleva, S., Tan, P. S., Mingu, S., Ruan, H., Reinke-
meier, C. D., Obarska-Kosinska, A., Siggel, M., Beck, M., Hummer, G., &
Lemke, E. A. (2023). Visualizing the disordered nuclear transport machine meier, C. D., Obarska-Kosinska, A., Siggel, M., Beck, M., Hummer, G., & Lemke, E. A. (2023). Visualizing the disordered nuclear transport machinery [05990-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05990-0)
- Yu, Y., Li, S., Ser, Z., Sanyal, T.,Choi, K., Wan, B.,Kuang, H., Sali, A.,Kentsis, A., Patel, D. J., & Zhao, X. (2021). Integrative analysis reveals unique structural and functional features of the Smc5/6 complex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(19), e2026844118. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2026844118) [10.1073/pnas.2026844118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2026844118)
- Zeng, X., Kahng, A., Xue, L., Mahamid, J., Chang, Y.-W., & Xu, M. (2023). High-throughput cryo-ET structural pattern mining by unsupervised deep iterative subtomogram clustering. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120(15), e2213149120. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2213149120) [2213149120](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2213149120)
- Zhang, O., Haghighatlari, M., Li, J., Liu, Z. H., Namini, A., Teixeira, J. M. C., Forman-Kay, J. D., & Head-Gordon, T. (2023). Learning to evolve structural ensembles of unfolded and disordered proteins using experimental solution data. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 158(17), 174113. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0141474) doi.org/10.1063/5.0141474
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, Z., Kagaya, Y., Terashi, G., Zhao, B., Xiong, Y., & Kihara, D. (2023). Distance-AF: Modifying predicted protein structure models by Alphafold2 with user-specified distance constraints (p. 2023.12.01.569498). bioRxiv. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.01.569498>
- Zheng, S., He, J., Liu, C., Shi, Y., Lu, Z., Feng, W.,Ju, F., Wang, J., Zhu, J., Min, Y., Zhang, H., Tang, S., Hao, H., Jin, P., Chen, C., Noé, F., Liu, H., & Liu,

T.-Y.(2024). Predicting equilibrium distributions for molecular systems with ے۔
T.-Y. (2024). Predicting equilibrium distributions for molecular systems with
deep learning. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, **6**(5), 558–567. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-024-00837-3) [10.1038/s42256-024-00837-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-024-00837-3)

- Zhu, J., Li, Z., Zhang, B., Zheng, Z., Zhong, B., Bai, J., Wang, T., Wei, T., Yang, J., & Chen, H.-F. (2024). Precise generation of conformational ensembles for intrinsically disordered proteins using fine-tuned diffusion models. bioRxiv <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.05.05.592611>
- Zhu, X., Huang, G., Zeng, C., Zhan, X., Liang, K., Xu, Q., Zhao, Y., Wang, P., Wang, Q., Zhou, Q., Tao, Q., Liu, M., Lei, J., Yan, C., & Shi, Y. (2022). Structure of the cytoplasmic ring of the Xenopus laevis nuclear pore complex. Science, 376(6598), eabl8280. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl8280>