

ON THE EXPONENTS MODULO 3 IN THE STANDARD
FACTORISATION OF $n!$

WEI LIU AND YONG-GAO CHEN

Let p be a prime and m be a positive integer. For a positive integer n , let $e_p(n)$ be the nonnegative integer with $p^{e_p(n)} \mid n$ and $p^{e_p(n)+1} \nmid n$. As a corollary of our main result we derive an asymptotic formula for the counting function with regard to the condition $e_p(n!) \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{3}$, where $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Z}_3$. In 2001, Sander proved the result with modulus 2.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p_1, p_2, \dots be the sequence of all primes in ascending order. For a positive integer n , let $e_{p_i}(n)$ be the nonnegative integer with $p_i^{e_{p_i}(n)} \mid n$ and $p_i^{e_{p_i}(n)+1} \nmid n$. In 1997, Berend [1] proved a conjecture of Erdős and Graham (see [4, p. 77]) by showing that for every positive integer k there exist infinitely many positive integers n with

$$e_{p_1}(n!) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, e_{p_2}(n!) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \dots, e_{p_k}(n!) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

where the differences between adjacent such n are less than effectively computable constant depending only on k . The initial case $n = 1$ is very useful in Berend's proof.

In 1999, Chen and Zhu [3] considered a general case. Two years later, Sander [6] posed two conjectures and proved some special cases of his conjectures. After Sander, Chen [2] proved one of the two conjectures posed by Sander: for any given positive integer k and any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$), there exist infinitely many positive integers n such that

$$e_{p_1}(n!) \equiv \varepsilon_1 \pmod{2}, e_{p_2}(n!) \equiv \varepsilon_2 \pmod{2}, \dots, e_{p_k}(n!) \equiv \varepsilon_k \pmod{2}.$$

In 2003, F. Luca and P. Stănică [5] posed the following conjecture:

CONJECTURE (F. Luca and P. Stănică [5]). Let p_1, \dots, p_k be distinct primes, m_1, \dots, m_k be arbitrary positive integers (≥ 2), and $0 \leq a_i \leq m_i - 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ be arbitrary residue class modulo m_i . Then

$$\left| \{0 \leq n < N : e_{p_i}(n!) \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}, 1 \leq i \leq k\} \right| \sim \frac{N}{m_1 \dots m_k} \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Received 10th October, 2005

The author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No 10471064. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her useful comments.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/06 \$A2.00+0.00.

In their paper, they proved the conjecture under the assumption that $p_i \nmid m_i (i = 1, \dots, k)$.

Sander [6] derived an asymptotic formula for the proportion of $n < N$ for which $e_p(n!) \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{2}$. In the present paper, we improve the method in Sander [6] and derive an asymptotic formula for the counting function with regard to the condition $e_p(n!) \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{3}$, where p is a prime and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Z}_3$. At the same time we prove a more general result. Although it is also a special case of Luca-Stănică Conjecture, the following theorem not only gives a good bound for the error term, but also can take any prime p as modulus.

Let m be a fixed positive integer. For $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Z}_m$ and a prime p , let

$$E_{p, \varepsilon, m}(N) := \left| \{0 \leq n < N : e_p(n!) \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{m}\} \right|.$$

THEOREM. For any prime p with $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$ or $p \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Z}_m$, we have

$$E_{p, \varepsilon, m}(N) = \frac{1}{m}N + O(N^{1/2}).$$

REMARK. From the proof of the theorem, we can see that

$$\left| E_{p, \varepsilon, m}(N) - \frac{1}{m}N \right| \leq 4p^{3/2}N^{1/2}.$$

Noting that all primes have the property that $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$ or $p \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, by the theorem, we get the following corollary:

COROLLARY 1. For any prime p and any $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Z}_3$, we have

$$E_{p, \varepsilon, 3}(N) = \frac{1}{3}N + O(N^{1/2}).$$

By setting $m = p$ in the theorem we get the corollary:

COROLLARY 2. Let p be a prime. For any $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, we have

$$E_{p, \varepsilon, p}(N) = \frac{1}{p}N + O(N^{1/2}).$$

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let p be a prime, m be a positive integer and let the nonnegative integer n have the p -adic expansion $n = n_s p^s + \dots + n_1 p + n_0$ with p -adic digits $0 \leq n_j < p$ for $0 \leq j \leq s$. It is well known that

$$e_p(n!) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n}{p^j} \right].$$

Hence,

$$e_p(n!) = \sum_{j=1}^s n_j(p^{j-1} + \dots + p + 1) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^s a_j n_j \pmod{m},$$

where $a_j \equiv p^{j-1} + \dots + p + 1 \pmod{m}$ and $0 \leq a_j < m, j = 1, \dots, s$. Now, we give two lemmas first.

LEMMA 1. *Let p be a prime, m be a positive integer, and $r \geq 2$ be an integer. For a fixed integer k ($1 \leq k \leq m - 1$), we have*

$$|\{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r - 1 \text{ and } ka_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m}\}| \leq \frac{r - 1}{2},$$

where $a_j (j = 1, \dots, r - 1)$ are defined as above.

PROOF: Suppose that $m \mid ka_j$ and $m \mid ka_{j+1}$ for some $j (1 \leq j \leq r - 2)$. By the definition of $a_j (1 \leq j \leq r - 1)$, we have $m \mid kp^j$.

Assume that $m = p^\alpha m_1$, where $p \nmid m_1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Since $m \mid ka_j$, we have $m \mid k(1 + p + \dots + p^{j-1})$. Hence, $p^\alpha \mid k(1 + p + \dots + p^{j-1})$. Since $(p, 1 + p + \dots + p^{j-1}) = 1$, we have $p^\alpha \mid k$. Then assume that $k = k_1 p^\alpha$, where k_1 be an integer. From $m \mid kp^j$, we have that $kp^j = k_1 p^{\alpha+j} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, hence, $m_1 \mid k_1$. Now, we have $m \mid k$, a contradiction with $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$.

Hence, for each $j (j = 1, \dots, r - 2)$, we have either $ka_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ or $ka_{j+1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

By $ka_1 = k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, we obtain a proof of Lemma 1. □

LEMMA 2. *Let p be a prime, m be a positive integer, $r \geq 0, U \geq 1$ and $T \geq 0$ be integers with $U \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$ or $U \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, and let $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$. Then*

$$C(\varepsilon) := \left| \left\{ (n_r, \dots, n_0) \in \mathbf{Z}^{r+1} : 0 \leq n_j < U (0 \leq j < r); 0 \leq n_r < T; \sum_{j=1}^r a_j n_j \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{m} \right\} \right|,$$

where $a_j (j = 1, \dots, r)$ are defined as above and $\sum_{j=1}^r a_j n_j = 0$ for $r = 0$, satisfies

$$\left| C(\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{m} TU^r \right| \leq TU^{(r+1)/2}.$$

PROOF: The result is trivial for $r = 0, 1$. Now we assume that $r \geq 2$. Let $\omega_k = e^{(2\pi ik)/m} (k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1)$, which are all the roots of $x^m = 1$. Then for any integer $k (0 \leq k \leq m - 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(0) + \omega_k C(1) + \omega_k^2 C(2) + \dots + \omega_k^{m-1} C(m - 1) &= \sum_{n_0=0}^{U-1} \sum_{n_1=0}^{U-1} \dots \sum_{n_{r-1}=0}^{U-1} \sum_{n_r=0}^{T-1} \omega_k^{\sum_{j=1}^r a_j n_j} \\ &= U \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \left(\sum_{n_j=0}^{U-1} \omega_k^{a_j n_j} \right) \sum_{n_r=0}^{T-1} \omega_k^{a_r n_r}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $B(\varepsilon) = C(\varepsilon) - (1/m)TU^r$ ($0 \leq \varepsilon < m$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(0) + \omega_0 B(1) + \omega_0^2 B(2) + \dots + \omega_0^{m-1} B(m-1) &= 0, \\ B(0) + \omega_k B(1) + \omega_k^2 B(2) + \dots + \omega_k^{m-1} B(m-1) \\ &= U \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \left(\sum_{n_j=0}^{U-1} \omega_k^{a_j n_j} \right) \sum_{n_r=0}^{T-1} \omega_k^{a_r n_r}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m-1. \end{aligned}$$

For any integer u ($1 \leq u \leq m$), multiply both sides by ω_k^u , then add all the equations, we have

$$mB(m-u) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \omega_k^u U \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \left(\sum_{n_j=0}^{U-1} \omega_k^{a_j n_j} \right) \sum_{n_r=0}^{T-1} \omega_k^{a_r n_r}.$$

For a fixed k ($1 \leq k \leq m-1$), it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$|\{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r-1 \text{ and } ka_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m}\}| \leq \frac{r-1}{2}.$$

If $ka_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, since $U \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$ or $U \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n_j=0}^{U-1} \omega_k^{a_j n_j} \right| \leq 1.$$

Then

$$m|B(m-u)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} TU^{(r-1)/2+1} \leq mTU^{(r+1)/2},$$

hence,

$$|B(m-u)| \leq TU^{(r+1)/2}.$$

Thus for any ε ($\varepsilon = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$), we have

$$\left| C(\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{m}TU^r \right| \leq TU^{(r+1)/2}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2. □

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: We define $E_{p, \varepsilon, m}(L, M) := E_{p, \varepsilon, m}(L) - E_{p, \varepsilon, m}(M)$ for integers $L \geq M \geq 0$. Let $N = N_s p^s + \dots + N_1 p + N_0$ be the p -adic expansion of N . Originating from the disjoint union of the corresponding sets, we immediately have

$$E_{p, \varepsilon, m}(N) = \sum_{k=0}^s E_{p, \varepsilon, m}(N_s p^s + \dots + N_{s-k} p^{s-k}, N_s p^s + \dots + N_{s-k+1} p^{s-k+1}),$$

where the empty sum occurring for $k = 0$ is considered to be 0.

For a fixed integer k , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{p, \epsilon, m}(N_s p^s + \dots + N_{s-k} p^{s-k}, N_s p^s + \dots + N_{s-k+1} p^{s-k+1}) \\
 &= \left| \left\{ n = N_s p^s + \dots + N_{s-k+1} p^{s-k+1} + n_{s-k} p^{s-k} + \dots + n_1 p + n_0 : \right. \right. \\
 &0 \leq n_{s-k-j} < p (1 \leq j \leq s-k); 0 \leq n_{s-k} < N_{s-k}; e_p(n!) \equiv \epsilon \pmod{m} \left. \right\} \Big| \\
 &= \left| \left\{ (n_{s-k}, \dots, n_0) : 0 \leq n_j < p (0 \leq j < s-k); 0 \leq n_{s-k} < N_{s-k}; \right. \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. \sum_{j=1}^{s-k} a_j n_j \equiv \epsilon - \sum_{j>s-k} a_j N_j \pmod{m} \right\} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2, that

$$\left| E_{p, \epsilon, m}(N_s p^s + \dots + N_{s-k} p^{s-k}, N_s p^s + \dots + N_{s-k+1} p^{s-k+1}) - \frac{1}{m} N_{s-k} p^{s-k} \right| \leq N_{s-k} p^{(s-k+1)/2}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| E_{p, \epsilon, m}(N) - \frac{1}{m} N \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^s \left| E_{p, \epsilon, m}(N_s p^s + \dots + N_{s-k} p^{s-k}, N_s p^s + \dots + N_{s-k+1} p^{s-k+1}) - \frac{1}{m} N_{s-k} p^{s-k} \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^s N_{s-k} p^{(s-k+1)/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_{s-k} < p$, $p \geq 2$ and $p^s \leq N$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^s N_{s-k} p^{(s-k+1)/2} = \sum_{k=0}^s p^{(s-k+3)/2} = p^{(s+3)/2} \sum_{k=0}^s p^{-(k/2)} \leq 4p^{3/2} N^{1/2}.$$

From it, we have $E_{p, \epsilon, m}(N) = (1/m)N + O(N^{1/2})$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Berend, ‘On the parity of exponents in the factorization of $n!$ ’, *J. Number Theory* **64** (1997), 13–19.
- [2] Y.G. Chen, ‘On the parity of exponents in the standard factorization of $n!$ ’, *J. Number Theory* **100** (2003), 326–331.
- [3] Y.G. Chen and Y.C. Zhu, ‘On the prime power factorization of $n!$ ’, *J. Number Theory* **82** (2000), 1–11.
- [4] P. Erdős and R.L. Graham, *Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory* (L’Enseignement Mathématique, Imprimerie Kundig, Geneva, 1980).
- [5] F. Luca and P. Stănică, ‘On the prime power factorization of $n!$ ’, *J. Number Theory* **102** (2003), 298–305.

- [6] J.W. Sander, 'On the parity of exponents in the prime factorization of factorials', *J. Number Theory* **90** (2001), 316–328.

Department of Mathematics
Nanjing Normal University
Nanjing 210097
China
e-mail:ygchen@njnu.edu.cn