



Erratum

Ontology, archetypes and the definition of ‘mineral species’ - ERRATUM

Frank C. Hawthorne, Stuart J. Mills, Frédéric Hatert and Mike S. Rumsey
doi:10.1180/mgm.2021.21, published by Cambridge University Press, 3 March 2021

We made the following statement in this paper in *Mineralogical Magazine*, 85(2), 125–131 under the heading ‘Extrinsic properties of a mineral’ on page 128:

“What are extrinsic properties of a mineral? Refractive index (dependent on the wavelength of light illuminating the crystal), density (dependent on the value of gravitational acceleration at the location of the mineral), unit-cell volume (dependent on ambient temperature and pressure).”

The word “density” should be replaced by the word “weight”; this example is given correctly on page 127.

Acknowledgements: We thank our numerous colleagues who drew our attention to this miserable lapse in concentration.

Reference

Hawthorne F.C., Mills S.J., Hatert F. and Rumsey M.S. (2021) Ontology, archetypes and the definition of “mineral species”. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 85, 125–131. doi:10.1180/mgm.2021.21

Cite this article: Hawthorne F.C., Mills S.J., Hatert F. and Rumsey M.S. (2021) Ontology, archetypes and the definition of ‘mineral species’ - ERRATUM. *Mineralogical Magazine* 85, 830–830. <https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2021.54>

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Mineralogical Society of Great Britain and Ireland. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.