

ON TWISTING OPERATORS AND NEWFORMS OF
HALF-INTEGRAL WEIGHT II
COMPLETE THEORY OF NEWFORMS FOR
KOHLEN SPACE

MASARU UEDA¹

Abstract. The author continues his previous work with the purpose of establishing a theory of newforms in the case of half-integral weight. In this paper, the author formulates and proves a complete theory of newforms for Kohnen space. Kohnen space is an important canonical subspace in the space of cusp forms of half-integral weight $k + 1/2$ ($k > 0$). Every Hecke common eigenform in Kohnen space corresponds to a primitive form of integral weight $2k$ and of odd level via Shimura Correspondence.

These newforms for Kohnen space satisfy the Strong multiplicity One theorem. Moreover, we explicitly determine the corresponding primitive form (of weight $2k$) to each newform for Kohnen space. The space of oldforms is also explicitly described.

In order to find all newforms for Kohnen space, the author needs a certain non-vanishing property of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms. Such property proves by using representation theory of finite linear groups. The method of proof of newform theory is mainly based on trace formulae and trace relations.

Introduction

The theory of newforms is very important and useful for arithmetical study of modular forms of integral weight. This theory has the following nice properties:

(i) The space of newforms have an orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common eigenforms for all Hecke operators and such common eigenforms are uniquely determined up to multiplication of complex numbers. Moreover such common eigenforms satisfy *the Strong Multiplicity One Theorem* (cf. [M, §4.6]).

Received June 13, 1996.

¹Partly supported by the Grants-in Aid for Scientific Research, the Ministry of Education of Japan.

(ii) The full space of cusp forms, $S(2k, N)$, can be reconstructed by the space of newforms, i.e., we have the following decomposition:

$$S(2k, N) = \bigoplus_{0 < B|N} \bigoplus_{0 < A|(N/B)} S^0(2k, B) \left| \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]_{2k}, \right.$$

where $S^0(2k, B)$ is the space of newforms (see below §0 and [M, §4.6]).

(iii) The above operator $f \rightarrow f \left| \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]_{2k} \right.$ (almost) preserves the Fourier coefficients of the cusp form. Hence for studying the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, it is sufficient to study cusp forms only in the space of newforms.

(iv) The theory of newforms has tight relations to both Representation theory and Geometry.

Until now, several authors have attempted to find a similar theory of newforms of half-integral weight which satisfy similar properties like the above (i)–(iv) (cf. [She], [N], [K], [M-R-V], [U1], [She-W]).

In the paper [K], W. Kohnen defined (what is called) Kohnen space which can be considered as the canonical subspace corresponding to cusp forms of integral weight and of odd level via Shimura correspondence. And when the level is a $4 \times$ (odd *squarefree* integer), he also established a theory of newforms for this Kohnen space.

In the previous paper [U1], the author generalized Kohnen’s work and obtained a similar theory of newforms for Kohnen space of arbitrary level ($= 4 \times$ (odd integer), cf. [U1, §3]). But those results are half-way for a technical reason (cf. [U1, §4]).

The aim of this paper is to complete the results in the previous paper and to formulate and state a complete theory of newforms of Kohnen space.

Let us precisely state a formulation of the theory of newforms for Kohnen space.

Let $k, N \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and N divisible by 4. Let χ be an even character modulo N with $\chi^2 = \mathbf{1}$. We denote the p -adic additive valuation for any integer m by $\text{ord}_p(m)$. We decompose N as follows:

$$N = 2^{\text{ord}_2(N)} M_1 M_{2+}, \quad M_1 := \prod_{\substack{p|N, p \neq 2 \\ \text{ord}_p(N)=1}} p, \quad M_{2+} := \prod_{\substack{p|N, p \neq 2 \\ \text{ord}_p(N) \geq 2}} p^{\text{ord}_p(N)}.$$

Denote the set of all prime divisors of M_{2+} by Π .

Kohnen spaces $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ can be defined only in the case of $\text{ord}_2(N) = 2$ (See below §0 (d) for the definition). Put $M = M_1M_{2+}$.

We shall consider such a case. For simplifying the explanation, we deal with only the case of $k \geq 2$. In the case of $k = 1$, we must slightly modify subspaces (cf. §0).

Define the space of oldform $\mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K &= \sum_{\substack{0 < B | M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A | (M/B) \\ \xi(\frac{A}{B}) = \chi}} S(k + 1/2, 4B, \xi)_K | \tilde{\delta}_A \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{0 < B | M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A | (M/B)^2 \\ \xi(\frac{A}{B}) = \chi}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq e_l \leq 2 \\ (l \in \Pi)}} S(k + 1/2, 4B, \xi)_K | U(A) \prod_{l \in \Pi} R_l^{e_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, ξ runs over all characters modulo $4B$ such that $\xi(\frac{A}{B}) = \chi$. The operator $\tilde{\delta}_A$, the shift operator $U(A)$, and the twisting operator R_l ($l \in \Pi$) are defined as follows: For $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) \mathbf{e}(nz)$,

$$f | \tilde{\delta}_A(z) := A^{k/2+1/4} f(Az) = A^{k/2+1/4} \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) \mathbf{e}(Anz),$$

$$f | U(A)(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a(An) \mathbf{e}(nz),$$

$$f | R_l(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) \binom{n}{l} \mathbf{e}(nz).$$

The space $\mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ is a subspace of $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$. We denote by $\mathfrak{N}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ in $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$.

The space $\mathfrak{N}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ is stable by the twisting operators R_p for all $p \in \Pi$. Hence we can decompose this space into common eigen subspaces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K &= \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^\Pi} \mathfrak{N}^{\emptyset, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K, \\ \mathfrak{N}^{\emptyset, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K &:= \{f \in \mathfrak{N}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K; \\ &f | R_p = \kappa(p)f \text{ for all } p \in \Pi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\{\pm 1\}^\Pi := \text{Map}(\Pi, \{\pm 1\})$.

We call these spaces $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ ($\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^{\Pi}$) the spaces of newforms, because these subspaces have the following nice properties (cf. below §3 and especially Theorem 4).

(1) $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ has an orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common eigenforms for all Hecke operators $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$ (p : prime, $p \nmid M$) and $U(p^2)$ (p : prime, $p \mid M$), which are uniquely determined up to multiplication with non-zero complex numbers. Let f be such a common eigenform and λ_p the eigenvalue of f with respect to $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$ ($p \nmid M$) resp. $U(p^2)$ ($p \mid M$). Then there exists a primitive form $F \in S^0(2k, M)$ of weight $2k$ and of conductor M which is uniquely determined and satisfies the following: For a prime p ,

$$F \mid T_{2k, M}(p) = \lambda_p F \quad \text{if } (p, M) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F \mid U(p) = \lambda_p F \quad \text{if } p \mid M.$$

Furthermore we can find, by using the trace relation of Theorem 2 (1), which primitive form occurs via the above correspondence.

(2) **(The Strong Multiplicity One Theorem)**

Let f, g be two non-zero elements of $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$. If f and g are common eigenforms of $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$ with the same eigenvalue for all prime numbers p prime to some integer A , then $\mathbf{C}f = \mathbf{C}g$.

Therefore $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K \hookrightarrow S^0(2k, M)$ as modules on the full Hecke algebra.

(3) The space of oldforms $\mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ has also an orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common eigenforms for all operators $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$ (p : prime, $p \nmid N$). The system of eigenvalues of such a common eigenform corresponds to a primitive form of weight $2k$ whose conductor is a divisor of M and is less than M (cf. Theorem 3).

(4) The space of oldform $\mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ is generated by the spaces of cusp forms of lower level. Hence, by induction, we see that the spaces $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ are reconstructed by the spaces of the types of $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, 4B, \xi)_K$ and the operators of the types of $\tilde{\delta}_A, U(A)$, and R_l .

From the above definition these operators $\tilde{\delta}_A, U(A)$, and R_l (almost) preserve Fourier coefficients of cusp forms. Hence for studying Fourier coefficients of cusp forms $\in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$, it is sufficient to study cusp forms only in the spaces of newforms $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$.

Finally the author has some comments.

There exists a case such that $\mathfrak{N}^{\emptyset, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K \cong \mathfrak{N}^{\emptyset, \kappa'}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ as modules on Hecke algebra for two distinct $\kappa, \kappa' \in \{\pm 1\}^H$. See the formula (3.4) for such an example.

It seems likely that there exists a similar theory for any full spaces of cusp forms $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ even if $\text{ord}_2(N) \geq 3$.

In the case of $\text{ord}_2(N) \leq 3$, the author thinks that necessary preparations have already done in the author’s previous papers [U3–5]. But situations are quite different in the case of $\text{ord}_2(N) \geq 4$ (cf. [U5]).

It seems that its reason is the existence of the twisting operators for characters $\binom{-1}{\cdot}$ and $\binom{2}{\cdot}$. These twisting operators can be defined only if $\text{ord}_2(N) \geq 4$ and $\text{ord}_2(N) \geq 6$, respectively. See the forthcoming papers [U6].

This paper is composed as follows: §0 is general preliminaries. §1 is preparation from representation theory of finite groups. We apply results of §1 to calculations of §2. In §2, we will complete an attempt in the previous paper [U1, §4]. §3 is the main part of this paper. We will formulate and state a complete theory of newforms for Kohnen space in §3. In the Appendix, we will prove several general formulae which are used in calculations of §2.

The author wrote this paper while he was staying at Max-Planck-Institut. The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Max-Planck-Institut and its staff for their warm hospitality.

§0. Notational Preliminary

Throughout this paper, we use the following notations.

(a) *General notations.*

Let A, B be subsets of a set X and $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ a family of subsets of X . If $A \cup B$ is a disjoint union, then we denote $A + B := A \cup B$ for simplicity. Similarly, if $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ is a disjoint union, then we denote $\sum_{i \in I} A_i := \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$.

We denote the set of positive integers by \mathbf{Z}_+ . We denote the additive valuation for any integer m by $\text{ord}_p(m)$.

See [M, p.82] for the definition of the Kronecker symbol $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ (a, b integers with $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$).

Let N be a positive integer and m an integer $\neq 0$. We write $m \mid N^\infty$ if every prime factor of m divides N .

Let k denote a non-negative integer. If $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and $x \in \mathbf{C}$, we put $z^x = \exp(x \cdot \log(z))$ with $\log(z) = \log(|z|) + \sqrt{-1} \arg(z)$, $\arg(z)$ being

determined by $-\pi < \arg(z) \leq \pi$. Also we put $\mathbf{e}(z) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)$.

Let \mathfrak{H} be the complex upper half plane. For a complex-valued function $f(z)$ on \mathfrak{H} , $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2^+(\mathbf{R})$, $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4)$ and $z \in \mathfrak{H}$, we define functions $J(\alpha, z)$, $j(\gamma, z)$ and $f | [\alpha]_k(z)$ on \mathfrak{H} by: $J(\alpha, z) = cz + d$, $j(\gamma, z) = \left(\frac{-1}{x}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{w}{x}\right)(wz + x)^{1/2}$ and $f | [\alpha]_k(z) = (\det \alpha)^{k/2} J(\alpha, z)^{-k} f(\alpha z)$.

For $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ we define a shift operator $U(m)$ on formal power series in $\mathbf{e}(z)$ by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) \mathbf{e}(nz) | U(m) := \sum_{n \geq 0} a(mn) \mathbf{e}(nz).$$

Let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo N . We denote the conductor of χ by $f(\chi)$ and the p -primary component of χ by χ_p for each prime divisor p of N .

Let V, V' be finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbf{C} . We denote the trace of a linear operator T on V by $\text{tr}(T; V)$ and also the kernel of a linear map F from V to V' by $\text{Ker}(F; V)$.

We denote the set of all mapping from a set A to a set B by $\text{Map}(A, B)$. Furthermore we use the abbreviated notation $B^A (= \text{Map}(A, B))$.

Let A be a set of prime numbers and $(\alpha_p)_{p \in A}$ a system of integers. We put the following notation: $A(\alpha)_i := \{p \in A \mid \alpha_p = i\}$ and $A(\alpha)_{i+} := \{p \in A \mid \alpha_p \geq i\}$ for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

(b) *Modular forms of integral weight.*

Let k and N be positive integers. By $S(2k, N)$, we denote the space of all holomorphic cusp forms of weight $2k$ with the trivial character on the group $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$. We also denote the subspace of $S(2k, N)$ spanned by all newforms in $S(2k, N)$ by $S^0(2k, N)$.

Let $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbf{R})$. If Γ and $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha$ are commensurable, we define a linear operator $[\Gamma\alpha\Gamma]_{2k}$ on $S(2k, N)$ by: $f | [\Gamma\alpha\Gamma]_{2k} = (\det \alpha)^{k-1} \sum_{\alpha_i} f | [\alpha_i]_{2k}$, where α_i runs over a system of representatives for $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$. For a positive integer n with $(n, N) = 1$, we put $T_{2k, N}(n) = \sum_{ad=n} \left[\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \Gamma \right]_{2k}$, where the sum is extended over all pairs of integers (a, d) such that $a, d > 0$, $a \mid d, ad = n$.

Let Q be a positive divisor of N such that $(Q, N/Q) = 1$. Take any

element $\gamma_Q \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ which satisfies the conditions:

$$\gamma_Q \equiv \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & (\text{mod } Q), \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & (\text{mod } N/Q). \end{cases}$$

Put $W(Q) = \gamma_Q \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The following facts are well-known: $W(Q)$ is a normalizer of Γ ; $[W(Q)]_{2k}$ induces a \mathbf{C} -linear automorphism of order 2 on $S(2k, N)$ and this operator is independent of a choice of an element γ_Q . For $Q = 1$, we can take $\gamma_1 = W(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence we have $[W(1)]_{2k} = 1$. Moreover for the sake of simplicity, we use the following abbreviated notation: Let A be a subset of the set of all prime divisors of N . Then $W_A := W\left(\prod_{p \in A} p^{\text{ord}_p(N)}\right)$. In particular, we simply write $W_l = W_A$ if $A = \{l\}$.

Moreover, if the subscripts are obvious and any confusion does not occur, we simply write $T(n) = T_{2k,N}(n)$ and $W(Q) = [W(Q)]_{2k}$, etc..

For any $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \mathbf{e}(nz) \in S(2k, N)$ and χ a primitive character modulo $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}(\chi)$, put $f | R_\chi(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) a(n) \mathbf{e}(nz)$. From [Sh 3, Prop. 3.64] we have $f | R_\chi \in S(2k, N', \chi^2)$, where N' is the least common multiple of N and $\mathfrak{f}(\chi)^2$. We call this operator R_χ the twisting operator of χ .

(c) *Modular forms of half-integral weight.*

Let k denote a non-negative integer, N a positive integer divisible by 4, and χ an even character modulo N such that $\chi^2 = 1$. Put $\mu = \text{ord}_2(N)$, $M = 2^{-\mu}N$ and $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0(N)$. Then there is a square-free odd positive divisor M_0 of M such that $\chi = \left(\frac{M_0}{\cdot}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{2M_0}{\cdot}\right)$ (the Kronecker symbol).

Let $\mathfrak{G}(k + 1/2)$ be the group consisting of all pairs (α, φ) , where $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2^+(\mathbf{R})$ and φ is a holomorphic function on \mathfrak{H} satisfying $\varphi(z) = t(\det \alpha)^{-k/2-1/4} J(\alpha, z)^{k+1/2}$ with $t \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|t| = 1$. The group law is defined by: $(\alpha, \varphi(z)) \cdot (\beta, \psi(z)) = (\alpha\beta, \varphi(\beta z)\psi(z))$. For a complex-valued function f on \mathfrak{H} and $(\alpha, \varphi) \in \mathfrak{G}(k + 1/2)$, we define a function $f | (\alpha, \varphi)$ on \mathfrak{H} by: $f | (\alpha, \varphi)(z) = \varphi(z)^{-1} f(\alpha z)$. Moreover if there will be no confusion, we also write $\gamma^* = (\gamma, j(\gamma, z)^{2k+1})$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4)$.

By $\Delta_0 = \Delta_0(N, \chi) = \Delta_0(N, \chi)_{k+1/2}$, we denote the subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}(k + 1/2)$ consisting of all pairs (γ, φ) , where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \in \Gamma_0$ and $\varphi(z) = \chi(d)j(\gamma, z)^{2k+1}$ and also denote $\Delta_1 = \Delta_1(N) := \{\gamma^* \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_1(N)\}$.

We denote by $G(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ (resp. $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$) the space of integral (resp. cusp) forms of weight $k + 1/2$ with the character χ on the group Γ_0 , namely, the space of all the complex-valued holomorphic functions f on \mathfrak{H} which satisfy $f \mid \xi = f$ for all $\xi \in \Delta_0$ and which are holomorphic (resp. are holomorphic and vanish) at all cusps of Γ_0 . Moreover we also denote by $S(k + 1/2, \Delta_1(N))$ the space of cusp forms of weight $k + 1/2$ on the group $\Gamma_1(N)$ i.e., the space of all the complex-valued holomorphic functions f on \mathfrak{H} which satisfy $f \mid \xi = f$ for all $\xi \in \Delta_1$ and which are holomorphic and vanish at all cusps of $\Gamma_1(N)$ ([cf. Sh 1]).

In the case $k = 1$, i.e., the case of weight $3/2$, $S(3/2, N, \chi)$ contains theta series of special type. We know that these theta series correspond to Eisenstein series via Shimura correspondence.

From this reason we define the orthogonal complement $V(N; \chi)$ of the space of such theta series in $S(3/2, N, \chi)$. In the case of weight $3/2$, we deal only with this complement $V(N; \chi)$. See [U1, §0, §1] for the details.

Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{G}(k + 1/2)$. If Δ_0 and $\xi^{-1}\Delta_0\xi$ are commensurable, we define a linear operator $[\Delta_0\xi\Delta_0]_{k+1/2}$ on $G(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ and $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ by: $f \mid [\Delta_0\xi\Delta_0]_{k+1/2} = \sum_{\eta} f \mid \eta$, where η runs over a system of representatives for $\Delta_0 \setminus \Delta_0\xi\Delta_0$. Similarly, if Δ_1 and $\xi^{-1}\Delta_1\xi$ are commensurable, we define a linear operator $[\Delta_1\xi\Delta_1]$ on $S(k + 1/2, \Delta_1(N))$ by: $f \mid [\Delta_1\xi\Delta_1] = \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_1\xi\Delta_1} f \mid \eta$.

Then for a positive integer n with $(n, N) = 1$, we put

$$\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(n^2) = n^{k-3/2} \sum_{ad=n} a \left[\Delta_0 \left(\begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & d^2 \end{pmatrix}, (d/a)^{k+1/2} \right) \Delta_0 \right]_{k+1/2},$$

where the sum is extended over all pairs of integers (a, d) such that $a, d > 0, a \mid d$ and $ad = n$. We simply write $\tilde{T}(n^2) := \tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(n^2)$ if the subscripts are obvious and any confusion does not occur. These operators $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($(n, N) = 1$) are hermitian and commutative with each other on $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ (cf. [Sh 2, lemma 5], [Sh 3, Prop. (3.32)], [U1, (1.9)]).

For any $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, put $\tilde{\delta}_m := \left(\begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, m^{-k/2-1/4} \right)$.

Let Q be an odd positive divisor of N such that $(Q, N/Q) = 1$. Take any element $\gamma_Q \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ satisfying the conditions:

$$\gamma_Q \equiv \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & (\text{mod } Q), \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & (\text{mod } N/Q). \end{cases}$$

Then $\gamma_Q \in \Gamma_0(N/Q) \subseteq \Gamma_0(4)$. Put $\widetilde{W}(Q) := \gamma_Q^* \widetilde{\delta}_Q \in \mathfrak{G}(k + 1/2)$. See [U1, §1] for the details of properties of these $\widetilde{\delta}_m$ and $\widetilde{W}(Q)$.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a(n) \mathbf{e}(nz) \in G(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ and ψ a primitive character modulo $f(\psi)$. Let N' be the least common multiple of N , $f(\psi)^2$, and $f(\psi)f(\chi)$. Then $f \mid R_\psi(z) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \psi(n) a(n) \mathbf{e}(nz)$ belongs to the space $G(k + 1/2, N', \chi\psi^2)$. In particular, if f is a cusp form, so is $f \mid R_\psi$ [Sh 1, Lemma 3.6]. We call this operator R_ψ the twisting operator of ψ .

(d) *Kohnen space.*

We keep to the notations in the subsection (c).

Let k be a positive integer. Suppose that $N = 4M$ and M is an odd natural number. We define the Kohnen space $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ as follows:

$$S\left(k + \frac{1}{2}, N, \chi\right)_K = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(k + \frac{1}{2}, N, \chi) \ni f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a(n) \mathbf{e}(nz); \\ a(n) = 0 \text{ for } \chi_2(-1)(-1)^k n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \end{array} \right\},$$

where χ_2 is the 2-primary component of χ .

In the case of weight $3/2$, we define $V(N; \chi)_K := V(N; \chi) \cap S(3/2, N, \chi)_K$. See [U1, §0, §1] for the details.

§1. Representations of SL_2 over finite fields and non-vanishing of Fourier coefficients

We begin with a summary of representations of $\mathbf{G} := SL_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ (p is an odd prime number).

Define subgroups of \mathbf{G} by:

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times, b \in \mathbf{F}_p \right\}, \quad \mathbf{U} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbf{F}_p \right\}$$

and put

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &: \mathbf{F}_p \ni u \text{ mod } p \longmapsto \mathbf{e}(u/p) := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}u/p) \in \mathbf{C}^\times. \\ \psi_a &\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) := \psi(au) \quad (a, u \in \mathbf{F}_p). \end{aligned}$$

The set of all irreducible representations of \mathbf{U} is given by $\{\psi_a \mid a \in \mathbf{F}_p\}$. For any Dirichlet character χ modulo p , we define the representations $\underline{\chi}$ of

\mathbf{B} of degree one by: $\underline{\chi} : \mathbf{B} \ni \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \chi(a) \in \mathbf{C}^\times$.

The following facts are well-known.

PROPOSITION 1. ([S, Chapter 7, pp. 54–60]) (1) If $\chi^2 \neq \mathbf{1}$, $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \underline{\chi}$ is an irreducible representation.

(2) If $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ (the trivial representation), $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathfrak{C}_p$, where \mathfrak{C}_p is an irreducible representation of \mathbf{G} of degree p which is called Steinberg representation and $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{U}} \mathfrak{C}_p \cong \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p} \psi_a$.

(3) If $\chi = \left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ (Legendre symbol), $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \underline{\chi} = \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2} \oplus \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}$, where $\mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}$ and $\mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}$ denote irreducible representations of \mathbf{G} of degree $(p+1)/2$, which are not equivalent to each other and satisfy the following:

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{U}} (\mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}) \cong \psi_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}} \psi_a \right),$$

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{U}} (\mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}) \cong \psi_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p^{\times} - \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}} \psi_a \right).$$

We call $\mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}$) the residual (resp. non-residual) representation. □

ASSUMPTION. From now on and until the end of the paper, we assume the following:

$$(1.1) \quad \chi^2 = \mathbf{1}.$$

We will determine the explicit \mathbf{C} -basis of the irreducible components $\mathbf{1}$, \mathfrak{C}_p , $\mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}$, and $\mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}$ in $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \underline{\chi}$ ($\chi = \mathbf{1}$, $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$).

We can identify the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \underline{\chi}$ with the left $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}]$ -module $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{B}]} \underline{\chi}$. Hence, it is sufficient to find the explicit \mathbf{C} -basis of irreducible components of this left $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}]$ -module.

Let $e := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ be the unit element of \mathbf{G} and we choose a basis of the representation space of $\underline{\chi}$ by ε , i.e., $\underline{\chi} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \varepsilon = \chi(a) \varepsilon$. A system

of all representatives for \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B} is given by the $p + 1$ elements: $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\xi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ($a \in \mathbf{F}_p$). Under these notation, a \mathbf{C} -basis of $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \underline{\chi} = \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{B}]} \underline{\chi}$ is given by the $p + 1$ elements: $e \otimes \varepsilon$ and $\xi_a \otimes \varepsilon$ ($a \in \mathbf{F}_p$).

Take any element $u \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and $h := \alpha(e \otimes \varepsilon) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \beta_x(\xi_x \otimes \varepsilon) \in \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \underline{\chi}$ ($\alpha, \beta_x \in \mathbf{C}$ ($x \in \mathbf{F}_p$)). We have the identity $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot h = \alpha(e \otimes \varepsilon) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \beta_x(\xi_{u+x} \otimes \varepsilon)$. Hence any element h belonging to the representation ψ_a ($a \in \mathbf{F}_p$) is expressed as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} h = \alpha(e \otimes \varepsilon) + \beta \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \xi_x \otimes \varepsilon \right) & (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}) \quad \text{if } a = 0, \\ h = \beta \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \mathbf{e}(-ax/p)(\xi_x \otimes \varepsilon) & (\beta \in \mathbf{C}) \quad \text{if } a \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

We therefore see that for any non-zero a , $\mathbf{C}(\sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \mathbf{e}(-ax/p)(\xi_x \otimes \varepsilon))$ is the ψ_a -component in the $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{U}]$ -modules $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{U}} \mathfrak{C}_p$, $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{U}} \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}$, and $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{U}} \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}$.

We must determine an explicit basis of each ψ_0 -component in the above three $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{U}]$ -modules.

Put for any $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$, $h_a := \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \mathbf{e}(-ax/p)(\xi_x \otimes \varepsilon) \in \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \underline{\chi}$ and put $\mathcal{X} := \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \xi_x \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}]$.

We will calculate the element $\mathcal{X}h_a = \sum_{x,y \in \mathbf{F}_p} \mathbf{e}(-ay/p)(\xi_x \xi_y \otimes \varepsilon)$.

Since $\xi_x \xi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -x \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{B}$, the part of $y = 0$ in the above sum is

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \xi_x \xi_0 \otimes \varepsilon = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} e \otimes \underline{\chi} \left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -x \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \varepsilon = \chi(-1) p (e \otimes \varepsilon).$$

Next assume that $y \neq 0$. Then

$$\xi_x \xi_y = \begin{pmatrix} xy^{-1} & -x \\ y & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \xi_{x-y^{-1}} \begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 \\ 0 & -y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbf{F}_p \\ y \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times}} \mathbf{e}(-ay/p)(\xi_x \xi_y \otimes \varepsilon)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbf{F}_p \\ y \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times}} \mathbf{e}(-ay/p) \xi_{x-y^{-1}} \otimes \chi \left(\begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 \\ 0 & -y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \varepsilon \\
 &= \sum_{y \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} \mathbf{e}(-ay/p) \chi(-y) \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \xi_{x-y^{-1}} \otimes \varepsilon \right) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} \mathbf{e}(ay/p) \chi(y) \right) h_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

From these results,

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{X}h_a = \begin{cases} p(e \otimes \varepsilon) + (p-1)h_0, & \text{if } \chi = \mathbf{1} \text{ and } a = 0, \\ p(e \otimes \varepsilon) - h_0, & \text{if } \chi = \mathbf{1} \text{ and } a \neq 0, \\ \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p(e \otimes \varepsilon), & \text{if } \chi = \left(\frac{-}{p}\right) \text{ and } a = 0, \\ \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p(e \otimes \varepsilon) + \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p h_0, & \text{if } \chi = \left(\frac{-}{p}\right) \text{ and } a \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_p := \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} \mathbf{e}(x/p) \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$ is the gauss sum.

Suppose $\chi = \left(\frac{-}{p}\right)$ and take a quadratic residue $a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$. Since $h_a \in \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}$, $\mathcal{X}h_a = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p(e \otimes \varepsilon) + \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p h_0 = \mathfrak{g}_p(\mathfrak{g}_p(e \otimes \varepsilon) + h_0) \in \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}$. From the formula (1.2), $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}_p(e \otimes \varepsilon) + h_0) \cong \psi_0$ and hence this element gives a basis of the ψ_0 -component of $\mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}$.

We can find an explicit basis of the ψ_0 -component of $\mathfrak{C}_p, \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}$ in the same way. The case of $\mathbf{1}$ is trivial. Thus we obtain the following.

PROPOSITION 2. *Under the above notation, we have the following explicit expression of irreducible $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}]$ -modules in $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \underline{\chi}$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{1} &= \mathbf{C}((e \otimes \varepsilon) + h_0), \\
 \mathfrak{C}_p &= \mathbf{C}(p(e \otimes \varepsilon) - h_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} \mathbf{C}h_a \right), \\
 \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2} &= \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}_p(e \otimes \varepsilon) + h_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}} \mathbf{C}h_a \right), \\
 \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2} &= \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}_p(e \otimes \varepsilon) - h_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times - \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}} \mathbf{C}h_a \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, each direct summand of the right-hand sides is an irreducible $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{U}]$ -module. Precisely speaking, each first direct summand is isomorphic to ψ_0 and the other direct summands $\mathbf{C}h_a$ ($a \neq 0$) are isomorphic to ψ_a respectively, as $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{U}]$ -module. □

From now, we study representations of finite groups which are constructed by modular forms of half-integral weight.

We use following notation until the end of this section.

Let k be a positive integer and $N = 4 \times M$, M is a positive odd integer.

Put $\nu_p = \text{ord}_p(N) = \text{ord}_p(M)$ for any odd prime p . We decompose $M = M_1 M_{2+}$, $M_1 := \prod_{p|M, \nu_p=1} p$ and $M_{2+} := \prod_{p|M, \nu_p \geq 2} p^{\nu_p}$.

Let χ be an even Dirichlet character with $\chi^2 = \mathbf{1}$ and for any prime p , χ_p the p -primary component of χ . Moreover we denote the M_1 (resp. $2M_{2+}$)-component of χ by $\chi_1 := \prod_{p|M_1} \chi_p$ (resp. $\tilde{\chi} := \prod_{p|2M_{2+}} \chi_p$).

For any positive integer $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(\alpha) &:= SL_2(\mathbf{Z}/\alpha\mathbf{Z}), \\ \mathbf{B}(\alpha) &:= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{G}(\alpha) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{U}(\alpha) &:= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{G}(\alpha) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover if $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, we naturally identify as follows: $\mathbf{G}(\alpha\beta) = \mathbf{G}(\alpha) \times \mathbf{G}(\beta)$ and for simplicity, we shortly write $\mathbf{G}_1 := \mathbf{G}(M_1)$, $\mathbf{B}_1 := \mathbf{B}(M_1)$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} := \mathbf{B}(4M_{2+})$.

For any positive integer α divisible by 4, put $\Delta(\alpha) := \{\gamma^* \mid \gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha)\}$, $\Delta_1(\alpha) := \{\gamma^* \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_1(\alpha)\}$, and $\Delta_0(\alpha) := \{\gamma^* \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_0(\alpha)\}$ (cf. §0(c)).

Under these notation, we have that $\Delta_0(4M_{2+}) \triangleright \Delta(N) = \Delta(4M_1 M_{2+})$ and that

$$\Delta_0(4M_{2+})/\Delta(N) \cong \Gamma_0(4M_{2+})/\Gamma(N) \cong \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1.$$

From this we can define the representation π of the group $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1$ on $S(k + 1/2, \Delta(N))$ as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad [\pi(\gamma \bmod (N))] f := f \mid \gamma^{*-1}, \quad (f \in S(k + 1/2, \Delta(N))),$$

where $\gamma \bmod (N) \in \Gamma_0(4M_{2+})/\Gamma(N) \simeq \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1$. We consider $S(k + 1/2, \Delta(N))$ as a left $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1]$ -module by the above representation π .

Let π_f be the left $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1]$ -module generated by a non-zero cusp form $f \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$, i.e.,

$$\pi_f := \mathbf{C}[\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1]f = \langle f \mid \gamma^*; \gamma \in \Gamma_0(4M_{2+}) \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Moreover we can define the following one-dimensional representations $\underline{\chi}$, $\underline{\chi}_1$, $\tilde{\chi}$ and $\underline{\chi}_p$:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\chi} : \mathbf{B}(N) &\ni \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \chi(a) \in \mathbf{C}^\times, \\ \underline{\chi}_1 : \mathbf{B}_1 &\ni \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \chi_1(a) \in \mathbf{C}^\times, \\ \tilde{\chi} : \tilde{\mathbf{B}} &\ni \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \tilde{\chi}(a) \in \mathbf{C}^\times, \\ \underline{\chi}_p : \mathbf{B}(p^{\nu_p}) &\ni \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \chi_p(a) \in \mathbf{C}^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have a canonical identification $\underline{\chi} = \tilde{\chi} \otimes \underline{\chi}_1 = \tilde{\chi} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1} \underline{\chi}_p \right)$.

For any $f \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ and any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$, $\pi(\gamma \bmod (N))f = f \Big| \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}^* = \chi(a)f$. Hence we get the isomorphism $\underline{\chi} \cong \mathbf{C}f$ as $\mathbf{B}(N)$ -modules. We denote the basis of the representation space of $\underline{\chi}$ by ε . Then we get the following proposition by easy computation.

PROPOSITION 3. *For any non-zero $f \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$, the following map gives an surjective homomorphism of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1$ -modules:*

$$\Phi = \Phi_f : \text{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{B}_1}^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1} \underline{\chi} \longrightarrow \pi_f, \quad \left(\sum_{\eta} a_{\eta} \eta \otimes \varepsilon \mapsto \sum_{\eta} a_{\eta} \pi(\eta)f \right),$$

where $a_{\eta} \in \mathbf{C}$ and η is taken over all representatives for $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1)/(\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{B}_1)$. We can, therefore, identify π_f with a subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{B}_1}^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1} \underline{\chi}$. \square

We have canonical identity:

$$\text{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{B}_1}^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1} \underline{\chi} = \tilde{\chi} \otimes \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}_1}^{\mathbf{G}_1} \underline{\chi}_1 = \tilde{\chi} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1} \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(p)}^{\mathbf{G}(p)} \underline{\chi}_p \right).$$

From Proposition 1, we know that each $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(p)}^{\mathbf{G}(p)} \underline{\chi}_p$ is the direct sum of two distinct irreducible submodules. We therefore have the following decomposition

$$(1.6) \quad \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}_1}^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1} \underline{\chi} = \bigoplus_{(\theta_p)_{p|M_1}} \left(\tilde{\underline{\chi}} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1} \theta_p \right) \right),$$

where θ_p is taken over the set of irreducible $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}(p)]$ -modules $\{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{G}(p)}, \mathfrak{C}_p\}$ or $\{\mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}, \mathfrak{C}''_{(p+1)/2}\}$ accordingly to $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{G}(p)}$ or $\left(\frac{-}{p}\right)$ (cf. Proposition 1). We note that $\tilde{\underline{\chi}} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1} \theta_p\right)$ are irreducible $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}]$ -modules and not equivalent to each other.

Now we more closely study the representation π_f by using Proposition 2. We need some notational preliminaries.

For any prime divisor p of M_1 , let ε_p be a basis of one-dimensional representation space of $\underline{\chi}_p$. Put $e_p := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{G}(p)$ and $\xi_p(a) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{G}(p)$ for $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and $\mathcal{X}_p(a) := \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \mathbf{e}(-ax/p) \xi_p(x) \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}(p)]$. Moreover let $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ be a basis of $\tilde{\underline{\chi}}$.

For any prime divisor p of M_1 and any $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$, we choose an element $\gamma_p(x) \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ such that

$$(1.7) \quad SL_2(\mathbf{Z}) \ni \gamma_p(x) \equiv \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{mod } N/p, \\ \xi_p(x) & \text{mod } p, \end{cases}$$

and define an operator X_p ($p \mid M_1$) as follows:

$$(1.8) \quad f \mid X_p := \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \pi(\gamma_p(x) \text{ mod } (N))f, \quad f \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi).$$

In [U1, §1], we defined several fundamental operators on $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$: $U(p)$, $\tilde{W}(p)$, and Y_p . The above operator X_p can be express by those.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let p be any prime divisor of M_1 and f any element of $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$. Then the following hold.*

- (1) $f \mid X_p = p^{-k/2+3/4} f \mid \tilde{W}(p) U(p)$. Hence the map $f \mapsto f \mid X_p$ gives an operator on $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$.
- (2) $f \mid Y_p U(p) = f \mid U(p) X_p$.

$$(3) f | X_p^2 = \begin{cases} (p-1)f | X_p + pf, & \text{if } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}, \\ \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) pf, & \text{if } \chi_p = \left(\frac{-}{p}\right). \end{cases}$$

Hence X_p is a semi-simple operator on $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$.

Proof. (1) We keep to the notation in [U1, §1]. We have from the definition [U1, p. 151] $\widetilde{W}(p) = \gamma_p^* \tilde{\delta}_p$, where $\gamma_p \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is satisfied the following condition:

$$\gamma_p \equiv \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \pmod{p}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \pmod{N/p}, \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{\delta}_p = \left(\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, p^{-k/2-1/4}\right)$. From [U1, (1.19)],

$$\begin{aligned} f | \widetilde{W}(p) U(p) &= p^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p} f | \widetilde{W}(p) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, p^{k/2+1/4}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^* \\ &= p^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p} f | \gamma_p^* \left(\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, 1\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^* \\ &= p^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p} f | \gamma_p^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^*. \end{aligned}$$

By using the notation $\gamma_p(a)$ (cf. (1.7)), we can easily calculate for any $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$, $f | \gamma_p^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^* = \pi(\gamma_p(-a) \pmod{N})f$. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} f | \widetilde{W}(p) U(p) &= p^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p} f | \gamma_p^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^* \\ &= p^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_p} \pi(\gamma_p(-a) \pmod{N})f = p^{k/2-3/4} f | X_p. \end{aligned}$$

From this and [U1, (1.22)], $f \mapsto f | X_p$ gives an operator on $S(k+1/2, N, \chi)$.

(2) From the definition of Y_p (cf. [U1, p. 155]) and the above (1),

$$\begin{aligned} p^{k/2-3/4} f | Y_p U(p) &= f | U(p) \widetilde{W}(p) U(p) \\ &= (f | U(p)) | \widetilde{W}(p) U(p) = p^{k/2-3/4} f | U(p) X_p. \end{aligned}$$

(3) The map $f \mapsto f | U(p)$ gives an isomorphism from $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ onto $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi^{(p)})$ (cf. [U1, (1.28)]). Hence it follows from the above (2) that $U(p)^{-1}Y_p U(p) = X_p$ on $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$. By using this relation, we can deduce properties of X_p from those of Y_p . In particular, from [U1, Proposition (1.27)], we have the above relation (3). \square

Take any non-zero $f \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ and assume that π_f is irreducible. From Proposition 3 and (1.6), there exists a system of irreducible representations $(\theta_p)_{p|M_1}$ such that $\Phi_f : \tilde{\chi} \otimes (\otimes_{p|M_1} \theta_p) \cong \pi_f$. Moreover for any prime divisor q of M_1 ,

$$\text{Ker}(\Phi_f) \supseteq \tilde{\chi} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1, p \neq q} \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(p)}^{\mathbf{G}(p)} \underline{\chi}_p \right) \otimes \theta'_q,$$

where θ'_q is the irreducible component such that $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(q)}^{\mathbf{G}(q)} \underline{\chi}_q = \theta_q \oplus \theta'_q$.

Set the elements $\alpha_p, \alpha'_p \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}(p)]$ such that

$$(1.9) \quad (\alpha_p, \alpha'_p) := \begin{cases} (e_p + \mathcal{X}_p(0), pe_p - \mathcal{X}_p(0)), & \text{if } \theta_p = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{G}(p)}, \\ (pe_p - \mathcal{X}_p(0), e_p + \mathcal{X}_p(0)), & \text{if } \theta_p = \mathfrak{C}_p, \\ (\mathfrak{g}_p e_p + \mathcal{X}_p(0), \mathfrak{g}_p e_p - \mathcal{X}_p(0)), & \text{if } \theta_p = \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}, \\ (\mathfrak{g}_p e_p - \mathcal{X}_p(0), \mathfrak{g}_p e_p + \mathcal{X}_p(0)), & \text{if } \theta_p = \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}. \end{cases}$$

Then $\alpha_p \otimes \varepsilon_p \in \theta_p, \alpha'_p \otimes \varepsilon_p \in \theta'_p$ and

$$(1.10) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1, p \neq q} e_p \otimes \varepsilon_p \right) \otimes (\alpha'_q \otimes \varepsilon_q) \in \text{Ker}(\Phi_f).$$

We therefore have

$$(1.11) \quad 0 = \Phi_f \left(\tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1, p \neq q} e_p \otimes \varepsilon_p \right) \otimes (\alpha'_q \otimes \varepsilon_q) \right) \\ = \begin{cases} qf - f | X_q, & \text{if } \theta_q = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{G}(q)}, \\ f + f | X_q, & \text{if } \theta_q = \mathfrak{C}_q, \\ \mathfrak{g}_q f - f | X_q, & \text{if } \theta_q = \mathfrak{C}_{(q+1)/2}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_q f + f | X_q, & \text{if } \theta_q = \mathfrak{C}'_{(q+1)/2}. \end{cases}$$

In other words, f is a common eigenform of X_p for all prime divisors $p | M_1$.

Conversely, assume that f is a common eigenform of $X_p, p \mid M_1$.

Decompose π_f into irreducible components: $\pi_f = \rho_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_m$ and let $f = f_1 + \dots + f_m, f_i \in \rho_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$.

We apply the following operator to the above: $\mathcal{M} := |\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{B}_1|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma} \bar{\chi}(d) \gamma^*$, where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is taken over all representatives of $\Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma(N)$.

We easily see that $f = f \mid \mathcal{M}$ and for any $i, f_i \mid \mathcal{M} \in \rho_i \cap S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$. From this, without loss of generality, we can assume that $f_i \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ and $f_i \neq 0 (i = 1, \dots, m)$. Then ρ_i is generated by a non-zero form f_i for all i . It follows from (1.11) that all f_i 's are common eigenforms of $X_p, p \mid M_1$.

Set $f_i \mid X_p = \sigma_p^{(i)} f_i, (i = 1, \dots, m, p \mid M_1, \sigma_p^{(i)} \in \mathbf{C})$.

Since π_f is identified with subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{B}_1}^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1} \chi, \rho_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$ are not equivalent to each other (cf. (1.6)). Hence, from (1.11), the systems of eigenvalues $(\sigma_p^{(1)})_{p \mid M_1}, \dots, (\sigma_p^{(m)})_{p \mid M_1}$ are different from each other. Therefore we see that $m = 1$ and π_f is irreducible.

Thus we get the following results.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let the notation be the same as above. For $(0 \neq f \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi))$, the following hold good.*

(1) π_f is an irreducible $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{G}_1]$ -module if and only if f is a common eigenform of $X_p, p \mid M_1$.

(2) Suppose that π_f is irreducible. Then $\pi_f \cong \tilde{\chi} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p \mid M_1} \theta_p \right)$, where θ_p 's are irreducible $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}(p)]$ -modules. Let $\sigma_p(p \mid M_1)$ be an eigen value of f on $X_p: f \mid X_p = \sigma_p f$. Then σ_p is given by the following table.

$$(1.12) \quad \sigma_p = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } \chi_p = \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and } \theta_p = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{G}(p)}, \\ -1, & \text{if } \chi_p = \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and } \theta_p = \mathfrak{C}_p, \\ \mathfrak{g}_p, & \text{if } \chi_p = \begin{pmatrix} - \\ p \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \theta_p = \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}, \\ -\mathfrak{g}_p, & \text{if } \chi_p = \begin{pmatrix} - \\ p \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \theta_p = \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}. \end{cases}$$

□

Now we will study relations between the above representations and Fourier coefficients.

Let f be a non-zero element of $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ and take any system $(a_p)_{p|M_1} \in \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{F}_p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f \left((\tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1} \mathcal{X}_p(a_p) \otimes \varepsilon_p \right)) \right) \\ = \sum_{\substack{(x_p)_{p|M_1} \\ x_p \in \mathbf{F}_p}} \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{e}(-a_p x_p/p) \boldsymbol{\pi}(\gamma((x_p)) \bmod (N)) f, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma((x_p)) \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is an element satisfied the following condition:

$$\gamma((x_p)) \equiv \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bmod 4M_{2+}, \\ \xi_p(x_p) \bmod p \end{cases} \quad \text{for all prime divisors } p \text{ of } M_1.$$

Choose a matrix $\gamma_{M_1} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and an element $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $(x_p)_{p|M_1} \in \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{F}_p$ such that

$$\gamma_{M_1} \equiv \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bmod 4M_{2+}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bmod M_1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad x \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \bmod 4M_{2+}, \\ x_p \bmod p \text{ for all } p \mid M_1. \end{cases}$$

and put $\alpha((x_p)) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we note that $\gamma((x_p)) \gamma_{M_1} \alpha((x_p))^{-1} \in \Gamma(N)$. Moreover set $g := f \mid \widetilde{W}(M_1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n) \mathbf{e}(nz) \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi(\frac{M_1}{N}))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f \left(\tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \bigotimes_{p|M_1} (\mathcal{X}_p(a_p) \otimes \varepsilon_p) \right) \\ = \sum_{\substack{(x_p)_{p|M_1} \\ x_p \in \mathbf{F}_p}} \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{e}(-a_p x_p/p) f \mid \gamma_{M_1}^* \alpha((x_p))^{*-1} \\ = \sum_{\substack{(x_p)_{p|M_1} \\ x_p \in \mathbf{F}_p}} \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{e}(-a_p x_p/p) f \mid \widetilde{W}(M_1) \tilde{\delta}_{M_1}^{-1} \alpha((x_p))^{*-1} \\ = M_1^{-k/2-1/4} \sum_{\substack{(x_p)_{p|M_1} \\ x_p \in \mathbf{F}_p}} \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{e}(-a_p x_p/p) g((z - x)/M_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= M_1^{-k/2-1/4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n) \mathbf{e}(nz/M_1) \sum_{\substack{(x_p)_{p|M_1} \\ x_p \in \mathbf{F}_p}} \left(\prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{e}(-a_p x_p/p) \right) \mathbf{e}(-nx/M_1).$$

Since the G.C.D. of all M_1/p (p : prime divisor of M_1) is 1, there exist integers $u_p \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\sum_{p|M_1} u_p \cdot (M_1/p) = 1$. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(x_p)_{p|M_1} \\ x_p \in \mathbf{F}_p}} \left(\prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{e}(-a_p x_p/p) \right) \mathbf{e}(-nx/M_1) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(x_p)_{p|M_1} \\ x_p \in \mathbf{F}_p}} \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{e}((-a_p x_p - nx u_p)/p) \\ &= \prod_{p|M_1} \sum_{x_p \in \mathbf{F}_p} \mathbf{e}((-a_p x_p - nx u_p)/p) \\ &= \begin{cases} M_1, & \text{if } -a_p \equiv nx u_p \pmod{p} \text{ for all } p \mid M_1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the condition: $x \equiv x_p \pmod{p}$.

Since $-a_p \equiv nx u_p \pmod{p} \Leftrightarrow -a_p(M_1/p) \equiv n \pmod{p}$, we get the following.

$$\begin{aligned} (1.13) \quad & \Phi_f \left(\tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \bigotimes_{p|M_1} (\mathcal{X}_p(a_p) \otimes \varepsilon_p) \right) \\ &= M_1^{-k/2+3/4} \sum_{\substack{n \equiv -a_p(M_1/p) \pmod{p} \\ p|M_1}} b(n) \mathbf{e}(nz/M_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $g := f \mid \widetilde{W}(M_1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n) \mathbf{e}(nz)$.

Moreover we suppose that π_f is irreducible. In this case, there are irreducible $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}(p)]$ -modules θ_p ($p \mid M_1$) such that $\tilde{\chi} \otimes \bigotimes_{p|M_1} \theta_p \cong \pi_f$ via Φ_f .

We use the notation α_p and α'_p of (1.9). Then in all cases of $\theta_p = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{G}(p)}$, \mathfrak{C}_p , $\mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}$, or $\mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}$, we can express that $\mathcal{X}_p(0) = c_0 \times \alpha_p + c_1 \times \alpha'_p$ with a non-zero constant c_0 and a constant c_1 . And from the formula (1.10), it follows that for any system $(a_p)_{p|M_1} \in \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{F}_p$, there exists a non-zero

element c_2 such that

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \bigotimes_{p|M_1} (\mathcal{X}_p(a_p) \otimes \varepsilon_p) \\ & \equiv c_2 \tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1, a_p \neq 0} (\mathcal{X}_p(a_p) \otimes \varepsilon_p) \right) \\ & \quad \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1, a_p = 0} (\alpha_p \otimes \varepsilon) \right) \pmod{\text{Ker } \Phi_f}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we set the following notation for any prime divisor p of M_1 :

$$(1.15) \quad S_p^\times := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \emptyset, & \text{if } \theta_p = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{G}(p)}, \\ \mathbf{F}_p^\times, & \text{if } \theta_p = \mathfrak{C}_p, \\ \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}, & \text{if } \theta_p = \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}, \\ \mathbf{F}_p^\times - \mathbf{F}_p^{\times 2}, & \text{if } \theta_p = \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}, \end{array} \right\} \text{ and } S_p := S_p^\times \cup \{0\}.$$

From the formula of Proposition 2, we see that $S_p^\times = \{a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times \mid \mathcal{X}_p(a) \otimes \varepsilon_p \in \theta_p\}$.

From (1.14), we see that for any system $(a_p)_{p|M_1} \in \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{F}_p$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_f \left(\tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1} \mathcal{X}_p(a_p) \otimes \varepsilon_p \right) \right) \neq 0 \\ & \iff \Phi_f \left(\tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1, a_p \neq 0} \mathcal{X}_p(a_p) \otimes \varepsilon_p \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1, a_p = 0} \alpha_p \otimes \varepsilon_p \right) \right) \neq 0 \\ & \iff \tilde{\varepsilon} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1, a_p \neq 0} \mathcal{X}_p(a_p) \otimes \varepsilon_p \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1, a_p = 0} \alpha_p \otimes \varepsilon_p \right) \in \tilde{\chi} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p|M_1} \theta_p \right) \\ & \iff \mathcal{X}_p(a_p) \otimes \varepsilon_p \in \theta_p \text{ for any } p \mid M_1 \text{ such that } a_p \neq 0 \\ & \iff a_p \in S_p^\times \text{ for any } p \mid M_1 \text{ such that } a_p \neq 0 \\ & \iff (a_p)_{p|M_1} \in \prod_{p|M_1} S_p. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above with the formula (1.13), we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let f be a non-zero element of $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ and $g := f \mid \widetilde{W}(M_1) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty b(n) \mathbf{e}(nz) \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi \left(\frac{M_1}{n} \right))$. Suppose that*

π_f is irreducible and $\pi_f \cong \underline{\tilde{\chi}} \otimes \bigotimes_{p|M_1} \theta_p$ with irreducible $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{G}(p)]$ -modules θ_p .

Then for a $(a_p)_{p|M_1} \in \prod_{p|M_1} \mathbf{F}_p$, we have the following:

$$(a_p)_{p|M_1} \in \prod_{p|M_1} S_p \iff \begin{array}{l} \text{“there exists } n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \text{ such that } b(n) \neq 0 \text{ and} \\ n \equiv -a_p(M_1/p) \pmod{p} \text{ for all } p \mid M_1. \text{”} \end{array}$$

In other words,

$$(a_p)_{p|M_1} \notin \prod_{p|M_1} S_p \iff \begin{array}{l} \text{“}b(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n\text{'s which satisfy the condi-} \\ \text{tion: } n \equiv -a_p(M_1/p) \pmod{p} \text{ for any } p \mid \\ M_1. \text{”} \end{array}$$

See (1.15) for the definition of S_p . □

§2. A complete theory of newforms for Kohnen spaces

We will generalize the results of §4 of the previous paper [U1] and will get a complete theory of newforms for Kohnen spaces. So we will consider only Kohnen spaces in this and next sections.

We keep to the notations in §0, §1 and in [U1]. See those for the detail of definitions and notations.

We recall some notations.

Let k be a positive integer and $N = 4 \times M$, M is a positive odd integer. Put $\nu_p := \text{ord}_p(N) = \text{ord}_p(M)$ for any odd prime p . We decompose $M = M_1 M_{2+}$, $M_1 := \prod_{p|M, \nu_p=1} p$ and $M_{2+} := \prod_{p|M, \nu_p \geq 2} p^{\nu_p}$.

Let χ be an even Dirichlet character with $\chi^2 = \mathbf{1}$ and for any prime p , χ_p the p -primary component of χ . Moreover we denote the M_1 (resp. $2M_{2+}$)-component of χ by $\chi_1 := \prod_{p|M_1} \chi_p$ (resp. $\tilde{\chi} := \prod_{p|2M_{2+}} \chi_p$).

We also denote the set of all prime divisors of M_{2+} by Π . For any $l \in \Pi$, we denote the twisting operator of $\binom{j}{i}$ by R_l (cf. §0 (c)). Furthermore for any subset $I \subseteq \Pi$, we put $R_I := \prod_{l \in I} R_l$.

For any $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^\Pi$ and any $l \in \Pi$, we define operators by $e_l^\kappa := \frac{1}{2}(R_l^2 + \kappa(l)R_l)$ and $e_\Pi^\kappa := \prod_{l \in \Pi} e_l^\kappa$. For any subset I of Π , we set the following notations: $l_I := \prod_{l \in I} l$.

Let $(\alpha_l)_{l \in \Pi}$ be a system of integers such that $0 \leq \alpha_l \leq \nu_l$ for all $l \in \Pi$. For simplicity, put $M_{2+}^{(\alpha)} := \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\alpha_l}$, $\tilde{N}(\alpha) := 4M_1 M_{2+}^{(\alpha)}$, $D = \Pi(\alpha)_0 := \{l \in \Pi \mid \alpha_l = 0\}$, $E = \Pi(\alpha)_1 := \{l \in \Pi \mid \alpha_l = 1\}$, and

$F = \Pi(\alpha)_{2+} := \{l \in \Pi \mid \alpha_l \geq 2\}$. We note that every positive divisor of M_{2+} is of the form $M_{2+}^{(\alpha)}$ for some system $(\alpha_l)_{l \in \Pi}$.

We choose and fix $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^\Pi$ until the end of this paper. Let $\kappa|_F \in \{\pm 1\}^F$ be the restriction of κ to F .

We have to study the subspace $\mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa|_F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \chi)_K$ of $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$. We recall the definition of this subspace (cf. [U1, (3.3) and (3.5)]).

We decompose the character χ into two parts as follows:

$$\chi = \eta\eta', \quad \eta = \left(\frac{u}{\cdot}\right), \quad \eta' = \left(\frac{u'}{\cdot}\right), \quad 0 < u \mid l_D, \quad 0 < u' \mid M_{1l_E}l_F.$$

Since the character η' can be defined with modulo $\tilde{N}(\alpha)$, the subspace $\mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa'|_F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K$ is naturally defined, where $\kappa'|_F := \kappa|_F \cdot \left(\frac{u}{\cdot}\right) \in \{\pm 1\}^F$ (cf. [U1, p. 177]). And then, using this subspace, we define as follows

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa|_F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \chi)_K := \mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa'|_F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K \mid U(u).$$

$\mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa'|_F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K$ has a \mathbf{C} -basis $\mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$ consisting of common eigenforms for all Hecke operators $\tilde{T}(n^2) = \tilde{T}_{k+1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta'}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, \tilde{N}(\alpha)) = 1$) (cf. [U1, (3.11)]). Moreover $U(u)$ gives an injection on $S(k+1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \eta')_K$ ([U1, (1.28)]) and is commutative with all Hecke operators $\tilde{T}(n^2)$'s (cf. [U1, (1.8) and (1.20)]). Therefore the set $\mathcal{B}_\chi := \{g \mid U(u); g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}\}$ gives a \mathbf{C} -basis of $\mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa|_F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \chi)_K$ consisting of common eigenforms for all Hecke operators $\tilde{T}(n^2) = \tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, N) = 1$). Put

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(\alpha)} &:= \sum_{0 < a \mid l_D} \mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa|_F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \chi)_K \mid U(a^2) \\ &\subseteq \begin{cases} S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ V(N; \chi)_K & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then from [U1, (3.10)],

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} B^{(\alpha)} &= \bigoplus_{0 < a \mid l_D} \mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa|_F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \chi)_K \mid U(a^2) \\ &\subseteq \begin{cases} S^{\emptyset, \kappa|_F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \chi)_K & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ V^{\emptyset, \kappa|_F}(\tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \chi)_K & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since every $U(a^2)$ ($0 < a \mid l_D$) gives an injection on $S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \chi)$ (cf. [U1, (1.28)]), we get the following:

$$(2.3) \quad B^{(\alpha)} = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{B}_\chi} \left(\bigoplus_{0 < a \mid l_D} \mathbf{C}f \mid U(a^2) \right),$$

where f takes over all elements in \mathcal{B}_χ .

Moreover we can see that

$$(2.4) \quad B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_H^\kappa \subseteq \mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K.$$

In fact, applying the operator e_H^κ to the both sides of the definition, we see that

$$B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_H^\kappa \subseteq \begin{cases} S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K \mid e_H^\kappa = S^{\emptyset, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K, & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ V(N; \chi)_K \mid e_H^\kappa = V^{\emptyset, \kappa}(N; \chi)_K, & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

Every generator of $B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_H^\kappa$, $f \mid U(a^2) e_H^\kappa$ ($0 < a \mid l_D$), is a common eigenform on $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, N) = 1$) and has the same system of eigen values as f (and so as $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$). This system corresponds to a primitive form in $S^0(2k, M_1 M')$, $0 < M' \mid M_{2+}^{(\alpha)}$ ([U1, (3.11)]).

From [U1, (3.10)] and the Strong Multiplicity One theorem of weight $2k$ ([M, Theorem 4.6.19]), $\mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ contains all of generators, $f \mid U(a^2) e_H^\kappa$, and therefore contains all elements of $B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_H^\kappa$.

We denote for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_\chi$

$$(2.5) \quad B_f^{(\alpha)} := \bigoplus_{0 < a \mid l_D} \mathbf{C}f \mid U(a^2).$$

These spaces $B_f^{(\alpha)}$ are contained in $S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \chi)$. We will decompose $B_f^{(\alpha)}$ by the semi-simple operators Y_p , $p \mid M_1 l_D l_E$, on $S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \chi)$ (cf. [U1, (1.27)]).

First we consider the case of $p \mid M_1 l_E$.

Take $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$ such that $f = g \mid U(u)$. Then

$$g \mid Y_p \in \begin{cases} S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K, & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ V(\tilde{N}(\alpha); \eta')_K, & \text{if } k = 1, \end{cases}$$

and since R_l ($l \in F$) commutes with Y_p ([U1, (1.24) (2)]), we see

$$g \mid Y_p \in \begin{cases} S^{\theta, \kappa' | F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K, & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ V^{\theta, \kappa' | F}(\tilde{N}(\alpha); \eta')_K, & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since Y_p commutes with Hecke operators $\tilde{T}(n^2)$, $(n, N) = 1$, both g and $g \mid Y_p$ belong to the same system of eigen values on $\tilde{T}(n^2)$, $(n, N) = 1$. And since $g \in \mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa' | F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K$, its system of eigenvalues corresponds to a primitive form of weight $2k$ and of conductor $M_1 l_E \times$ (a divisor of $\prod_{l \in F} l^{\alpha_l}$) ([U1, (3.10) (2)]).

From these, first we see that $g \mid Y_p \in \mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa' | F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K$ ([U1, (3.10) (1)]) and next, by using the Strong Multiplicity One theorem ([U1, (3.11) (2)]), $g \mid Y_p = \tau_p g$ for some $\tau_p \in \mathbf{C}$.

Combining these with Proposition A.1 in Appendix, we obtain that

$$(2.6) \quad f \mid Y_p = g \mid U(u) Y_p = \eta'_p(u) \left(\frac{u}{p}\right) g \mid Y_p U(u) = \eta'_p(u) \left(\frac{u}{p}\right) \tau_p f,$$

i.e., f is an eigen form for Y_p .

Moreover in this case, Y_p commute with $U(a^2)$ ($0 < a \mid l_D$) because of Proposition A.1. Hence we see that every element of $B_f^{(\alpha)}$ is a common eigenform on Y_p 's ($p \mid M_1 l_E$) belonging to the same system of eigen values as f .

As to the case of $p \mid l_D$, we get the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let I be any subset of D and f be any element of \mathcal{B}_χ . And let $A(I)$ be the subset of $\text{Map}(I, \mathbf{C})$ consisting of all functions ρ such that $\rho(p) = \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)} p$ resp. $\rho(p) \in \{p, -1\}$ according to $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$ resp. $\left(\frac{-}{p}\right)$. Hence $|A(I)| = 2^{|I|}$. Then we have the following decomposition:*

$$\bigoplus_{0 < a \mid l_I} \mathbf{C} f \mid U(a^2) = \bigoplus_{\rho \in A(I)} \mathbf{C} f_\rho,$$

where each f_ρ ($\rho \in A(I)$) is a non-zero cusp form satisfying the condition:

$$f_\rho \mid Y_p = \rho(p) f_\rho \quad (\text{for all } p \in I).$$

Proof. We will prove by induction on $|I|$.

If $|I| = 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow I = \emptyset$), then $l_\emptyset = 1$ and the assertion is trivial.

Next suppose that $I \neq \emptyset$ and the assertion holds good for any proper subset I' of I .

Take and fix a prime $p \in I$ and decompose $I = \{p\} + I'$, i.e. $I' = I - \{p\}$. By the assumption of the induction, we have

$$\bigoplus_{0 < a | l_{I'}} \mathbf{C}f \mid U(a^2) = \bigoplus_{\rho' \in A(I')} \mathbf{C}f_{\rho'},$$

where $A(I')$ is the subset of $\text{Map}(I', \mathbf{C})$ which is described in the assertion. Hence $|A(I')| = 2^{|I'|}$ and $f_{\rho'}$ ($\rho' \in A(I')$) is a non-zero cusp form satisfying the condition:

$$f_{\rho'} \mid Y_p = \rho'(p)f_{\rho'} \quad (\text{for all } p \in I').$$

Since $U(p^2)$ gives an automorphism of $S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \chi)_K$, we can calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{0 < a | l_I} \mathbf{C}f \mid U(a^2) &= \left(\bigoplus_{0 < a | l_{I'}} \mathbf{C}f \mid U(a^2) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{0 < a | l_{I'}} \mathbf{C}f \mid U(a^2) \right) \Big| U(p^2) \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{\rho' \in A(I')} \mathbf{C}f_{\rho'} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\rho' \in A(I')} \mathbf{C}f_{\rho'} \mid U(p^2) \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\rho' \in A(I')} \left(\mathbf{C}f_{\rho'} \oplus \mathbf{C}(f_{\rho'} \mid U(p^2)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From [U1, (1.24)] $f_{\rho'} \mid U(p^2)Y_q = f_{\rho'} \mid Y_q U(p^2)$ for any $q \in I'$. Hence, every element of $\mathbf{C}f_{\rho'} \oplus \mathbf{C}(f_{\rho'} \mid U(p^2))$ is a common eigenform on all Y_q ($q \in I'$) which belongs to ρ' .

Now, we will decompose the space $\mathbf{C}f_{\rho'} \oplus \mathbf{C}(f_{\rho'} \mid U(p^2))$ into two eigen subspaces on Y_p .

From the definition of \mathcal{B}_χ , there exists $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$ such that $f = g \mid U(u)$. Then

$$\bigoplus_{0 < a | l_{I'}} \mathbf{C}f \mid U(a^2) = \left(\bigoplus_{0 < a | l_{I'}} \mathbf{C}g \mid U(a^2) \right) \Big| U(u)$$

and so for any $f_{\rho'}$ ($\rho' \in A(I')$), there exists $g_{\rho'} \in \bigoplus_{0 < a | l_{I'}} \mathbf{C}g \mid U(a^2)$ such that $f_{\rho'} = g_{\rho'} \mid U(u)$.

These forms $g_{\rho'}$ satisfy the conditions: $g_{\rho'} \in S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_{I'}, \eta')$. And also we have $(p, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_{I'}) = 1$, $(u, 4l_F) = 1$, and $\eta'_u = \mathbf{1}$.

g is an eigen form on $\tilde{T}(p^2)$. From this and [U1, (1.20)], $g_{\rho'}$ is also an eigen form on $\tilde{T}(p^2)$ belonging to the same eigen value as g .

From the above facts, we can apply the formulae (A.7) and (A.11) in Appendix to the forms $f_{\rho'} = g_{\rho'} | U(u)$ and $f_{\rho'} | U(p^2) = g_{\rho'} | U(up^2)$.

It follows that the space $\mathbf{C}f_{\rho'} \oplus \mathbf{C}f_{\rho'} | U(p^2)$ can be decomposed into two distinct one-dimensional eigen subspaces on Y_p :

$$\mathbf{C}f_{\rho'} \oplus \mathbf{C}f_{\rho'} | U(p^2) = \mathbf{C}h_1 \oplus \mathbf{C}h_2.$$

And also we see that h_1, h_2 are two common eigenforms on Y_q ($q \in I$) whose eigen values are coincide ($= \rho'(q)$) on the operators Y_q ($q \in I'$) and are different only on Y_p . We can extend ρ' to two elements in $\text{Map}(I, \mathbf{C})$ by associating $\{p\}$ with each of such two eigen values.

Combining this and the above formula, we obtain the assertion. □

From these arguments and Proposition 6, we have the following decomposition:

$$(2.7) \quad B_f^{(\alpha)} = \bigoplus_{0 < a | l_D} \mathbf{C}f | U(a^2) = \bigoplus_{\rho \in A(D)} \mathbf{C}f_{\rho}.$$

Here $A(D)$ is a subset of $\text{Map}(D, \mathbf{C})$ such that $|A(D)| = 2^{|D|}$ and each f_{ρ} is a non-zero common eigen form on Y_p ($p | M_1 l_D l_E$) satisfying the condition:

$$(2.8) \quad f_{\rho} | Y_p = c_p f_{\rho}, \quad c_p := \begin{cases} \lambda_p, & \text{for all } p | M_1 l_E, \\ \rho(p), & \text{for all } p \in D, \end{cases}$$

where λ_p is the eigen value of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi}$ on Y_p .

We take such a f_{ρ} and fix it. Since $f_{\rho} \in S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \chi)_K$, $h_{\rho} := f_{\rho} | \tilde{W}(M_1 l_D l_E)^{-1} \in S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \chi \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E}{} \right))_K$ (cf. [U1, (1.22)]).

PROPOSITION 7. *Let X_p be an operator defined in (1.8) of §1. The above form h_{ρ} is a common eigen form of X_p for any $p | M_1 l_D l_E$.*

Proof. From [U1, (1.18)] and Proposition A.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\rho} | \tilde{W}(M_1 l_D l_E) U(p) \tilde{W}(p) &= c_0 h_{\rho} | \tilde{W}(p) \tilde{W}(M_1 l_D l_E / p) U(p) \tilde{W}(p) \\ &= h_{\rho} | \tilde{W}(p) U(p) \tilde{W}(M_1 l_D l_E / p) \tilde{W}(p) \\ &= c_1 h_{\rho} | \tilde{W}(p) U(p) \tilde{W}(M_1 l_D l_E), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_0 = \left(\chi \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E}{p}\right)\right)_{M_1 l_D l_E/p}(p)$ and $c_1 = \left(\chi \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E}{p}\right)\right)_p(M_1 l_D l_E/p)$.

Hence, for any prime divisor p of $M_1 l_D l_E$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad c_p h_\rho &= f_\rho \mid Y_p \widetilde{W}(M_1 l_D l_E)^{-1} \\
 &= p^{-k/2+3/4} h_\rho \mid \widetilde{W}(M_1 l_D l_E) U(p) \widetilde{W}(p) \widetilde{W}(M_1 l_D l_E)^{-1} \\
 &= c_1 h_\rho \mid X_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the above claim. □

From this claim and Proposition 5, $\Delta_0(4 \prod_{l \in F} l^{\alpha_l})/\Delta(4M_1 l_D M_{2+}^{(\alpha)})$ -module π_{h_ρ} is irreducible. We decompose it into primary components:

$$(2.10) \quad \pi_{h_\rho} \cong \underline{\psi} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p \mid M_1} \theta_p\right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{p \mid l_D l_E} \theta_p\right),$$

where $\psi = \prod_{p \nmid M_1 l_D l_E} \left(\chi \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E}{p}\right)\right)_p$ and $\underline{\psi}$ is defined by ψ in the same way as (1.5).

PROPOSITION 8. *We can determine each primary component θ_p ($p \in D + E$) by using (1.12) and (2.9) as follows:*

[Case 1] ($p \mid l_D l_E$ and $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$)

$$\theta_p \cong \begin{cases} \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}, & \text{if } \lambda_p = \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E/p}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p \text{ and } p \in E, \\ \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}, & \text{if } \lambda_p = -\left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E/p}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p \text{ and } p \in E, \\ \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}, & \text{if } \rho(p) = \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E/p}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p \text{ and } p \in D, \\ \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}, & \text{if } \rho(p) = -\left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E/p}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p \text{ and } p \in D. \end{cases}$$

[Case 2] ($p \mid l_D$ and $\chi_p = \left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$)

$$\theta_p \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{G}(p)}, & \text{if } \rho(p) = p, \\ \mathfrak{C}_p, & \text{if } \rho(p) = -1. \end{cases}$$

[Case 3] ($p \mid l_E$ and $\chi_p = \left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$) $\theta_p \cong \mathfrak{C}_p$.

Proof. The assertions in Cases 1 and 2 are easily verified.

We consider Case 3. Suppose that $\theta_p \cong \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{G}(p)}$. We have $h_p \mid X_p = p h_p$ from Proposition 5 (2). Hence $\lambda_p = p$. This follows from (2.8) and (2.9). Moreover, using (2.6) and the fact: $\eta'_p = \chi_p = \left(\frac{-}{p}\right)$, we get that $g \mid Y_p = p g$.

Applying [U1, (1.26)] to $g (= \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) \mathbf{e}(nz) \in S(k+1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K)$ and p , we have $g \mid Y_p = g \mid \tilde{W}(p)^{-1} \tilde{\delta}_p - g + p^{-k/2+3/4} g \mid U(p) \tilde{\delta}_p$.

Since $p^{-k/2+3/4} g \mid U(p) = (g \mid Y_p) \mid \tilde{W}(p)^{-1} = (p g) \mid \tilde{W}(p)^{-1}$, we therefore have $g = p^{-k/2-1/4} g \mid U(p) \tilde{\delta}_p = \sum_{n \geq 1} a(pn) \mathbf{e}(pnz)$.

From this formula we have that $a(n) = 0$ if $(p, n) = 1$. Hence there is a form $g' \in S(k+1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha)/p, \eta'(\frac{\cdot}{p}))_K$ if $k \geq 2$, resp. $V(\tilde{N}(\alpha)/p; \eta'(\frac{\cdot}{p}))_K$ if $k = 1$ such that $g = g'(pz)$ ([U1, (1.11)]).

Both g and g' have the same system of eigen values on the Hecke operators $\tilde{T}(n^2)$, $(n, N) = 1$ (cf. [U1, (1.8)]). Since g is in $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa|F}(k+1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K$, the system of eigen values corresponds to a primitive forms of weight $2k$ and of conductor $M_1 l_E \times (\text{a divisor of } \prod_{l \in F} l^{\alpha_l})$.

On the other hand, from [U1, (3.10)] for the spaces $S(k+1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha)/p, \eta'(\frac{\cdot}{p}))_K$ and $V(\tilde{N}(\alpha)/p; \eta'(\frac{\cdot}{p}))_K$, the system of eigen values of g' corresponds to a primitive form of weight $2k$ and of a conductor prime to p .

This is a contradiction. Hence we have $\theta_p \cong \mathfrak{C}_p$. □

Now we will find the condition for $f_\rho \mid e_H^\kappa \neq 0$ by using the results in §1.

PROPOSITION 9. (1) *If $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$, we have $\lambda_p = \pm \mathfrak{g}_p$ ($p \in E$) and $\rho(p) = \pm \mathfrak{g}_p$ ($p \in D$). If $\chi_p = \left(\frac{-}{p}\right)$, $\rho(p) = p$ or -1 ($p \in D$).*

(2) *We have*

$$f_\rho \mid e_H^\kappa \neq 0 \iff \begin{cases} \lambda_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \kappa(p) \mathfrak{g}_p, & \text{for all } p \in E \text{ with } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}, \\ \rho(p) = -1, & \text{for all } p \in D \text{ with } \chi_p = \left(\frac{-}{p}\right), \\ \rho(p) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \kappa(p) \mathfrak{g}_p, & \text{for all } p \in D \text{ with } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}. \end{cases}$$

Remark. In the above, the condition: $\lambda_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \kappa(p) \mathfrak{g}_p$ depends only on f .

Proof. The first assertion follows from [U1, (1.27)] and (2.8). We will prove the second assertion.

[\Rightarrow] We apply Theorem 1 to the forms h_ρ and $f_\rho \in S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \chi)_K$. Set $f_\rho(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} c(n)\mathbf{e}(nz)$ and use the notation S_p on π_{h_ρ} in (1.15).

From Theorem 1, we know the following:

$$(2.11) \quad c(n) = 0 \quad \text{if } n \equiv -x(M_1 l_D l_E / p) \pmod{p} \text{ for some } x \pmod{p} \notin S_p.$$

We modify the condition. Let first $p \in E$ with $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$. From the definition of S_p and Proposition 8,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the condition in (2.11)} &\iff -n(M_1 l_D l_E / p)^{-1} \pmod{p} \notin S_p \\ &\iff \left(\frac{-n M_1 l_D l_E / p}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \theta_p \cong \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}, \\ 1, & \text{if } \theta_p \cong \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\theta_p \cong \mathfrak{C}_{(p+1)/2}$. From the first assertion, $\lambda_p = \pm \mathfrak{g}_p$. If $\lambda_p = -\left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E / p}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p$, then $\theta_p \cong \mathfrak{C}'_{(p+1)/2}$ (cf. Proposition 8). This is a contradiction. Hence $\lambda_p = \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E / p}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p$ and so $\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right) \lambda_p \mathfrak{g}_p^{-1} = -1$. Thus we can easily deduce the following from similar argument.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the condition in (2.11)} &\iff \left(\frac{-n}{p}\right) \lambda_p \mathfrak{g}_p^{-1} = -1 \\ (2.12) \quad &\iff \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p \lambda_p^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We suppose that $\lambda_p = -\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \kappa(p) \mathfrak{g}_p$ ($\Leftrightarrow \kappa(p) = -\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \mathfrak{g}_p \lambda_p^{-1}$) for some $p \in E$ with $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$. If $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = \kappa(p)$, we have $c(n) = 0$ from (2.12). On the other hand, if $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -\kappa(p)$ or 0, we have $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^2 + \kappa(p) \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = 0$.

Observing that $f_\rho \mid e_p^\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} c(n) \left(\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^2 + \kappa(p) \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\right) \mathbf{e}(nz)$, we get $f_\rho \mid e_p^\kappa = 0$ and so $f_\rho \mid e_H^\kappa = 0$.

In the same way, we get the assertions in the case of $p \in D$ with $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$.

Next we consider the case of $p \in D$ with $\chi_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$. Let $\theta_p \cong \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{G}(p)}$. Then $S_p = \{0 \pmod{p}\}$. It follows from (2.11) that $c(n) = 0$ for any n prime to p . Hence we have $f_\rho \mid e_p^\kappa = 0$. From these $f_\rho \mid e_H^\kappa \neq 0 \Rightarrow \theta_p \cong \mathfrak{C}_p \Rightarrow \rho(p) = -1$. We use the first assertion at the last implication.

[\Leftarrow] From (2.2) and $f_\rho \in B_f^{(\alpha)} \subseteq B^{(\alpha)}$, it follows that $f_\rho \mid R_p = \kappa(p) f_\rho$ for all $p \in F$. Hence for all $p \in F$, $f_\rho \mid e_p^\kappa = f_\rho$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\rho \mid e_H^\kappa &= f_\rho \mid \prod_{p \in D+E} e_p^\kappa \\ &= 2^{-(|D|+|E|)} \sum_{n \geq 1} c(n) \prod_{p \in D+E} \left\{ \binom{n}{p}^2 + \kappa(p) \binom{n}{p} \right\} e(nz). \end{aligned}$$

For each prime $p \mid M_1 l_D l_E$, we choose a residue class $b_p \pmod{p} \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ satisfying the following condition:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \binom{b_p}{p} = \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E / p}{p} \right) \mathfrak{g}_p \lambda_p^{-1}, & \text{if } p \in E \text{ and } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}, \\ \binom{b_p}{p} = \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E / p}{p} \right) \mathfrak{g}_p \rho(p)^{-1}, & \text{if } p \in D \text{ and } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}, \\ \binom{b_p}{p} = \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E / p}{p} \right) \kappa(p), & \text{if } p \in D + E \text{ and } \chi_p = \left(\frac{-}{p} \right), \\ b_p \in S_p, & \text{if } p \mid M_1. \end{array} \right.$$

We can see from the definition S_p and Proposition 8 that $(b_p)_{p \mid M_1 l_D l_E} \in \prod_{p \mid M_1 l_D l_E} S_p$. Hence by using Theorem 1, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that $c(n_0) \neq 0$ and $-n_0 \equiv b_p (M_1 l_D l_E / p) \pmod{p}$ for all prime divisors p of $M_1 l_D l_E$.

For such a n_0 , we have by using the assumption

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{n_0}{p} \right) &= \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \binom{b_p}{p} \left(\frac{M_1 l_D l_E / p}{p} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \mathfrak{g}_p \lambda_p^{-1} = \kappa(p), & \text{if } p \in E \text{ and } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}, \\ \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \mathfrak{g}_p \rho(p)^{-1} = \kappa(p), & \text{if } p \in D \text{ and } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}, \\ \kappa(p), & \text{if } p \in D + E \text{ and } \chi_p = \left(\frac{-}{p} \right). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that $\left(\frac{n_0}{p} \right) = \kappa(p)$ and also that the n_0 -th Fourier coefficient of $f_\rho \mid e_H^\kappa$ is equal to $2^{-(|D|+|E|)} c(n_0) \prod_{p \in D+E} \{ \kappa(p)^2 + \kappa(p) \kappa(p) \} = c(n_0) \neq 0$. This means that $f_\rho \mid e_H^\kappa \neq 0$. \square

Combining (2.5), (2.7) with Proposition 9, we get the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let the notation be the same as above. Then*

$$B_f^{(\alpha)} | e_{II}^\kappa = \mathbf{C}f_{\rho_0} | e_{II}^\kappa,$$

$$\rho_0(p) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \kappa(p) \mathfrak{g}_p, & \text{for } p \in D \text{ and } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}, \\ -1, & \text{for } p \in D \text{ and } \chi_p = \left(\frac{-}{p}\right). \end{cases}$$

Moreover $f_{\rho_0} | e_{II}^\kappa \neq 0$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{B}_\chi$ satisfies the following conditions:

(*) $f | Y_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \kappa(p) \mathfrak{g}_p f$ for all $p \in E$ with $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$. □

We have the following formula:

PROPOSITION 11.

$$B^{(\alpha)} | e_{II}^\kappa = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{B}_\chi, f: (*)} \mathbf{C}f_{\rho_0} | e_{II}^\kappa,$$

and $\rho_0(p) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \kappa(p) \mathfrak{g}_p, & \text{for } p \in D \text{ and } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}, \\ -1, & \text{for } p \in D \text{ and } \chi_p = \left(\frac{-}{p}\right), \end{cases}$

where (*) is the same condition as in Proposition 10 and f_{ρ_0} is a non-zero common eigenform on Y_p ($p | M_1 l_{DL} l_E$) such that $f_{\rho_0} | Y_p = \rho_0(p) f_{\rho_0}$ if $p \in D$ resp. $\lambda_p f_{\rho_0}$ if $p \in E$. Here λ_p is the eigen value of f on Y_p .

Proof. From [U1, (1.20)] and [U3, (1.7)], $f_{\rho_0} | e_{II}^\kappa \in B_f^{(\alpha)}$ is a common eigenform on all $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($(n, N) = 1$) belonging to the same system of eigenvalues as that of f .

And since there is a $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$ such that $f = g | U(u)$, the system of eigenvalues is also the same as those of $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$.

By applying the Strong Multiplicity One theorem [U1, (3.11) (2)] to elements in $\mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$, each different element in $\mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$ belongs to a different system of eigenvalues from each other. Therefore, $\{f_{\rho_0} | e_{II}^\kappa; f \in \mathcal{B}_\chi, f \text{ satisfies the condition } (*)\}$ is a system of linear independent forms. □

We will express the above condition (*) in terms of $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$ such that $f = g | U(u)$. Since $\eta'_p = \chi_p$ for all $p \in E$, we have $f | Y_p = \left(\frac{u}{p}\right) g | Y_p U(u)$ for all $p \in E$ with $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$ (cf. (2.6)).

Hence, the condition $(*) \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{u}{p}\right) g \mid Y_p U(u) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \kappa(p) \mathfrak{g}_p g \mid U(u)$.

Moreover since $U(u)$ is an isomorphism on $S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha) \cdot l_D, \eta')$, we get that the condition $(*) \Leftrightarrow g \mid Y_p = \left(\frac{-u}{p}\right) \kappa(p) \mathfrak{g}_p g$.

In [U1, (3.6)], we defined the hermitian involutions \mathfrak{w}_p ($p \mid M_1 l_E$) on $\mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa' \mid F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K$. Then $g \mid \mathfrak{w}_p = p^{-1/2} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k+1/2} \eta'(p) g \mid Y_p$ for all $p \in E$ with $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$. This follows from [U1, (3.7)] and $\eta'_p = \chi_p$ for all $p \in E$. Hence $f : (*) \Leftrightarrow g \mid \mathfrak{w}_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^k \eta'(p) \left(\frac{u}{p}\right) \kappa(p) g = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^k \chi(p) \kappa(p) g$. Here, we note that $\chi(p)$ is meaningful because of $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$.

We denote this condition for g by (**):

$$(**) \quad g \mid \mathfrak{w}_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^k \chi(p) \kappa(p) g \quad \text{for all } p \in E \text{ with } \chi_p = \mathbf{1},$$

and we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{**}^{\emptyset, \kappa' \mid F} &= \mathfrak{S}_{**}^{\emptyset, \kappa' \mid F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K \\ &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h \in \mathfrak{S}^{\emptyset, \kappa' \mid F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K ; \\ h \mid \mathfrak{w}_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^k \chi(p) \kappa(p) h \text{ for all } p \in E \text{ with } \chi_p = \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From [U1, (3.9) and (3.11)], we have $g \mid \mathfrak{w}_p = \pm g$ for all $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$ and so $\{g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}; g \text{ satisfies the condition } (**)\}$ is a basis of the space $\mathfrak{S}_{**}^{\emptyset, \kappa' \mid F}$.

As we show in the proof of Proposition 11, all of three forms $f_{\rho_0} \mid e_{II}^{\kappa}$, f , and g are common eigenforms on $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, N) = 1$) and all of them belong to the same system of eigenvalues.

Therefore, for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $(n, N) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}\left(\tilde{T}(n^2); B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{II}^{\kappa}\right) &= \text{tr}\left(\tilde{T}(n^2); \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi}, f: (*)} \mathbf{C} f_{\rho_0} \mid e_{II}^{\kappa}\right) \\ (2.13) \quad &= \text{tr}\left(\tilde{T}(n^2); \bigoplus_{g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}, g: (**)} \mathbf{C} g\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(\tilde{T}(n^2); \mathfrak{S}_{**}^{\emptyset, \kappa' \mid F}(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta')_K\right). \end{aligned}$$

We will describe the right hand side of the above formula in terms of cusp forms of weight $2k$.

Take any element g of the basis of $\mathfrak{S}_{**}^{\emptyset, \kappa' | F}$, i.e. $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\eta'}$ which satisfies the condition (**). Let G be the primitive form of weight $2k$ which corresponds to g in the sense of [U1, Theorem (3.11)]. From [U1, (3.9)], we have $g \mid U(p^2) = -p^{k-1}g \mid \mathbf{w}_p = -p^{k-1} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^k \chi(p)\kappa(p)g$ for all $p \in E$ with $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$. So from [U1, (3.11)], $G \mid U(p) = -p^{k-1} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^k \chi(p)\kappa(p)G$.

Let $W(p)$ be the Atkin-Lehner operator of weight $2k$ (cf. §0 (b)). From [M, Corollary 4.6.18], $G \mid U(p) = -p^{k-1}G \mid W(p)$ and so $G \mid W(p) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^k \chi(p)\kappa(p)G$ for all $p \in E$ with $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$. Hence the space $\mathfrak{S}_{**}^{\emptyset, \kappa' | F}$ corresponds to all such G 's.

We can deduce the following expression by the trace relation in the paper [U1, (3.10) (2), (A.2) (3), and (A.5)]:

For any $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ prime to $\tilde{N}(\alpha)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad & \text{tr} \left(\tilde{T}(n^2); \mathfrak{S}_{**}^{\emptyset, \kappa' | F} \left(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta' \right)_K \right) \\
 &= \sum_{((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma')) \in P'} \Xi'((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma')) \\
 & \quad \times \text{tr} \left(T(n); S^{*(\hat{\tau}', \sigma')} \left(2k, M_1 l_E \prod_{l \in F} l^{\beta_l} \right) \mid R_{\Psi'} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the notations are as follows: $\sum_{((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma')) \in P'}$ is the sum extended over all elements of the following set:

$$P' := \left\{ ((\beta_l)_{l \in F}, \Psi', (\tau', \sigma')); (\beta_l) = (\beta_l)_{l \in F} \text{ is a system of integers} \right. \\
 \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{such that } 0 \leq \beta_l \leq \alpha_l \text{ for any } l \in F, \Psi' \subseteq F(\beta)_0 + F(\beta)_1, \\ \tau' \in \text{Map}(F, \{\pm 1\}), \sigma' \in \text{Map}(F(\beta)_{2+}, \{\pm 1\}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

$F(\beta)_i := \{l \in F \mid \beta_l = i\}$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $F(\beta)_{2+} := \{l \in F \mid \beta_l \geq 2\}$. $\Xi'((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma')) = \prod_{p \in F} \Xi'_p((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma'))$. Each $\Xi'_p((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma'))$ is the constant determined by the table [U1, (2.22)]. $\hat{\tau}'$ is the extension of τ' which is defined as follows:

$$\hat{\tau}'(l) = \begin{cases} \tau'(l), & \text{if } l \in F; \\ \left(\frac{-1}{l}\right)^k \chi(l)\kappa(l) \prod_{q \in \Psi'} \left(\frac{l}{q}\right), & \text{if } l \in E \text{ and } \chi_l = \mathbf{1}. \end{cases}$$

Finally,

$$S^{*(\tau', \sigma')} \left(2k, M_1 l_E \prod_{l \in F} l^{\beta_l} \right) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f \in S^*(2k, M_1 l_E \prod_{l \in F} l^{\beta_l}); f \mid W_l = \\ \hat{\tau}'(l)f \text{ for all } l \in F + \{l \in E \mid \chi_l = \\ \mathbf{1}\}, f \mid R_l W_l = \sigma'(l)f \mid R_l \text{ for all } \\ l \in F(\beta)_{2+} \end{array} \right\}.$$

See [U1, Appendix 1] for the definition of the space $S^*(2k, M_1 l_E \prod_{l \in F} l^{\beta_l})$.

In order to compare this formula (2.14) with those in [U1, (3.10) (2)], we must modify the above formula.

We define a system of integers $(\tilde{\beta}_l)_{l \in \Pi}$ for each system of integers $(\beta_l)_{l \in F}$ as follows: $\tilde{\beta}_l = \beta_l, 1$, or 0 according to $l \in F, E$, or D .

Then we have $\Pi(\tilde{\beta})_0 = D + F(\beta)_0, \Pi(\tilde{\beta})_1 = E + F(\beta)_1, \Pi(\tilde{\beta})_{2+} = F(\beta)_{2+}$, and $l_E \times \prod_{l \in F} l^{\beta_l} = \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\tilde{\beta}_l}$.

We want to extend the domain of definition of $\tau' (= F)$ to the whole set Π . Since $\{\pm 1\}^\Pi = \{\pm 1\}^F \times \{\pm 1\}^{D+E}$, we will append this factor $\{\pm 1\}^{D+E}$ and denote each element of $\{\pm 1\}^\Pi = \{\pm 1\}^F \times \{\pm 1\}^{D+E}$ as $\tau = (\tau', \tau'')$.

We note that there are some assumptions on the primes $l \in E$ with $\chi_l = \mathbf{1}$ in the definition of the space $S^{*(\tau', \sigma')} (2k, M_1 l_E \prod_{l \in F} l^{\beta_l})$. We remove this condition by replacing the coefficients $\Xi'((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma')) =: \prod_{p \in F} \Xi'_p((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma'))$ with $\prod_{p \in F} \Xi'_p((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma')) \times \prod_{p \in D+E} \Xi''_p$ where the notation is as follows:

$$2 \times \Xi''_p := \begin{cases} 1 + \tau''(p), & \text{if } p \in D; \\ 1 + 1, & \text{if } p \in E \text{ and } \chi_p = \left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right); \\ 1 + \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^k \chi(p)\kappa(p) \prod_{q \in \Psi'} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \tau''(p), & \text{if } p \in E \text{ and } \chi_p = \mathbf{1}. \end{cases}$$

Finally replacing σ' with σ and combining the formula (2.13) and (2.14), we can obtain the following expression of $\text{tr}(\tilde{T}(n^2); B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{II}^\kappa)$ in terms of primitive forms of weight $2k$:

Under the above notation, we get the followings for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ prime to N ,

$$(2.15) \quad \text{tr}(\tilde{T}(n^2); B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{II}^\kappa) = \sum_{((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma), \tau'') \in P' \times \{\pm 1\}^{D+E}} \prod_{p \in F} \Xi'_p((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma)) \times \prod_{p \in D+E} \Xi''_p$$

$$\times \operatorname{tr} \left(T(n); S^{*(\tau, \sigma)} \left(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\tilde{\beta}_l} \right) \mid R_{\Psi'} \right).$$

where $\tau := (\tau', \tau'') \in \{\pm 1\}^F \times \{\pm 1\}^{D+E} = \{\pm 1\}^\Pi$, $\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}^{F(\beta)_{2+}} = \{\pm 1\}^{\Pi(\tilde{\beta})_{2+}}$, and

$$S^{*(\tau, \sigma)} \left(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\tilde{\beta}_l} \right) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f \in S^* \left(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\tilde{\beta}_l} \right); f \mid W_p = \\ \tau(p)f \text{ for all } p \in \Pi, f \mid R_p W_p = \sigma(p)f \mid \\ R_p \text{ for all } l \in \Pi(\tilde{\beta})_{2+} = F(\beta)_{2+} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now we will compare the above formula (2.15) with [U1, (3.10) (2)]. And we will see that the formula (2.15) can be considered as a part of the expression formula [U1, (3.10) (2)] of $\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{T}(n^2); \mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K)$.

We first note that for any parameter $((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma), \tau'') \in P' \times \{\pm 1\}^{D+E}$, the system $((\tilde{\beta}_l)_{l \in \Pi}, \Psi', (\tau, \sigma))$ is contained in the range P of parameters in the expression formula [U1, (3.10) (2)] of the trace $\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{T}(n^2); \mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K)$. This is easily verified.

We second must study for any $(\beta_l)_{l \in F}, \Psi', (\tau, \sigma) = ((\tau', \sigma), \tau'')$, whether the coefficient of $\operatorname{tr} \left(T(n); S^{*(\tau, \sigma)} \left(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\tilde{\beta}_l} \right) \mid R_{\Psi'} \right)$ is equal to those in the expression formula [U1, (3.10) (2)].

Both coefficients are defined as products of primary components on $p \in \Pi$. We will compare these primary components.

For $p \in F + E$, we can verify the following identities:

$$(2.16) \quad \Xi_p((\tilde{\beta}_l), \Psi', (\tau, \sigma)) = \begin{cases} \Xi'_p((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma)), & \text{if } p \in F; \\ \Xi''_p, & \text{if } p \in E, \end{cases}$$

where the left-hand side is the constant with respect to $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ determined by [U1, (2.22)] and $\tau' := \tau|_F$.

These identities are easily verified, in case by case, from the following facts.

(1) The 2-primary part of χ is $\chi_2 = \eta_2 \eta'_2 = \left(\frac{(-1)}{u} \right) \left(\frac{(-1)}{w'} \right), \eta'_2 = \left(\frac{(-1)}{u'} \right),$

and also $\eta'_{2M_1 l_E} = \left(\frac{(-1)}{u'} \right) \chi_{M_1} \prod_{p \in E} \chi_p.$

(2) For $p \in E + F$, we have $\chi_p = \eta'_p.$

Next we consider the case of $p \in D$. Then if $\tau(p) = -1$, any identity like (2.16) does not hold good.

However, since any prime $p \in D$ does not occur in the level $M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\tilde{\beta}_l}$, the Atkin-Lehner operator W_p is the identity operator $\mathbf{1}$. Hence if $\tau(p) = -1$, the space $S^{*(\tau, \sigma)} \left(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\tilde{\beta}_l} \right)$ is always equal to $\{0\}$. Therefore we can neglect such cases and eventually without a loss of validity, we can consider that we also have the same identity as (2.16) in the case of $p \in D$.

Therefore we get the following formula.

PROPOSITION 12. *Let notation be the same as above and $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that $(n, N) = 1$.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \left(\tilde{T}(n^2); B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa} \right) &= \sum_{((\beta_l), \Psi', (\tau', \sigma), \tau'') \in P' \times \{\pm 1\}^{D+E}} \prod_{l \in \Pi} \Xi_l((\tilde{\beta}_l), \Psi', (\tau, \sigma)) \\ &\quad \times \text{tr} \left(T(n); S^{*(\tau, \sigma)} \left(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\tilde{\beta}_l} \right) \mid R_{\Psi'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where each coefficient $\Xi_l((\tilde{\beta}_l), \Psi', (\tau, \sigma))$ has the value either 0 or 1 which is the coefficient of $\text{tr} \left(T(n); S^{*(\tau, \sigma)} \left(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\tilde{\beta}_l} \right) \mid R_{\Psi'} \right)$ in the expression formula [U1, (3.10) (2)] of the trace of $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ on the space $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$.

In other words, we can consider the above formula as a part of the expression formula [U1, (3.20) (2)]. □

For any $(\alpha_l), B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa}$ is a subspace of $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ (cf. (2.4)). We define the following subspace of $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$:

$$(2.17) \quad \hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa} = \hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K := \sum_{(\alpha_l) \neq (\nu_l)} B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa}.$$

Here, (α_l) in the above sum runs over all system of integers such that $0 \leq \alpha_l \leq \nu_l = \text{ord}_l(N)$ ($l \in \Pi$) and $(\alpha_l)_{l \in \Pi} \neq (\nu_l)_{l \in \Pi}$. We also denote by $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa} = \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ the orthogonal complement of $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$.

Since any operators $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($(n, N) = 1$) fix each subspace $B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa}$ respectively, both $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}$ and $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}$ are stable under the action of $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($(n, N) = 1$).

We can generalize [U1, Theorem (4.13)] as follows:

THEOREM 2. *Let the notation be the same as above and let $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^I$. We suppose that $\text{ord}_2(N) = 2$. The following assertions hold good.*

(1) *For all $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ prime to N ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}\left(\tilde{T}(n^2); \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K\right) \\ &= \sum_{\Pi(\nu)_2 = I + J + K} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \text{Map}(\Pi, \{\pm 1\}) \\ \sigma \in \text{Map}(\Pi - (I + J), \{\pm 1\})}} \Xi((\nu(I, J)_l), I + J, (\tau, \sigma)) \\ & \quad \times \text{tr}\left(T(n); S^{*(\tau, \sigma)}\left(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in J} l \prod_{l \in \Pi - (I + J)} l^{\nu_l}\right) \mid R_{I + J}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Pi(\nu)_2 := \{l \in \Pi \mid \nu_l = 2\}$, $\sum_{\Pi(\nu)_2 = I + J + K}$ is the sum extended over all partitions such that $\Pi(\nu)_2 = I + J + K$, $\nu(I, J)_l$ is a constant which has a value 0, 1, or ν_l ($:= \text{ord}_l(N)$) according to $l \in I, J$, or $\Pi - (I + J)$, $\Xi((\nu(I, J)_l), I + J, (\tau, \sigma))$ are the constants determined by [U1, (2.22)].

(2) Let $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ which is stated in [U1, (3.11) (1)]. Let $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_0$ (resp. $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_1$) be the set of all $f \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}$ which correspond to primitive forms in $S^0(2k, M)$ (resp. in $S^0(2k, M')$, $0 < M' \mid M$, and $M' < M$) in the sense of [U1, Theorem (3.11) (1)]. Then $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_0$ (resp. $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_1$) generates the space $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ (resp. $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$).

(3) Let f be any element of $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_0$ and λ_p the eigenvalue of f with respect to $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$ (p : prime, $p \nmid M$) resp. $U(p^2)$ (p : prime, $p \mid M$). Then the primitive form F which corresponds to f in the sense of [U1, Theorem (3.11) (1)] satisfies the condition $F \mid T(p) = \lambda_p F$ resp. $F \mid U(p) = \lambda_p F$ for all primes with $p \nmid M$ resp. $p \mid M$.

Proof. Let $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ be the same \mathbf{C} -basis of $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ as in the above statement (2) and P the same set of parameters as in the expression formula [U1, (3.10) (2)].

For any (α_l) , the space $B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa}$ is a stable subspace of $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ under the action of all operators $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($(n, N) = 1$). Using the Strong Multiplicity One theorem [U1, (3.11) (2)] on $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$, $B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa}$ is generated by the set $\underline{\mathcal{B}} \cap (B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa})$. Similarly, we can see that the spaces $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}$ and $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}$ are generated by $\underline{\mathcal{B}} \cap \hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa} = \cup_{(\alpha_l) \neq (\nu_l)} (B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa}) \cap \underline{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa} \cap \underline{\mathcal{B}}$ respectively. And also $\underline{\mathcal{B}} = (\underline{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}) + (\underline{\mathcal{B}} \cap \hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa})$. For

any $((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \in P$, we denote by $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))}$ the subset of $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ which corresponds to the subspace $S^{*(\tau, \sigma)}(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\rho_l}) \mid R_\Psi$ in the sense of [U1, (3.11) (1)].

Then from Proposition 12, there exist only two possible cases: either $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))} \subseteq B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa}$, or $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))} \cap B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa} = \emptyset$; and whether the former case is or not depends only on the parameter $((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))$.

We define the subsets P^* and P^{**} of P by:

$$P^* := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \in P; ((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \text{ does not satisfy (at least)} \\ \text{one of the following three conditions: (i) } \rho_l = \nu_l \text{ on } \Pi(\rho)_{2+}; \\ \text{(ii) } \Psi = \Pi(\rho)_0 + \Pi(\rho)_1; \text{ (iii) } \nu_l = 2 \text{ on } \Pi(\rho)_0 + \Pi(\rho)_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

and $P^{**} := P - P^*$.

Take a system $(\alpha_l)_{l \in \Pi}$ and a parameter $((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \in P$ such that $(\alpha_l) \neq (\nu_l)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))} \subseteq B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa}$. Then $((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \in P^*$. In fact, suppose that $((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))$ satisfies the above condition (i) and (ii). We get from Proposition 12 that $\rho_l \leq \alpha_l$ for all $l \in \Pi$ and $\Psi \subseteq \Pi(\alpha)_{2+}$. Hence $\Pi(\rho)_{2+} \subseteq \Pi(\alpha)_{2+}$ and by using the condition (i), $(\rho_l =) \alpha_l = \nu_l$ for all $l \in \Pi(\rho)_{2+}$.

From the condition (ii), $\Pi(\alpha)_{2+} \supseteq \Psi = \Pi - \Pi(\rho)_{2+} \supseteq \Pi - \Pi(\alpha)_{2+}$. This means $\Pi = \Pi(\alpha)_{2+}$.

Since $(\alpha_l) \neq (\nu_l)$, there exists $l \in \Pi$ such that $\alpha_l < \nu_l$. From the above, then $l \in \Pi - \Pi(\rho)_{2+} (\subseteq \Pi(\alpha)_{2+})$ and $2 \leq \alpha_l < \nu_l$. Hence the condition (iii) is not satisfied.

The contrary is also true. Take any $((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \in P^*$. Put

$$\alpha_l := \begin{cases} \rho_l, & \text{if } l \in \Pi(\rho)_{2+}, \\ 2, & \text{if } l \in \Psi, \\ 1, & \text{if } l \in \Pi(\rho)_1 - \Psi, \\ 0, & \text{if } l \in \Pi(\rho)_0 - \Psi. \end{cases}$$

Since $((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))$ does not satisfy one of the conditions (i)–(iii), we have $(\alpha_l) \neq (\nu_l)$. Next we put

$$\beta_l := \begin{cases} \rho_l, & \text{if } l \in \Pi(\rho)_{2+}, \\ 1, & \text{if } l \in \Psi \cap \Pi(\rho)_1, \\ 0, & \text{if } l \in \Psi \cap \Pi(\rho)_0. \end{cases}$$

We also define the system of integers $(\tilde{\beta}_l)_{l \in \Pi}$ by the above (β_l) in the same manner as in the paragraph after the formula (2.14). Then we have

$\tilde{\beta}_l = \rho_l$ for all $l \in \Pi$. Moreover observing that $\Psi \subseteq \Pi(\rho)_0 + \Pi(\rho)_1 \subseteq (\Pi(\alpha)_{2+})(\beta)_0 + (\Pi(\alpha)_{2+})(\beta)_1$ and $(\Pi(\alpha)_{2+})(\beta)_{2+} = \Pi(\rho)_{2+}$, we can see that $((\beta_l)_{l \in \Pi(\alpha)_{2+}}, \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))$ occurs in the parameter set $P' \times \{\pm 1\}^{\Pi(\alpha)_1 + \Pi(\alpha)_0}$ of the expression formula in Proposition 12. Hence $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))} \subseteq B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_{\Pi}^{\kappa}$.

Thus, we see that $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}$ is generated by the set $\bigcup_{((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \in P^*} \underline{\mathcal{B}}_{((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))}$ and so $\bigcup_{((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \in P^{**}} \underline{\mathcal{B}}_{((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma))}$ generates $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}$. The assertion (1) is easily deduced from this result.

We will prove the assertion (2). For any $((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \in P$, we have from the definition: $S^{*(\tau, \sigma)}(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi} l^{\rho_l}) \mid R_{\Psi} \subseteq S^0(2k, M_1 \prod_{l \in \Pi - \Psi} l^{\rho_l} \prod_{l \in \Psi} l^2)$. Hence the assertion (2) follows from the fact:

$$((\rho_l), \Psi, (\tau, \sigma)) \in P^{**} \iff \prod_{l \in \Pi - \Psi} l^{\rho_l} \prod_{l \in \Psi} l^2 = M_{2+}.$$

The assertion (3) can be proved by the same method as in the [U1, Theorem (3.11) (1)]. □

§3. Another simpler definition of newforms for Kohnen spaces

In this section, we give another simpler definition of newforms for Kohnen spaces than the previous one.

We keep to the notations in the previous sections and the paper [U1]. See those for the details of definitions and notations.

We note that we consider only Kohnen spaces in this section.

In this paper and the previous paper [U1], we define several subspaces and give several decompositions. Combining those decompositions, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} S := S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K & \text{if } k \geq 2 \\ V := V(N; \chi)_K & \text{if } k = 1 \end{array} \right\} \\ & = \left(\bigoplus_{\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^{\Pi}} \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K \right) \\ & \quad \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^{\Pi}} \hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^{\Pi}} \bigoplus_{\substack{0 < e, d, \\ ed | M_1, d \neq M_1}} \mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi)_K \mid U(e^2) \right) \\ \oplus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Ker}(R_{\Pi}, S) \quad \text{if } k \geq 2 \\ \text{Ker}(R_{\Pi}, V) \quad \text{if } k = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

This formula follows from [U1, (1.5), (1.7), (3.10) (2)] and (2.17) in this paper.

DEFINITION. We put the following notation:

$$(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{N}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K \\ := \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^{\Pi}} \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K \\ := \left(\bigoplus_{\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^{\Pi}} \hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K \right) \\ \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^{\Pi}} \bigoplus_{\substack{0 < e, d, \\ ed | M_1, d \neq M_1}} \mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi)_K \mid U(e^2) \right) \\ \oplus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Ker}(R_{\Pi}, S) \quad \text{if } k \geq 2 \\ \text{Ker}(R_{\Pi}, V) \quad \text{if } k = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then we can rewrite the formula (3.1) as follows.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} S := S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K, \quad \text{if } k \geq 2 \\ V := V(N; \chi)_K, \quad \text{if } k = 1 \end{array} \right\} \\ = \mathfrak{N}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K \oplus \mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K.$$

PROPOSITION 13.

$$\text{Ker}(R_{\Pi}, S) = \sum_{l \in \Pi} S \left(k + 1/2, N/l, \chi \binom{l}{-} \right)_K \mid \tilde{\delta}_l. \\ \text{Ker}(R_{\Pi}, V) = \sum_{l \in \Pi} V \left(N/l; \chi \binom{l}{-} \right)_K \mid \tilde{\delta}_l.$$

Proof. By using [U1, (1.9)], the subspaces of the right-hand sides are contained in S, V respectively. Since $(f|\tilde{\delta}_l)(z) = l^{k/2+1/4}f(lz)$, those subspaces are contained in the subspaces of left-hand sides.

We will prove the contrary. Let I be any non-empty subset of Π .

From [U1, (1.5) (3)], it is sufficient to prove that S^I, V^I are contained in the subspaces of right-hand sides respectively.

From the formula after [U1, (1.4)], we have

$$S^I = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} b(n)e(nz) \in S; b(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n \notin L_I \right\},$$

$$V^I = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} b(n)e(nz) \in V; b(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n \notin L_I \right\},$$

where $L^I := \{a \in \mathbf{Z}; (a, l_\Pi) = l_I\} (\subseteq \mathbf{Z}l_I)$.

Take a prime $l \in I$ and any element $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty b(n)e(nz)$ in S^I or V^I . Then from the above formula, $b(n) \neq 0 \Rightarrow n \in L_I \subseteq \mathbf{Z}l$. Hence there exists a function $g(z)$ on \mathfrak{H} such that $f(z) = g(lz)$. It follows from [U1, (1.11)] that $g \in S(k + 1/2, N/l, \chi \binom{l}{\cdot})_K$ if $f \in S^I$, or $g \in V(N/l; \chi \binom{l}{\cdot})_K$ if $f \in V^I$. This proves the contrary inclusion. □

DEFINITION. For any primitive form F of weight $2k$, we denote by $\{\lambda_F(n); n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ the system of eigenvalues on the Hecke operators $\{T(n); n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Then we define two subspaces of $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ as follows:

$$S(k + 1/2, N, \chi; F)_K := \left\{ f \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K; f \mid \tilde{T}(n^2) = \lambda_F(n)f \right. \\ \left. \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \text{ such that } (n, N) = 1 \right\}.$$

$$V(N, \chi; F)_K := \left\{ f \in V(N; \chi)_K; f \mid \tilde{T}(n^2) = \lambda_F(n)f \text{ for all } \right. \\ \left. n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \text{ such that } (n, N) = 1 \right\}.$$

These are considered as the eigen subspaces corresponding to the primitive form F .

Remark. We can prove that $V(N, \chi; F)_K = S(3/2, N, \chi; F)_K$ for any primitive (cusp) form F .

Under the above notation, we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. (1) For $k \geq 2$, the following decompositions hold good.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K &= \bigoplus_{F: (*1)} S(k + 1/2, N, \chi; F)_K, \\
 \mathfrak{N}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K &= \bigoplus_{F: (*2)} S(k + 1/2, N, \chi; F)_K, \\
 \mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K &= \bigoplus_{F: (*3)} S(k + 1/2, N, \chi; F)_K.
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) For $k = 1$, the following decompositions hold good.

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(N; \chi)_K &= \bigoplus_{F: (*1)} V(N, \chi; F)_K, \\
 \mathfrak{N}(3/2, N, \chi)_K &= \bigoplus_{F: (*2)} V(N, \chi; F)_K, \\
 \mathfrak{D}(3/2, N, \chi)_K &= \bigoplus_{F: (*3)} V(N, \chi; F)_K.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here (*1)–(*3) are the following conditions on primitive forms F of weight $2k$:

- (*1) the conductor of F is a divisor of M .
- (*2) the conductor of F is M .
- (*3) the conductor of F is a divisor of M and less than M .

Proof. From Theorem 2 (2), the subspace $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ has an orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common eigenforms for all Hecke operators $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, N) = 1$). Moreover the system of eigenvalues of any element of such basis corresponds to a primitive form of weight $2k$ and of conductor M .

Similarly, from Theorem 2 (2) and [U1, (3.10) (2) and (3.5)], the subspaces $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ and $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi)_K \mid U(e^2)$ have orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common eigenforms for all $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, N) = 1$). Moreover the system of eigenvalues of any element of such basis corresponds to a primitive form of weight $2k$ and of a conductor which divides M and is less than M .

We will prove a similar result for the subspaces $\text{Ker}(R_{\Pi}, S)$ and $\text{Ker}(R_{\Pi}, V)$, i.e., these subspaces have orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common

eigenforms for all $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, N) = 1$) and moreover the system of eigenvalues of any element of such basis corresponds to a primitive form of weight $2k$ and of a conductor which divides M and is less than M .

We use an induction on M_{2+} .

If $M_{2+} = 1$, then $\Pi = \emptyset$ and $R_\Pi = \mathbf{1}$. Hence, $\text{Ker}(R_\Pi, S) = \text{Ker}(R_\Pi, V) = \{0\}$ and the statement holds good.

Let $M_{2+} > 1$ and assume that the above claim holds good if the subspace has a smaller “ M_{2+} ”-part than M_{2+} .

We consider the spaces $S(k + 1/2, N/l, \chi^{(l)})_K$ and $V(N/l; \chi^{(l)})_K$ for any $l \in \Pi$. From the assumption of the induction and [U1, (1.8)], these subspaces have orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common eigenforms for all $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, N) = 1$) and moreover the system of eigenvalues of any element of such basis corresponds to a primitive form of weight $2k$ and of conductor dividing M/l .

Combining this and Proposition 13, the claim for $\text{Ker}(R_\Pi, S)$ and $\text{Ker}(R_\Pi, V)$ follows.

From (3.1)–(3.3) and the Strong Multiplicity One theorem of weight $2k$, the first assertion follows. The second and third assertions are easily seen by using the above results. □

Our next purpose is to rewrite the space of “oldform” $\mathfrak{D}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$.

PROPOSITION 14. *We have the following formulae.*

[The case of $k \geq 2$]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K &= \sum_{\substack{0 < B|M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A|(M/B) \\ \xi(\underline{A}) = \chi}} S(k + 1/2, 4B, \xi)_K \mid \tilde{\delta}_A \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{0 < B|M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A|(M/B)^2 \\ \xi(\underline{A}) = \chi}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq e_l \leq 2 \\ (l \in \Pi)}} S(k + 1/2, 4B, \xi)_K \mid U(A) \prod_{l \in \Pi} R_l^{e_l}. \end{aligned}$$

[The case of $k = 1$]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(3/2, N, \chi)_K &= \sum_{\substack{0 < B|M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A|(M/B) \\ \xi(\underline{A}) = \chi}} V(4B; \xi)_K \mid \tilde{\delta}_A \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{0 < B|M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A|(M/B)^2 \\ \xi(\underline{A}) = \chi}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq e_l \leq 2 \\ (l \in \Pi)}} V(4B; \xi)_K \mid U(A) \prod_{l \in \Pi} R_l^{e_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, ξ runs over all (even quadratic) Dirichlet characters defined modulo $4B$ such that $\xi\left(\frac{A}{\cdot}\right) = \chi$.

Remark. We know the relation of the twisting operator: $R_l^3 = R_l$ for all $l \in \Pi$. Hence we can replace the range $0 \leq e_l \leq 2$ with $0 \leq e_l \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. From [U1, (1.9), (1.22), (1.23)], we easily see that the right-hand sides of the statements are subspaces of $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ resp. $V(N; \chi)_K$.

The subspaces $S(k + 1/2, 4B, \xi)_K$ and $V(4B; \xi)_K$ have orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common eigenforms on hermitian operator $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, 4B) = 1$), moreover the systems of eigenvalues of such eigenforms correspond to primitive forms of weight $2k$ and of conductors dividing B . (cf. [U1, (3.10)]).

The operators $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, N) = 1$) commute with the operators $\tilde{\delta}_A$, $U(A)$, and R_l ($l \in \Pi$) (cf. [U1, (1.8), (1.20)] and [U3, (1.7)]). Hence all subspaces in the right-hand sides of the statements have generators which are common eigenforms on $\tilde{T}(n^2)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $(n, N) = 1$). Moreover those systems of eigenvalues correspond to primitive forms of weight $2k$ and of a conductor which divides M and is less than M , because $B \mid M$ and $B \neq M$.

Combining this with Theorem 3, we see that $\mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ contains the right-hand side.

Next we will prove the contrary inclusion.

We have an explicit expression formula (3.3) of $\mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$. We will check each direct summand of the formula (3.3).

It follows from Proposition 13 that $\text{Ker}(R_\Pi, S)$ and $\text{Ker}(R_\Pi, V)$ occur in the first term of the right-hand side, respectively.

Next we consider the part of the subspaces $\mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi)_K \mid U(e^2) = \mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa'}(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_1e^2)$ ($0 < e, d, d \neq M_1, ed \mid M_1$), where see [U1, (3.1)] for the definition of m_1 and χ^{2+} . We only remark $\kappa' := \kappa \cdot \left(\frac{m_1}{\cdot}\right)$, $0 < m_1 \mid M_1$, and $\chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_1}{\cdot}\right) = \chi$.

This subspace is contained in the space $S(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_1e^2)$ (when $k \geq 2$), resp. $V(4dM_{2+}; \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_1e^2)$ (when $k = 1$).

We decompose $m_1 = m_2 \cdot m_3$ with $m_2 = (m_1, d)$.

Then the character $\chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_2}{\cdot}\right)$ can be defined modulo $4dM_{2+}$. From [U1, (1.28)], we have $S(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_2) = S(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_2}{\cdot}\right))_K$ and $V(4dM_{2+}; \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_2) = V(4dM_{2+}; \chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_2}{\cdot}\right))_K$.

From this we may assume that $(m_1, d) = 1$.

We decompose that $m_1 = m_4 \cdot m_5$ with $m_4 = (m_1, e)$.

We first consider the case of $m_4 = 1$. In this case, we set $B = dM_{2+}$.

Since $(m_1, ed) = (e, d) = 1$, $m_1e^2 \mid (M_1/d)^2 = (M/B)^2$ and so the subspaces $S(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_1e^2)$ (when $k \geq 2$) and $V(4dM_{2+}; \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_1e^2)$ (when $k = 1$) occur in the second term of the right hand side.

Next we consider the case of $m_4 > 1$. Take a prime divisor p of m_4 . Then $m_1e^2 = (m_1/p)(e/p)^2 \times p^3$.

For $k \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_1e^2) \\ &= S(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi^{2+})_K \mid U((m_1/p)(e/p)^2)U(p^3) \\ &\subseteq S\left(k + 1/2, 4M/p, \chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_1/p}{}\right)\right)_K \mid U(p^3) \\ &\subseteq S\left(k + 1/2, 4M/p, \chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_1/p}{}\right)\right)_K \mid \tilde{\delta}_p \\ &\quad + S\left(k + 1/2, 4M/p, \chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_1/p}{}\right)\right)_K \mid U(p). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the formula (A.4) at the last inclusion.

For $k = 1$, we can see in the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} V(4dM_{2+}; \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_1e^2) &\subseteq V\left(4M/p; \chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_1/p}{}\right)\right)_K \mid \tilde{\delta}_p \\ &\quad + V\left(4M/p; \chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_1/p}{}\right)\right)_K \mid U(p). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use that Hecke operator $\tilde{T}(p^2)$ fixes $V\left(4M/p; \chi^{2+} \left(\frac{m_1/p}{}\right)\right)_K$.

We set $B = M/p$, $A = p$ and so $A = p \mid (M/B) = p$. This means that $S(k + 1/2, 4dM_{2+}, \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_1e^2)$ (when $k \geq 2$) and $V(4dM_{2+}; \chi^{2+})_K \mid U(m_1e^2)$ (when $k = 1$) are contained in the right-hand side.

We finally consider the part of $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$. For all $(\alpha_l)_{l \in \Pi} \neq (\nu_l)_{l \in \Pi}$, we have the following (cf. §2 (2.1)):

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(\alpha)} &:= \bigoplus_{0 < a \mid l_D} \mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa \mid F}\left(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \chi\right)_K \mid U(a^2) \\ &:= \bigoplus_{0 < a \mid l_D} \mathfrak{S}^{\theta, \kappa' \mid F}\left(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}(\alpha), \eta'\right)_K \mid U(ua^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $D := \Pi(\alpha)_0$, $F := \Pi(\alpha)_{2+}$, and see §2 for the other notation.

It follows from the above that $B^{(\alpha)}$ occurs in the second term of the right-hand side. Here, we note that $B = M_1 M_{2+}^{(\alpha)}$ and so $l_D^2 \mid (M/B)$. Hence $A = ua^2 \mid l_D^3 \mid (M/B)^2$.

Since $e_H^\kappa = \prod_{l \in \Pi} \frac{1}{2}(R_l^2 + \kappa(l)R_l)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}^{\theta, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K = \sum_{(\alpha_l) \neq (\nu_l)} B^{(\alpha)} \mid e_H^\kappa$, the subspace $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}^{\theta, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ is contained in the second term of the right-hand side. □

From the above, we can give the following simple reformulation of results of §2 and §3.

THEOREM 4. (Final formulation of newform for Kohnen space) *Let k be a positive integer and M an odd positive integer. Let χ be an even character modulo $N := 4M$ with $\chi^2 = \mathbf{1}$. We denote the p -adic additive valuation for any integer m by $\text{ord}_p(m)$. We decompose M as follows:*

$$M = M_1 M_{2+}, \quad M_1 := \prod_{\substack{\text{ord}_p(M)=1 \\ p \mid M}} p, \quad M_{2+} := \prod_{\substack{\text{ord}_p(M) \geq 2 \\ p \mid M}} p^{\text{ord}_p(M)}.$$

Denote the set of all prime divisors of M_{2+} by Π .

Define the space of oldform $\mathfrak{D}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ as follows.

[The case of $k \geq 2$]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K &= \sum_{\substack{0 < B \mid M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A \mid (M/B) \\ \xi(\mathbb{A}) = \chi}} S(k+1/2, 4B, \xi)_K \mid \tilde{\delta}_A \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{0 < B \mid M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A \mid (M/B)^2 \\ \xi(\mathbb{A}) = \chi}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq e_l \leq 2 \\ (l \in \Pi)}} S(k+1/2, 4B, \xi)_K \mid U(A) \prod_{l \in \Pi} R_l^{e_l}. \end{aligned}$$

[The case of $k = 1$]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(3/2, N, \chi)_K &= \sum_{\substack{0 < B \mid M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A \mid (M/B) \\ \xi(\mathbb{A}) = \chi}} V(4B; \xi)_K \mid \tilde{\delta}_A \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{0 < B \mid M \\ B \neq M}} \sum_{\substack{0 < A \mid (M/B)^2 \\ \xi(\mathbb{A}) = \chi}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq e_l \leq 2 \\ (l \in \Pi)}} V(4B; \xi)_K \mid U(A) \prod_{l \in \Pi} R_l^{e_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, ξ runs over all characters modulo $4B$ such that $\xi \left(\frac{A}{\cdot} \right) = \chi$.

$\mathfrak{D}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ is a subspace of $S(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ if $k \geq 2$, resp. $V(N; \chi)_K$ if $k = 1$. We denote by $\mathfrak{N}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{D}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ in $S(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ resp. $V(N; \chi)_K$ according as $k \geq 2$ resp. $k = 1$.

Then the space $\mathfrak{N}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ is stable by the twisting operators R_p for all $p \in \Pi$. Hence we can decompose this space into common eigen subspaces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K &= \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^\Pi} \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K &:= \{f \in \mathfrak{N}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K; f | R_p = \kappa(p)f \text{ for all } p \in \Pi\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call these spaces $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ the spaces of newforms of Kohnen space, because these subspaces $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ ($\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}^\Pi$) have the following nice properties.

(1) $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$ has an orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common eigenforms for all Hecke operators $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$ (p : prime, $p \nmid M$) and $U(p^2)$ (p : prime, $p \mid M$), which are uniquely determined up to multiplication with non-zero complex numbers. Let f be such a common eigenform and λ_p the eigenvalue of f with respect to $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$ ($p \nmid M$) resp. $U(p^2)$ ($p \mid M$), then there exists a primitive form $F \in S^0(2k, M)$ of weight $2k$ and of conductor M which is uniquely determined and satisfies the following: For a prime p ,

$$F | T_{2k, M}(p) = \lambda_p F \quad \text{if } (p, M) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F | U(p) = \lambda_p F \quad \text{if } p \mid M.$$

Here, we can find, by using the trace relation of Theorem 2 (1), which primitive form occurs via the above correspondence.

(2) **(The Strong Multiplicity One Theorem)**

Let f, g be two non-zero elements of $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K$. If f and g are common eigenforms of $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$ with the same eigenvalue for all prime numbers p prime to some integer A , then $\mathbf{C}f = \mathbf{C}g$.

Therefore $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k+1/2, N, \chi)_K \hookrightarrow S^0(2k, M)$ as modules on the full Hecke algebra.

(3) The space of oldforms $\mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ has also an orthogonal \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of common eigenforms for all Hecke operators $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$ (p : prime, $p \nmid N$). The system of eigenvalues of such a common eigenform corresponds to a primitive form of weight $2k$ whose conductor is a divisor of M and less than M (cf. Theorem 3).

(4) The space of oldform $\mathfrak{D}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ is generated by the spaces of cusp forms of lower level. Hence, by induction, we see that the spaces $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ ($k \geq 2$) and $V(N; \chi)_K$ ($k = 1$) are reconstructed by the spaces of the types of $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, 4B, \xi)_K$ and the operators of the types of $\tilde{\delta}_A, U(A)$, and R_l .

These operators $\tilde{\delta}_A, U(A)$, and R_l (almost) fix the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, i.e., for $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n)\mathbf{e}(nz)$,

$$f | \tilde{\delta}_A(z) := A^{k/2+1/4} f(Az) = A^{k/2+1/4} \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n)\mathbf{e}(Anz),$$

$$f | U(A)(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a(An)\mathbf{e}(nz),$$

$$f | R_l(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) \binom{n}{l} \mathbf{e}(nz).$$

From this we claim the following: For studying Fourier coefficients of a cusp form in $S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ resp. $V(N; \chi)_K$, it is sufficient to study cusp forms only in the spaces of newforms $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$. □

Remark. There exists a case such that $\mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K \cong \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa'}(k + 1/2, N, \chi)_K$ as modules over Hecke algebra for two distinct $\kappa, \kappa' \in \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. For example we have the following isomorphism: Let p be an odd prime and $\{\kappa, \kappa'\} = \text{Map}(\{p\}, \{\pm 1\})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa}(k + 1/2, 4p^2, \mathbf{1})_K &\cong \mathfrak{N}^{\theta, \kappa'}(k + 1/2, 4p^2, \mathbf{1})_K \\ &\cong \left\{ \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}^{\{p\}}} S^{*(\mathbf{1}, \sigma)}(2k, p^2) \right\} \\ &\quad \oplus \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right) / 2 \{ S^0(2k, p) | R_p \oplus S(2k, \mathbf{1}) | R_p \}. \end{aligned}$$

(cf. Theorem 2 (1))

Appendix.

We collect several propositions which are used in Section 2.

Let k and N be positive integers such that $4 \mid N$ and χ an even Dirichlet character modulo N with $\chi^2 = \mathbf{1}$.

PROPOSITION A.1. *Let Q and a be two odd positive divisors of N such that $(Q, N/Q) = (a, Q) = 1$. For any $f \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$, the following identity holds good:*

$$f \mid U(a)\widetilde{W}(Q) = \chi_Q(a)f \mid \widetilde{W}(Q)U(a),$$

where χ_Q is the Q -primary component of χ .

Proof. If $Q = 1$, the assertion is trivial and so we suppose that $Q \neq 1$.

We decompose $a = l_1 l_2 \cdots l_t$ (l_1, \dots, l_t are odd prime numbers) and $Q = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_n^{e_n}$ (p_i 's are distinct prime numbers and $e_i \geq 1$).

First we consider the case of $t = 1$ and will prove this case by using induction on n .

If $n = 1$, the assertion follows from [U1, (1.20) (1)].

Suppose that $n \geq 2$ and the assertion holds good for any m less than n .

Observing $f \mid U(l_1) \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi \left(\frac{l_1}{\cdot}\right))$, we have the following formula from [U1, (1.18)] and the assumption of the induction:

$$\begin{aligned} f \mid U(l_1)\widetilde{W}(Q) &= \left(\chi \left(\frac{l_1}{\cdot} \right) \right)_{p_n} (Qp_n^{-e_n})f \mid U(l_1)\widetilde{W}(Qp_n^{-e_n})\widetilde{W}(p_n^{e_n}) \\ &= \chi_{p_n}(Qp_n^{-e_n})\chi_{p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}}(l_1)f \mid \widetilde{W}(Qp_n^{-e_n})U(l_1)\widetilde{W}(p_n^{e_n}) \\ &= \chi_{p_n}(Qp_n^{-e_n})\chi_{p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}}(l_1) \left(\chi \left(\frac{Qp_n^{-e_n}}{\cdot} \right) \right)_{p_n} (l_1) \\ &\quad \times f \mid \widetilde{W}(Qp_n^{-e_n})\widetilde{W}(p_n^{e_n})U(l_1) \\ &= \chi_{p_n}(Qp_n^{-e_n})\chi_{p_1 \cdots p_n}(l_1)f \mid \widetilde{W}(Qp_n^{-e_n})\widetilde{W}(p_n^{e_n})U(l_1) \\ &= \chi_{p_1 \cdots p_n}(l_1)f \mid \widetilde{W}(Q)U(l_1) = \chi_Q(l_1)f \mid \widetilde{W}(Q)U(l_1). \end{aligned}$$

Next we suppose that $t \geq 2$ and the assertion holds good for any s less than t . From the above formula and the assumption of the induction, we

have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} f | U(a)\widetilde{W}(Q) &= f | U(l_1 \cdots l_{t-1})U(l_t)\widetilde{W}(Q) \\ &= \left(\chi \left(\frac{l_1 \cdots l_{t-1}}{Q} \right) \right)_Q (l_t)f | U(l_1 \cdots l_{t-1})\widetilde{W}(Q)U(l_t) \\ &= \chi_Q(l_t)\chi_Q(l_1 \cdots l_{t-1})f | \widetilde{W}(Q)U(l_1 \cdots l_{t-1})U(l_t) \\ &= \chi_Q(a)f | \widetilde{W}(Q)U(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the assertion. □

Now, we choose and fix an odd prime number p satisfying $(p, N) = 1$. Take a form $g \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$. Then g is also contained in $S(k + 1/2, Np, \chi)$. Hence we can consider the form $g | Y_p$ (See [U1, p. 155] for the definition of Y_p).

Since $(p, N) = 1$ and χ is defined modulo N , we have $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$. Therefore from [U1, (1.27)], $p^{-k+3/2}g | U(p)\widetilde{W}(p)U(p)\widetilde{W}(p) = g | Y_p^2 = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)pg$.

Using [U1, (1.18)], $p^{-k+3/2}g | U(p)\widetilde{W}(p)U(p) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)pg | \widetilde{W}(p)^{-1} = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k+1/2} \chi_p(-1)\chi_N(p)g | \widetilde{W}(p)$.

Since $g \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ and $\widetilde{W}(p) = \gamma_p^* \tilde{\delta}_p$ for some $\gamma_p \in \Gamma(N)$, we get $g | \widetilde{W}(p) = g | \tilde{\delta}_p$.

Thus we obtain the following formula for any $g \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$.

$$(A.2) \quad g | \tilde{\delta}_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k+1/2} \chi(p)p^{-k/2-1/4}g | Y_pU(p).$$

Next we consider $g = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a(n)\mathbf{e}(nz) \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$ as an element of $S(k + 1/2, Np, \chi)$ and apply [U1, (1.26), (1.18)] to g .

$$\begin{aligned} g | Y_p &= \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k+3/2} \chi(p)g | \widetilde{W}(p)\tilde{\delta}_p + \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{-1/2} p^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \mathbf{e}(nz) \\ &= \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k+3/2} \chi(p)g | \tilde{\delta}_{p^2} + \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{-1/2} p^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \mathbf{e}(nz) \\ &= \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k-1/2} \chi(p)p^{3/2-k} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n \geq 1} \left\{ p^{2k-1}a(n/p^2) + p^{k-1}\chi(p) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^k \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) a(n) \right\} \mathbf{e}(nz), \end{aligned}$$

where $a(n/p^2) = 0$ if $p^2 \nmid n$.

By using [Sh1, Theorem (1.7)], this formula is expressed by $U(p^2)$ and the Hecke operator $\tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)$, i.e., for any $g \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$,

$$(A.3) \quad g | Y_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k-1/2} \chi(p)p^{3/2-k}(g | \tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2) - g | U(p^2)).$$

Combining (A.2) with (A.3), we have for any $g \in S(k + 1/2, N, \chi)$,

$$(A.4) \quad g | \tilde{W}(p) = g | \tilde{\delta}_p = p^{-3k/2+5/4}(g | \tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2)U(p) - g | U(p^3)).$$

Now we assume moreover that g is an eigen form of $\tilde{T}(p^2)$, i.e.,

$$(A.5) \quad g | \tilde{T}_{k+1/2, N, \chi}(p^2) = \lambda_p g \quad (\lambda_p \in \mathbf{C}).$$

and let u be a squarefree odd positive integer such that $(u, 4M_{2+}) = 1$ and $\chi_u = \mathbf{1}$. Here, χ_u is the u -primary component of χ .

We will describe the action of Y_p on the forms $f := g | U(u)$ and $f | U(p^2) = g | U(up^2)$. Here, we consider the action of Y_p on the space $S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}, \chi(\frac{u}{p}))$ (\tilde{N} is the least common multiple of Np and u).

[Case 1] First we assume that $(p, u) = 1$.

Since $g | U(p)$ is contained in $S(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}, \chi(\frac{u}{p}))$, we can apply Proposition A.1 to $g | U(p)$. Hence we have $f | Y_p = p^{-k/2+3/4}g | U(p)U(u)$ $\tilde{W}(p) = (\frac{u}{p})p^{-k/2+3/4}g | U(p)\tilde{W}(p)U(u) = (\frac{u}{p})g | Y_pU(u)$.

By using (A.3) and (A.5),

$$(A.6) \quad f | Y_p = \left(\frac{u}{p}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k-1/2} \chi(p)p^{3/2-k}(\lambda_p f - f | U(p^2)).$$

Applying Y_p to the both sides of the above formula and using [U1, (1.27)],

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)pf = f | Y_p^2 = \left(\frac{u}{p}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k-1/2} \chi(p)p^{3/2-k}(\lambda_p f | Y_p - f | U(p^2)Y_p).$$

Modifying this formula and using (A.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f | U(p^2)Y_p &= -\left(\frac{u}{p}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{-k+3/2} \chi(p)p^{k-1/2}f + \lambda_p f | Y_p \\ &= \left(\frac{u}{p}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k-1/2} \chi(p)p^{3/2-k}\{(\lambda_p^2 - p^{2k-2})f - \lambda_p f | U(p^2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can represent these relations as a matrix as follows:

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & (f \mid Y_p, f \mid U(p^2)Y_p) \\ &= (f, f \mid U(p^2)) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{u}{p}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k-1/2} \chi(p)p^{3/2-k} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_p & \lambda_p^2 - p^{2k-2} \\ -1 & -\lambda_p \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic polynomial of this matrix is $t^2 - \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p$. Hence, this matrix has two distinct eigen values.

[Case 2] Next we assume that $p \mid u$.

Since $g \mid U(p^2)$ is contained in $S\left(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}, \chi\right)$, we can apply Proposition A.1 to $g \mid U(p^2)$. Observing $\chi_p = \mathbf{1}$, $f \mid Y_p = p^{-k/2+3/4}g \mid U(p^2)U(u/p)$ $\widetilde{W}(p) = p^{-k/2+3/4}g \mid U(p^2)\widetilde{W}(p)U(u/p)$.

By using (A.3) and (A.5), $g \mid U(p^2) = \lambda_p g - \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{-k+1/2} \chi(p)p^{k-3/2}g \mid Y_p$. Applying $\widetilde{W}(p)$ to the both sides,

$$(A.8) \quad g \mid U(p^2)\widetilde{W}(p) = \lambda_p g \mid \widetilde{W}(p) - \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{-k+1/2} \chi(p)p^{k-3/2}g \mid Y_p \widetilde{W}(p).$$

Observing $g \mid U(p) \in S\left(k + 1/2, \tilde{N}, \chi^{(2)}\right)$, we apply [U1, (1.18)] to $g \mid U(p)$,

$$(A.9) \quad \begin{aligned} g \mid Y_p \widetilde{W}(p) &= p^{-k/2+3/4}g \mid U(p)\widetilde{W}(p)^2 \\ &= p^{-k/2+3/4} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{k-1/2} \chi(p)g \mid U(p). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (A.4), (A.8), and (A.9), we have

$$p^{-k/2+3/4}g \mid U(p^2)\widetilde{W}(p) = p^{-2k+2}\{(\lambda_p^2 - p^{2k-2})g \mid U(p) - \lambda_p g \mid U(p^3)\}.$$

Therefore

$$(A.10) \quad f \mid Y_p = p^{-2k+2}\{(\lambda_p^2 - p^{2k-2})f - \lambda_p f \mid U(p^2)\}.$$

Applying Y_p to the both sides of the above (cf. [U1, (1.27)]),

$$(p-1)f \mid Y_p + pf = f \mid Y_p^2 = p^{-2k+2}\{(\lambda_p^2 - p^{2k-2})f \mid Y_p - \lambda_p f \mid U(p^2)Y_p\}.$$

Modifying this formula and using (A.10),

$$\begin{aligned} & f | U(p^2)Y_p \\ &= p^{-2k+2} \{(\lambda_p^3 - \lambda_p(p^{2k-1} + p^{2k-2}))f - (\lambda_p^2 - p^{2k-1})f | U(p^2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can represent these relations as a matrix as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & (f | Y_p, f | U(p^2)Y_p) \\ \text{(A.11)} \quad &= (f, f | U(p^2)) \\ & \times p^{-2k+2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_p^2 - p^{2k-2} & \lambda_p^3 - \lambda_p(p^{2k-1} + p^{2k-2}) \\ -\lambda_p & -(\lambda_p^2 - p^{2k-1}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic polynomial of this matrix is $t^2 - (p-1)t - p = (t-p)(t+1)$. Hence, this matrix has two distinct eigen values.

REFERENCES

- [K] W. Kohnen, *Newforms of half-integral weight*, J. reine und angew. Math., **333** (1982), 32–72.
- [M] T. Miyake, *Modular Forms*, Springer, 1989.
- [M-R-V] Manickam, Ramakrishnan, and Vasudevan, *On the theory of Newforms of half-integral weight*, J. of Number theory, **34** (1990), 210–224.
- [N] S. Niwa, *On Shimura's trace formula*, Nagoya Math. J., **66** (1977), 183–202.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*, G. T. M. series, **42**, Springer, 1977.
- [Sh1] G. Shimura, *On modular forms of half integral weight*, Ann. of Math., **97** (1973), 440–481.
- [Sh2] G. Shimura, *The critical values of certain zeta functions associated with modular forms of half-integral weight*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **33–4** (1981), 649–672.
- [Sh3] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Publ. Math. Soc. Japan, **11** (1971), Iwanami Shoten and Princeton Univ. Press.
- [She] T. R. Shemanske, *Cuspidal Newforms and Character twists*, J. reine angew. Math., **328** (1981), 58–71.
- [She-W] T. R. Shemanske and L. H. Walling, *Determining multiplicities of Half-integral weight newforms*, Pacific J. of Math., **167** (1995), 345–383.
- [U1] M. Ueda, *On twisting operators and newforms of half-integral weight*, Nagoya Math. J., **131** (1993), 135–205.
- [U2] M. Ueda, *On Representations of Finite Groups in the Space of Modular Forms of Half-integral Weight*, Proc. of The Japan Acad., **70** (1994), 198–203.
- [U3] M. Ueda, *The trace formulae of twisting operators on the spaces of cusp forms of half-integral weight and some trace relations*, Japanese J. of Math., **17–1** (1991), 83–135.

- [U4] M. Ueda, *The decomposition of the spaces of cusp forms of half-integral weight and trace formula of Hecke operators*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **28** (1988), 505–555.
- [U5] M. Ueda, *Supplement to the decomposition of the spaces of cusp forms of half-integral weight and trace formula of Hecke operators*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **31** (1991), 307–309.
- [U6] M. Ueda, in preparation.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Nara Women's University
Nara 630 Japan
m-ueda@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp