

UNIQUENESS OF THE COEFFICIENT RING IN SOME GROUP RINGS

BY

M. PARMENTER AND S. SEHGAL⁽¹⁾

1. Let $\langle x \rangle$ be an infinite cyclic group and $R_i \langle x \rangle$ its group ring over a ring (with identity) R_i , for $i=1$ and 2 . Let $J(R_i)$ be the Jacobson radical of R_i . In this note we study the question of whether or not $R_1 \langle x \rangle \simeq R_2 \langle x \rangle$ implies $R_1 \simeq R_2$. We prove that this is so if Z_i , the centre of R_i , is semi-perfect and $J(Z_i \langle x \rangle) = J(Z_i) \langle x \rangle$ for $i=1$ and 2 . In particular, when Z_i is perfect the second condition is satisfied and the isomorphism of group rings $R_i \langle x \rangle$ implies the isomorphism of R_i . The corresponding problem for polynomial rings was considered by Coleman and Enochs [2]. We like to thank the referee for pointing out that some of the techniques used in the proof of Theorem 1 were also used by Gilmer [3] in a different context.

2. Some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let G be a group. Then $(R_1 \oplus R_2)G \simeq R_1G \oplus R_2G$*

Proof. Define $\sigma: (R_1 \oplus R_2)G \rightarrow R_1G \oplus R_2G$ by

$$\sigma\left(\sum_i (r_i, s_i)g_i\right) = \left(\sum_i r_i g_i, \sum_i s_i g_i\right).$$

It is clear that σ is an isomorphism.

REMARK. We shall identify the two isomorphic rings of this lemma whenever it is convenient to do so.

LEMMA 2. *Let F and K be fields such that $\sigma: F \langle x \rangle \rightarrow K \langle x \rangle$ is an isomorphism. Then $\sigma(F) = K$.*

Proof. Let $f \neq 0, -1$ be an element of F . Since $\sigma(f)$ is a unit of $K \langle x \rangle$, we have $\sigma(f) = kx^i$, $k \in K$. Therefore, $\sigma(1+f) = 1 + kx^i$. Since $1+f$ is a unit, $i=0$ and $\sigma(F) \subset K$. By using σ^{-1} , we conclude that $\sigma(F) = K$.

LEMMA 3. *Let R and S be finite direct sums of fields such that $\sigma: R \langle x \rangle \rightarrow S \langle x \rangle$ is an isomorphism. Then $\sigma(R) = S$.*

Received by the editors June 2, 1971 and, in revised form, November 19, 1971.

(¹) This work is supported by National Research Council Grant A-5300.

Proof. Let $R = F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_n$, $S = K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_m$, direct sums of fields F_i and K_j . With the identification of Lemma 1, $R\langle x \rangle = F_1\langle x \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus F_n\langle x \rangle$ and $S\langle x \rangle = K_1\langle x \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus K_m\langle x \rangle$. The only primitive idempotents in $R\langle x \rangle$ are $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and similarly for $S\langle x \rangle$. Hence $\sigma(F_i\langle x \rangle) = K_j\langle x \rangle$ for some j and $m = n$. By Lemma 2, $\sigma(F_i) = K_j$. Hence, it follows that $\sigma(R) = S$.

We need a few facts about the Jacobson radical of $R\langle x \rangle$. The proof of the next lemma is found in [1].

LEMMA 4 (Amitsur). $J(R[x]) = N[x]$, where N is a nil ideal of R .

LEMMA 5. $J(R\langle x \rangle) \subseteq N\langle x \rangle$, where N is the same ideal as in the last lemma.

Proof. Let $a = \sum a_i x^i \in J(R\langle x \rangle)$. We can assume that $a = a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$ by multiplying by a suitable power of x . Now a has a right quasi-inverse, say, $b = \sum b_j x^j \in R\langle x \rangle$. Since $a + b + ab = 0$, we have $b_m = 0$ for $m < 0$. Thus $b = \sum_0^s b_j x^j$. Let A be the ideal of $R[x]$ generated by a . Then $A \subset J(R\langle x \rangle)$; so if $y \in A$, then y has a right quasi-inverse z in $R\langle x \rangle$. As above, we see that $z \in R[x]$. Hence A is a right quasi-regular ideal of $R[x]$ and we have $A \subseteq J(R[x]) = N[x]$.

COROLLARY. $J(R\langle x \rangle) \subseteq J(R)\langle x \rangle$.

LEMMA 6. Let R be a perfect commutative ring. Then $J(R\langle x \rangle) = J(R)\langle x \rangle$.

Proof. Since R is perfect, $J(R)$ is T -nilpotent. Since R is commutative, $J(R)\langle x \rangle$ is nil. Hence $J(R)\langle x \rangle \subseteq J(R\langle x \rangle)$. Together with the corollary above, we have $J(R)\langle x \rangle = J(R\langle x \rangle)$.

REMARK. The above lemma can be proved for wider classes of rings but this is all we need.

The next lemma is proved in [4].

LEMMA 7. Let R be a commutative ring with 1 such that R has no nontrivial nilpotent or idempotent elements. Then y is a unit of $R\langle x \rangle \Leftrightarrow y = ux^i$, where u is a unit of R .

3. Rings with semi-perfect centres.

THEOREM 1. Let R_i be a ring (with identity) for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that

- (1) Z_i , the centre of R_i , is semi-perfect for $i = 1, 2$.
- (2) $J(Z_i\langle x \rangle) = J(Z_i)\langle x \rangle$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Then $R_1\langle x \rangle \simeq R_2\langle x \rangle \Rightarrow R_1 \simeq R_2$.

Proof. Let $\sigma: R_1\langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2\langle x \rangle$ be the isomorphism. Then by restriction we have $\sigma: Z_1\langle x \rangle \rightarrow Z_2\langle x \rangle$. Due to the second condition of the hypothesis we have an induced isomorphism

$$\bar{\sigma}: \frac{Z_1}{J(Z_1)}\langle x \rangle \rightarrow \frac{Z_2}{J(Z_2)}\langle x \rangle.$$

By Lemma 3, it follows that $\bar{\sigma}(Z_1/J(Z_1)) = Z_2/J(Z_2)$. Also, Lemma 1 gives

$$\frac{Z_2}{J(Z_2)}\langle x \rangle = \bar{e}_1 \frac{Z_2}{J(Z_2)}\langle x \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \bar{e}_n \frac{Z_2}{J(Z_2)}\langle x \rangle,$$

where each \bar{e}_i is a primitive idempotent and each $\bar{e}_i(Z_2/J(Z_2))$ is a field F_i . Then we see that

$$\bar{\sigma}(x) = (f_1x^{i_1}, \dots, f_nx^{i_n}) \quad \text{where } i_j = \pm 1, \quad 0 \neq f_j \in F_j \text{ for all } j.$$

This follows because $\bar{\sigma}(Z_1/J(Z_1))$ and $\bar{\sigma}(x)$ together must generate $(Z_2/J(Z_2))\langle x \rangle$.

Since Z_2 is semi-perfect, we can lift the idempotents \bar{e}_i of $Z_2/J(Z_2)$ to primitive orthogonal idempotents e_i in Z_2 such that $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$. Then

$$R_2\langle x \rangle = e_1R_2\langle x \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus e_nR_2\langle x \rangle.$$

Define an R_2 -algebra automorphism $\beta: R_2\langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2\langle x \rangle$ by

$$\beta(x) = (x^{i_1}, x^{i_2}, \dots, x^{i_n}).$$

It is not too difficult to check that β is indeed an automorphism and therefore induces an automorphism $\bar{\beta}$ of $Z_2/J(Z_2)\langle x \rangle$. Notice that

$$\bar{\beta}\bar{\sigma}(x) = (f_1x, \dots, f_nx) = (f_1, \dots, f_n)x = ux$$

where u is a unit in $Z_2/J(Z_2)$. Since $J(Z_2\langle x \rangle) = J(Z_2)\langle x \rangle$, it follows from Lemma 5 that $J(Z_2)$ is a nil ideal. Hence we see that

$$\beta\sigma(x) = u_1x + \sum_{i \neq 1} a_i x^i$$

where u_1 is a unit of Z_2 and a_i are nilpotent elements of Z_2 .

We now claim that $R_2\langle \beta\sigma(x) \rangle = R_2\langle x \rangle$. We may assume that $u_1 = 1$. Note that

$$(\beta\sigma(x))^{-1} = x^{-1} \left(1 + \sum_{i \neq 0} a_{i+1}x^i \right)^{-1} = x^{-1}(1 - r + r^2 - \cdots + (-1)^s r^s),$$

where $r = \sum_{i \neq 0} a_{i+1}x^i$ and $r^{s+1} = 0$. We proceed by induction on the index of nilpotency of A , the ideal of R_2 generated by $\{a_i\}$. If this index is one then $\beta\sigma(x) = x$ and we are finished. Now we can suppose that this index is greater than one. Observing that

$$(\beta\sigma(x))^i = x^i + \sum_j b_j x^j, \quad b_j \in A$$

we obtain

$$\beta\sigma(x) - \sum_{i \neq 1} a_i (\beta\sigma(x))^i = x - \sum a_i b_j x^j, \quad a_i, b_j \in A.$$

Since A is nilpotent,

$$\beta\sigma(x) - \sum_{i \neq 1} a_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i = vx - \sum_{j \neq 1} a_j b_j x^j$$

where v is a unit in Z_2 and $a_i b_j \in A^2$ which is of smaller index of nilpotency than A . Hence,

$$R_2 \langle \beta\sigma(x) - \sum_{i \neq 1} a_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i \rangle = R_2 \langle x \rangle$$

and therefore,

$$R_2 \langle \beta\sigma(x) \rangle = R_2 \langle x \rangle.$$

Thus we have proved that the R_2 -homomorphism $\alpha: R_2 \langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2 \langle x \rangle$ defined by $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} \beta\sigma(x)$ is an epimorphism.

To see that α is one to one, we must show that $\sum c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i = 0 \Rightarrow c_i = 0$ for all i . Now let $\sum_i c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i = 0$. In $R_2/A \langle x \rangle$, we have

$$\sum \overline{c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i} = 0.$$

However, $\overline{\beta\sigma(x)} = \overline{u_1 x}$ and therefore $\overline{c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i} = \overline{c_i u_1^i x^i} = 0$. Since u_1 is unit it follows that $\overline{c_i} = 0$ and $c_i \in A$ for all i . Assume that $c_i \in A^k$, $k \geq 1$ for all i . Then in $R_2/A^{k+1} \langle x \rangle$

$$\sum \overline{c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i} = 0.$$

However, $c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i \equiv c_i u_1^i x^i \pmod{A^{k+1} \langle x \rangle}$ and we have

$$0 = \sum \overline{c_i u_1^i x^i}.$$

Again it follows that $\overline{c_i} = 0$ and $c_i \in A^{k+1}$ for all i . Since A is nilpotent, $c_i = 0$ for all i and α is one to one. We have a ring isomorphism, $\alpha^{-1}\beta\sigma: R_1 \langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2 \langle x \rangle$ such that $(\alpha^{-1}\beta\sigma)(x) = x$ and therefore $(\alpha^{-1}\beta\sigma): \Delta(R_1 \langle x \rangle) \rightarrow \Delta(R_2 \langle x \rangle)$, where Δ denotes the augmentation ideal. We have

$$R_1 \simeq \frac{R_1 \langle x \rangle}{\Delta(R_1 \langle x \rangle)} \simeq \frac{R_2 \langle x \rangle}{\Delta(R_2 \langle x \rangle)} \simeq R_2.$$

COROLLARY 1. *Let R_1, R_2 be rings with perfect centres. Then*

$$R_1 \langle x \rangle \simeq R_2 \langle x \rangle \Rightarrow R_1 \simeq R_2.$$

Proof. Lemma 6 and Theorem 1.

Since a left artinian ring is left perfect we also have the following.

COROLLARY 2. *Let R_1, R_2 be rings with artinian centres. Then*

$$R_1 \langle x \rangle \simeq R_2 \langle x \rangle \Rightarrow R_1 \simeq R_2.$$

Of a somewhat different nature is the next theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let R_1 be a ring with identity such that its centre Z_1 has no nontrivial idempotent or nilpotent elements. Suppose that all units of Z_1 are algebraic over the prime subring of Z_1 . Then*

$$R_1\langle x \rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\simeq} R_2\langle x \rangle \Rightarrow R_1 \simeq R_2.$$

Proof. We first remark that since $Z_1\langle x \rangle$ has no nontrivial nilpotent or idempotent elements the same holds for $Z_2\langle x \rangle$. Here Z_2 is the centre of R_2 . By Lemma 7, the units of $Z_i\langle x \rangle$ are of the form ux^j , $u \in Z_i$ for $i=1, 2$. If z_1 is a unit in Z_1 , then we have that $\sum a_i z_1^i = 0$ for some a_i in the prime subring of Z_1 . Let $\sigma(z_1) = ux^j$ for some unit $u \in Z_2$. Then $\sum a_i (ux^j)^i = 0$. Since u is a unit, this implies that $j=0$ and hence that $\sigma(z_1) \in Z_2$. It follows that $\sigma(x) = vx^l$ for some $v \in Z_2$, $l = \pm 1$. Define $\tau: R_2\langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2\langle x \rangle$ by

$$(i) \quad \tau(x) = u^{-l} x^l$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \tau(\sum a_j x^j) = \sum a_j \tau(x)^j.$$

Then τ is an R_2 -algebra automorphism and $\tau\sigma(x) = x$. Also,

$$\tau\sigma(\Delta(R_1\langle x \rangle)) = \Delta(R_2\langle x \rangle)$$

which implies that $R_1 \simeq R_2$.

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Radicals of polynomial rings*, *Canad. J. Math.* **8** (1956), 355–361.
2. D. B. Coleman and E. E. Enochs, *Isomorphic polynomial rings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (1971), 247–252.
3. R. Gilmer, *R-automorphisms of $R[x]$* , *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **18** (1968), 328–336.
4. S. K. Sehgal, *Units in commutative integral group rings*, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **14** (1970), 135–138.

MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND,
ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND