Bulletin of Entomological Research

[cambridge.org/ber](https://www.cambridge.org/ber)

Research Paper

Cite this article: Silva NNP, Carvalho VR, Silva CB, Bomfim JPA, Ramos GS, Oliveira RC (2024). First report of the association between Wolbachia and Cotesia flavipes (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): effect on life history parameters of the parasitoid. Bulletin of Entomological Research 114, 543–550. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485324000361) [10.1017/S0007485324000361](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485324000361)

Received: 27 December 2023 Revised: 14 May 2024 Accepted: 23 May 2024 First published online: 11 September 2024

Keywords:

biological control; endosymbionts; parasitic wasp; quality control; sugarcane borer

Corresponding author: Nadja Nara P. Silva; Email: nadjanara.bio@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press

First report of the association between Wolbachia and Cotesia flavipes (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): effect on life history parameters of the parasitoid

¹ Department of Crop Protection, São Paulo State University, School of Agronomic Sciences, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil and ²Departament of Entomology and Acaralogy, University of São Paulo (USP)/Luiz de Queiroz College of Agriculture (ESALQ), Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil

Abstract

The symbiosis between microorganisms and host arthropods can cause biological, physiological, and reproductive changes in the host population. The present study aimed to survey facultative symbionts of the genera Wolbachia, Arsenophonus, Cardinium, Rickettsia, and Nosema in Cotesia flavipes (Cameron) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and Diatraea saccharalis (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in the laboratory and evaluate the influence of infection on the fitness of these hosts. For this purpose, 16S rDNA primers were used to detect these facultative symbionts in the host species, and the hosts' biological and morphological features were evaluated for changes resulting from the infection caused by these microorganisms. The bacterial symbionts studied herein were not detected in the D. saccharalis samples analysed, but the endosymbiont Wolbachia was detected in C. flavipes and altered the biological and morphological aspects of this parasitoid insect. The results of this study may help to elucidate the role of Wolbachia in maintaining the quality of populations/lineages of C. flavipes.

Introduction

The sugarcane borer Diatraea saccharalis (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) is a polyphagous pest of several host Poaceae species that commonly affects sugarcane plantations throughout the American continent, mainly in Brazil, the world's largest sugarcane producer (Rossato et al., [2013](#page-6-0); Ferreira et al., [2018](#page-6-0)). Cotesia flavipes (Cameron) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) is the most widely used biological control agent for population regulation of D. saccharalis. In Brazil, C. flavipes is used on approximately 3.5 million hectares for the control of sugarcane borer, representing one of the most efficient applied biological control programmes in the world (Parra and Coelho, [2019](#page-6-0); Fontes et al., [2020](#page-6-0)). This successful control of D. saccharalis using C. flavipes shows the importance of measures to maintain the quality of this biological control agent and its host during the production process. Several factors can affect the fitness of insects; association with symbiotic organisms, for instance, has great ecological and evolution-ary consequences for host species (Harris et al., [2010](#page-6-0); Dicke et al., [2020\)](#page-6-0).

Symbiosis is a broad term used to define the association between two or more species, and the effect of the symbiont on the host may be beneficial (mutualism), neutral (commensalism), or harmful (parasitism) (de Bary, [1879\)](#page-6-0). Insects are natural hosts of numerous symbiotic microorganisms, and this association may have obligatory ecological and biological functions essential for survival – or facultative – often infecting only part of the population, being maintained through the provision of conditional benefits, or by manipulating the host's reproduction. The elimination of facultative symbionts often results in little or no apparent cost or benefit to the host insect (Douglas, [1989;](#page-6-0) Duron et al., [2008;](#page-6-0) Brownlie and Johnson, [2009](#page-6-0)).

Symbiotic interactions between polydnaviruses and Cotesia spp. are commonly reported, with the former acting as important immune suppressors, allowing the development of imma-ture parasitoids within the host (Stoltz and Vinson, [1979](#page-6-0); Herniou et al., [2013](#page-6-0); Cônsoli and Kitajima, [2017;](#page-6-0) Tan et al., [2018\)](#page-6-0). Infection by the intracellular parasite Nosema sp. (Microspora: Nosematidae) has also been reported in D. saccharalis artificial rearings, and it affects the use of C. flavipes as a biological control by altering its biological parameters and search behaviour (Simões et al., [2012](#page-6-0)). This shows the relevance of understanding symbiotic interactions and the effect of these microorganisms on the biological and morphological aspects of the association between C. flavipes populations. Thus, the objective of the present study was to identify facultative symbionts of the genera Wolbachia, Arsenophonus,

Cardinium, Rickettsia, and Nosema in C. flavipes and the host D. saccharalis, as well as to evaluate the influence of their infection on the fitness of these hosts.

Materials and methods

Breeding and bioassays were conducted under controlled conditions at a temperature of 25 ± 1 °C, relative humidity of 60 ± 10 %, and a 12 h photophase in the laboratories of the Department of Crop Protection, São Paulo State University, School of Agronomic Sciences, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil.

Obtaining and multiplying insects

The D. saccharalis and C. flavipes individuals used in the assays were obtained from the São Paulo biofactory, Brazil. Diatraea saccharalis were fed an adapted version of the artificial diet proposed by Hensley and Hammond [\(1968\)](#page-6-0) (agar was replaced with carrageenan), and breeding methodologies for both species followed those of Garcia et al. ([2009](#page-6-0)).

Detection of symbionts

Genomic DNA extraction

Larvae of the host D. saccharalis and adults of C. flavipes (n 50 for each species) were randomly selected from the breeding material stored in the AGRIMIP laboratory (FCA/UNESP) for genomic DNA extraction and standardisation via polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The D. saccharalis larvae were washed with saline solution (0.85% NaCl) followed by 70% alcohol and subsequently macerated in a sterile 10 ml glass beaker, after which parts of the insect's body were removed. The remaining body content was dissolved in 80 μl of Chelex100 resin (Bio-Rad Laboratories, California, US) at 10%, diluted in sterile water, and dissolved in 8 μl of proteinase K (20 μg ml−¹) in 200 μl microtubes. For DNA extraction from C. flavipes, a similar procedure was performed, except that all adults of C. flavipes individuals were directly macerated in 200 μl microtubes, without removing parts of the insect's body. All tubes containing the larvae of D. saccharalis and the adults of C. flavipes were then vortexed for 5 s in a Vortex Biomixer MOD QL901, centrifuged at 6200 rpm in a MiniStar mini centrifuge, and transferred to an Infinigen thermocycler (TC-96CG) at 95°C for 20 min.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

PCR and mass sequencing amplification targeting the small subunit region of ribosomal RNA were performed using specific primers for symbionts of the genera Wolbachia, Arsenophonus, Cardinium, Rickettsia, and Nosema [\(table 1\)](#page-2-0). For the detection of all the genera except Nosema, the PCR mixture contained 12.5 μl of Taq DNA Polymerase (NeoBio), 7.5 μl of milli-Q water, 1.0 μl of each primer, and 3.0 μl of DNA sample, for a total volume of 25 μl. For Nosema, the PCR mixture contained 12.5 μl of Taq DNA Polymerase (NeoBio), 5 μl of milli-Q water, 1.25 μl of each primer, and 5.0 μl of DNA sample, for a total volume of 25 μl.

The PCRs were performed in an Infinigen thermocycler (model TC-96CG), under the following conditions: Arsenophonus, initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min (Thao and Baumann, [2004\)](#page-7-0); Cardinium, initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles at 92°C

for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min (Zchori-Fein and Perlman, [2004\)](#page-7-0); Rickettsia, initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles at 92°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min (Gottlieb et al., [2006\)](#page-6-0); Wolbachia, initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min (Heddi et al., [1999](#page-6-0)); Nosema, initial denaturation at 95°C for 4 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95°C for 1 min, 48°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 4 min (Vossbrinck et al., [1993](#page-7-0)).

PCR products were read in a UV light transilluminator (Major Science) using a 100-bp molecular marker (Norgen) and a 1% agarose gel containing 80 ml of TBE buffer solution, 0.8 g of agarose (Neo3Bio), and 0.4 μl of GelRed DNA intercalant (NeoBio).

DNA purification and Sanger sequencing

PCR products in which symbionts were detected were purified using a Cellco purification kit, according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Quantitative analyses were performed by optical density and spectrophotometry (NanoDrop MD-1000 UV-Vis). The amplified fragments were sequenced using an automatic Sanger sequencer (Model: ABI 3500, Applied Biosystems) at the Biotechnology Institute (Instituto de Biotecnologia, IBTEC) of UNESP, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil. The obtained sequences were compared and deposited in the GenBank database (National Center for Biotechnology Information, NCBI) using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST), and specific identification was performed based on sequence similarity scores and percentage of similarity.

Post-symbiont lineages production of Cotesia flavipes

After confirming infection by Wolbachia in C. flavipes, individuals were selected for the production of sister lineages without symbionts. Some of the individuals were fed pure honey to maintain the association with *Wolbachia* (W⁺), while the other group was fed honey supplemented with antibiotics (0.25% tetracycline) to eliminate the symbiont (W−) (Li et al., [2014](#page-6-0)). The parasitoids (W−) were maintained in a biological oxygen demand incubator at $28 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C under a relative humidity of $70 \pm 10\%$ and a 12 h photoperiod. The process of eliminating Wolbachia was conducted for four consecutive generations, after which individuals from both populations were randomly selected to confirm the absence of the symbiont using PCR, following the aforementioned methodologies. After this decontamination process, the W[−] population was fed for ten generations with pure honey to allow a complete restoration of the intestinal microbiota and eliminate all side effects of the treatment.

Wolbachia infection in C. flavipes populations $(W^+$ and $W^-)$ was investigated before and after the experiments to validate the results obtained, as the populations of the parasitoid and the host D. saccharalis were evaluated for infection by Nosema sp., as this microorganism is commonly reported in parasitoids that multiply in D. saccharalis, causing deleterious effects in the infected population (Simões et al., [2012;](#page-6-0) Paes et al., [2019](#page-6-0))

Changes in the fitness of Cotesia flavipes associated with Wolbachia infection

Diatraea saccharalis larvae suitable for parasitism (4th instar) were selected from the breeding material stored at AGRIMIP

(FCA/UNESP) and placed with adult W⁺ and W[−] C. flavipes females (48 h old) to allow the occurrence of parasitism. Then, the larvae were individually placed in plastic capsules $(3 \times 7 \text{ cm}, \text{ diameter} \times \text{height})$ with a refeeding diet (Hensley and Hammond, [1968\)](#page-6-0). Sixty larvae were replicated for each population of the parasitoid for evaluations of biological characteristics, flight capacity, and morphometry.

Biological aspects

The characteristics of C. flavipes were evaluated after the parasitoid larvae exited the body of D. saccharalis and during pupation. Twenty pupal masses from each population (W⁺ and W−) were then removed, placed in glass tubes $(2.5 \times 1 \text{ cm}, \text{ diameter} \times$ height), and observed daily to determine the egg–pupa and pupa–adult development period (days), pupal viability (equation 1), female proportion (equation 2), and adult survival.

$$
Viability (\%) = \frac{Total number of pupae}{Number of parasitoids} \times 100 \tag{1}
$$

Female proportion (%) ⁼ Number of females Number of females ⁺ Number of males [×] ¹⁰⁰ (2)

The survival of adult males and females was evaluated by randomly selecting one individual of each sex from each replicate, totalling 20 individuals of both sexes, placing them in individual glass tubes $(2.5 \times 8 \text{ cm}, \text{ diameter} \times \text{height})$, and observing them daily until death. Adults were fed thin lines of pure honey and inserted into these tubes using an entomological pin.

Flight capacity

The flight capacity of W^+ and W^- C. flavipes was evaluated following the methodology of Dutton and Bigler [\(1995\)](#page-6-0) and adapted by Prezotti et al. [\(2002](#page-6-0)). The test units for flight capacity consisted of a PVC cylinder internally covered with black cardboard and with the bottom sealed with black paper adjusted on a 1 cm-thick Styrofoam disk with the same diameter as the cylinder. In the test unit, an entomological glue ring was painted over an acetate strip (1 cm thick) 3.5 cm from the lower end of the cylinder, acting as a barrier for walking parasitoids. The upper part of the test unit was

sealed with a transparent Petri dish internally covered with entomological glue, which acted as a trap for the parasitoids in flight.

A glass tube (2.5×8 cm, diameter \times height) containing a pupa mass with approximately 100 ready-to-emerge C. flavipes pupae was fixed at the centre of the bottom of the test unit, on the Styrofoam disk. Inside the tube, droplets of pure honey were provided as food for the parasitoids. A total of 20 test units were used for each parasitoid population (W^+ and W^-), which were maintained in a vertical laminar flow chamber under fluorescent light for 3 days. After this period, the number of C. flavipes specimens in the glue ring (walkers), the Petri dish (flyers), and the bottom of the cylinder (non-flyers) was determined.

Morphometry

Ten W⁺ and W[−] adult females and ten W+ and W[−] males were measured. The parasitoids were placed on slides containing alcohol gel, positioned in a right-side view, and photographed using a Leica EZ4 D optical microscope coupled to a camera. The following structures were measured in ImageJ 2.00: (1) total length (thorax + abdomen); (2) length of the right forewing; (3) width of the right anterior wing; and (4) length of the posterior tibia (from the junction of the tibia with the tarsus).

Statistical analysis

The data resulting from the tests were assessed through exploratory analyses for an evaluation of the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances using the Shapiro–Wilk $(P < 0.05)$ and Bartlett $(P < 0.05)$ tests, respectively. The egg-pupa and adult–pupa development periods, viability, female proportion, flight test results, and morphometric data were analysed by t tests ($P < 0.05$). The differences in flight capacity among the categories (flying, walking, and non-flying insects) were evaluated by analysis of variance and compared by Tukey tests $(P < 0.05)$. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were generated from survival data and compared using the logRank test $(P < 0.05)$. All analyses were performed using Minitab software.

Results

Diatraea saccharalis and Cotesia flavipes symbionts

In the present study, no associations between the studied symbiont species and D. saccharalis were found. However, the Table 2. Development (days) of egg–pupa and adult–pupa, viability (%), and female proportion of Cotesia flavipes (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) infected (W⁺) and not infected (W⁻) with Wolbachia

The experimental conditions were: 25 ± 1 °C, relative humidity of 60 \pm 10%, and a 12 h photophase.

 α ^aCalculated according to equation [1](#page-2-0).

^bCalculated according to equation [2](#page-2-0).

Means different letters within indicate significant difference (t test, $P < 0.05$).

α-proteobacterium Wolbachia was detected in C. flavipes (GenBank accession number: OR074180), with a sequencing coverage of 98% identity for Wolbachia (closest GenBank accession: CP037426.1)

Changes in the fitness of Cotesia flavipes associated with Wolbachia infection

Biological aspects

No significant differences were detected in the egg–pupal development period (days) $(t = 1.897, df = 1, P = 0.061; table 2)$, adult–pupal development period $(t = 0.193, df = 1, P = 0.847;$ table 2), pupal viability ($t = -0.658$, df = 1, $P = 0.512$; table 2), or female proportion $(t = 0.468, df = 1, P = 0.641; table 2)$ between the two populations.

Survival analysis revealed no difference in the longevity of males between the two populations, with a mean survival of 48 h (χ^2 = 0.190, df = 1, P = 0.663; fig. 1b). However, significant differences were observed in the survival of females in the two populations (χ^2 = 11.598, df = 1, P < 0.001; fig. 1a). Females without Wolbachia had a mean survival of 72 h, which was longer than that of females with the symbiont $(48 h)$ (fig. 1a).

Flight capacity

No significant differences were observed in the percentages of the flyer (t = -0.89, df = 1, P = 0.3776; [fig. 2\)](#page-4-0), walker (t = 0.75, df = 1, $P = 0.4587$; [fig. 2\)](#page-4-0), and non-flyer (t = -0.85, df = 1, $P = 0.4749$; [fig. 2](#page-4-0)) adults between the W⁺ and W[−] populations ([fig. 2\)](#page-4-0). However, the number of flyers was greater than that of walkers and nonflyers in all populations (W^+ : $F = 138.22$, df = 2, $P \le 0.0001$; W⁻: $F = 233.00$, df = 2, $P \le 0.0001$; [fig. 2](#page-4-0)).

Morphometry

No significant differences were detected in body length $(t = -1.169)$, df = 1, $P = 0.258$; [fig. 3\)](#page-4-0) or right tibia length (t = -1.482, df = 1, $P = 0.156$; [fig. 3](#page-4-0)) between the female C. flavipes W⁺ and W⁻ populations. However, compared with W^+ females, C. flavipes W[−] females had longer right-wing lengths $(t = -2.449, df = 1,$ $P = 0.025$) and wider right-wing lengths $(t = -2.742, df = 1,$ $P = 0.013$) ([fig. 3](#page-4-0)).

Uninfected males of C. flavipes (W−) had a longer right tibia length than individuals infected with Wolbachia (W^+) (t= -2.70 , df = 1, $P = 0.015$; [fig. 4](#page-5-0)). No significant differences were detected in the other morphological measures between males of the two populations (body length: $t = 0.285$, $df = 1$, $P = 0.779$; right-wing length: $t = -1.820$, df = 1, $P = 0.085$; right-wing width: $t = -1.799$, df = 1, $P = 0.089$; [fig. 4\)](#page-5-0).

Discussion

Symbiotic associations between Wolbachia and Cotesia spp. have already been reported in the literature, but the associations between Wolbachia and C. flavipes are presented herein for the first time (Mochiah et al., [2002](#page-6-0); Branca et al., [2011,](#page-6-0) [2019;](#page-6-0) Rattan et al., [2011](#page-6-0); Srinivasa et al., 2011; Murthy et al., [2015](#page-6-0)). Wolbachia is a genus of Gram-negative, non-spore-forming, obligate intracellular symbiont bacteria that infects a wide range of arthropods. Wolbachia can be transmitted vertically from host females to offspring by being loaded into the egg (O'Neill et al., [1997;](#page-6-0) Duron et al., [2008\)](#page-6-0). Some studies have also reported the horizontal transfer of Wolbachia across populations (O'Neill et al., [1992;](#page-6-0) Werren et al., [1995](#page-7-0)). Nevertheless, as no infections

Figure 1. Survival of Cotesia flavipes (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) infected (W⁺) and not infected (W[−]) with Wolbachia. Females (W⁺ and W[−]) (A) and males (W⁺ and W[−]) (B). The experimental conditions were: 25 ± 1°C, relative humidity of 60 ± 10%, and a 12 h photophase (LogRank test, P < 0.05).

Figure 2. Percentages of flyer, walker, and non-flyer adults of Cotesia flavipes (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) previously infected (W⁺) and not infected (W−) by Wolbachia. Box plots represent the median and median quartiles of 75 and 25%, whiskers represent upper and lower bounds, and dots represent value discrepancies. The experimental conditions were: $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, relative humidity of 60 ± 10 %, and a 12 h photophase. Columns with the same lowercase letter (among populations) and capital letter (within population) are not significantly different from each other (t test, P < 0.05 and Tukey test, $P < 0.05$, respectively).

of this symbiont were observed in D. saccharalis, Wolbachia transmission in C. flavipes was herein demonstrated to occur vertically.

Wolbachia infection mainly affects the host's ecological aspects and reproductive system, inducing cytoplasmic incompatibility, parthenogenesis, feminisation, and annihilation of males, which results in reproductive isolation of the infected population and, consequently, successful establishment of the symbionts in host population (Stouthamer et al., [1999;](#page-6-0) Mochiah et al., [2002](#page-6-0)). Although some Wolbachia strains successfully infect host populations, others cannot parasitise the reproductive systems of their

Figure 3. Body length, right-wing length, right-wing width, and right tibia length (all in mm) of females of Cotesia flavipes (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) infected (W⁺) (black boxes) and not infected (W−) (white boxes) with Wolbachia. Box plots represent the median and median quartiles of 75 and 25%, respectively; whiskers represent upper and lower bounds, and dots represent outliers. The experimental conditions were: 25 ± 1°C, a relative humidity of 60 ± 10%, and a 12 h photophase. The different letters within each graph indicate significant differences (t test, $P < 0.05$).

Figure 4. Body length, right-wing length, right-wing width, and right tibia length (all in mm) of males of Cotesia flavipes (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) infected (W⁺) (black boxes) and not infected (W−) (white boxes) with Wolbachia. Box plots represent the median and median quartiles of 75 and 25%, respectively; whiskers represent upper and lower bounds, and dots represent outliers. The experimental conditions were: 25 ± 1 °C, a relative humidity of 60 ± 10 %, and a 12 h photophase. The different letters within each graph indicate significant differences (t test, $P < 0.05$).

host or adequately do so (Hoffmann et al., [1996\)](#page-6-0). This may explain the findings of the present study, as feminisation and annihilation of males infected by Wolbachia were not observed herein, suggesting that this symbiont cannot induce thelytokous parthenogenesis in this population and/or cannot replicate at high levels in the body of this parasitoid. Although Wolbachiainduced parthenogenesis in C. flavipes was not observed herein, future investigations should evaluate the effect of bacterial infection on the intraspecific cytoplasmic incompatibility of this insect; a mixture of infected and uninfected populations with such reproductive changes would have a decreased population growth rate, which would have implications for biological control programmes.

The present study also detected possible deleterious effects of the symbiotic association on the biological and morphological aspects of C. flavipes. Uninfected females had longer right-wing lengths and wider right-wing lengths and were longer lived, and uninfected males had longer tibia lengths than males infected with Wolbachia. The results presented here are supported by several reports of deleterious effects on the fitness of arthropods infected with Wolbachia (Fry et al., [2004;](#page-6-0) McGraw and O'Neill, [2004;](#page-6-0) Serga et al., [2014](#page-6-0); Stevanovic et al., [2015;](#page-6-0) Zhou et al., [2023\)](#page-7-0).

Considering that the longevity and morphology of parasitoids are considered indicators of the quality of these control agents (Sagarra et al., [2001;](#page-6-0) Wang and Keller, [2020\)](#page-7-0), the information from this study is the basis for understanding the impact of costs of Wolbachia infection in C. flavipes; therefore, measures

are needed to investigate infections by this symbiont in large-scale production of C. flavipes to maintain the production of efficient individuals for use in augmentative biological control programmes.

Such effects on the fitness of arthropods can be reversed by treating the infected population with antibiotics, as observed in C. flavipes. Dedeine et al. [\(2001,](#page-6-0) [2005\)](#page-6-0) reported the participation of this bacterium in the oogenesis of Asobara tabida Nees (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), with post-symbiont females being unable to produce mature oocytes and, therefore, reproducing; this was the first record of a transition from facultative to obligatory symbiosis in arthropod associations. Nevertheless, no such effect of Wolbachia infection was found herein, as the postsymbiont C. flavipes populations showed no reproductive changes.

Conclusions

The results of the present study can be used as a basis for understanding the role of Wolbachia in the quality of C. flavipes populations, in addition to demonstrating the importance of studies on these microorganisms that influence their host's biological, physiological, and reproductive characteristics.

Acknowledgments. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support for this research by the following organisation and agencies: Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brazil (CAPES; grant code 001).

Competing interests. None.

References

- Branca A, Le Ru B, Vavre F, Silvain JF and Dupas S (2011) Intraspecific specialization of the generalist parasitoid Cotesia sesamiae revealed by polyDNAvirus polymorphism and associated with different Wolbachia infection. Molecular Ecology 20, 959–971.
- Branca A, Le Ru B, Calatayud PA, Obonyo J, Musyoka B, Capdevielle-Dulac C, Kaiser-Arnauld L, Silvain JF, Gauthier J, Paillusson C, Gayral P, Herniou EA and Dupas S (2019) Relative influence of host, Wolbachia, geography and climate on the genetic structure of the Sub-Saharan parasitic wasp Cotesia sesamiae. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 7, 309.
- Brownlie JC and Johnson KN (2009) Symbiont-mediated protection in insect hosts. Trends in Microbiology 17, 348–354.
- Cônsoli FL and Kitajima EW (2017) Symbiofauna associated with the reproductive system of Cotesia flavipes and Doryctobracon areolatus (Hymenoptera, Braconidae). Journal of Morphological Sciences 23, 0–0.
- de Bary A (1879) Die erscheinung der symbiose. Vortrag, Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783111471839>
- Dedeine F, Vavre F, Fleury F, Loppin B, Hochberg ME and Boulétreau M (2001) Removing symbiotic Wolbachia bacteria specifically inhibits oogenesis in a parasitic wasp. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98, 6247–6252.
- Dedeine F, Bouletreau M and Vavre F (2005) Wolbachia requirement for oogenesis: occurrence within the genus Asobara (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) and evidence for intraspecific variation in A. tabida. Heredity 95, 394–400.
- Dicke M, Cusumano A and Poelman EH (2020) Microbial symbionts of parasitoids. Annual Review of Entomology 65, 171–190.
- Douglas AE (1989) Mycetocyte symbiosis in insects. Biological Reviews 64, 409–434.
- Duron O, Bouchon D, Boutin S, Bellamy L, Zhou L, Engelstädter J and Hurst GD (2008) The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods: Wolbachia do not walk alone. BMC Biology 6, 1–12.
- Dutton A and Bigler F (1995) Flight activity assessment of the egg parasitoid Trichogramma brassicae (Hym.: Trichogrammatidae) in laboratory and field conditions. Entomophaga 40, 223–233.
- Ferreira CADS, Santana MV, Santos JBD, Santos TTMD, Lôbo LM and Fernandes PM (2018) Yield and technological quality of sugarcane cultivars under infestation of Diatraea saccharalis (Fabr., 1794). Arquivos do Instituto Biológico 85, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1808-1657000042017>
- Fontes EMG, Pires CSS and Sujii ER (2020) Estratégias de uso e histórico. Controle biológico de pragas da agricultura. Brasília, Embrapa. Available ay <http://www.infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/infoteca/handle/doc/1121825>, $21 - 40.$
- Fry AJ, Palmer MR and Rand DM (2004) Variable fitness effects of Wolbachia infection in Drosophila melanogaster. Heredity 93, 379–389.
- Garcia JF, Botelho PSM and Macedo LPM (2009) Criação do parasitoide Cotesia flavipes em laboratório. In Bueno VHP (ed.), Controle Biológico de Pragas: Produção Massal e Controle de Qualidade. Lavras: Editora UFLA, pp. 199–220.
- Gottlieb Y, Ghanim M, Chiel E, Gerling D, Portnoy V, Steinberg S, Tzuri G, Horowitz AR, Belausov E, Mozes-Daube N, Kontsedalov S, Gershon M, Gal S, Katzir N, Zchori-Fein E (2006) Identification and localization of a Rickettsia sp. in Bemisia tabaci (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72, 3646–3652.
- Harris HL, Brennan LJ, Keddie BA and Braig HR (2010) Bacterial symbionts in insects: balancing life and death. Symbiosis 51, 37–53.
- Heddi A, Grenier AM, Khatchadourian C, Charles H and Nardon P (1999) Four intracellular genomes direct weevil biology: nuclear, mitochondrial, principal endosymbiont, and Wolbachia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 96, 6814–6819.
- Hensley SD and Hammond AM (1968) Laboratory techniques for rearing the sugarcane borer on an artificial diet. Journal of Economic Entomology 61, 1742–1743.
- Herniou EA, Huguet E, Thézé J, Bézier A, Periquet G and Drezen JM (2013) When parasitic wasps hijacked viruses: genomic and functional evolution of polydnaviruses. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 368, 20130051.
- Hoffmann AA, Clancy D and Duncan J (1996) Naturally-occurring Wolbachia infection in Drosophila simulans that does not cause cytoplasmic incompatibility. Heredity 76, 1–8.
- Li YY, Floate KD, Fields PG and Pang BP (2014) Review of treatment methods to remove Wolbachia bacteria from arthropods. Symbiosis 62, 1–15.
- Mcgraw EA and O'Neill SL (2004) Wolbachia pipientis: intracellular infection and pathogenesis in Drosophila. Current opinion in Microbiology 7, 67–70.
- Mochiah MB, Ngi-Song AJ, Overholt WA and Stouthamer R (2002) Wolbachia infection in Cotesia sesamiae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) causes cytoplasmic incompatibility: implications for biological control. Biological Control 25, 74–80.
- Murthy KS, Venkatesan T, Jalali SK and Ramya SL (2015) Reproductive alterations by Wolbachia in the braconid Cotesia vestalis (Haliday). In Chakravarthy A (ed.), New Horizons in Insect Science: Towards Sustainable Pest Management. New Delhi: Springer, pp. 347–351. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2089-3_30) doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2089-3_30
- O'Neill SL, Giordano R, Colbert AM, Karr TL and Robertson HM (1992) 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 89, 2699–2702.
- O'Neill SL, Hoffmann A and Werren J (1997) Influential Passengers: Inherited Microorganisms and Arthropod Reproduction. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Paes JP, Carvalho VR, Souza AR, Wilcken CF and Bueno RC (2019) Infection by the microsporidium of Clado Nosema/Vairimorpha in pupal parasitoids. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 91, e20180326. <https://doi.org/10.1590/;0001-3765201920180326>
- Parra JRP and Coelho A (2019) Applied biological control in Brazil: from laboratory assays to field application. Journal of Insect Science 19, 5.
- Prezotti L, Parra JR, Vencovsky R, Dias CT, Cruz I and Chagas M (2002) Teste de vôo como critério de avaliação da qualidade de Trichogramma pretiosum Riley (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae): Adaptação de metodologia. Neotropical Entomology 31, 411–417.
- Rattan RS, Hadapad AB, Reineke A, Gupta PR and Zebitz CP (2011) Molecular evidence for the presence of the endosymbiotic bacteria Wolbachia in Cotesia populations (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology 14, 183–185.
- Rossato J, Costa GHG, Madaleno LL, Mutton MJR, Higley LG and Fernandes OA (2013) Characterization and impact of the sugarcane borer on sugarcane yield and quality. Agronomy Journal 105, 643–648.
- Sagarra LA, Vincent C and Stewart RK (2001) Body size as an indicator of parasitoid quality in male and female Anagyrus kamali (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 91, 363–367.
- Serga S, Maistrenko O, Rozhok A, Mousseau T and Kozeretska I (2014) Fecundity as one of possible factors contributing to the dominance of the w Mel genotype of Wolbachia in natural populations of Drosophila melanogaster. Symbiosis 63, 11–17.
- Simões RA, Reis LG, Bento JM, Solter LF and Delalibera I Jr (2012) Biological and behavioral parameters of the parasitoid Cotesia flavipes (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) are altered by the pathogen Nosema sp. (Microsporidia: Nosematidae). Biological Control 63, 164–171.
- Srinivasa MK, Rajeshwari R, Venkatesan T and Baby NL (2011) Detection and characterization of Wolbachia in Cotesia plutellae (Kurdjumov) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid of the diamond back moth Plutella xylostella (Linn.). Journal of Biological Control 25, 213–216.
- Stevanovic AL, Arnold PA and Johnson KN (2015) Wolbachia-mediated antiviral protection in Drosophila larvae and adults following oral infection. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 81, 8215–8223.
- Stoltz DB and Vinson SB (1979) Viruses and parasitism in insects. Advances in Virus Research 24, 125–171.
- Stouthamer R, Breeuwer JA and Hurst GD (1999) Wolbachia pipientis: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. Annual Reviews in Microbiology 53, 71–102.
- Tan CW, Peiffer M, Hoover K, Rosa C, Acevedo FE and Felton GW (2018) Symbiotic polydnavirus of a parasite manipulates caterpillar and plant immunity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115, 5199–5204.
- Thao ML and Baumann P (2004) Evolutionary relationships of primary prokaryotic endosymbionts of whiteflies and their hosts. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 70, 3401–3406.
- Vossbrinck CR, Baker MD, Didier ES, Debrunner-Vossbrinck BA and Shadduck JA (1993) Ribosomal DNA sequences of Encephalitozoon hellem and Encephalitozoon cuniculi: species identification and phylogenetic construction. Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology 40, 354–362.
- Wang T and Keller MA (2020) Larger is better in the parasitoid Eretmocerus warrae (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Insects 11, 39.
- Werren JH, Zhang W and Guo LR (1995) Evolution and phylogeny of Wolbachia: reproductive parasites of arthropods. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 261, 55–63.
- Zchori-Fein E and Perlman SJ (2004) Distribution of the bacterial symbiont Cardinium in arthropods. Molecular Ecology 13, 2009–2016.
- Zhou JC, Shang D, Liu SM, Zhang C, Huo LX, Zhang LS and Dong H (2023) Wolbachia-infected Trichogramma dendrolimi is outcompeted by its uninfected counterpart in superparasitism but does not have developmental delay. Pest Management Science 79, 1005–1017.