

MARCINKIEWICZ MULTIPLIERS ON THE HEISENBERG GROUP

ALESSANDRO VENERUSO

Let \mathbf{H}_n be the Heisenberg group of dimension $2n + 1$. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ be the partial sub-Laplacians on \mathbf{H}_n and T the central element of the Lie algebra of \mathbf{H}_n . We prove that the operator $m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$, $1 < p < +\infty$, if the function m satisfies a Marcinkiewicz-type condition in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} .

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with spectral multipliers on the Heisenberg group. We denote by \mathbf{H}_n the Heisenberg group of dimension $d = 2n + 1$, by $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ the partial sub-Laplacians and by T the central element of the Lie algebra of \mathbf{H}_n . The operators $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT$ form a commutative family of self-adjoint operators, so they admit a joint spectral resolution and it is possible to define the operator $m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$ when m is a bounded Borel function on the joint spectrum of $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT\}$. The boundedness on $L^2(\mathbf{H}_n)$ of the operator $m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$ is an immediate consequence of the spectral theorem and the boundedness of the function m . We prove that $m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$, $1 < p < +\infty$, under suitable Marcinkiewicz-type conditions on the function m .

For the operators of the form $m(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_n$ is the sub-Laplacian on \mathbf{H}_n , the problem of establishing sufficient conditions on m that make the operator $m(\mathcal{L})$ bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$, $p \neq 2$, has a long history. The first results are due to De Michele and Mauceri [5], who have considered a wider class of operators. Later, these results have been extended to stratified groups by Hulanicki and Stein (in [7, Chapter 6]), Hulanicki and Jenkins [10], Mauceri [15], De Michele and Mauceri [6]. The best result up to now obtained in this more general context is due to Mauceri and Meda [16] and to Christ [3]: if the function m satisfies a Hörmander condition of order $\alpha > Q/2$ (where Q is the homogeneous dimension of the stratified group), then the operator $m(\mathcal{L})$ extends to an operator which is bounded on L^p for $1 < p < +\infty$ and of weak type $(1,1)$. More recently, Hebisch [9] and Müller and Stein [19] have proved that for the Heisenberg group the preceding conclusion is still true if the function m satisfies a Hörmander condition of order $\alpha > d/2$. In the paper of Müller and Stein [19] it is also shown that this condition is

Received 31st March, 1999

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/00 \$A2.00+0.00.

sharp. Operators of the form $m(\mathcal{L}, -iT)$ have been studied by Mauceri [14]. In all these works the authors have considered classes of multipliers that satisfy conditions invariant with respect to the natural family of one-parameter dilations on the group. More recently, Müller, Ricci and Stein [17, 18] have shown the boundedness on $L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$, $1 < p < +\infty$, of some classes of operators $m(\mathcal{L}, -iT)$ where m satisfies conditions invariant with respect to a family of multi-parameter dilations, in analogy with the classical Marcinkiewicz theorem on the Euclidean space [20, Chapter IV].

Operators of the form $m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$, when m satisfies a Marcinkiewicz-type condition of infinite order in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} , have been studied recently by Fraser [8], who has characterised their convolution kernels and has shown that these operators are bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$, $1 < p < +\infty$. Our result about the boundedness is stronger, because we only need that m satisfies a condition of finite order. Our techniques, based mainly on Littlewood-Paley decompositions, generalise those of Müller, Ricci and Stein [18].

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this paper we set $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbf{Z}_+ = \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathbf{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$, $\mathbf{R}^* = \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

The $2n + 1$ -dimensional Heisenberg group \mathbf{H}_n is the nilpotent Lie group whose underlying manifold is $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{R}$, with multiplication given by

$$(z, t)(z', t') = (z + z', t + t' + 2 \operatorname{Im} \langle z, z' \rangle)$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$, $z' = (z'_1, \dots, z'_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$, $t, t' \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\langle z, z' \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{z}'_j$. The Lie algebra of \mathbf{H}_n is generated by the left-invariant vector fields $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, \bar{Z}_1, \dots, \bar{Z}_n, T$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_j &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}; \\ \bar{Z}_j &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} - iz_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}; \\ T &= \partial/\partial t. \end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{H}_n is a stratified group endowed with a family of dilations $\{\delta_r : r > 0\}$ defined by

$$\delta_r(z, t) = (rz, r^2t).$$

The bi-invariant Haar measure on \mathbf{H}_n coincides with the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^{2n+1} . As usual, we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}_n)$ the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing smooth functions on \mathbf{H}_n and by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{H}_n)$ the dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}_n)$, that is, the space of tempered distributions on \mathbf{H}_n . The maximal torus \mathbf{T}^n , which we represent by $(-\pi, \pi]^n$, acts by automorphisms on \mathbf{H}_n in the following way:

$$a_\theta(z, t) = (e^{i\theta_1} z_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_n} z_n, t)$$

where $\vartheta = (\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n) \in \mathbf{T}^n$. A function f on \mathbf{H}_n is said to be *polyradial* if $f \circ a_\vartheta = f$ for every $\vartheta \in \mathbf{T}^n$, that is, if the value of $f(z, t)$ depends only on $|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|, t$. We denote by $L^p_{\mathbf{T}^n}$ ($1 \leq p \leq +\infty$) the space of polyradial functions in $L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$. The space $L^1_{\mathbf{T}^n}$ is a commutative, closed $*$ -subalgebra of $L^1(\mathbf{H}_n)$. A differential operator D on \mathbf{H}_n is said to be \mathbf{T}^n -invariant if $D(f \circ a_\vartheta) = D(f) \circ a_\vartheta$ for every $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{H}_n)$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbf{T}^n$. The commutative algebra of \mathbf{T}^n -invariant operators is generated by $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, T$, where $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ are the partial sub-Laplacians on \mathbf{H}_n defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_j = -\frac{1}{2} (Z_j \bar{Z}_j + \bar{Z}_j Z_j).$$

The sub-Laplacian on \mathbf{H}_n is $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{L}_j$. The Gelfand spectrum Δ of $L^1_{\mathbf{T}^n}$ can be identified with $(\mathbf{N}^n \times \mathbf{R}^*) \cup ([0, +\infty)^n)$. The Gelfand transform $\mathcal{G}f$ of a function $f \in L^1_{\mathbf{T}^n}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{G}f(k, \lambda) = \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f(x) \omega_{k, \lambda}(x) dx$$

with $(k, \lambda) \in \mathbf{N}^n \times \mathbf{R}^*$ and

$$\omega_{k, \lambda}(z, t) = e^{-i\lambda t} e^{-|\lambda| \cdot |z|^2} \prod_{j=1}^n L_{k_j}(2|\lambda| \cdot |z_j|^2)$$

where L_r ($r \in \mathbf{N}$) is the Laguerre polynomial of type 0 and degree r , defined by

$$L_r(\tau) = \sum_{s=0}^r \frac{(-1)^s}{s!} \binom{r}{s} \tau^s.$$

The Godement-Plancherel measure μ on Δ is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\Delta} F(\psi) d\mu(\psi) = \frac{2^{n-1}}{\pi^{n+1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^*} F(k, \lambda) |\lambda|^n d\lambda.$$

We ignore the remaining part of Δ , because it is of measure zero. By the Godement-Plancherel theory, \mathcal{G} extends uniquely to a unitary operator $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} : L^2_{\mathbf{T}^n} \rightarrow L^2(\Delta)$. For the proofs and further information about all these facts, see for instance [2, 11, 19].

3. JOINT SPECTRAL MULTIPLIERS

The operators $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT$ form a family of commuting self-adjoint operators. Their joint spectrum (see [2]) is the subset $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} , where

$$\Sigma_1 = \left\{ ((2k_1 + 1)|\lambda|, \dots, (2k_n + 1)|\lambda|, \lambda) : k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbf{N}, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^* \right\}$$

and

$$\Sigma_2 = \left\{ (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, 0) : \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in [0, +\infty) \right\}.$$

Let us define

$$\Lambda = |T|.$$

Arguing as in [18], one shows that also the operators $\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT$ form a family of commuting self-adjoint operators. Their joint spectrum is $(2\mathbf{N} + 1)^n \times \mathbf{R}$. By the spectral theorem, the multiplier operators $m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$ and $m(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$ are bounded on $L^2(\mathbf{H}_n)$ for all bounded Borel functions m defined on the corresponding joint spectra. Both these operators commute with left translations, so by [12] they are given by right convolution with tempered distributions, which we denote by $m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)\delta$ and $m(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT)\delta$, respectively. We also use the notations

$$\begin{aligned} M_m &= m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)\delta; \\ N_m &= m(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT)\delta. \end{aligned}$$

By the Godement-Plancherel theory, we have that $M_m \in L^2_{\mathbf{T}^n}$ if and only if the function

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}M_m(k, \lambda) = m\left((2k_1 + 1)|\lambda|, \dots, (2k_n + 1)|\lambda|, \lambda\right)$$

is in $L^2(\Delta)$. Similarly, we have that $N_m \in L^2_{\mathbf{T}^n}$ if and only if the function

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}N_m(k, \lambda) = m(2k_1 + 1, \dots, 2k_n + 1, \lambda)$$

is in $L^2(\Delta)$.

4. LITTLEWOOD-PALEY DECOMPOSITIONS

Fix a function $\chi \in C_c^\infty((1/2, 2))$ such that $\chi \geq 0$ and $\sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \chi(2^{-m}\lambda)^2 = 1$ for $\lambda > 0$. Let $\psi(\lambda) = \chi(|\lambda|)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. For $j = (j_1, \dots, j_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$ and $(\mu, \lambda) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \lambda) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ write

$$\chi_j(\mu, \lambda) = \prod_{r=1}^n \chi(2^{-j_r}\mu_r) \cdot \psi(2^{-j_{n+1}}\lambda).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j &= \chi_j(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)\delta; \\ \Phi_j &= \chi_j(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT)\delta. \end{aligned}$$

The properties of χ imply (see [1]) that φ_j and Φ_j are in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}_n)$ and satisfy

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}} \mathcal{G}\varphi_j(k, \lambda)^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}} \mathcal{G}\Phi_j(k, \lambda)^2 = 1.$$

For $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{H}_n)$ we define the following Littlewood-Paley functions:

$$g_1(u) = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}} |u * \varphi_j|^2 \right)^{1/2};$$

$$g_2(u) = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}} |u * \Phi_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Arguing as in [18], it is easy to prove that g_1 and g_2 are isometries of $L^2(\mathbf{H}_n)$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. For $1 < p < +\infty$ there exists a constant $C_p \geq 1$ such that

- (a) if $f \in L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$ then $g_1(f) \in L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$ and $\|g_1(f)\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p$;
- (b) if $f \in L^2(\mathbf{H}_n)$ and $g_1(f) \in L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$ then $f \in L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$ and $\|f\|_p \leq C_p \|g_1(f)\|_p$.

PROOF: By a standard duality argument (see [20, Chapter II]), it suffices to prove (a). Moreover, by some standard randomisation argument based on Khintchin's inequality (see [21, Chapter V]), it suffices to prove that there exists $C'_p > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j_1=-N}^N \cdots \sum_{j_{n+1}=-N}^N \varepsilon_{j_1}^{(1)} \cdots \varepsilon_{j_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} (f * \varphi_j) \right\|_p \leq C'_p \|f\|_p$$

for every $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and for every choice of the $n + 1$ sequences $\{\varepsilon_{j_1}^{(1)}\}_{j_1 \in \mathbf{Z}}, \dots, \{\varepsilon_{j_{n+1}}^{(n+1)}\}_{j_{n+1} \in \mathbf{Z}}$ with values in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}_n)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$, a standard approximation argument allows us to assume that $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}_n)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1=-N}^N \cdots \sum_{j_{n+1}=-N}^N \varepsilon_{j_1}^{(1)} \cdots \varepsilon_{j_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} (f * \varphi_j) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j_1=-N}^N \varepsilon_{j_1}^{(1)} \chi(2^{-j_1} \mathcal{L}_1) \right) \cdots \left(\sum_{j_n=-N}^N \varepsilon_{j_n}^{(n)} \chi(2^{-j_n} \mathcal{L}_n) \right) \left(\sum_{j_{n+1}=-N}^N \varepsilon_{j_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} \psi(-2^{-j_{n+1}} iT) \right) f. \end{aligned}$$

A straight-forward calculation yields

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \left| \lambda^h \frac{d^h}{d\lambda^h} \left(\sum_{j_r=-N}^N \varepsilon_{j_r}^{(r)} \chi(2^{-j_r} \lambda) \right) \right| \leq A_h$$

for $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where the constant A_h is independent of N and of the choice of the sequence $\{\varepsilon_{j_r}^{(r)}\}_{j_r \in \mathbf{Z}}$. Therefore, by a suitable multiplier theorem (see [7, Chapter 6]), we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j_r=-N}^N \varepsilon_{j_r}^{(r)} \chi(2^{-j_r} \mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{H}_1}) g \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{H}_1)} \leq M_p \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbf{H}_1)}$$

for $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}_1)$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{H}_1}$ is the sub-Laplacian on \mathbf{H}_1 and the constant M_p depends only on p . Applying the transference principle [4] yields

$$\left\| \sum_{j_r=-N}^N \varepsilon_{j_r}^{(r)} \chi(2^{-j_r} \mathcal{L}_r) f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)} \leq M_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}_n)$. Similarly we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{j_{n+1}=-N}^N \varepsilon_{j_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} \psi(-2^{-j_{n+1}} iT) f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)} \leq M'_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}_n)$. This gives the conclusion. □

As a corollary of Proposition 4.1, we obtain a weak Marcinkiewicz-type multiplier theorem. For $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and $m \in C^N((\mathbf{R}_+)^n \times \mathbf{R}^*)$ put

$$\|m\|_{(N)} = \sup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^{n+1} \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} \sup_{\substack{\mu \in (\mathbf{R}_+)^n \\ \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^*}} \left| \left(\mu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_1} \right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\mu_n \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_n} \right)^{\alpha_n} \left(\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^{\alpha_{n+1}} m(\mu, \lambda) \right|.$$

COROLLARY 4.2. *There exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that if $m \in C^N((\mathbf{R}_+)^n \times \mathbf{R}^*)$ and $\|m\|_{(N)} < +\infty$ then the operator $m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$, $1 < p < +\infty$, with norm controlled by $\|m\|_{(N)}$.*

We omit the proof of Corollary 4.2, because it is an easy but lengthy adaptment of the proof of Corollary 4.3 in [18], where the operator $m(\mathcal{L}, -iT)$ is considered. The only crucial point is that we apply our Proposition 4.1 instead of the corresponding Proposition 4.1 in [18]. We remark that Corollary 4.2 has also been proved in [8], however by a different method. Once we have Corollary 4.2, arguing again as in [18] we easily obtain the following

PROPOSITION 4.3. *For $1 < p < +\infty$ there exists a constant $C_p \geq 1$ such that*

- (a) *if $f \in L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$ then $g_2(f) \in L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$ and $\|g_2(f)\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p$;*
- (b) *if $f \in L^2(\mathbf{H}_n)$ and $g_2(f) \in L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$ then $f \in L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$ and $\|f\|_p \leq C_p \|g_2(f)\|_p$.*

5. FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS ON THE GELFAND SPECTRUM

In Section 2 we have seen that the Gelfand spectrum Δ can be identified, as a measure space, with the space $\mathbf{N}^n \times \mathbf{R}^*$ equipped with the measure μ defined by (2.1). Thus Δ can be considered as a subspace of the measure space $S = \mathbf{Z}^n \times \mathbf{R}$ equipped with the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ defined by

$$\int_S G(\psi) d\tilde{\mu}(\psi) = \frac{2^{n-1}}{\pi^{n+1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} G(k, \lambda) |\lambda|^n d\lambda.$$

We consider the canonical operators $\mathcal{P} : L^2(S) \rightarrow L^2(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{Q} : L^2(\Delta) \rightarrow L^2(S)$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{P}G)(k, \lambda) = G(k, \lambda);$$

$$(\mathcal{Q}F)(k, \lambda) = \begin{cases} F(k, \lambda) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^* \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let G be a function on S . For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the translation operator $\tau_j^{(h)}$ by

$$(5.1) \quad (\tau_j^{(h)}G)(k, \lambda) = G(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j + h, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n, \lambda).$$

We also define the difference operator

$$\Delta_j = \tau_j^{(1)} - \tau_j^{(0)}.$$

Finally we define the multiplication operator M_j by

$$(5.2) \quad (M_jG)(k, \lambda) = k_j G(k, \lambda).$$

From (5.1) and (5.2) we immediately obtain the following commutation relations between the operators $\tau_j^{(h)}$ and M_j :

$$M_i M_j = M_j M_i;$$

$$\tau_j^{(h)} M_i = M_i \tau_j^{(h)} \quad \text{if } i \neq j;$$

$$\tau_j^{(h)} M_j = M_j \tau_j^{(h)} + h \tau_j^{(h)};$$

$$\tau_j^{(h)} \tau_i^{(l)} = \tau_i^{(l)} \tau_j^{(h)};$$

$$\tau_j^{(h)} \tau_j^{(l)} = \tau_j^{(h+l)}.$$

These relations and simple induction arguments lead to the following

LEMMA 5.1. For $\nu, \beta, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the following identities hold:

$$M_j^\nu \tau_j^{(h)} = \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} (-h)^{\nu-r} \binom{\nu}{r} \tau_j^{(h)} M_j^r;$$

$$M_j^m \Delta_j = \Delta_j M_j^m + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-r} \binom{m}{r} \tau_j^{(1)} M_j^r;$$

$$M_j^\nu \Delta_j^{\nu+q} = \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} \sum_{s=0}^{q+2^\nu-1} a_{\nu,q,r,s} \tau_j^{(s)} M_j^r \Delta_j^r;$$

$$M_j^\nu \Delta_j^{\nu+q} \tau_j^{(h)} M_j^\beta \Delta_j^\beta = \sum_{r=0}^{\nu+\beta} \sum_{s=0}^{2^\beta(q+\nu+2^\nu-1)} b_{\nu,\beta,q,h,r,s} \tau_j^{(h+s)} M_j^r \Delta_j^{r+q}.$$

The coefficients $a_{\nu,q,r,s}$ and $b_{\nu,\beta,q,h,r,s}$ in the last two identities are real.

Let p be a polyradial polynomial on \mathbf{H}_n . For all $f \in L^2_{\mathbf{T}^n}$ such that $pf \in L^2_{\mathbf{T}^n}$ let us define

$$\partial_p(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}f) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(pf).$$

The operator ∂_p is thus densely defined on $L^2(\Delta)$ and its domain is

$$\text{Dom } \partial_p = \{F \in L^2(\Delta) : p \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}F \in L^2_{\mathbf{T}^n}\}.$$

Straight-forward computations (see [5, 13, 19]) yield

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\partial_{|z_j|^2}F)(k, \lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\lambda|} \left\{ (2k_j + 1)F(k, \lambda) - (k_j + 1)(\tau_j^{(1)}\mathcal{Q}F)(k, \lambda) - k_j(\tau_j^{(-1)}\mathcal{Q}F)(k, \lambda) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\partial_{-it}F)(k, \lambda) \\ &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda}(k, \lambda) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ F(k, \lambda) - (k_j + 1)(\tau_j^{(1)}\mathcal{Q}F)(k, \lambda) + k_j(\tau_j^{(-1)}\mathcal{Q}F)(k, \lambda) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since every polyradial polynomial on \mathbf{H}_n has the form

$$p(z, t) = \sum_{i_1=0}^N \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^N \sum_{l=0}^N a_{i_1, \dots, i_n, l} |z_1|^{2i_1} \cdots |z_n|^{2i_n} (-it)^l$$

with $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n, l} \in \mathbf{C}$, by (5.3) and (5.4) we can extend the operator ∂_p to an operator $\tilde{\partial}_p$ on $L^2(S)$ defined by

$$(5.5) \quad \tilde{\partial}_p = \sum_{i_1=0}^N \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^N \sum_{l=0}^N a_{i_1, \dots, i_n, l} \tilde{\partial}_{|z_1|^2}^{i_1} \cdots \tilde{\partial}_{|z_n|^2}^{i_n} \tilde{\partial}_{-it}^l$$

where

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\tilde{\partial}_{|z_j|^2}G)(k, \lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\lambda|} \left\{ (2k_j + 1)G(k, \lambda) - (k_j + 1)(\tau_j^{(1)}G)(k, \lambda) - k_j(\tau_j^{(-1)}G)(k, \lambda) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\tilde{\partial}_{-it}G)(k, \lambda) \\ &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda}(k, \lambda) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ G(k, \lambda) - (k_j + 1)(\tau_j^{(1)}G)(k, \lambda) + k_j(\tau_j^{(-1)}G)(k, \lambda) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The operator $\tilde{\partial}_p$ is thus densely defined on $L^2(S)$ and its domain is

$$\text{Dom } \tilde{\partial}_p = \{G \in L^2(S) : \tilde{\partial}_p G \in L^2(S)\}.$$

This domain contains the subspace $\mathcal{Q}(\text{Dom } \partial_p)$. Furthermore, the following identity is valid on $\text{Dom } \partial_p$:

$$(5.8) \quad \partial_p = \mathcal{P}\tilde{\partial}_p\mathcal{Q}.$$

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$(5.9) \quad (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}_j) = \sum_{h=-H}^H a^{(h)} \tau_j^{(h)};$$

$$(5.10) \quad (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}) = \sum_{h_1=-H}^H \dots \sum_{h_n=-H}^H a^{(h_1, \dots, h_n)} \tau_1^{(h_1)} \dots \tau_n^{(h_n)}$$

where $H \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a^{(h)}, a^{(h_1, \dots, h_n)} \in \mathbb{R}$.

PROPOSITION 5.2.

(a) For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\tilde{\partial}_{|z_j|^2}^q = |\lambda|^{-q} \sum_{\nu=0}^q (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}_j) M_j^\nu \Delta_j^{\nu+q}$$

where the integer H and the coefficients $a^{(h)}$ involved in the expression $(a \cdot \tilde{\tau}_j)$ according to (5.9) depend only on q and ν .

(b) For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\tilde{\partial}_{T^2 + z^2}^q = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2q} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ |\beta| \leq 2q - \nu}} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{[q - \nu/2]} (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}) T^{2\gamma} |\lambda|^{-(2q - \nu - 2\gamma)} \frac{\partial^\nu}{\partial \lambda^\nu} M_1^{\beta_1} \dots M_n^{\beta_n} \Delta_1^{\beta_1} \dots \Delta_n^{\beta_n}$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the greatest integer function and the integer H and the coefficients $a^{(h_1, \dots, h_n)}$ involved in the expression $(a \cdot \tilde{\tau})$ according to (5.10) depend only on $q, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \text{sgn } \lambda$.

PROOF: By straight-forward computations, we can rewrite (5.6) and (5.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial}_{|z_j|^2} &= -\frac{1}{2|\lambda|} \{ \tau_j^{(-1)} M_j \Delta_j^2 + (2\tau_j^{(0)} - \tau_j^{(-1)}) \Delta_j \}; \\ \tilde{\partial}_{-it} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \{ (\tau_j^{(0)} + \tau_j^{(-1)}) M_j \Delta_j + \tau_j^{(1)} - \tau_j^{(-1)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial}_{T^2 + z^2} &= T^2 - \tilde{\partial}_{-it}^2 \\ &= T^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \{ (\tau_j^{(0)} + \tau_j^{(-1)}) M_j \Delta_j + \tau_j^{(1)} - \tau_j^{(-1)} \} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \{ (\tau_j^{(0)} + \tau_j^{(-1)}) M_j \Delta_j + \tau_j^{(1)} - \tau_j^{(-1)} \} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \{ (\tau_i^{(0)} + \tau_i^{(-1)}) M_i \Delta_i + \tau_i^{(1)} - \tau_i^{(-1)} \} \right) \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \{ (\tau_j^{(0)} + \tau_j^{(-1)}) M_j \Delta_j + \tau_j^{(1)} - \tau_j^{(-1)} \} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using these expressions for $\tilde{\partial}_{|x_j|^2}$ and $\tilde{\partial}_{T^2+t^2}$, we can easily obtain (a) and (b) by induction on q and iterated applications of Lemma 5.1. \square

The reason why we have considered the space $\mathbf{Z}^n \times \mathbf{R}$ rather than the space $\mathbf{N}^n \times \mathbf{R}^*$ is that $\mathbf{Z}^n \times \mathbf{R}$ has some properties which $\mathbf{N}^n \times \mathbf{R}^*$ does not have: in particular, it is a locally compact Abelian group, so it is possible to define a Fourier transform on it. If f is a function in $L^1(\mathbf{Z}^n \times \mathbf{R})$, the Fourier transform of f is the function $\hat{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{T}^n \times \mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$\hat{f}(\vartheta, s) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(k, \lambda) e^{-i(k \cdot \vartheta + \lambda s)} d\lambda.$$

The Fourier transform on $\mathbf{Z}^n \times \mathbf{R}$ extends uniquely to a unitary operator (apart from a multiplicative constant) from $L^2(\mathbf{Z}^n \times \mathbf{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbf{T}^n \times \mathbf{R})$.

If f is a suitable function on $\mathbf{Z}^n \times \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Delta_j f}(\vartheta, s) &= (e^{i\vartheta_j} - 1) \hat{f}(\vartheta, s); \\ \widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}}(\vartheta, s) &= is \hat{f}(\vartheta, s). \end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly, for $\alpha \geq 0$ we define fractional powers $|\Delta_j|^\alpha$ and $|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}|^\alpha$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (|\Delta_j|^\alpha f)^\wedge(\vartheta, s) &= |e^{i\vartheta_j} - 1|^\alpha \hat{f}(\vartheta, s); \\ (|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}|^\alpha f)^\wedge(\vartheta, s) &= |s|^\alpha \hat{f}(\vartheta, s). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $r_1, \dots, r_n, \rho \geq 0$, we define the operator $(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j \Delta_j| + |\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}|)^\alpha I$ by

$$(5.11) \quad \left(\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j \Delta_j| + \left| \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right| \right)^\alpha f \right)^\wedge(\vartheta, s) = \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n r_j |e^{i\vartheta_j} - 1| + \rho |s| \right)^\alpha \hat{f}(\vartheta, s).$$

We shall use all these notations in Section 6.

6. MULTIPLIERS ON THE JOINT SPECTRUM

In this section m is a bounded function on $(2N + 1)^n \times \mathbf{R}^*$ such that $m(2k_1 + 1, \dots, 2k_n + 1, \cdot)$ is a Borel function on \mathbf{R}^* for every $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$.

Fix a function $\eta \in C_c^\infty((1/4, 4))$ such that $\eta \geq 0$ and $\eta = 1$ in $[1/2, 2]$. For $j = (j_1, \dots, j_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$ and $(\mu, \lambda) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \lambda) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ put

$$(6.1) \quad \eta_j(\mu, \lambda) = \prod_{r=1}^n \eta(2^{-j_r} \mu_r) \cdot \eta(2^{-j_{n+1}} |\lambda|).$$

Set

$$(6.2) \quad N_j = (m \eta_j) (\Lambda^{-1} \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1} \mathcal{L}_n, -iT) \delta.$$

Since the function

$$(k, \lambda) \mapsto (m\eta_j)(2k_1 + 1, \dots, 2k_n + 1, \lambda)$$

is in $L^2(\Delta)$, by (6.2) and the facts established in Section 3 we have that $N_j \in L^2_{\mathbf{T}^n}$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$. We consider the function $m_j \in L^2(S)$ defined by

$$(6.3) \quad m_j = \mathcal{Q}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}N_j$$

where the operators \mathcal{Q} and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ have been introduced in the previous sections. According to (5.11), for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ we define the scale-invariant localised Sobolev norm

$$(6.4) \quad \|m\|_{\ell^2(L^2)_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}} = \left\{ \sup_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}} 2^{-\sum_{r=1}^{n+1} j_r} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| (1 + |2^{j_1} \Delta_1|)^\alpha \cdots (1 + |2^{j_n} \Delta_n|)^\alpha \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^n |2^{j_r} \Delta_r| + \left| 2^{j_{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right| \right)^\beta m_j(k, \lambda) \right|^2 d\lambda \right\}^{1/2}.$$

We remark that, by standard partition of unity arguments, it can easily be shown that different bump functions η lead to equivalent $\ell^2(L^2)_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}$ norms.

For $\delta > 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$ let $W_\delta^{(j)}$ and $u_\gamma^{(j)}$ be the weights on \mathbf{H}_n defined by

$$(6.5) \quad W_\delta^{(j)}(z, t) = 2^{-\sum_{r=1}^n j_r - (n+1)j_{n+1}} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^n \left(1 + 2^{(j_r + j_{n+1})/2} |z_r| \right)^{2(1+\delta)} \cdot \left(1 + 2^{j_{n+1}} |t| \right)^{1+\delta};$$

$$(6.6) \quad u_\gamma^{(j)}(z, t) = 2^{2\gamma j_{n+1}} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^n \left\{ 1 + \left(2^{j_r + j_{n+1}} |z_r|^2 \right)^{2\gamma} \right\} \cdot \left(2^{-2j_{n+1}} + t^2 \right)^\gamma.$$

LEMMA 6.1. *Suppose $1 < p < +\infty$ and $\delta > 0$. There exists a constant $C = C(p, \delta) > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| m(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT)f \right\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p \cdot \sup_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{H}_n} |N_j(x)|^2 W_\delta^{(j)}(x) dx \right)^{1/2}$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbf{H}_n) \cap L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$.

The proof of Lemma 6.1 follows strictly the proof of Lemma 5.1 in [18], where the operator $m(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}, -iT)$ is considered. The only obvious difference is that we apply our Proposition 4.3 instead of the corresponding Proposition 4.4 in [18].

PROPOSITION 6.2. *For every $\gamma \geq 0$ there exists a constant $C_\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}_n} \left| N_j(x) u_\gamma^{(j)}(x) \right|^2 dx \leq C_\gamma \cdot 2^{n j_{n+1}} \cdot \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^n |2^{j_r} \Delta_r| + \left| 2^{j_{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right| \right)^{2\gamma} (1 + |2^{j_1} \Delta_1|)^{4\gamma} \cdots (1 + |2^{j_n} \Delta_n|)^{4\gamma} m_j(k, \lambda) \right|^2 d\lambda$$

for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$.

PROOF: By (5.11) it suffices to prove that

$$(6.7) \quad \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} |N_j(x) u_\gamma^{(j)}(x)|^2 dx \leq C_\gamma \cdot 2^{2jn+1} \cdot \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^n 2^{jr} |e^{i\theta_r} - 1| + 2^{jn+1} |\sigma| \right)^{2\gamma} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^n (1 + 2^{jr} |e^{i\theta_r} - 1|)^{4\gamma} \cdot \widehat{m}_j(\vartheta, \sigma) \right|^2 d\vartheta d\sigma.$$

Furthermore, it suffices to prove (6.7) if $\gamma \in \mathbf{N}$: the general case will follow by interpolation. In this hypothesis $u_\gamma^{(j)}$ is a polyradial polynomial on \mathbf{H}_n . So, by (5.5) and Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial}_{u_\gamma^{(j)}} &= 2^{2\gamma j n+1} \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} 2^{2\gamma(j r_1 + j n+1)} \dots 2^{2\gamma(j r_s + j n+1)} \tilde{\partial}_{|z_{r_1}|^2}^{2\gamma} \dots \tilde{\partial}_{|z_{r_s}|^2}^{2\gamma} \tilde{\partial}_{2^{-2j n+1+t^2}} \\ &= 2^{2\gamma j n+1} \left\{ \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} 2^{2\gamma(s j n+1 + \sum_{v=1}^s j r_v)} |\lambda|^{-2\gamma s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\sum_{m_1=0}^{2\gamma} (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{r_1}) M_{r_1}^{m_1} \Delta_{r_1}^{m_1+2\gamma} \right) \dots \left(\sum_{m_s=0}^{2\gamma} (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{r_s}) M_{r_s}^{m_s} \Delta_{r_s}^{m_s+2\gamma} \right) \right\} \\ &\quad \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbf{N}^n \\ |\beta| \leq 2q-\nu}} \sum_{q=0}^{[\gamma-\nu/2]} 2^{-2q j n+1} (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}) |\lambda|^{-(2\gamma-\nu-2q)} \frac{\partial^\nu}{\partial \lambda^\nu} M_1^{\beta_1} \dots M_n^{\beta_n} \Delta_1^{\beta_1} \dots \Delta_n^{\beta_n} \right\} \\ &= 2^{2\gamma j n+1} \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2\gamma-\nu} \sum_{q=0}^{[\gamma-\nu/2]} \sum_{m_1=0}^{2\gamma} \dots \sum_{m_s=0}^{2\gamma} 2^{-2q j n+1} \\ &\quad \cdot 2^{2\gamma(s j n+1 + \sum_{v=1}^s j r_v)} |\lambda|^{-(2\gamma-\nu-2q+2\gamma s)} \frac{\partial^\nu}{\partial \lambda^\nu} (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{r_1}) \dots (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{r_s}) \\ &\quad M_{r_1}^{m_1} \Delta_{r_1}^{m_1+2\gamma} \dots M_{r_s}^{m_s} \Delta_{r_s}^{m_s+2\gamma} (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}) M_1^{\beta_1} \dots M_n^{\beta_n} \Delta_1^{\beta_1} \dots \Delta_n^{\beta_n}. \end{aligned}$$

If we set $\{r_{s+1}, \dots, r_n\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{r_1, \dots, r_s\}$, by Lemma 5.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial}_{u_\gamma^{(j)}} &= \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2\gamma-\nu} \sum_{q=0}^{[\gamma-\nu/2]} \sum_{m_1=0}^{2\gamma} \dots \sum_{m_s=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{h_1=0}^{m_1+\beta_{r_1}} \dots \sum_{h_s=0}^{m_s+\beta_{r_s}} 2^{2j n+1} (\gamma-q) \\ &\quad \cdot 2^{2\gamma(s j n+1 + \sum_{v=1}^s j r_v)} |\lambda|^{-(2\gamma-\nu-2q+2\gamma s)} \frac{\partial^\nu}{\partial \lambda^\nu} (a \cdot \tilde{\tau}) \\ &\quad M_{r_1}^{h_1} \Delta_{r_1}^{h_1+2\gamma} \dots M_{r_s}^{h_s} \Delta_{r_s}^{h_s+2\gamma} M_{r_{s+1}}^{\beta_{r_{s+1}}} \Delta_{r_{s+1}}^{\beta_{r_{s+1}}} \dots M_{r_n}^{\beta_{r_n}} \Delta_{r_n}^{\beta_{r_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that in $\text{supp } m_j$ we have $|\lambda| \sim 2^{jn+1}$ and $k_r \sim 2^{jr}$ for $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2j n+1} (\gamma-q) |\lambda|^{-(2\gamma-\nu-2q)} &\sim 2^{\nu j n+1}, \\ 2^{2\gamma s j n+1} |\lambda|^{-2\gamma s} &\sim 1, \\ M_r &\sim 2^{jr}. \end{aligned}$$

By these facts and by (5.8) and (6.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} |N_j(x) u_\gamma^{(j)}(x)|^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{2^{n-1}}{\pi^{n+1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^s} |\partial_{u_\gamma^{(j)}}(\tilde{G}N_j)(k, \lambda)|^2 |\lambda|^n d\lambda \\
 &\leq \frac{2^{n-1}}{\pi^{n+1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\tilde{\partial}_{u_\gamma^{(j)}} m_j(k, \lambda)|^2 |\lambda|^n d\lambda \\
 &\leq C_\gamma \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2\gamma - \nu} \sum_{m_1=0}^{2\gamma} \dots \sum_{m_s=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{h_1=0}^{m_1 + \beta_{r_1}} \dots \sum_{h_s=0}^{m_s + \beta_{r_s}} \\
 &\quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \left(2^{\nu j_{n+1}} \frac{\partial^\nu}{\partial \lambda^\nu} \right) (2^{j_{r_1}} \Delta_{r_1})^{h_1 + 2\gamma} \dots (2^{j_{r_s}} \Delta_{r_s})^{h_s + 2\gamma} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. (2^{j_{r_{s+1}}} \Delta_{r_{s+1}})^{\beta_{r_{s+1}}} \dots (2^{j_{r_n}} \Delta_{r_n})^{\beta_{r_n}} m_j(k, \lambda) \right|^2 2^{nj_{n+1}} d\lambda \\
 &= C_\gamma \cdot 2^{nj_{n+1}} \cdot \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2\gamma - \nu} \sum_{m_1=0}^{2\gamma} \dots \sum_{m_s=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{h_1=0}^{m_1 + \beta_{r_1}} \dots \sum_{h_s=0}^{m_s + \beta_{r_s}} \\
 &\quad \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| 2^{\nu j_{n+1}} \cdot (i\sigma)^\nu \cdot \prod_{v=1}^s \{ 2^{j_{r_v}} (e^{i\theta_{r_v}} - 1) \}^{h_v + 2\gamma} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot \prod_{u=s+1}^n \{ 2^{j_{r_u}} (e^{i\theta_{r_u}} - 1) \}^{\beta_{r_u}} \cdot \widehat{m}_j(\vartheta, \sigma) \right|^2 d\vartheta d\sigma \\
 &\leq C'_\gamma \cdot 2^{nj_{n+1}} \cdot \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2\gamma - \nu} \sum_{m_1=0}^{2\gamma} \dots \sum_{m_s=0}^{2\gamma} \\
 &\quad \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| (2^{j_{n+1}} |\sigma|)^\nu \cdot \prod_{v=1}^s (1 + 2^{j_{r_v}} |e^{i\theta_{r_v}} - 1|)^{m_v + \beta_{r_v} + 2\gamma} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot \prod_{u=s+1}^n (1 + 2^{j_{r_u}} |e^{i\theta_{r_u}} - 1|)^{\beta_{r_u}} \cdot \widehat{m}_j(\vartheta, \sigma) \right|^2 d\vartheta d\sigma \\
 &\leq C''_\gamma \cdot 2^{nj_{n+1}} \cdot \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2\gamma} \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2\gamma - \nu} \sum_{m_1=0}^{2\gamma} \dots \sum_{m_s=0}^{2\gamma} \\
 &\quad \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^n 2^{j_r} |e^{i\theta_r} - 1| + 2^{j_{n+1}} |\sigma| \right)^{\nu + |\beta|} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot \prod_{v=1}^s (1 + 2^{j_{r_v}} |e^{i\theta_{r_v}} - 1|)^{m_v + 2\gamma} \cdot \widehat{m}_j(\vartheta, \sigma) \right|^2 d\vartheta d\sigma \\
 &\leq C'''_\gamma \cdot 2^{nj_{n+1}} \cdot \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^n 2^{j_r} |e^{i\theta_r} - 1| + 2^{j_{n+1}} |\sigma| \right)^{2\gamma} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot \prod_{r=1}^n (1 + 2^{j_r} |e^{i\theta_r} - 1|)^{4\gamma} \cdot \widehat{m}_j(\vartheta, \sigma) \right|^2 d\vartheta d\sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Formula (6.4), Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.2, by the relation between $W_\delta^{(j)}$ and $u_{1+\delta}^{(j)}$ deducible from (6.5) and (6.6), lead directly to:

THEOREM 6.3. *Suppose $\|m\|_{L^2(L^2)_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}} < +\infty$ for some $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > 1/2$. Then for $1 < p < +\infty$ there exists a constant $C_{\alpha,\beta,p} > 0$, not depending on the function m , such that*

$$\|m(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT)f\|_p \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,p} \|m\|_{L^2(L^2)_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}} \|f\|_p$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbf{H}_n) \cap L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$.

7. MULTIPLIERS ON \mathbf{R}^{n+1}

We want to prove a weaker but simpler version of Theorem 6.3, where the function m satisfies a Sobolev condition on all \mathbf{R}^{n+1} and not only on the spectrum of the operator. In this context, from the boundedness of the operator $m(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$ we shall be able to deduce also the boundedness of the operator $m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)$ under the same hypotheses on m .

In this section m is a bounded Borel function on $(\mathbf{R}_+)^n \times \mathbf{R}^*$. We extend m on all \mathbf{R}^{n+1} by putting $m = 0$ outside $(\mathbf{R}_+)^n \times \mathbf{R}^*$. For $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}) \in (\mathbf{R}_+)^{n+1}$ we write

$$m^{(r)}(\mu, \lambda) = m(r_1\mu_1, \dots, r_n\mu_n, r_{n+1}\lambda).$$

Fix η as in Section 6 and η_0 as in (6.1). For $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ we define

$$\|m\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}} = \sup_{r \in (\mathbf{R}_+)^{n+1}} \|m^{(r)}\eta_0\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta}}$$

where the mixed Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta}}$ is defined by

$$\|g\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta}}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} \left| \hat{g}(\xi) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + |\xi_j|)^\alpha \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |\xi_j| \right)^\beta \right|^2 d\xi.$$

By applying n times Lemma 2.5 in [18], we have

$$(7.1) \quad \|m\|_{L^2(L^2)_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}} \leq C \|m\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}}.$$

THEOREM 7.1. *Suppose $\|m\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}} < +\infty$ for some $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > 1/2$. Then for $1 < p < +\infty$ there exists a constant $C_{\alpha,\beta,p} > 0$, not depending on the function m , such that*

$$\|m(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT)f\|_p \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,p} \|m\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}} \|f\|_p$$

and

$$\|m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT)f\|_p \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,p} \|m\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta,\text{oloc}}} \|f\|_p$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbf{H}_n) \cap L^p(\mathbf{H}_n)$.

PROOF: The first inequality is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.3 and (7.1). Putting

$$(Sm)(\mu, \lambda) = m(|\lambda|\mu, \lambda)$$

we have that

$$m(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, -iT) = (Sm)(\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n, -iT).$$

Then, in order to prove the second inequality, it suffices to prove that

$$(7.2) \quad \|Sm\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta,\text{sloc}}} \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \|m\|_{L^2_{\alpha,\beta,\text{sloc}}}.$$

The proof of (7.2) is an easy adaption of the last part of the proof of [18, Corollary 2.4]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Benson, J. Jenkins and G. Ratcliff, 'The spherical transform of a Schwartz function on the Heisenberg group', *J. Funct. Anal.* **154** (1998), 379–423.
- [2] C. Benson, J. Jenkins, G. Ratcliff and T. Worku, 'Spectra for Gelfand pairs associated with the Heisenberg group', *Colloq. Math.* **71** (1996), 305–328.
- [3] M. Christ, ' L^p bounds for spectral multipliers on nilpotent groups', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **328** (1991), 73–81.
- [4] R.R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Transference methods in Analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **31** (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977).
- [5] L. De Michele and G. Mauceri, ' L^p multipliers on the Heisenberg group', *Michigan Math. J.* **26** (1979), 361–371.
- [6] L. De Michele and G. Mauceri, ' H^p multipliers on stratified groups', *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **148** (1987), 353–366.
- [7] G.B. Folland and E.M. Stein, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Mathematical Notes **28** (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1982).
- [8] A.J. Fraser, *Marcinkiewicz multipliers on the Heisenberg group*, Ph.D. Thesis (Princeton University, 1997).
- [9] W. Hebisch, 'Multiplier theorem on generalized Heisenberg groups', *Colloq. Math.* **65** (1993), 231–239.
- [10] A. Hulanicki and J. Jenkins, 'Almost everywhere summability on nilmanifolds', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **278** (1983), 703–715.
- [11] A. Hulanicki and F. Ricci, 'A Tauberian theorem and tangential convergence for bounded harmonic functions on balls in \mathbb{C}^n ', *Invent. Math.* **62** (1980), 325–331.
- [12] A. Korányi, S. Vági and G.V. Welland, 'Remarks on the Cauchy integral and the conjugate function in generalized half-planes', *J. Math. Mech.* **19** (1970), 1069–1081.
- [13] C.-C. Lin, ' L^p multipliers and their $H^1 - L^1$ estimates on the Heisenberg group', *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **11** (1995), 269–308.
- [14] G. Mauceri, 'Zonal multipliers on the Heisenberg group', *Pacific J. Math.* **95** (1981), 143–159.

- [15] G. Mauceri, 'Maximal operators and Riesz means on stratified groups', *Symposia Math.* **29** (1987), 47–62.
- [16] G. Mauceri and S. Meda, 'Vector-valued multipliers on stratified groups', *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **6** (1990), 141–154.
- [17] D. Müller, F. Ricci and E.M. Stein, 'Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg(-type) groups, I', *Invent. Math.* **119** (1995), 199–233.
- [18] D. Müller, F. Ricci and E.M. Stein, 'Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg(-type) groups, II', *Math. Z.* **221** (1996), 267–291.
- [19] D. Müller and E.M. Stein, 'On spectral multipliers for Heisenberg and related groups', *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **73** (1994), 413–440.
- [20] E.M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970).
- [21] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series I* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959).

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Genova
Via Dodecaneso 35
16146 Genova
Italy
e-mail: veneruso@dima.unige.it