



Rita Mascio, PH.D.<sup>1</sup> , Sandra Lynch, PH.D.<sup>1</sup>, Jane L. Phillips, PH.D.<sup>2</sup>,  
Annamarie Hosie, PH.D.<sup>3</sup> and Megan Best, PH.D.<sup>1</sup> 

## Original Article

**Cite this article:** Mascio R, Lynch S, Phillips JL, Hosie A, Best M (2024). Nurses' models of spiritual care: A cross-sectional survey of American nurses. *Palliative and Supportive Care* **22**, 314–324. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951523000676>

Received: 07 November 2022

Revised: 05 March 2023

Accepted: 09 May 2023

### Keywords:

Nurse; Spiritual care models; Phenomenography; Competence

**Corresponding author:** Megan Best;

Email: [megan.best@nd.edu.au](mailto:megan.best@nd.edu.au)

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Ethics and Society, University of Notre Dame, Broadway, NSW, Australia; <sup>2</sup>School of Nursing, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia and <sup>3</sup>Palliative Care Nursing, University of Notre Dame, Broadway, NSW, Australia

### Abstract

**Objectives.** Despite there being many models for how spiritual care should be provided, the way nurses actually provide spiritual care often differs from these models. Based on the premise that the way a person enacts their work role is related to how they understand that role, this study aims to describe the qualitatively different ways that nurses understand their spiritual care role.

**Methods.** A convenience sample of 66 American nurses completed an anonymous, online questionnaire about what spiritual care means for them and what they generally do to provide spiritual care. Their responses were analyzed phenomenographically.

**Results.** Four qualitatively different ways of understanding emerged: active management of the patient's experience, responsive facilitation of patient's wishes, accompaniment on the patient's dying journey, and empowering co-action with the patient. Each understanding was found to demonstrate a specific combination of 5 attributes that described the spiritual care role: nurse directivity, the cues used for spiritual assessment, and the nurse's perception of intimacy, the patient, and the task.

**Significance of results.** The findings of this study may explain why nurses vary in their spiritual care role and can be used to assess and develop competence in spiritual care.

## Introduction

Several models of spiritual care for patients have been formulated for use by clinicians, including nurses (Barss 2012; Delgado 2007; Emblen and Pesut 2001; Ghorbani et al. 2020a; Govier 2000; Puchalski and Ferrell 2010; Ross and McSherry 2018; Royal College of Nursing 2011; Skalla and McCoy 2006; Smith 2006). These models describe how spiritual care should ideally be provided to patients and generally include key elements, such as the development of trustful relationships; exploration of patients' spiritual perspective about the meaning of life, pain, suffering, or death; and sharing of self and common existential experiences. Despite the preponderance of these prescriptive spiritual care models, nurses provide spiritual care that often departs from these models: literature reports behaviors that appear close to ideal (Pittroff 2013), less than ideal (e.g., providing a chaplain only at patient request (Egan et al. 2017)), and unacceptable (e.g., imposing personal beliefs upon patients (Narasayamy and Owens 2001)). The term "ideal" is used here, following Narayamy and Owen's (2001) usage, but we recognize that nurse behavior can be constrained by several factors, including those related to the patient or environment (Mascio et al. 2021).

The way a person enacts their work role in a particular situation is related to how they understand that role (Sandberg and Targama 2007). It follows then that the way a nurse provides spiritual care is a manifestation of their understanding of their role in spiritual care. Several studies exploring the meaning that nurses ascribe to spiritual care have adopted one of 2 approaches. One approach, labeled here the "uniform" approach, aims to arrive at a single, comprehensive meaning of spiritual care (Britt and Acton 2022; Ramezani et al. 2014; Tirgari et al. 2013; Wisersrith et al. 2021). These studies presume that there is a meaning common to a majority (at least) of nurses and may be motivated by the need to develop health and educational policies related to spiritual care. A risk with this approach, however, is that the ironing out of variations in meanings among individuals is also likely to iron out variations in practice, which could lead to misinformed policies and ways of implementing them.

In contrast, studies in the other approach, labeled here the "pluriform" approach, are motivated by the recognition that the meaning of spiritual care is "subjective and personal and based on each individual's own spiritual belief system" (Dell'Orfano 2002, 384). This approach thus produces a "kaleidoscope of understandings" (MacLaren 2004, 457), assorted medleys of meanings of spiritual care (e.g., Dell'Orfano 2002; Kang et al. 2021; Shin et al. 2020) that overlap only

partly. For example, one meaning appearing in Shin et al.'s (2020) medley, "Considering the perspective of the patient" (p. 156), is faintly similar to the one appearing in Dell'Orfano's (2002) medley ("It is different for every person and depends on their values, beliefs, and their religion" (p. 383)); yet another meaning in Shin et al.'s (2020) medley, "Taking countermeasures against difficult medical situations" (p. 156), does not appear – even faintly – in Dell'Orfano's (2002) medley. These medleys of meanings led to a recent critical review of nurses' understanding of spiritual care to conclude that "...confusion still exists among nurses in understanding [spiritual care]" (Cooper et al. 2020, p. 116). A risk with the apparent confusion produced in the pluriform approach can prompt some to assume that spiritual care is not something substantive and stable and therefore has no legitimate value in health care (Swinton and Pattison 2010).

### Phenomenography

Yet perhaps there is more order in the confusion than meets the eye. Ference Marton, a learning psychologist, observed that whatever phenomenon people encounter, there seemed to be a limited number of qualitatively different ways in which that phenomenon was understood, which is in between the common and the idiosyncratic (Marton 1981). Marton pioneered a qualitative approach called phenomenography that elucidates the different ways a group of people make sense of phenomena around them. This approach has been applied extensively in education settings to map the different ways that students understand various concepts. Because learning in this setting involves a qualitative change in the way a phenomenon/concept is understood, teachers can use the resulting maps to assess students' current level of understanding and to prompt/guide students to see concepts in new and more powerful ways (Johansson et al. 1985).

The phenomenographic approach is now used in broader work settings (research supervision (Franke and Arvidsson 2011), engine optimization (Sandberg 2000), and construction management (Chen and Partington 2006)) to elucidate how workers understand their work by analyzing how they describe their work, e.g., what the work means for them (Blomberg et al. 2015) or what they would do in a typical situation (Strand et al. 2017). The use of phenomenography in health care is particularly informative because the way health-care professionals enact their role can impact patient health. Several phenomenographic studies have mapped the different ways that practitioners understand anesthesia (Larsson et al. 2004), telenursing (Kaminsky et al. 2009), asthma management (Lundborg et al. 1999), and ambulance nursing (Forsell et al. 2020). These maps of understandings can be ordered in terms of complexity or completeness, with more complete or broader understandings being associated with better job performance (Sandberg 2000). The resulting maps can therefore be used to assess practitioners' current level of competence and to guide them to see their role in a new and more effective way (Dall'alba and Sandberg 1996) (e.g., in diabetes care (Holmstrom et al. 2000)). This study will use phenomenography to map the different ways that nurses understand spiritual care.

### A particular context: spiritual care at end of life

The discussion above mentions that an understanding of work is related to the way a person enacts their work in a particular situation (Sandberg and Targama 2007). As a means of specifying the situation in which spiritual care is embedded, our empirical

study will focus on care of dying patients. This focus was chosen not just because of researchers' interests but also because we follow Lundmark's (2006) view that spiritual care studies of an "extreme" situation of caring for dying patients can provide a sound knowledge of "non-extreme" care situations.

Two factors make care of dying patients "extreme." One is the need of the patient. Spiritual issues come to the fore when patients are dying since they are losing independence, relationships, role, future hopes, etc., and may experience feelings of meaninglessness, demoralization, anguish, loneliness, anger, or hopelessness (Best et al. 2015). The other factor is nurse-related, in that nurses are more sensitive to spiritual needs when patients are terminally ill (Abu-El-Noor 2016; Strang et al. 2002) and face many difficulties in providing appropriate spiritual care for these patients (Browall et al. 2014).

Lundmark's view regarding extreme situations is actually an example of a principle that operates in social research generally: extreme cases provide more information than non-extreme cases, making them worthy of intense observation and sampling (Stinchcombe 2005). This idea has spurred studies of extreme organizations (Marti and Fernandez 2013), extreme business systems (Del Vecchio et al. 2021), and extreme migration (Burley 1982) to illuminate how mechanisms in "ordinary" cases operate. The principle also forms the basis of the critical incident technique (Flanagan 1954), widely used in health care, which gathers data about people's experiences and behaviors in extreme or critical situations, as a "considerabl[y] efficien[t]" (Flanagan 1954, 338) way of exploring experiences and behaviors in less extreme situations.

### Aim

Our study aimed to use phenomenography to describe the different ways of understanding spiritual care among nurses. Following other phenomenographic studies of health-care work (e.g. Kaminsky et al. 2009; Larsson et al. 2004), the term "understanding" when used in relation to nurses' understanding of spiritual care refers to a combination of the meaning and practice aspects of spiritual care, as these 2 aspects are intimately intertwined (Sandberg and Targama 2007). These descriptions will contribute to our knowledge of nurses' models of spiritual care, in the sense that a model is "something ... that is used to show what something else is like or how it works" (Cambridge Dictionary 2022). The results will be a step toward a better understanding of nurse behavior regarding spiritual care and will contribute to competence frameworks, thus answering a call for more research in these areas (Selman et al. 2014).

### Method

#### Study design and participants

A cross-sectional survey was conducted with a convenience sample of nurses in the United States. This group was targeted due to ease of access and budgetary limitations. Nurses who were members of a commercial, online panel (*SurveyMonkey Audience*®) were invited by email/text to respond to an online questionnaire administered by *SurveyMonkey*® (rebranded as *Momentive*® in 2021). *SurveyMonkey Audience* is a professional online platform with over 20 million volunteer members from which researchers can purchase a number of responses with desired demographic requirements. This panel categorizes members according to job function and has been used in organizational research of other groups of workers (e.g., project managers (Brandon et al. 2022);

senior managers (Baffoe and Luo 2021), manufacturing employees (Skelton *et al.* 2019)). We invited participation from members whose job function was “nurse.” Nurses who stated they did not provide spiritual care were excluded.

Participants were asked 2 open-ended questions: (1) “What does ‘spiritual/existential care of dying patients’ mean for you personally?” and (2) “How do you approach the work of providing spiritual/existential care of dying patients, i.e. what sort of things do you do generally?” The questions referred to “spiritual/existential care” because a review found that caregivers used the terms “spiritual” and “existential” interchangeably when caring for dying patients (Edwards *et al.* 2010). Participants were not limited in the length of their responses. Background questions (e.g., sex, age) were also asked to develop profiles of nurses typically subscribing to different models; those results will be reported elsewhere. The questions specified the care of dying patients, as a means of specifying the work and the situation in which it is embedded. An incentive (gift card, donation to charity, and sweepstakes) equivalent to \$20 AUD was offered for completing the questionnaire.

### Analysis

The sample was randomly split into a classification sample of 50 participants, which was used to develop draft descriptions of understandings of spiritual care and a verification sample of 16 participants to test the completeness and replicability of these descriptions. Fifty participants’ responses were considered a sufficient initial classification sample, as previous phenomenographic studies using written responses reached saturation in variation with a comparable sample size (e.g., Bruce 1994 [ $n = 41$ ]; Gerber and Velde (1996) [ $n = 52$ ]; Marton and Saljo (1976) [ $n = 40$ ]). A sample of 16 participants’ responses was considered sufficient for verification, also based on precedent (Sandberg 2000).

In the first stage of analysis of the classification sample, responses were read slowly several times by the first author. Interesting or significant comments were highlighted, and notes were made of any revelations about the participant’s view of spiritual care. The aim of this stage was to become aware of the participants’ views, rather than to determine how understandings were structured. Participants’ statements were treated as equally important, regardless of whether they would be considered appropriate according to prescriptive spiritual care literature. No predetermined categories or theories were used at any stage of the analysis.

After a general grasp of responses was obtained, the second stage of analysis aimed to sort responses into categories according to participants’ understandings of spiritual care. The focus was on the essence of each response rather than merely on its constituent phrases. Individual responses were considered in comparison with other responses, and significant quotes were compared to find sources of agreement or variation. While each participant’s understanding of spiritual care was somewhat idiosyncratic, there were underlying similarities. Responses that seemed similar were then grouped according to commonalities in the representative quotes.

In the next stage, a first attempt was made to describe the essence of similarity within each group of responses. Within each group, responses were read to examine and attempt to understand what each participant conceived of as spiritual care. Again, the focus was on the essence of the response rather than its constituent phrases. Different responses were then compared within the group and then compared between groups. This comparison resulted in some responses being moved from one group to another

to enhance similarity within groups and dissimilarity between groups. Four groups emerged representing 4 different understandings of spiritual care. These category descriptions are reduced descriptions of key features that distinguish ways of understanding from each other, rather than rich descriptions of the countless variations of individual nurses’ “lived experience” of spiritual care.

In the next stage, the responses were analyzed in terms of the “how” of spiritual care. The aim was to describe a common set of attributes that identify the operational aspects of spiritual care or how the nurse participant practiced spiritual care. The operational aspects expressed in each response were summarized using representative quotes; quotes from responses in each of the 4 groups were pooled, and commonalities were sought. Quotes were also compared across the 4 groups to identify differences between groups. This stage resulted in 5 distinct attributes being identified.

Although the second and third stages are described separately here, in practice they formed an iterative process, resulting in some regrouping of responses. As well, both of these stages examined a participant’s responses to both questions, as some participants mixed meaning and operational aspects among their responses to the 2 questions. We distinguished meaning and operational aspects by how generalized or specific the response was: generalized actions were taken to be related to meaning and specific actions were taken to be related to operational aspects. For example, one respondent answered the first question with “Doing whatever I can to meet patient’s needs for comfort. If patient wants, talking about what to expect over time” and responded to the second question with “Praying with family, arrange for their pastor to come, help with final wishes.” In this example, the first sentence described a generalized action, so it was taken to be the meaning, and the remaining sentences described specific actions that illustrated the generalized action, so these sentences were taken to be operational aspects.

Some responses contained aspects of 2 categories, which is not uncommon in phenomenographic studies of work (e.g., Larsson *et al.* 2004; Sandberg 2000). In such studies, responses were categorized according to the more comprehensive understanding because – as will be demonstrated in the Discussion – more comprehensive understandings encompass less comprehensive understandings, but not the reverse. We followed suit in our analysis.

While the first author was responsible for identifying and describing the initial set of preliminary categories of understandings, she then explained to other researchers how and why those categories developed. Other researchers in the team familiarized themselves with the data and then discussed, challenged, and debated categories. Where differences of opinion occurred, discussion and recourse to data occurred, until agreement was reached. When each difference was resolved, the team refined the category descriptions.

### Strategies to enhance trustworthiness

While the original formulation of categories in phenomenographic analysis is a form of discovery that does not have to be replicable, once the categories have been found, there should be high degree of intersubjective agreement concerning their presence or absence to be useful to researchers and practitioners (Sjostrom and Dahlgren 2002). Intersubjective agreement was important, as the categories of spiritual care that emerged might simply have been an artifact of the way the data were analyzed: just as nurses can frame a concept like spiritual care based on personal knowledge/beliefs/experience,

researchers can also interpret data based on preexisting knowledge/beliefs/experience. Even though every attempt was made to ensure the researchers' opinions did not bias the results (e.g., by treating all respondent statements as equally important), prior knowledge generally does influence the structure of concepts and the formation of categories (Murphy and Medin 1985). Table 1 describes the strategies undertaken to enhance trustworthiness of the category descriptions.

## Results

Of the 149 nurses who responded to the invitation to participate, 66 completed the survey. Of these, 89% were female and ranged in age (18–60+ years), nursing experience (up to 20+ years), education levels (from vocational/practical to Masters), and health settings (e.g., general hospital, ICU, and community). The combined length of responses to both questions ranged from 18 to 152 words and averaged 47 words. Table 2 provides examples of responses and the 4 models of spiritual care that emerged from the analysis. These models represent the range of qualitatively different ways of understanding spiritual care among study participants: Model A – active management of the patient experience; Model B – responsive facilitation of patient's wishes; Model C – accompaniment on the dying journey; and Model D – empowering co-action with the patient.

The 4 models are marked by variation in understanding of 5 key attributes, shown in Table 3, which both link and separate the different models:

- (1) Intimacy: the degree and type of the nurse's personal involvement in the patient relationship.
- (2) Nurse directivity relative to patient: the relative influence of the nurse in specifying the patient's spiritual needs and how those needs are to be fulfilled.
- (3) Type of patient data used for spiritual assessment: the cues that the nurse uses to determine the patient's spiritual condition and inform the nurse's own response.
- (4) View of the patient: the degree to which patients are personalized and ascribed agency regarding spiritual needs.
- (5) Task/purpose of the spiritual care encounter: what the nurse aims to achieve in specific encounters with patient.

Together, Tables 2 and 3 suggest that movements from Model A to Model D involve increasing expansiveness of understandings of spiritual care and increasing breadth of awareness of its attributes.

## Discussion

This study identified 4 different models of spiritual care among a group of nurses. Each model demonstrated a specific combination of 5 attributes that described the spiritual care role: nurse directivity, the cues used for spiritual assessment, and the nurse's perception of intimacy, the patient, and the task. Elucidation of these models has several implications for research and practice.

### Improved understanding of nurse behavior

This study adds to our understanding of the factors that influence nurses' spiritual care behaviors. A recent systematic review identified scores of personal, organizational, and patient-related factors influencing these behaviors (Mascio et al. 2021), yet none of those factors captured the nurses' understanding of their role. This additional factor is important because a person's understanding of the

work role influences the enactment or behaviors within the role (Sandberg and Targama 2007). That understandings form a range of models of spiritual care incorporating different sets of spiritual care practices may also help explain departures from ideal spiritual care behaviors. Some models (Models A and B) depart significantly from prescriptive ideal models (e.g., Barss 2012; Emblen and Pesut 2001; Smith 2006) that include facets such as establishing a partnership with the patient, exploring the patient's perspective about the meaning of life, and sharing of self.

The set of models uncovered in the present study may provide a way of organizing the apparent "confusion" (Cooper et al. 2020) among nurses about the meaning of spiritual care because a phenomenographic approach produces a set of understandings that lie between the common and the idiosyncratic or between the uniform and pluriform. Some support for the notion that the set can be used to harmonize extant medleys of meanings lies in the observation that semblances of all models can be found in other studies of nurses' spiritual care meanings and experiences. For example, Model A is concordant with "...we advise our patients ... to worship and pray to Allah..." (Abu-El-Noor 2016, 4) and "Taking countermeasures against difficult medical situations" (Shin et al. 2020, 156). Model B is concordant with "Providing specific needs catered to the religious beliefs" (Kang et al. 2021, 968) and "arranging for rituals to be performed" (Ross 1997, 141). Model C concurs with a nurse who states "...I've always allowed them [patients] to initiate anything and then if they say something then ... I just go with wherever they lead. I let them direct wherever they want to go..." (Deal 2014, 858). Model D is concordant with nurses being personally involved in relationships based on mutuality and equal partnership, and in which they could use a counseling approach to support patients (Narayanasamy and Owens 2001). A substantive and stable set of understandings of spiritual care may reduce the risk, identified by Swinton and Pattison (2010), of delegitimizing the spiritual care that nurses deliver within health care.

As well, our study adds to findings of existing studies of nurses' experiences of spiritual care by showing that different understandings of spiritual care are yoked with different bundles of care activities. One implication can be understood by considering a statement typical in the spiritual care literature: "...for spiritual care, meanings included showing empathy, spending time listening and talking to the patient, providing comfort, support of religious and cultural practices, smiling and singing to patients, and referral to religious workers and chaplains" (Cooper et al. 2021, 3). Our study suggests that some of these activities may figure more prominently in some nurses' minds than others. For example, nurses working with a Model D understanding would likely describe their activities as spending time listening to patients and showing empathy, and they would be unlikely to talk *only* about activities such as referral to religious workers, which is typically a Model B activity. However, this does not mean that these nurses would never make such a referral. This tendency for some activities to be more salient than others has implications for quantitative studies that present nurses with lists of spiritual care activities (Kisvetrová et al. 2013): our results suggest that these activities do not form "flat" lists of independent activities but rather have a geography in the sense that they form bundles of activities. Those studies should therefore consider the appropriateness – even after data has been collected – of determining whether clusters of nurses of different understandings/practice reside within the sample.

One reviewer asked whether it was possible for nurses to be flexible and "switch" between models according to the situation. While our study did not delve into situational influences on



**Table 1.** Strategies used to improve the trustworthiness of interpretations

Criteria	Authors	How criteria were applied
Methodological coherence	Morse et al. 2002; Meadows and Morse 2001	<p>The research plan (research questions, background literature, and method) was reviewed by peers prior to data collection. An oral presentation was made at a faculty forum and a detailed written plan circulated to external spiritual care researchers for feedback.</p> <p>Published guidelines on the conduct of a phenomenographic study were adopted.</p>
Sampling adequacy	Morse et al. 2002; Meadows and Morse 2001	<p>Participants were offered an incentive for completing the questionnaire to encourage participation of nurses who may not view spiritual care as a large part of their work.</p> <p>A commercial online panel was used, comprising nurses from across the US in a variety of health settings. The respondent sample covered a wide range of ages, nursing experience, education levels, and health settings.</p> <p>The verification sample was coded by the first researcher. No new categories were required to accommodate responses.</p>
Questionnaire quality	Cope 2004; Marton 1988	<p>The use of open-ended questions gave participants the freedom to incorporate in their answers the aspects of spiritual care they thought were important.</p> <p>To encourage honest responses, participants were informed that there were no right or wrong answers, that responses would be anonymous and confidential, and that they should answer as honestly as possible.</p> <p>Prior to launch, the survey was tested for programming logic and consistency, question clarity and understandability by co-researchers, and by pilot testing with a smaller sample of nurses (<math>n = 17</math>).</p>
Inter-judge reliability	Marton 1988; Sjöström and Dahlgren 2002; Cope 2004; Sandberg 1997	<p>A code-sheet was developed, containing descriptions and example responses of each category, and was used to train an independent reviewer to classify the responses in the classification sample. Agreement of 45/50 was achieved with researcher coding; that is, the independent reviewer coded 45 of the responses in the same category as the first researcher. Disagreements arose from 2 sources: (a) an over-generalized description of the meaning of spiritual care, such as “caring for a patient’s spiritual needs” and (b) ambiguous responses that could be coded into 2 categories. The first researcher resolved disagreements of the first type by scrutinizing the responses regarding operational aspects (i.e., actions taken) and resolved disagreements of the second type by categorizing those responses into the more comprehensive category.</p> <p>The responses in the verification sample were coded by the same independent reviewer and achieved 15 out of 16 agreements with researcher coding.</p> <p>The first author was primarily responsible for identifying and describing preliminary categories of understandings and then explained to other researchers how/why those categories developed. Other researchers familiarized themselves with data and then discussed, challenged, and debated categories. Researchers remained open to challenges in thinking and changes in descriptions/coding. Where differences of opinion occurred, a researcher would try to convince the others of the credibility of her claims, and other researchers would dispute the claim until agreement was reached. For example, early in the analysis, some researchers saw negligible difference between the draft descriptions of Models C and D. But the first researcher wanted to show that an important difference is that the nurse takes a more active role in guiding the patient’s journey in Model D, whereas the nurse <i>follows</i> the lead of the patient in Model C. After several unsuccessful attempts by the first researcher to explain the difference, the other researchers challenged the first researcher to demonstrate this difference in the data. The difference then became clearer and the team refined the model descriptions.</p> <p>Model descriptions and sample responses were presented to a forum of about 20 spiritual care practitioners, which included nurses, for feedback, resulting in refined descriptions.</p>
Reflexivity	Long and Johnson 2000; Sandberg 2000; Cypress 2017	<p>While developing preliminary categories, first researcher did not use predetermined theoretical structures to group responses; all statements were treated as equally important, and interpretations of statements were checked for consistency with other statements made in same response; the researcher held back her own preconceptions about what constitutes effective care and was open to other people’s understanding of spiritual care.</p> <p>Research team members have varied expertise. The first researcher has experience in phenomenographic analysis in a business context; M.B., A.H., and J.L.P. have experience in palliative care and spiritual care; and S.L. has experience in professional ethics. While the first researcher does not have experience in nursing of dying patients, she has gained familiarity by extensive reading of literature. This situation may have helped in more easily bracketing out her own experience/knowledge during analysis. However, even though she thought she understood nurses’ responses, unfamiliarity with the work may have reduced her sensitivity to subtle nuances within the nurses’ work culture. We attempted to ameliorate reduced sensitivity by the broader experience that co-researchers and a wider practitioner audience brought to the analysis.</p> <p>The process of discussion, debate, and challenge among researchers and wider practitioner group exposed the different interpretations and underlying assumptions of researchers to wider scrutiny. Some individuals within these groups were experienced spiritual care practitioners, who practiced close-to-ideal spiritual care. To avoid seeing less than ideal categories as invalid, the researchers repeatedly emphasized to themselves and to practitioners that the categories were descriptive and not prescriptive. The phrase “descriptive not prescriptive” became an oft-used slogan within the research team.</p>

**Table 2.** Models of spiritual care

Model	Model description (and attributes demonstrated) <sup>a</sup>	Sample quotes <sup>b</sup>
A – Active management of the patient experience	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Spiritual care means deciding and performing actions that nurses unilaterally believe will bring patients peace and comfort, such as providing physical comfort, symptom control, or advice (Attribute: Nurse directivity)</li> <li>– The nurses’ role is to assess patients’ situation and comfort levels based on what they themselves observe and to determine what actions are needed (Attribute: Patient data)</li> <li>– Patients are seen as unable to care for themselves (i.e., passive), thus requiring nurses to pilot or direct the experience of patients (Attribute: View of patient)</li> <li>– Nurses are detached from patients; they minister to immediate specific needs (e.g., physical comfort) and try to manage physical surroundings to ensure a pleasant environment for patients (Attributes: Intimacy; Purpose of encounter)</li> </ul>	<p>Tend to their needs, be physically there for them, provide a calm environment</p> <p>Help them accept what is going to happen, so they feel peaceful and how I came to make it a little easier</p> <p>Keeping the patient comfortable physically and mentally</p> <p>Assessing comfort levels and deciding what to do based on that. Provide calming music, turning on their favorite TV show/movie, dim the lights, keeping the room at a comfortable temperature for the patient</p> <p>I first determine if they are religious or not and kind of go from there. Depending on their condition, I may give them a sponge bath and new linens and open the window blinds to give them just enough light to see their surroundings. I make sure to stay on top of their comfort medications if any are prescribed. I sometimes talk to the patient and/or their family members on what to expect as the time to pass gets closer</p>
B – Responsive facilitation of patients’ wishes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Spiritual care means eliciting/identifying from patients the emotional or spiritual needs/desires that have importance/value/meaning/comfort for them and ensuring these needs are met to the best of their ability. (Attributes: Nurse directivity, Purpose of encounter)</li> <li>– Nurses in this model aim to more actively engage patients by enquiring about and responding to patients’ overt expression of spiritual need. (Attribute: Intimacy)</li> <li>– Patients are viewed as “customers” with unique values and preferences, but they are distant or detached from nurses (i.e., not much “giving of self” by nurses). (Attribute: View of patient)</li> <li>– Nurses prioritize giving service to patients, asking patients or family about the patient’s spiritual needs; performing concrete tasks/actions that meet explicitly stated needs. (Attributes: Patient data; Purpose of encounter)</li> <li>– Examples of actions that fulfill needs: answering questions related to end of life (e.g., explaining what will happen during the dying process); acting as coordinator, linking patients to family members or to services offered by unit (e.g., pastoral care); organizing religious activities requested by patients; facilitating the completion of final to-do things. (Attribute: Purpose of encounter)</li> </ul>	<p>Making available spiritual or other comfort for those who want it in their time of need. Ask the patient if they would like to pray together or have a religious person made available for them; ask what would give them peace.</p> <p>Doing what is most important to that patient at this time in their life. Ask them to write out a list of the top 5 things that are important to them.</p> <p>General care of patient’s pain is the first issue, then I ask if there are any persons they would like me to call. Try to facilitate any last wishes. I ask if there are any religious clergy they would like me to contact and have come to their home.</p> <p>Do whatever the patient thinks is necessary to do before passing. Enlisting whatever experts can help at this stage</p> <p>Doing whatever gives a person comfort. Could be praying with that person or reading the Bible or singing hymns with them.</p> <p>1. Assure the patients physical comfort. 2. Identify patients spiritual beliefs of life after death, either by asking if patient is responsive or by religious icons around patient 3. Identify any tasks the patient wanted/needed to complete prior to death.</p>
C – Accompany the patient on the dying journey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Spiritual care means supporting patients in their final journey. (Attribute: Purpose of encounter)</li> <li>– Patients are persons with unique backgrounds, unique approaches to death, needing individualized care. (Attribute: View of patient)</li> <li>– Nurses aim to have patients share their thoughts and feelings about their situation so that they can understand how patients see their situation. Nurses want patients to know that they are not alone and to feel hope and security. (Attribute: Patient data)</li> <li>– Nurses focus on personal involvement with patients. They are present with patients in a different way than in previous models: by giving time, attention, and “space” to patients, to allow conversation and discussion of patients’ thoughts and feelings to happen. (Attribute: Intimacy)</li> <li>– Establishing a trustful relationship with patients is important to enable emotional contact. Nurses stress the importance of listening during conversation, even when encounters are difficult. (Attribute: Intimacy)</li> <li>– Nurses encourage disclosure by the patient (but limits somewhat self-disclosure). Nurses aim to be flexible and ready to engage with patients whenever patients want to do so, and are comfortable with silence, with difficult emotions, and with the fact that they may not have answers to all of a patient’s questions. (Attributes: Intimacy, Nurse directivity)</li> </ul>	<p>Walk beside the person during their final days. Let them talk, listen, be patient and open minded, respect the person – everyone is different.</p> <p>Respecting and supporting a person during the passing process, helping them to accept death. Taking time to listen to patient fears and anxiety about death, am honest if I don’t have answers, just being present to let them know they’re not alone and I want to be there.</p> <p>It means compassion. it means being with them at a time of great need. Assist in whatever gives meaning and value, establish trust, actively listen to them. Everyone is unique and sees things differently.</p> <p>Providing support and comfort in the last stage of life. Take time to developing rapport. Letting the patient talk and express themselves. Being with them and listening to whatever they want to talk about.</p> <p>Mostly I listen to the patient, allowing them to voice their concerns or thoughts, facilitate reading material availability, and making time to be available as long as needed.</p>

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued.)

Model	Model description (and attributes demonstrated) <sup>a</sup>	Sample quotes <sup>b</sup>
D – Empowering co-action with the patient	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Spiritual care is empowering co-action to walk alongside a fellow human being to move them along their unique, dying journey; collaborate/partner with the patient. (Attributes: Nurse directivity; Purpose of encounter)</li> <li>– Patients are seen as persons with unique backgrounds, approaches to death and needs, with whom nurses share a common humanity. Patients are perceived as having strengths, weaknesses, and personal resources and are willing and capable of managing the spiritual journey for themselves if they are supported, encouraged, and provided with resources they need in their journey. (Attribute: View of patient)</li> <li>– Nurses see their role as a privileged one, co-operating with patients to uncover their power/strength in the face of pain and fear. The nurse strives to help patients transcend their situation, by encouraging patients to take responsibility and to be in charge of their experience, depending on individual capacity to do so. (Attributes: View of patient; Nurse directivity)</li> <li>– Unlike Model C, in which the nurse is more of a listening board, the nurse in this model is more active and facilitates patients' dying process, somewhat like a coach guiding a patient and asking questions to encourage reflection. Nurses try to understand patients as persons: their ideals, values, and experience of the situation, and uses this information to guide conversation (Attributes: Nurse directivity; Patient data; View of patient)</li> <li>– Like nurses in Model C, nurses know they don't have all the answers to patients' questions but are willing to help patients tackle these questions if patients so desire; nurses don't try to avoid painful encounters, but neither do they try to solve the problem unilaterally. Nurses see themselves as a "resource" for patients and are willing to become personally involved by sharing of self and own humanity (e.g., emotions). (Attribute: Nurse directivity, Intimacy)</li> </ul>	<p>Giving the patient courage to think about life, its meaning, seek forgiveness for past, giving them energy to push through even when difficult. Being present but also being apart from the person so that you can detect what part of their life is causing pain. Help them know that they CAN do this, to push past discouragement. Encourage talk and even share fears about death and shortcomings. Act as a support person or resource for the patient during critical times.</p> <p>Active listening, non-judgmental open-end questions. Coaching to motivate the patient to find solutions and continue exploring issues even when painful.</p> <p>Having the patient being able to express beliefs and non-beliefs about God or other things. Also sharing with the patient different thoughts. Ask about feelings beliefs and experiences to steer conversation about values and what life means. Each person is different so they have different strengths and capacities they can use to help them on the journey.</p> <p>Try to encourage the patient express what beliefs give them comfort and encourage exploration of that. Sharing conversations and experiences about living, family, life, death, after life. Share that it's an honor to be with them in their most difficult time. Basically sharing humanity.</p>

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviated attributes are as follows: "Nurse directivity" refers to Attribute 2 – Nurse directivity relative to patient; "Patient data" refers to Attribute 3 – Type of patient data used for spiritual assessment; "Purpose of encounter" refers to Attribute 5 – Task/purpose of the spiritual care encounter.

<sup>b</sup>Minor spelling mistakes have been corrected.

understandings, Marton (1981) has observed that understandings are context-sensitive. As well, other phenomenographic studies of work have observed that individuals who have a more comprehensive understanding of work are also aware of a less comprehensive understanding, but the reverse does not apply (e.g., Akerlind 2005; Sandberg 2000). Translated to our study, these observations suggest that while individual nurses may tend toward a particular understanding of spiritual care in "normal" circumstances, they can understand spiritual care differently in different circumstances. Thus, as an example, a nurse who can – or prefers to – work with a Model D understanding can switch to a lower model when the situation requires (e.g. when patients are very unwell/unresponsive), but a nurse who normally – or prefers to – work with a Model A understanding cannot work with a Model D understanding when no situational constraints are present, even when the situation might require it. It is this unidirectionality of movement between understandings that makes understandings useful as indicators of competence (Sandberg 2000). Incidentally, that some nurses "switch" between models is probably why some responses could be grouped into more than one category in the analysis. The issue of how spiritual care models are influenced by situational factors is worth further study.

### Contribution to competency frameworks

Using phenomenography to explore how nurses understand spiritual care allows us to contribute to frameworks of nurse competence in spiritual care. Several pieces of evidence suggest that the models can be ordered in terms of competence. First, literature acknowledges that intimate relationships are needed for provision of good spiritual care (e.g., Carroll 2001; Ghorbani et al. 2020b; Kociszewski 2003; Veloza-Gómez et al. 2017) and that greater levels of nurse–patient intimacy allow deeper levels of spiritual care to be provided (e.g., Carr 2008; Cockell and McSherry 2012; Keall et al. 2014; Ross 1997). Thus, ordering the models in terms of increasing intimacy (i.e., A, B, C, D) also orders them in terms of increasing capability to provide deeper levels of spiritual care. Second, the literature acknowledges that the ideal model of spiritual care is one characterized by intimate personal involvement by the nurse, equal partnership and mutuality between nurse and patient, the use of a coaching approach and use of self by the nurse, reciprocal interaction based on shared humanity, and common existential experiences (Narayanasamy and Owen 2001; Pesut and Thorne 2007). This ideal model seems concordant with Model D.

**Table 3.** Key attributes that run across the models, outlining similarities and differences in operational aspects of spiritual care

Attribute	Model A: Active management of the patient experience	Model B: Responsive facilitation of patient's wishes	Model C: Accompaniment on the dying journey	Model D: Empowering co-action with the patient
Intimacy	Impersonal professional detachment from patient's spiritual life. Expression of feelings inhibited in nurse and patient.	Less formal relationship than Model A. Nurse is more comfortable with patient's disclosure of spiritual needs. Limited or no self-disclosure by nurse.	Close personal and emotional connection. Nurse is comfortable being "present" with the patient during emotional supporting. Nurse's self-disclosure is limited but willing to listen to patient self-disclosure. Importance of trustful relationship recognized.	Informal, familiar partnership, emotionally attached to patient, warm personal mutual sharing of experiences and emotions. Nurse is "present" with the patient.
Nurse directivity relative to patient	Nurse-directed interactions, nurse determines patient's spiritual needs and performs actions that the nurse believes will help the patient; "nurse knows best." Patient is passive/non-directive in expressing spiritual needs.	Patient is directive in articulation of spiritual needs. Nurse performs actions to fulfill patient requests.	Patient is active and directs/leads the course of spiritual conversation. Nurse is "present" with patient but follows the lead of the patient.	Nurse and patient are co-active: nurse supports the patient but actively probes and guides/facilitates conversation. Nurse strives to go beneath what patient is consciously aware of by probing/interpreting patient behavior.
Type of patient data used	How the patient appears to the nurse.	What the patient says he/she needs.	How the situation seems to the patient – patient's emotions, thoughts, utterances, experiences (stated or unstated).	How the situation seems to the patient – patient's emotions, thoughts, utterances, experiences (stated and unstated). Nurse probes to go beyond what the patient is consciously aware of.
View of patient	Patient is more like an object, unable to articulate spiritual needs or to care for themselves spiritually.	Patient as a "customer" – able to articulate spiritual needs.	Patient is a unique human being, willing and able to discuss spiritual needs.	Patient is unique human being with spiritual needs; patient is capable of doing the work to find spiritual peace, with the right support.
Purpose of spiritual encounter	Completion of an immediate, specific task ("doing to" the patient).	Completion of an immediate specific tasks ("doing for" a patient).	Furthering a process of spiritual journey, relationship building ("being with" the patient).	Furthering a process of spiritual journey, relationship building ("working alongside" the patient).

Third, the work pedagogy literature posits that workers with a broader way of understanding their work perform better (Sandberg 2000). Our results suggest that movement from Model A to Model D involves increasing expansiveness of understandings of spiritual care and increasing breadth of awareness of its attributes. Fourth, the expertise development literature observes that experts represent situations differently than do novices or intermediates. Expert representations incorporate values and emotions within a situation rather than simply surface-level perceptual features seen by novices (Lord and Hall 2005); include more variables, such as aspects of themselves (e.g., strengths and emotions); and meet a larger and more subtle range of task requirements (Bereiter and Scardamalia 1993). In our study, movement from Model A to Model D involves deeper awareness of patients' values and emotions, greater use of nurses' own strengths and emotions as resources, and a more sophisticated task purpose. Putting these 4 pieces of evidence together suggests that arranging the models as A-B-C-D also orders the models in terms of increasing competence.

To the extent that our premise regarding the ordering of model competence is true, then the models provide an alternative way of assessing and developing competence. The customary way of defining competence in spiritual care is as a set of knowledge, skills, and attitudes possessed by a nurse, as illustrated in various

lists of competence items (e.g., "To collect ... information about the patient's customary spirituality (van Leeuwen et al. 2009) "...[awareness] of the different world/religious views..." (McSherry et al. 2020); "[v]alue importance of a psycho-social approach to care..." (Attard et al. 2019)). This customary view implies that competence comprises a specific set of components that workers use to accomplish their work and that more competent workers possess a superior set of components (Sandberg 2000). In turn, competence development involves "filling up" the worker with relevant components (Dall'alba and Sandberg 1996). This notion of incremental "filling up" of competence components is exemplified in the use of Likert scales (e.g., ranging from disagree to agree) on discrete spiritual care competency items (e.g., SCCS, van Leeuwen et al. 2009).

An alternative to this customary perspective of competence is a perspective of competence development as a change in meaning structure of work and its associated attributes (Dall'alba and Sandberg 1996). Transitioning from a low-competence model to a higher-competence model would mean adopting a broader way of understanding the work as a whole (Sandberg 2000), involving more like a quantum jump between models rather than incremental accretions of understanding. For example, moving from Model B to Model C involves a discrete shift in understanding



from one in which the nurse's contribution is the performance of concrete tasks to fulfill patient's request (somewhat like a customer service officer) to an understanding in which the nurse's contribution is personal involvement in a trustful relationship with the patient. Examples of extant professional development based on shifting understandings of work include training in diabetes care (Holmström et al. 2000), teaching (Prosser and Trigwell 1997), and hyperlipidemia management (Wahlström et al. 1997). In a similar vein, spiritual care educators can use our results to help trainees become aware of the different ways that spiritual care can be understood and ask trainees to assess their own way of understanding. Educators might also induce a shift in understanding by adapting methods of conceptual change that articulate understandings, introduce anomalies in low-order understandings, and present understanding that resolves anomalies (West 1988). Specific aspects of understandings that could be probed in the articulation phase, for instance, could be nurses' understanding of nurse–patient intimacy, nurse directivity, views of the patient and task, and cues used for spiritual assessment.

### Limitations and future research

Some limitations of our study should be noted. One limitation is that the representativeness of respondents is unknown (e.g., convenience sample and racial/ethnic data not obtained) so the transferability to other nurses is unknown. Future research could assess the models across a larger representative sample of nurses. As well, this study sampled nurses residing in a single country, the United States, and working in a range of health-care settings. Future research could investigate the applicability and usefulness of the models in other cultures and for other spiritual care providers. Because we gleaned data from brief online text responses, the model descriptions are only reduced descriptions of key features of spiritual care models; the use of interviews would add depth and complexity to the models.

### Conclusion

Using a phenomenographic approach, this study found 4 models of spiritual care that collectively represent the range of qualitatively different ways that nurses understand spiritual care. The models help to explain why nurses vary in how they accomplish the role of spiritual care and can be used to assess and develop competence in spiritual care.

**Competing interests.** The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

### References

- Abu-El-Noor N (2016) ICU nurses' perceptions and practice of spiritual care at the end of life: Implications for policy change. *Online Journal of Issues in Nursing* 21(1), 6–6. doi:10.3912/OJIN.Vol21No01PPT05
- Åkerlind GS (2005) Academic growth and development - How do university academics experience it?. *High Educ*, 50(1), 1–32. doi: 10.1007/s10734-004-6345-1
- Attard DJ, Ross DL and Weeks KW (2019) Developing a spiritual care competency framework for pre-registration nurses and midwives. *Nurse Education in Practice* 40, 102604–102604. doi:10.1016/j.nepr.2019.07.010
- Baffoe BOK and Luo W (2021) South African executives propensity to use, diffuse, and adopt the humanitarian logistics digital business ecosystem. *SAGE Open* 11(3), 215824402110472. doi:10.1177/21582440211047246
- Barss KS (2012) T.R.U.S.T: An affirming model for inclusive spiritual care. *Journal of Holistic Nursing* 30(1), 24–34. doi:10.1177/0898010111418118
- Bereiter C and Scardamalia M (1993) *Surpassing Ourselves: An Inquiry into the Nature and Implications of Expertise*. Chicago: Open Court.
- Best M, Aldridge L, Butow P, et al. (2015) Conceptual analysis of suffering in cancer: A systematic review. *Psycho-Oncology* 24(9), 977–986. doi:10.1002/pon.3795
- Blomberg AC, Bisholt B, Nilsson J, et al. (2015) Making the invisible visible – Operating theatre nurses' perceptions of caring in perioperative practice. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences* 29(2), 361–368. doi:10.1111/scs.12172
- Brandon TP, Allen BM and Menefee SJ (2022) Which expertise factors predict overall expertise and percent success? *Journal of Modern Project Management* 10(2), 16–29. doi:10.19255/JMPM03002
- Britt KC and Acton G (2022) Exploring the meaning of spirituality and spiritual care with help from Viktor Frankl. *Journal of Holistic Nursing* 40(1), 46–55. doi:10.1177/089801012111026776
- Browall M, Henoch I, Melin-Johansson C, et al. (2014) Existential encounters: Nurses' descriptions of critical incidents in end-of-life cancer care. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing* 18(6), 636–644. doi:10.1016/j.ejon.2014.06.001
- Bruce CS (1994) Research students' early experiences of the dissertation literature review. *Studies in Higher Education* 19(2), 217–229. doi:10.1080/03075079412331382057
- Burley DL (1982) Occupation as a motivating factor in retirement migration: An extreme case study. *The Gerontologist* 22(4), 435–437. doi:10.1093/geront/22.4.435
- Cambridge Dictionary (2022) Model. In Cambridge Dictionary. available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/self-report> (accessed 25 July 2022).
- Carr T (2008) Mapping the processes and qualities of spiritual nursing care. *Qualitative Health Research* 18(5), 686–700. doi:10.1177/1049732307308979
- Carroll B (2001) A phenomenological exploration of the nature of spirituality and spiritual care. *Mortality* 6(1), 81–98. doi:10.1080/13576270124229
- Chen P and Partington D (2006) Three conceptual levels of construction project management work. *International Journal of Project Management* 24(5), 412–421. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.02.009
- Cockell N and McSherry W (2012) Spiritual care in nursing: An overview of published international research. *Journal of Nursing Management* 20(8), 958–969. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2834.2012.01450.x
- Cooper KL, Chang E, Luck L, et al. (2020) How nurses understand spirituality and spiritual care: A critical synthesis. *Journal of Holistic Nursing* 38(1), 114–121. doi:10.1177/0898010119882153
- Cooper KL, Luck L, Chang E, et al. (2021) What is the practice of spiritual care? A critical discourse analysis of registered nurses' understanding of spirituality. *Nursing Inquiry* 28(2), e12385. doi:10.1111/nin.12385
- Cope C (2004) Ensuring validity and reliability in phenomenographic research using the analytical framework of a structure of awareness. *Qualitative Research Journal* 4(2), 5–18.
- Cypress BS (2017) Rigor or reliability and validity in qualitative research: Perspectives, strategies, reconceptualization, and recommendations. *Dimensions of Critical Care Nursing* 36(4), 253–263. doi:10.1097/DCC.000000000000253
- Dall'alba G and Sandberg JR (1996) Educating for competence in professional practice. *Instructional Science* 24(6), 411–437. doi:10.1007/BF00125578
- Deal B (2014) A pilot study of nurses' experience of giving spiritual care. *Qualitative Report* 15(4), 852–863. doi:10.46743/2160-3715/2010.1184
- Delgado C (2007) Meeting clients' spiritual needs. *The Nursing Clinics of North America* 42(2), 279–293. doi:10.1016/j.cnur.2007.03.002
- Dell'Orfano S (2002) The meaning of spiritual care in a pediatric setting. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing* 17(5), 380–385. doi:10.1053/jpdn.2002.129972
- Del Vecchio P, Passiante G, Barberio G and Innella C (2021) Digital Innovation Ecosystems for Circular Economy: the Case of ICESP, the Italian Circular Economy Stakeholder Platform. *Int. J. Innovation Technol. Management*, 18(1). doi: 10.1142/S0219877020500534
- Edwards A, Pang N, Shiu V, et al. (2010) Review: The understanding of spirituality and the potential role of spiritual care in end-of-life and palliative care: A meta-study of qualitative research. *Palliative Medicine* 24(8), 753–770. doi:10.1177/0269216310375860

- Egan R, Llewellyn R, Cox B, et al.** (2017) New Zealand nurses' perceptions of spirituality and spiritual care. *Religions* 8(5), 79. doi:10.3390/rel8050079
- Emblen J and Pesut B** (2001) Strengthening transcendent meaning: A model for the spiritual nursing care of patients experiencing suffering. *Journal of Holistic Nursing* 19(1), 42–56. doi:10.1177/089801010101900105
- Flanagan J** (1954) The critical incident technique. *Psychological Bulletin* 51(4), 327–358. doi:10.1037/h0061470
- Forsell L, Forsberg A, Kisch A, et al.** (2020) Specialist ambulance nurses' perceptions of nursing: A phenomenographic study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17(14), 5018. doi:10.3390/ijerph17145018
- Franke A and Arvidsson B** (2011) Research supervisors' different ways of experiencing supervision of doctoral students. *Studies in Higher Education* 36(1), 7–19. doi:10.1080/03075070903402151
- Gerber R and Velde C** (1996) Clerical-administrative workers' conceptions of competence in their jobs. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training* 48(4), 393–404. doi:10.1080/1363682960480406
- Ghorbani M, Mohammadi E, Aghabozorgi R, et al.** (2020a) The effect of applying spiritual care model on well-being and quality of care in cancer patients. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 29(5), 2749–2760. doi:10.1007/s00520-020-05781-7
- Ghorbani M, Mohammadi E, Aghabozorgi R, et al.** (2020b) Spiritual care interventions in nursing: An integrative literature review. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 29(3), 1165–1181. doi:10.1007/s00520-020-05747-9
- Govier I** (2000) Spiritual care in nursing: A systematic approach. *Nursing Standard* 14, 32–36. doi:10.7748/ns2000.01.14.17.32.c2744
- Holmström I, Jonsson AC and Rosenqvist U** (2000) Understanding the job in a new way – A basis for development of competence in diabetes care. *Uppsala Journal of Medical Sciences* 105(2), 161–169. doi:10.1517/03009734000000062
- Johansson B, Marton F and Svensson L** (1985) An approach to describing learning as change between qualitatively different conceptions. In Pines AL and West L (eds), *Cognitive Structure and Conceptual Change*. New York: Academic Press, 233–257.
- Kaminsky E, Rosenqvist U and Holmström I** (2009) Telenurses' understanding of work: Detective or educator? *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 65(2), 382–390. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2008.04877.x
- Kang KA, Chun J, Kim HY, et al.** (2021) Hospice palliative care nurses' perceptions of spiritual care and their spiritual care competence: A mixed-methods study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 30(7–8), 961–974. doi:10.1111/jocn.15638
- Keall R, Clayton JM and Butow P** (2014) How do Australian palliative care nurses address existential and spiritual concerns? Facilitators, barriers and strategies. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 23(21–22), 3197–3205. doi:10.1111/jocn.12566
- Kisvetrová H, Klugar M and Kabelka L** (2013) Spiritual support interventions in nursing care for patients suffering death anxiety in the final phase of life. *International Journal of Palliative Nursing* 19(12), 599–605. doi:10.12968/ijpn.2013.19.12.599
- Kociszewski C** (2003) A phenomenological pilot study of the nurses' experience providing spiritual care. *Journal of Holistic Nursing* 21(2), 131–148. doi:10.1177/0898010103021002004
- Larsson J, Holmström I, Lindberg E, et al.** (2004) Trainee anaesthetists understand their work in different ways: Implications for specialist education. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 92(3), 381–387. doi:10.1093/bja/ae079
- Long T and Johnson M** (2000) Rigour, reliability and validity in qualitative research. *Clinical Effectiveness in Nursing* 4(1), 30–37. doi:10.1054/cein.2000.0106
- Lord RG and Hall RJ** (2005) Identity, deep structure and the development of leadership skill. *Leadership Quarterly* 16(4), 591–615. doi:10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.06.003
- Lundborg CS, Wahlstrom R and Dall'Alba G** (1999) Ways of experiencing asthma management: Variations among general practitioners in Sweden. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care* 17(4), 226–231. doi:10.1080/028134399750002458
- Lundmark M** (2006) Attitudes to spiritual care among nursing staff in a Swedish oncology clinic. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 15(7), 863–874. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2006.01189.x
- MacLaren J** (2004) A kaleidoscope of understandings: Spiritual nursing in a multi-faith society. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 45(5), 457–462. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2004.2929\_1.x
- Marti I and Fernandez P** (2013) The institutional work of oppression and resistance: Learning from the holocaust. *Organization Studies* 34(8), 1195–1223. doi:10.1177/0170840613492078
- Marton F** (1981) Phenomenography — Describing conceptions of the world around us. *Instructional Science* 10(2), 177–200. doi:10.1007/BF00132516
- Marton F** (1988) Phenomenography: A research approach to investigating different understanding of reality. In Sherman RR and Webb RB (eds), *Qualitative Research in Education: Focus and Methods*. London: Routledge, 141–161.
- Marton F and Saljo R** (1976) On qualitative differences in learning: I. Outcome and process. *British Journal of Educational Psychology* 46(1), 4–11. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8279.1976.tb02980.x
- Mascio R, Best M, Lynch S, et al.** (2021) Factors influencing nurse spiritual care practices at the end of life: A systematic review. *Palliative & Supportive Care*, 1–19. doi:10.1017/S1478951521001851
- McSherry W, Ross L, Attard J, et al.** (2020) Preparing undergraduate nurses and midwives for spiritual care: Some developments in European education over the last decade. *Journal for the Study of Spirituality* 10(1), 55–71. doi:10.1080/20440243.2020.1726053
- Meadows L and Morse J** (2001) *The Nature of Qualitative Evidence*. California: Sage Publications.
- Morse JM, Barrett M, Mayan M, et al.** (2002) Verification strategies for establishing reliability and validity in qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 1(2), 13–22. doi:10.1177/160940690200100202
- Murphy GL and Medin DL** (1985) The role of theories in conceptual coherence. *Psychological Review* 92(3), 289–316. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.92.3.289
- Narayanansamy A and Owens J** (2001) A critical incident study of nurses' responses to the spiritual needs of their patients. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 33(4), 446–455. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2648.2001.01690.x
- Pesut B and Thorne S** (2007) From private to public: Negotiating professional and personal identities in spiritual care. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 58(4), 396–403. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04254.x
- Pittroff GE** (2013) The humbled expert: An exploration of spiritual care expertise. *Journal of Christian Nursing* 30(3), 164–169. doi:10.1097/CNJ.0b013e318294e8d3
- Prosser M and Trigwell K** (1997) Using phenomenography in the design of programs for teachers in higher education. *Higher Education Research and Development* 16(1), 41–54. doi:10.1080/0729436970160104
- Puchalski CM and Ferrell B** (2010) *Making Health Care Whole: Integrating Spirituality into Patient Care*. West Conshohocken, Pa: Templeton Press.
- Ramezani M, Ahmadi F, Mohammadi E, et al.** (2014) Spiritual care in nursing: A concept analysis. *International Nursing Review* 61(2), 211–219. doi:10.1111/inr.12099
- Ross L** (1997) *Nurses' Perceptions of Spiritual Care*. Aldershot: Avebury.
- Ross L and McSherry W** (2018) The power of two simple questions. *Nursing Standard* 33(9), 78–80. doi:10.7748/ns.33.9.78.s22
- Royal College of Nursing** (2011) Spirituality in nursing care: a pocket guide. [https://www.element.org.uk/media/1205/spirituality\\_in\\_nursing\\_care\\_rcn\\_pocket\\_guide.pdf](https://www.element.org.uk/media/1205/spirituality_in_nursing_care_rcn_pocket_guide.pdf) (accessed 29 August 2022).
- Sandberg J** (1997) Are phenomenographic results reliable? *Higher Education Research and Development* 16(2), 203–212. doi:10.1080/0729436970160207
- Sandberg J** (2000) Understanding human competence at work: An interpretative approach. *Academy of Management Journal* 43(1), 9–25. doi:10.2307/1556383
- Sandberg J and Targama A** (2007) *Managing Understanding in Organizations*. London: Sage.
- Selman LBAMP, Young TB, Vermandere MMD, et al.** (2014) Research priorities in spiritual care: An international survey of palliative care researchers and clinicians. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 48(4), 518–531. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2013.10.020
- Shin SH, Kim HY, Woo HY, et al.** (2020) Content analysis of the meaning of spiritual care as perceived by nursing students. *Korean Journal of Hospice and Palliative Care* 23(3), 151–161. doi:10.14475/kjhpc.2020.23.3.151

- Sjöström B and Dahlgren LO** (2002) Applying phenomenography in nursing research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* **40**(3), 339–345. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2648.2002.02375.x
- Skalla K and McCoy JP** (2006) Spiritual assessment of patients with cancer: The moral authority, vocational, aesthetic, social, and transcendent model. *Oncology Nursing Forum* **33**(4), 745–751. doi:10.1188/06.ONF.745-751
- Skelton AR, Nattress D and Dwyer RJ** (2019) Predicting manufacturing employee turnover intentions. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science* **25**(49), 101–117. doi:10.1108/JEFAS-07-2018-0069
- Smith AR** (2006) Using the synergy model to provide spiritual nursing care in critical care settings. *Critical Care Nurse* **26**(4), 41–47. doi:10.4037/ccn2006.26.4.41
- Stinchcombe AL** (2005) *The Logic of Social Research*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Strand T, Törnqvist E, Rask M, et al.** (2017) Caring for patients with spinal metastasis during an MRI examination. *Radiography* **24**(1), 79–83. doi:10.1016/j.radi.2017.06.001
- STRANG S, STRANG P and TERNESTEDT B** (2002) Spiritual needs as defined by Swedish nursing staff. *J Clin Nurs*, **11**(1), 48–57. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2702.2002.00569.x
- Swinton J and Pattison S** (2010) Moving beyond clarity: Towards a thin, vague, and useful understanding of spirituality in nursing care. *Nursing Philosophy* **11**(4), 226–237. doi:10.1111/j.1466-769X.2010.00450.x
- Tirgari B, Iranmanesh S, Ali Cheraghi M, et al.** (2013) Meaning of spiritual care: Iranian nurses' experiences. *Holistic Nursing Practice* **27**(4), 199–206. doi:10.1097/HNP.0b013e318294e774
- van Leeuwen R, Tiesinga LJ, Middel B, et al.** (2009) The validity and reliability of an instrument to assess nursing competencies in spiritual care. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* **18**(20), 2857–2869. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008.02594.x
- Veloza-Gómez M, Muñoz de Rodríguez L, Guevara-Armenta C, et al.** (2017) The importance of spiritual care in nursing practice. *Journal of Holistic Nursing* **35**(2), 118–131. doi:10.1177/0898010115626777
- Wahlström R, Dahlgren LO, Tomson G, Diwan VK and Beermann B** (1997) Changing primary care doctors' conceptions - a qualitative approach to evaluating an intervention *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, **2**(3), 221–236. doi: 10.1023/A:1009763521278
- West L** (1988) Implications of recent research for improving secondary school science learning. In Ramsden P (ed.), *Improving Learning: New Perspectives*. London: Kogan Page, 51–68.
- Wiseth W, Soonthornchaiya R and Hain D** (2021) Thai nurses' experiences of spiritual care for older adults at end of life. *Journal of Hospice and Palliative Nursing* **23**(3), 286–292. doi:10.1097/NJH.00000000000000748