

SOME ESTIMATES FOR THE BERGMAN KERNEL AND METRIC IN TERMS OF LOGARITHMIC CAPACITY

ZBIGNIEW BŁOCKI

Abstract. For a bounded domain Ω on the plane we show the inequality $c_\Omega(z)^2 \leq 2\pi K_\Omega(z)$, $z \in \Omega$, where $c_\Omega(z)$ is the logarithmic capacity of the complement $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with respect to z and K_Ω is the Bergman kernel. We thus improve a constant in an estimate due to T. Ohsawa but fall short of the inequality $c_\Omega(z)^2 \leq \pi K_\Omega(z)$ conjectured by N. Suita. The main tool we use is a comparison, due to B. Berndtsson, of the kernels for the weighted complex Laplacian and the Green function. We also show a similar estimate for the Bergman metric and analogous results in several variables.

§1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C} . Suita [S] conjectured that

$$(1.1) \quad c_\Omega(z)^2 \leq \pi K_\Omega(z), \quad z \in \Omega,$$

where

$$K_\Omega(z) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(z)|^2}{\int_\Omega |f|^2} : f \text{ holomorphic in } \Omega, f \not\equiv 0 \right\}$$

is the Bergman kernel and $c_\Omega(z)$ the logarithmic capacity of the complement $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with respect to z , that is

$$c_\Omega(z) = \exp \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow z} (G_\Omega(\zeta, z) - \log |\zeta - z|),$$

where G_Ω is the (negative) Green function. If true, this estimate would be optimal, since for simply connected Ω we have equality in (1.1). Ohsawa [O1], using the methods of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, showed that

$$(1.2) \quad c_\Omega^2 \leq 750\pi K_\Omega.$$

Received May 17, 2005.

Revised October 31, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C40, 31A35.

Partially supported by KBN Grant #2 P03A 03726.

In fact, as noticed in [O1] and explored in [O2], the Suita conjecture seems to be closely related to the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem on extension of L^2 holomorphic functions [OT]. In [O2] Ohsawa proved a general result which covered in particular the extension theorem, as well as the estimate (1.2) (with the constant $2^8\pi$). Berndtsson [B3], using the methods of his proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem from [B2] improved the constant in the Ohsawa estimate to 6π . The author has also recently found the paper by B.-Y. Chen [C], where he shows the estimate with the constant $\alpha\pi$, where $\alpha = 2(1 + \sqrt{5})e^{a+1-\sqrt{5}}$ and a is the solution of $a + \log a = 0$ (then $\alpha \approx 3.3155$).

One of the goals of this note is to show an estimate (see (2.4) below) from which it follows in particular that

$$(1.3) \quad c_{\Omega}^2 \leq 2\pi K_{\Omega}.$$

We do not aim at merely improving the constant in the Ohsawa estimate but also to present a slightly modified approach to the problem, where we more or less precisely construct a holomorphic function in Ω with specified value at a given point and an appropriate bound for the L^2 norm. We will use the kernel for the weighted complex Laplacian and the main tool will be a bound for this kernel in terms of the Green function due to Berndtsson [B1].

Our method yields also the following inequality for the Bergman metric

$$(1.4) \quad c_{\Omega}^4 \leq \pi K_{\Omega} B_{\Omega},$$

where

$$B_{\Omega} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \log K_{\Omega}.$$

The methods we use can be also applied in the same way for arbitrary Riemann surface which admits a Green function and estimates (1.3) and (1.4) are also valid. We also show that from one-dimensional case and the extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi [OT] one can easily deduce corresponding estimates in several complex variables.

§2. Proofs of one-dimensional estimates

Without loss of generality we may assume that Ω is smooth and bounded in \mathbb{C} , and $0 \in \Omega$. We will always denote $G = G_{\Omega}(\cdot, 0)$. We also use the notation (slightly different than the one from several variables)

$$\partial\alpha = \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial z}, \quad \bar{\partial}\alpha = \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

If φ is smooth in $\bar{\Omega}$ then the adjoint to $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega, e^{-\varphi})$ is given by

$$\bar{\partial}^* \alpha = -e^\varphi \partial(e^{-\varphi} \alpha) = -\partial \alpha + \alpha \partial \varphi.$$

The complex Laplacian in $L^2(\Omega, e^{-\varphi})$ is defined by

$$\square \alpha = -\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \alpha = \partial \bar{\partial} \alpha - \partial \varphi \bar{\partial} \alpha - \alpha \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi.$$

The basic relation to the standard Laplacian is given by the following formula of Berndtsson [B1]:

$$(2.1) \quad \partial \bar{\partial} (|\alpha|^2 e^{-\varphi}) = \left(2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\alpha} \square \alpha) + |\bar{\partial} \alpha|^2 + |\bar{\partial}^* \alpha|^2 + |\alpha|^2 \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \right) e^{-\varphi}.$$

If φ is subharmonic then in particular we can find $N \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}) \cap L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\square N = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{\varphi(0)} \delta_0, \quad N = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega.$$

(The constant $\pi/2$ is chosen so that $N = G$ if $\varphi \equiv 0$.) The estimate of Berndtsson [B1] asserts that

$$(2.2) \quad |N|^2 \leq e^{\varphi + \varphi(0)} G^2.$$

Remark. Berndtsson in [B1] shows using (2.1) that for any C^2 smooth α and $\varepsilon > 0$ one has

$$\partial \bar{\partial} (|\alpha|^2 e^{-\varphi} + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \geq -|\square \alpha| e^{-\varphi/2}.$$

Now by approximation one can easily deduce that

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \left(-|N| e^{-(\varphi + \varphi(0))/2} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \delta_0 = \partial \bar{\partial} G$$

from which (2.2) immediately follows. In a particular case when Ω is simply connected and φ harmonic we have

$$N = e^{g + \overline{g(0)}} G,$$

where g is a holomorphic function in Ω such that $\operatorname{Re} g = \varphi/2$. Therefore, in this case we have equality in (2.2).

As in [B3] we shall use the weight

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi := 2(\log |z| - G).$$

Note that φ is harmonic in Ω , smooth on $\bar{\Omega}$ and

$$e^{-\varphi(0)} = c_{\Omega}(0)^2.$$

For harmonic weights the operators $\bar{\partial}$ and its adjoint commute

$$\square = -\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* = -\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}.$$

Therefore

$$\bar{\partial}(e^{-\varphi}\bar{\partial}\bar{N}) = \bar{\partial}(-e^{-\varphi(0)}\bar{\partial}^*N) = \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_0.$$

It follows that the functions

$$f := ze^{-\varphi}\bar{\partial}\bar{N}, \quad g := -ze^{-\varphi(0)}\bar{\partial}^*N$$

are holomorphic in Ω , smooth on $\bar{\Omega}$, and $f(0) = g(0) = 1/2$.

Using the fact that both the function $|N|^2e^{-\varphi}$ and its derivative vanish on $\partial\Omega$, integration by parts and (2.1) give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |N|^2e^{-\varphi}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}(|z|^2e^{-\varphi}) &= \int_{\Omega} |z|^2(|\bar{\partial}N|^2 + |\bar{\partial}^*N|^2)e^{-2\varphi} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 + e^{2\varphi(0)} \int_{\Omega} |g|^2e^{-2\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $|z|^2e^{-\varphi} = e^{2G}$ and by (2.2)

$$\int_{\Omega} |N|^2e^{-\varphi}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}(|z|^2e^{-\varphi}) \leq e^{\varphi(0)} \int_{\Omega} G^2\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}e^{2G}.$$

We need the following simple lemma.

LEMMA. *For every summable $\gamma : (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} \gamma \circ G |\nabla G|^2 = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^0 \gamma(t) dt.$$

Proof. Let $\chi : (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\chi' = \gamma$ and $\chi(-\infty) = 0$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \gamma \circ G |\nabla G|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla(\chi \circ G), \nabla G \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi(0) \frac{\partial G}{\partial n} = 2\pi\chi(0). \quad \square$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} G^2 \partial \bar{\partial} e^{2G} = \int_{\Omega} G^2 e^{2G} |\nabla G|^2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 + e^{2\varphi(0)} \int_{\Omega} |g|^2 e^{-2\varphi} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} e^{\varphi(0)}.$$

We conclude that

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{K_{\Omega}(0)} + \frac{1}{c_{\Omega}(0)^4 K_{\Omega}^{2\varphi}(0)} \leq \frac{2\pi}{c_{\Omega}(0)^2},$$

where φ is given by (2.3) and

$$K_{\Omega}^{2\varphi}(z) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(z)|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi}} : f \text{ holomorphic in } \Omega, f \not\equiv 0 \right\}$$

is the weighted Bergman kernel. In particular, we get (1.3).

To show (1.4) we first recall a well known formula for the Bergman metric

$$B_{\Omega}(z) = \frac{1}{K_{\Omega}(z)} \sup \left\{ \frac{|f'(z)|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^2} : f \text{ holomorphic in } \Omega, f(z) = 0, f \not\equiv 0 \right\}.$$

We now proceed the same way as before, we only choose the weight

$$\varphi := 4(\log |z| - G),$$

so that

$$e^{-\varphi(0)} = c_{\Omega}(0)^4,$$

and the functions

$$f := z^2 e^{-\varphi} \partial \bar{N}, \quad g := -z^2 e^{-\varphi(0)} \bar{\partial}^* N,$$

so that they are holomorphic in Ω , $f(0) = g(0) = 0$, and $f'(0) = g'(0) = 1/2$.

We will get

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 + e^{2\varphi(0)} \int_{\Omega} |g|^2 e^{-2\varphi} \leq e^{\varphi(0)} \int_{\Omega} G^2 \partial \bar{\partial} e^{4G} = \frac{\pi}{4} e^{\varphi(0)}$$

and (1.4) follows.

Remark. Similarly as for the Suita conjecture, it would be interesting to improve the constant in (1.4) to $\pi/2$ – it would then be optimal. It is also interesting whether a reverse to the Ohsawa estimate

$$K_{\Omega} \leq Cc_{\Omega}^2$$

holds for some constant C . This would have far reaching consequences: for example it would give another potential theoretic characterization of Bergman exhaustive domains (compare [Z2]). It would also provide a quantitative version of the following well known result (see e.g. [Co]): an (unbounded) domain Ω in \mathbb{C} contains a non-vanishing square-integrable holomorphic function if and only if $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ is not polar. Another consequence would be an estimate

$$c_{\Omega}^2 \leq CB_{\Omega},$$

and, in higher dimensions, an estimate from below of the Bergman metric in terms of the Azukawa metric (see below).

§3. Several dimensional analogues

Let now Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . The pluricomplex Green function is defined by

$$G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w) = \sup \left\{ u \in PSH(\Omega) : u < 0, \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow w} (u(\zeta) - \log |z - w|) < \infty \right\}, \quad w \in \Omega.$$

The multidimensional logarithmic capacity can be defined as

$$c_{\Omega}(w) = \exp \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow w} (G_{\Omega}(z, w) - \log |z - w|), \quad w \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The Azukawa metric can be regarded as a directional logarithmic capacity

$$A_{\Omega}(w; X) = \exp \overline{\lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} (G_{\Omega}(w + \lambda X, w) - \log |\lambda|), \quad w \in \Omega, X \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

For basic properties of A_{Ω} we refer to [Z1]. Recall also that the Bergman metric is defined as

$$B_{\Omega}(z; X) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} \log K_{\Omega}(z + \lambda X) \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \quad z \in \Omega, X \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We are now in position to formulate multidimensional analogues of the previous estimates.

THEOREM. For a bounded pseudoconvex domain Ω in \mathbb{C}^n we have

$$c_\Omega(w)^2 \leq CK_\Omega(w), \quad A_\Omega(w; X)^4 \leq C'K_\Omega(w)B_\Omega(w; X), \quad w \in \Omega, X \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where C, C' depend only on n and the diameter of Ω .

Proof. Since all the considered functions behave well under approximation, without loss of generality we may assume that Ω is sufficiently regular, even smooth, then $G_\Omega(\cdot, w)$ is continuous on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{w\}$. By [Z1] A_Ω is continuous (as a function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{C}^n$), $\overline{\lim}$ in the definition of A_Ω can be replaced with \lim , and

$$c_\Omega(w) = A_\Omega(w; X)$$

for some $X \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Let

$$D := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : w + \lambda X \in \Omega\}.$$

Then

$$G_\Omega(w + \lambda X, w) \leq G_D(\lambda, 0), \quad \lambda \in D,$$

and thus

$$A_\Omega(w; X) \leq c_D(0).$$

On the other hand, by the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem [OT] (see also [B2]),

$$K_D(\lambda) \leq CK_\Omega(w + \lambda X), \quad \lambda \in D,$$

and the first inequality follows from the one-dimensional Ohsawa estimate.

The proof of the second inequality is similar. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & B_\Omega(w; X) \\ &= \frac{1}{K_\Omega(w)} \sup \left\{ \frac{|D_X f(w)|^2}{\int_\Omega |f|^2} : f \text{ holomorphic in } \Omega, f(w) = 0, f \not\equiv 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_X f(w) = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(w).$$

Therefore, by the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem,

$$K_D(\lambda)B_D(\lambda) \leq CK_\Omega(w + \lambda X)B_\Omega(w + \lambda X; X), \quad \lambda \in D,$$

and it is enough to use (1.4). \square

REFERENCES

- [B1] B. Berndtsson, *Weighted estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ in domains in \mathbb{C}* , Duke Math. J., **66** (1992), 239–255.
- [B2] B. Berndtsson, *The extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi and the theorem of Donnelly-Fefferman*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **46** (1996), 1083–1094.
- [B3] B. Berndtsson, *Personal communication*, Beijing, August 2004.
- [C] B.-Y. Chen, *A remark on an extension theorem of Ohsawa*, Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. A, **24** (2003), 129–134 (in Chinese).
- [Co] J. B. Conway, *Functions of One Complex Variable. II*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [O1] T. Ohsawa, *Addendum to “On the Bergman kernel of hyperconvex domains”*, Nagoya Math. J., **137** (1995), 145–148.
- [O2] T. Ohsawa, *On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions V – effects of generalization*, Nagoya Math. J., **161** (2001), 1–21.
- [OT] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, *On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions*, Math. Z., **195** (1987), 197–204.
- [S] N. Suita, *Capacities and kernels on Riemann surfaces*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **46** (1972), 212–217.
- [Z1] W. Zwonek, *Regularity properties for the Azukawa metric*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **52** (2000), 899–914.
- [Z2] W. Zwonek, *Wiener’s type criterion for Bergman exhaustiveness*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., **50** (2002), 297–311.

Jagiellonian University
Institute of Mathematics
Reymonta 4
30-059 Kraków
Poland

Zbigniew.Blocki@im.uj.edu.pl